Lambda Calculus

KC Sivaramakrishnan Spring 2021



Language

Free Variables

```
\begin{aligned} \mathsf{FV}(x) &= \{x\} \\ \mathsf{FV}(\lambda x.\ e) &= \mathsf{FV}(e) - \{x\} \\ \mathsf{FV}(e_1\ e_2) &= \mathsf{FV}(e_1) \cup \mathsf{FV}(e_2) \end{aligned}
```

Substitution

$$[e'/x]x = e'$$

 $[e'/x]y = y$, if $y \neq x$
 $[e'/x]\lambda x$. $e = \lambda x$. e
 $[e'/x]\lambda y$. $e = \lambda y$. $[e'/x]e$, if $y \neq x$
 $[e'/x]e_1 e_2 = [e'/x]e_1 [e'/x]e_2$

This definition is partial — does not work with open-terms.

$$[x/y]\lambda x. \ y = \lambda x. \ x$$

is incorrect

- For how to do it right, see CS3100 Lecture on Lambda Calculus syntax
- Our fix: we will substitute only on closed terms

OpSem: Big Step

$$\frac{}{\lambda x.\; e \; \Downarrow \; \lambda x.\; e} \quad \frac{e_1 \; \Downarrow \; \lambda x.\; e \quad e_2 \; \Downarrow \; v \quad [v/x]e \; \Downarrow \; v'}{e_1 \; e_2 \; \Downarrow \; v'}$$

Turing Completeness

$$\Omega = (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$$

Theorem 10.1. Ω does not evaluate to anything. In other words, $\Omega \downarrow v$ implies a contradiction.

Church Numerals

zero =
$$\lambda f. \lambda x. x$$

plus1 = $\lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. f (n f x)$

- Let's show that the church numerals do encode natural numbers as we know them
- First, relate nats to church numerals

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} = x \\
[n+1] = f((\lambda f. \lambda x. [n]) f x)$$

- n+1 definition seems unnecessarily large.
 - That is what call-by-value (cbv) reduction produces.

Canonical Representation
$$\underline{n} = \lambda f. \ \lambda x. \ |n|$$

Correctness of Encoding

• Given an encoding e and a natural number n, we say that e is a correct encoding of n if

$$e \sim n \equiv e \Downarrow n$$

Theorem 10.2. zero ~ 0 .

Theorem 10.3. If $e_n \sim n$, then plus $e_n \sim n + 1$.

add = λn . λm . n plus 1 m

THEOREM 10.4. If $e_n \sim n$ and $e_m \sim m$, then add $e_n e_m \sim n + m$.

mult = λn . λm . n (add m) zero

THEOREM 10.5. If $e_n \sim n$ and $e_m \sim m$, then mult $e_n e_m \sim n \times m$.

Small-step semantics

Evaluation contexts $C ::= \Box \mid C e \mid v C$

$$\overline{C[(\lambda x.\ e)\ v] \to C[[v/x]e]}$$

Theorem 10.6. If $e \rightarrow^* v$, then $e \downarrow v$.

Theorem 10.7. If $e \downarrow v$, then $e \rightarrow^* v$.

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

```
Types \tau ::= \mathbb{N} \mid \tau \to \tau
```

STLC: Dynamic Semantics

Evaluation contexts $C ::= \Box | C e | v C | C + e | v + C$

$$\overline{(\lambda x. e) \ v \to_0 [v/x]e} \quad \overline{n+m \to_0 n+m}$$

$$\frac{e \to_0 e'}{C[e] \to C[e']}$$

STLC: Static Semantics

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{N} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{N}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \mathbb{N}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. \; e : \tau_1 \to \tau_2} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \; e_2 : \tau_2}$$

Type Soundness

- Well-typed programs do not get stuck
 - stuck: not a value, but cannot reduce further

THEOREM 10.14 (Type Soundness). If $\vdash e : \tau$, then $\neg stuck$ is an invariant of $\mathbb{T}(e)$.

Progress: If lambda expression e has type t, then e isn't stuck.

Lemma 10.8 (Progress). If $\vdash e : \tau$, then e isn't stuck.

Preservation: If expression e has type t, and e —> e' then
 e' has type t

LEMMA 10.13 (Preservation). If $e_1 \rightarrow e_2$ and $\vdash e_1 : \tau$, then $\vdash e_2 : \tau$.

Type Soundness: Other Lemmas

Lemma 10.9 (Weakening). If $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and every mapping in Γ is also included in Γ' , then $\Gamma' \vdash e : \tau$.

PROOF. By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$.

Lemma 10.10 (Substitution). If $\Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash e : \tau \ and \vdash e' : \tau'$, then $\Gamma \vdash [e'/x]e : \tau$.

PROOF. By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash e : \tau$, with appeal to Lemma 10.9.

LEMMA 10.11. If $e \rightarrow_0 e'$ and $\vdash e : \tau$, then $\vdash e' : \tau$.

PROOF. By inversion on the derivation of $e \rightarrow_0 e'$, with appeal to Lemma 10.10.

LEMMA 10.12. If any type of e_1 is also a type of e_2 , then any type of $C[e_1]$ is also a type of $C[e_2]$.

Proof. By induction on the structure of C.