Qs (1) Given:

TMV(8) = IE[8(80,81) + YV(S1) | 50=5] YEES

To Show: The is a Y-contraction

ie 11 TMV - TMV' 11 = Y 11 V - V' 11

for any V, V' & IRISI and a Scalar (E[0,1)

P8005:

= || \(\S \) | \(\S \) | \(\S \) \(

=11 \(\text{P(s'1s,=s)[Y(V(s,)-V'(s,))]}\)

= Y 11 \(\S\) P(5'150=8) [V(S,)-V'(S,)] |

£ Υ Σ P(s'150=S) | V(S,) - V'(S,) |

[: Using Triangle Inequality]

£ Υ | V - V' | [Σ P(5'150=8)=1]

· Hence shown that

11 THV - THV' 11 4 Y 11 V - V' 11

=) TM is a Y-contraction

To show: YM is a unique fixed point of TH

we know , VM(5)= E[\(\subseteq \gamma\) \(\subseteq \

To Show: TH VH = VH

P800f:

TMVM(S) = IE[8(So,Si) + Y VM(Si) | So=S]

= IE[8(50,51) + YIE[2 Yt-1 8(St, St+1) | S, = S'] | So=S]

= IE[x(so,s,)+ Y \(\Sigma\) |P(s" |s,=s') \(\Sigma\) \(\chi\) \(\chi\) \(\sigma\) \(\sigma\) \(\

= IE[x(so,s,) + Z IP(s"1s,=s') & yt x(st, st+1) |so=s]

=IE[\(\Ses \) P(s"18, =s') \(\Section \) \(\Sec

= E[E[\$\footnote \(\section \) | \(\se

using Iterative conditioning.

= IE[= Yt & (St, St+1) | So = S]

= VM(S)

Hence shown that

i.e VM is a fixed point of TM

uniqueness:

Using Banach's fixed point theorem
Here, Raisi is a complete space with
metric II. II and

TH a Y-contraction with a fixed point VM
From, Banach's fixed point theorem,

VM is a fixed "unique" fixed point of TM
Hence Proved

Qs 2(a) TD(o) recursion with linear function approximation.

update rule:

1f St=0 EE St+1=0

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha_t [0.2[1,0]w_t][0]$$

$$= w_t + \alpha_t [0.2[1,0]w_t][0]$$

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha_t [0.2[1,0]w_t][0]$$

Else if St = 0 & & St+1 = 1

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t [8(0,1) + \gamma \phi^{T}(1)w_t - \phi^{T}(0)w_t] \phi(0)$$

$$= w_t + \alpha_t [5 + 0.8[0,1]w_t - [1,0]w_t] [0]$$

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t [5 + [-1,0.8]w_t] [0]$$

Else If St=1 && St+1=0

$$= mf + \alpha^{f} (1 + (0.8, -1) + \lambda + (0) + 1)^{1} + \alpha^{f} (1 + 0.8 + (1.0) + 1)^{1} + \alpha^{f} (1 + 0.8 + (1.0) + 1)^{1} + \alpha^{f} (1.0)$$

$$= mf + \alpha^{f} (1 + (0.8, -1) + \lambda + 1)^{(1)} + \alpha^{f} (1.0) + \alpha^{f}$$

Else [St=1 88 St+1=1]

 $\omega_{t+1} = \omega_t + \alpha_t [8(1,1) + \gamma \phi^T(1) \omega_t - \phi^T(1) \omega_t] \phi(1)$ = $\omega_t + \alpha_t [3 + 0.8 * [0,1] \omega_t - [0,1] \omega_t] [?]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{t+1} = \omega_t + \alpha_t [3 - 0.2[0, 1)\omega_t][0] \\ & Qo @ G \\ & & \omega(t) = b - A\omega(t) \\ & & \omega_{t} = b - A\omega(t) \\ & & \omega_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b \\ & & \omega_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b \\ & & \omega_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b \\ & & \omega_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b \\ & & \omega_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b \\ & & \omega_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b \\ & & \omega_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b \\ & & \omega_{t} = b - \Delta_{t} = b - \Delta_{t}$$

