Assignment 4: Data Wrangling (Fall 2024)

Kayla Emerson

OVERVIEW

This exercise accompanies the lessons in Environmental Data Analytics on Data Wrangling

Directions

- 1. Rename this file <FirstLast>_A04_DataWrangling.Rmd (replacing <FirstLast> with your first and last name).
- 2. Change "Student Name" on line 3 (above) with your name.
- 3. Work through the steps, **creating code and output** that fulfill each instruction.
- 4. Be sure to **answer the questions** in this assignment document.
- 5. When you have completed the assignment, **Knit** the text and code into a single PDF file.
- 6. Ensure that code in code chunks does not extend off the page in the PDF.

Set up your session

- 1a. Load the tidyverse, lubridate, and here packages into your session.
- 1b. Check your working directory.
- 1c. Read in all four raw data files associated with the EPA Air dataset, being sure to set string columns to be read in a factors. See the README file for the EPA air datasets for more information (especially if you have not worked with air quality data previously).
 - 2. Add the appropriate code to reveal the dimensions of the four datasets.

```
#1a
#load packages
library(tidyverse)
library(lubridate)
library(here)

#1b
#check working directory
getwd()
```

```
## [1] "/home/guest/EDE_Fall2024"
```

```
here()
```

```
## [1] "/home/guest/EDE_Fall2024"
```

```
#1c
#read in four raw datasets
EPAair.03.NC2018.data <- read.csv(</pre>
  file=here("./Data/Raw/EPAair_03_NC2018_raw.csv"),
  stringsAsFactors = TRUE)
EPAair.03.NC2019.data <- read.csv(</pre>
  file=here("./Data/Raw/EPAair_O3_NC2019_raw.csv"),
  stringsAsFactors = TRUE)
EPAair.PM25.NC2018.data <- read.csv(</pre>
  file=here("./Data/Raw/EPAair_PM25_NC2018_raw.csv"),
  stringsAsFactors = TRUE)
EPAair.PM25.NC2019.data <- read.csv(</pre>
  file=here("./Data/Raw/EPAair_PM25_NC2019_raw.csv"),
  stringsAsFactors = TRUE)
#2
#reveal dimensions
print(dim(EPAair.03.NC2018.data))
## [1] 9737
              20
print(dim(EPAair.03.NC2019.data))
## [1] 10592
                 20
print(dim(EPAair.PM25.NC2018.data))
## [1] 8983
              20
print(dim(EPAair.PM25.NC2019.data))
## [1] 8581
              20
```

All four datasets should have the same number of columns but unique record counts (rows). Do your datasets follow this pattern? Yes, all four datasets have 20 columns, but different amounts of rows.

Wrangle individual datasets to create processed files.

- 3. Change the Date columns to be date objects.
- 4. Select the following columns: Date, DAILY_AQI_VALUE, Site.Name, AQS_PARAMETER_DESC, COUNTY, SITE_LATITUDE, SITE_LONGITUDE
- 5. For the PM2.5 datasets, fill all cells in AQS_PARAMETER_DESC with "PM2.5" (all cells in this column should be identical).

6. Save all four processed datasets in the Processed folder. Use the same file names as the raw files but replace "raw" with "processed".

```
#3
#change date columns to date objects
EPAair.03.NC2018.data$Date <- mdy(EPAair.03.NC2018.data$Date)
#check
#class(EPAair.O3.NC2018.data$Date)
EPAair.03.NC2019.data$Date <- mdy(EPAair.03.NC2019.data$Date)
#check
#class(EPAair.O3.NC2019.data$Date)
EPAair.PM25.NC2018.data$Date <- mdy(EPAair.PM25.NC2018.data$Date)
#class(EPAair.PM25.NC2018.data$Date)
EPAair.PM25.NC2019.data$Date <- mdy(EPAair.PM25.NC2019.data$Date)
#class(EPAair.PM25.NC2019.data$Date)
#select cols
EPAair.03.NC2018.data.processed <-
  EPAair.03.NC2018.data %>%
  select(Date, DAILY_AQI_VALUE, Site.Name, AQS_PARAMETER_DESC, COUNTY,
         SITE LATITUDE, SITE LONGITUDE)
EPAair.03.NC2019.data.processed <-
  EPAair.03.NC2019.data %>%
  select(Date, DAILY_AQI_VALUE, Site.Name, AQS_PARAMETER_DESC, COUNTY,
         SITE_LATITUDE, SITE_LONGITUDE)
EPAair.PM25.NC2018.data.processed <-
  EPAair.PM25.NC2018.data %>%
  select(Date, DAILY_AQI_VALUE, Site.Name, AQS_PARAMETER_DESC, COUNTY,
         SITE_LATITUDE, SITE_LONGITUDE)
EPAair.PM25.NC2019.data.processed <-
  EPAair.PM25.NC2019.data %>%
  select(Date, DAILY_AQI_VALUE, Site.Name, AQS_PARAMETER_DESC, COUNTY,
         SITE_LATITUDE, SITE_LONGITUDE)
#fill cells in PM25 datasets
EPAair.PM25.NC2018.data.processed <-
  EPAair.PM25.NC2018.data.processed %>%
  mutate(AQS_PARAMETER_DESC = "PM2.5")
EPAair.PM25.NC2019.data.processed <-
  EPAair.PM25.NC2019.data.processed %>%
  mutate(AQS_PARAMETER_DESC = "PM2.5")
```

```
#6
#save processed data
write.csv(EPAair.03.NC2018.data.processed, row.names = FALSE, file = "./Data/Processed/EPAair_03_NC2018
write.csv(EPAair.03.NC2019.data.processed, row.names = FALSE, file = "./Data/Processed/EPAair_03_NC2019
write.csv(EPAair.PM25.NC2018.data.processed, row.names = FALSE, file = "./Data/Processed/EPAair_PM25_NC
write.csv(EPAair.PM25.NC2019.data.processed, row.names = FALSE, file = "./Data/Processed/EPAair_PM25_NC
```

