# HealthCare Management System Project Plan

Kayla Heydon


# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	PRO	OJECT PLAN	3
		Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)	
		Time Management	
		Risk Management	
		Risk Management Process	
	1.3.2.	Risk Register	4
	1.3.3.	Qualitative Risk Analysis	5
	1.3.4.	Probability and Impact Matrix	5
		OJECT CORDINATION AND PROGRESS REPORT	



#### 1. PROJECT PLAN

# 1.1. Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)




#### 1.2. Time Management

ID	Quarter	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish
0		Healthcare Management System	19 weeks	January 13	May 11
1	Q1	1 Evaluating Current System	3 weeks	01/13/20	02/03/20
2		1.1 Observe Current System			
3	Q1	1.2 Administer Surveys	3 weeks	01/13/20	02/03/20
4		1.2.1 Primary Investigators			
5		1.2.2 Research Compliance			
6		1.3 Observe current system			
7	Q1 & Q2	2 Database Design	7 weeks	02/10/20	03/23/20
8		2.1 Design Database (Back End)			
9	Q1 & Q2	2.2 Design Web Interface (Front End)	7 weeks	02/10/20	03/23/20
10		2.2.1 Primary Investigators Version			
11		2.2.2 Research Compliance Version			
12	Q2	3 Database Development	2 weeks	03/23/20	04/06/20
13		3.1 Code Database			
14		3.2 Code Web Interface			
15	Q2	4 Roll Out	2 weeks	03/23/20	04/06/20
16		4.1 Make New System Available in Parallel to current system			
17	Q2 & Q3	4.2 Test	5 weeks	03/23/20	05/04/20
18		4.2.1 Feedback from Primary Investigators			
19		4.2.2 Feedback from Research Compliance Staff			
20		4.3 Adjustments to code if needed			
21		4.4 Repeat steps 4.1 - 4.3 as needed			
22	Q3	5 Support	1 week	05/04/20	05/11/20



## 1.3. Risk Management

#### Risk Management Process 1.3.1.



### 1.3.2. Risk Register

Risk Category	Description of Risk	Potential Impact on Project			
Strategic Risk	Technological Changes	Unable to reach company goals			
Compliance Risk	Occurring and maintaining	Lose clients due to a failure to			
	compliance	adhere to regulations			
Operational Risk	Risks stemming from internal and	Loss of revenue and damage on			
	external events	the reputation			
Financial Risk	Money flow	Sudden financial loss			
Reputational Risk	Reputation	Loss of clients and revenue			



#### 1.3.3. Qualitative Risk Analysis

#### **Probability of Occurrence Approach**

Very likely: 76 – 100%

• Likely: 51 – 75% • Moderate: 26 – 50%

• Unlikely: 0 – 25%

#### **Potential Impact Analysis**

• Critical: Risk that has the potential to greatly influence project cost, project schedule, performance, or compliance with laws or governing standards.

- Major: Risk that has potential to significantly impact project cost, project schedule or performance
- Moderate: Risk that has potential to moderately impact project cost, project schedule or performance
- Minor: Risk that has potential to slightly impact on cost, schedule or performance

Risk Category	Probability of Occurrence	Potential Impact
Strategic Risk	likely	major
Compliance Risk	unlikely	critical
Operational Risk	very likely	moderate
Financial Risk	very likely	critical
Reputational Risk	moderate	major

#### 1.3.4. Probability and Impact Matrix

	Impact								
		Minor	Moderate	Major	Critical				
lity	Very likely		Operational risk		Financial risk				
Probability	Likely			Strategic risk					
Pro	Moderate			Reputational risk					
	Unlikely				Compliance risk				



### 2. PROJECT CORDINATION AND PROGRESS REPORT

After the usability test and feedback, we altered the system according to what our clients wanted and needed. The last task remaining is additional support while the final system is integrated into the facility.

Total	Q1 2020		Q2 2020			Q3 2020		
Task	Jan 20	Feb 20		Mar 20	А	Apr 20		May 20
Evaluating Current System (System planning)								
Database and Web Interface Design (System Requirements)		$\searrow$						
Database development (System Design)			$\times$	$\times\!$				
Roll Out (First Demo)					$\times$			
Test (System Alterations)								
Support (Second Demo)								