Subs (1) in (2)
0.5
$$d\mu(1) + d\mu(1) = 1$$

=) $1 + 3 d\mu(1) = 1$
=) $1 + 3 d\mu(1) = 2 d$

Limiting ODE

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5}{3} \\ \frac{4}{3} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{4}{15} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{-4}{15} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}{15} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}$$

To verify if it how a unique globally asymptotically stable equilibrium point

To show: A-1 exist

Proof:
$$1A1 = \frac{1}{3} * \frac{2}{5} - \frac{4}{15} * \frac{4}{15}$$

 $= \frac{2}{15} - \frac{16}{15^2} = \frac{30 - 16}{15^2}$
 $= \frac{14}{15^2} \neq 0$

-: 1A1 + 0

2+eix9 -A (=

Hence verified

The unique equilibrium point

$$\omega_{+} = A^{-1}b$$

Hene,
$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 45 \\ \frac{7}{7} & \frac{30}{7} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\omega_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{45}{7} & \frac{30}{7} \\ \frac{30}{7} & \frac{75}{14} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5}{3} \\ \frac{4}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\omega_{\phi} = \left(\frac{115}{7}\right)$$

$$\left(\frac{100}{7}\right)$$

convex Optimization

Differentiating wisit wand setting to o

=)
$$28 \| \omega_{t} - \omega \| \cdot (\omega - \omega_{t}) + \sum_{m=0}^{t} [\Phi^{T}(s_{m})\omega - \Phi^{T}(s_{m})\omega_{t}]$$

=)
$$28W + 2 \stackrel{t}{\geq} \phi^{T}(s_{m}) w \phi(s_{m}) = 28W_{t} + 2 \stackrel{t}{\geq} [\sigma^{T}(s_{m})] w \phi(s_{m}) = 28W_{t} + 2 \stackrel{t}{\geq} [\sigma^{T}(s_{m})] \phi(s_{m})$$
+ $\stackrel{t}{\geq} (\gamma_{\lambda})^{K-m} d_{t}(s_{K}, s_{K+1})] \phi(s_{m})$

cancelling out 2 on all terms and rearranging $\phi^{T}(sm) \omega \phi(sm)$ to $\phi(sm) \phi^{T}(sm) \omega$ [: $\phi^{T}(sm) \omega$ is a Scalar)

=)
$$8\omega + \sum_{m=0}^{t} \phi(s_m) \phi^{T}(s_m) \omega = S\omega_{t} + \sum_{m=0}^{t} [\phi^{T}(s_m) \omega_{t}] + \sum_{m=0}^{t} (\gamma_{\lambda})^{k-m} d_{t}(s_{k}, s_{k+1})]\phi(s_{m})$$
 $k=m$

LHS :

$$=) \omega = \underbrace{8 \omega_{t}}_{m=0} + \underbrace{\sum_{m=0}^{t} \phi^{T}(s_{m})\omega_{t} \phi(s_{m})}_{m=0} + \underbrace{\sum_{m=0}^{t} \phi^{T}(s_{m})\omega_{t} \phi(s_{m})}_{m=0}$$

Changing the order of summation to calculate At and by iteratively

$$At = \sum_{k=0}^{t} \sum_{m=0}^{k} (YX)^{k-m} \phi(sm) (Y\phi(s_{k+1}) - \phi(s_{k}))^{T}.$$

$$b_{t} = \sum_{k=0}^{t} \sum_{m=0}^{k} (Y_{\lambda})^{k-m} \phi(s_{m}) \delta(s_{k}, s_{k+1})$$

Iterative scheme for computing

$$\begin{array}{l}
O B_{t+1} = 8I + \sum_{j=1}^{t+1} \phi(s_{m}) \phi^{T}(s_{m}) \\
m=0 \\
= 8I + \sum_{j=1}^{t} \phi(s_{m}) \phi^{T}(s_{m}) + \phi(s_{t+1}) \phi^{T}(s_{t+1}) \\
m=0
\end{array}$$

$$A_{t+1} = A_t + \sum_{m=0}^{t+1} (\gamma_{\lambda})^{t+1-m} \phi(s_m) (\gamma \phi(s_{t+2}) - \phi(s_{t+1}))^T$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\lambda y)_{k-m} \phi(2m) g(2k',2k+1) +$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{t+1} (y\lambda)^{t+1-m} \phi(s_m) \delta(s_{t+1}, s_{t+2})$$

$$b_{t+1} = b_t + \sum_{m=0}^{t+1} (\gamma \lambda)^{t+1-m} \phi(s_m) \delta(s_{t+1}, s_{t+2})$$

