

Tweet Similarity Analysis with Transformer Embeddings

Nfidsa Halima
Djait Ikram

Tweet Similarity Analysis with Transformer Embeddings

1. Introduction:

2. Methodology:

2.1 Data Preparation:

2.2 Data Preprocessing:

2.3 Model Architecture:

2.4 Evaluation:

3. Model Architecture:

3.1 Embedding Layer:

3.2 Transformer Encoder:

3.3 Feature Extraction:

3.4 Manhattan Distance Calculation:

3.5 Dense Layer:

4. Results:

1. Introduction:

The objective of this project is to develop a model capable of analyzing the semantic similarity between pairs of tweets and providing a similarity score indicating the likelihood that they originated from the same user. This model utilizes transformer embeddings for text representation and distance calculations.

2. Methodology:

2.1 Data Preparation:

- **Tweet Pair Generation:** Pairs of tweets are randomly sampled from the dataset to create a training and testing set. Techniques like stratification are considered to ensure a balanced representation of pairs from the same user and different users.
- **Labeling:** Each tweet pair is labeled based on whether they come from the same user or different users. Same-user pairs are labeled as 1, indicating high similarity, while different-user pairs are labeled as 0, indicating low similarity.

2.2 Data Preprocessing:

- **Text Cleaning:** The text data undergoes preprocessing steps including lowercasing, punctuation removal, stopwords removal, and stemming to ensure consistency and improve model performance.

2.3 Model Architecture:

- **Embedding Layer:** Pre-trained GloVe embeddings are used to represent words in the tweet text.
- **Transformer Encoder:** A pre-trained BERT transformer model is employed to encode the tweet text and capture contextual information.
- **Feature Extraction:** The output of the transformer encoder serves as tweet representations.
- **Manhattan Distance Calculation:** Manhattan distance is calculated between the representations of tweet pairs to measure similarity.

- Dense Layer: A dense layer with sigmoid activation is added to produce a similarity score between 0 and 1.

2.4 Evaluation:

- Evaluation Metrics: Precision, Recall, and F1 Score are computed to evaluate the model's performance on the testing set. Precision measures the proportion of correctly identified same-user pairs out of all pairs predicted as same-user. Recall measures the proportion of correctly identified same-user pairs out of all actual same-user pairs. F1 Score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, providing a balanced performance measure.

3. Model Architecture:

3.1 Embedding Layer:

- Utilized pre-trained GloVe embeddings for word representation, ensuring that semantic information is preserved in tweet text.

3.2 Transformer Encoder:

- Employed a pre-trained BERT transformer model to encode tweet text, capturing contextual information and improving text understanding.

3.3 Feature Extraction:

- Extracted features from the output of the transformer encoder to represent tweet pairs in a vectorized format suitable for distance calculation.

3.4 Manhattan Distance Calculation:

- Calculated the Manhattan distance between tweet representations to measure the similarity between tweet pairs.

3.5 Dense Layer:

- Added a dense layer with sigmoid activation to produce similarity scores between 0 and 1, providing a quantitative measure of tweet pair similarity.

4. Results:

- Quantitative Metrics on Testing Set:
 - Precision: 0.6
 - Recall: 0.5
 - F1 Score: 0.5454545454545454