Combine datasets

- 7. Combine the four datasets with rbind. Make sure your column names are identical prior to running this code.
- 8. Wrangle your new dataset with a pipe function (%>%) so that it fills the following conditions:
- Include only sites that the four data frames have in common:

```
"Linville Falls", "Durham Armory", "Leggett", "Hattie Avenue", "Clemmons Middle", "Mendenhall School", "Frying Pan Mountain", "West Johnston Co.", "Garinger High School", "Castle Hayne", "Pitt Agri. Center", "Bryson City", "Millbrook School"
```

(the function intersect can figure out common factor levels - but it will include sites with missing site information, which you don't want...)

- Some sites have multiple measurements per day. Use the split-apply-combine strategy to generate daily means: group by date, site name, AQS parameter, and county. Take the mean of the AQI value, latitude, and longitude.
- Add columns for "Month" and "Year" by parsing your "Date" column (hint: lubridate package)
- Hint: the dimensions of this dataset should be $14,752 \times 9$.
- 9. Spread your datasets such that AQI values for ozone and PM2.5 are in separate columns. Each location on a specific date should now occupy only one row.
- 10. Call up the dimensions of your new tidy dataset.
- 11. Save your processed dataset with the following file name: "EPAair O3 PM25 NC1819 Processed.csv"

```
Site.Name == "Leggett" | Site.Name == "Hattie Avenue" |
           Site.Name == "Clemmons Middle" | Site.Name == "Mendenhall School" |
           Site.Name =="Frying Pan Mountain" | Site.Name =="West Johnston Co." |
           Site.Name == "Garinger High School" | Site.Name == "Castle Hayne" |
           Site.Name == "Pitt Agri. Center" | Site.Name == "Bryson City"|
           Site.Name =="Millbrook School") %>%
  group_by(Date, Site.Name, AQS_PARAMETER_DESC, COUNTY) %>%
  filter(!is.na(DAILY AQI VALUE) & !is.na(SITE LATITUDE) & !is.na(SITE LONGITUDE)) %>%
  summarize(meanAQI = mean(DAILY_AQI_VALUE),
                           meanLat = mean(SITE_LATITUDE),
                           meanLong = mean(SITE_LONGITUDE)) %>%
  mutate(Month = month(Date),
         Year = year(Date))
## 'summarise()' has grouped output by 'Date', 'Site.Name', 'AQS_PARAMETER_DESC'.
## You can override using the '.groups' argument.
#check dimensions
dim(03.PM25.combined.piped)
## [1] 14752
#9
#spread dataset
PM25.combined.spread <-
 03.PM25.combined.piped %>%
 pivot_wider(
   names_from = AQS_PARAMETER_DESC,
    values_from = meanAQI
  )
#10
#get dimensions of new dataset
print(dim(PM25.combined.spread))
## [1] 8976
#11
#save processed data
write.csv(PM25.combined.spread, row.names = FALSE,
          file = "./Data/Processed/EPAair_03_PM25_NC1819_Processed.csv")
```

Generate summary tables

- 12. Use the split-apply-combine strategy to generate a summary data frame. Data should be grouped by site, month, and year. Generate the mean AQI values for ozone and PM2.5 for each group. Then, add a pipe to remove instances where mean **ozone** values are not available (use the function drop_na in your pipe). It's ok to have missing mean PM2.5 values in this result.
- 13. Call up the dimensions of the summary dataset.

'summarise()' has grouped output by 'Site.Name', 'Month'. You can override
using the '.groups' argument.

```
#13
#get dimensions
print(dim(summary.EPair.df))
```

```
## [1] 182 5
```

14. Why did we use the function drop_na rather than na.omit? Hint: replace drop_na with na.omit in part 12 and observe what happens with the dimensions of the summary date frame.

Answer: We used drop_na rather than na.omit because na.omit gets rid of the any rows that have "NA" in any column whereas drop_na just removes the row that has "NA" for the selected column, which is MeanOzonePM for our purposes.