Qs 3 5

For the inverse of Bt to exist:

1B+1 +0

=) | 8I + \(\frac{t}{2}\) \(\phi(sm)\) \(\ph

Reasoning

=) $|\sum_{m=0}^{t} \phi(s_m) \phi^{T}(s_m) - (-8)I| \neq 0$

Let, $\sum_{m=0}^{t} \phi(s_m) \phi^T(s_m)$ be a matrix C, then

=) | C - (-8) I | # 0

=) (-8) is not a Eigen value of matrix (

That is, for the invense of Bt to exist:

8 \$ (- Eigen value of \$\frac{t}{2} \ph(sm) \ph^T(sm))\$

Qs 3 0

when $\lambda = 0$, the optimization problem is same us the TD(0) algorithm with Linear Function Approximation.

Q9 (4) Given: Ell Xoll2 200

To Show: EIIM+112 XXX +t >1

claim: Ellxt 112 200

Proof using Induction:

Base case: t=0

ETXO112 < 00

[Given]

Induction Hypothesis:

Let Ell x x 112 x 00 be true for any t = K EM

TO PROVE :

E11 X K + 1 112 < 00

Proof:

= E[|| x k || 2 + || x t x (st, st+1) \(\phi(s_t) \) || 2 +

|| x t (\(\phi^T(s_{t+1}) - \phi^T(s_t) \) x \(\phi(s_t) \) ||^2]

 $= E \| x_{K} \|^{2} + E \| x_{t} \times (s_{t}, s_{t+1}) \phi(s_{t}) \|^{2} +$ $E \| x_{t} \|^{2} + E \| x_{t} \times (s_{t}, s_{t+1}) \phi(s_{t}) \|^{2} +$ $E \| x_{t} \|^{2} + E \| x_{t} \times (s_{t}, s_{t+1}) \phi(s_{t}) \|^{2} +$

= E || x k ||2 HE || (x + 8 (St, St+1) d (St))||2 + x + 2 | E || (Y b T (St+1) - b T (St)) x k d (St) ||2 Using cauchy-schwarz Inequality. $\leq \text{IEII} \times_{k} \text{II}^{2} + (\alpha_{t} \times (9_{t}, 9_{t+1}))^{2} \text{IEII} \Phi(9_{t}) \text{II}^{2}$ $+ \alpha_{t}^{2} \text{IEII} \times_{k} \text{II}^{2} + (\alpha_{t} \times (9_{t+1}, 9_{t+1}))^{2} \text{IEII} \Phi(9_{t}) \text{II}^{2}$ $\text{IEII} \Phi(9_{t}) \text{II}^{2}$ $\text{IEII} \Phi(9_{t}) \text{II}^{2}$

considering each term,

Ellxk112 < 0 [From Induction Hypothesis]

 $(\alpha_{t} \times (s_{t}, s_{t+1}))^{2} \times \|\phi(s_{t})\|^{2} < \infty$ [constant]

 $x_{t}^{2} \mathbb{E} \| Y \Phi^{T}(S_{t+1}) - \Phi^{T}(S_{t}) \|^{2} \mathbb{E} \| \Phi(S_{t}) \|^{2} \mathbb{E} \| X_{K} \|^{2} < \infty$ [constant and Induction Hypothesis]

=) E || X K + 1 || 2 < E || X K || 2 +

-ΦT(St)112 E 11Φ(St)112 + α 2 E 117 Φ (St)112

40

=) E 11 × K+1112 < 00

Hence Proved that Ell X + 112 L 00

To Prove: EIIM+112 X00 +t

we know that,

E 11M++1112 < KM [1+ E 11 W+ 112]

Here, KM KD and

KM Ell Xt-1112 KD [From claim]

", IEIIM+112 < ∞ Hence Proved