Section 1.2 — The ARM Family History (Mazidi)

Chapter 1 · Section 1.2 — Exercises (Mazidi)

Problems are paraphrased to respect copyright. See Mazidi, Chapter 1 §1.2 ("The ARM Family History"), PDF ~pp. 17–20.

Quick scan (True/False)

15 — n/a • 16 False • 17 True (with context) • 18 True • 19 False • 20 True • 21 False • 22 False • 23 True • 24 False • 25 False • 26 False

15) What does ARM stand for?

Answer: Originally Acorn RISC Machine; later Advanced RISC Machines. Today the company is Arm Ltd. Why: The project began at Acorn Computers and evolved into a standalone IP company. (§1.2)

16) True or False. In ARM, architectures have the same names as families.

Answer: False.

Why: Architecture versions are named ARMv4/v5/v6/v7/v8, while product families are ARM7/ARM9, Cortex-A/R/M, etc. Names are related but not the same. ($\S1.2$)

17) True or False. In 1990s, ARM was widely used in the microprocessor world.

Answer: True (in embedded/mobile).

Why: ARM became the dominant 32-bit RISC in handhelds/embedded devices (PDAs, phones), even though desktop PCs remained x86. (§1.2)

18) True or False. ARM is widely used in Apple products, like iPhone and iPod.

Answer: True.

Why: Apple's mobile devices use ARM-based SoCs. (§1.2)

19) True or False. Currently the Microsoft Windows does not support ARM products.

Answer: False.

Why: Microsoft has supported ARM in Windows CE/Embedded, Windows Phone, and modern Windows on ARM. (§1.2 context)

20) True or False. All ARM chips have standard instructions.

Answer: True (core ISA is standardized per architecture version).

Why: The instruction set architecture (ARM/Thumb/Thumb-2, plus optional extensions like VFP/NEON) is defined by Arm; implementations conform to the relevant spec. ($\S1.2$)

21) True or False. All ARM chips have standard peripherals.

Answer: False.

Why: Peripherals are vendor-specific (UART, timers, GPIO mapping, ADC, etc.), so registers and drivers differ across manufacturers/families. (§1.2)

22) True or False. The ARM corporation also manufactures the ARM chip.

Answer: False.

arm-assembly-mazidi-solutions [page]/[toPage]

Why: Arm is an IP licensor; licensees (e.g., ST, NXP, TI, Samsung, Microchip) manufacture the chips. (§1.2)

23) True or False. The ARM IP must be licensed from ARM corp.

Answer: True.

Why: Companies license CPU cores/architectures (soft/hard IP) from Arm to build their SoCs/MCUs. (§1.2)

24) True or False. A serial-communication program written for a TI ARM chip should run without any modification on a Freescale ARM chip.

Answer: False.

Why: While the core ISA is compatible, peripheral registers/clock trees/interrupts are different; UART drivers and init code are not portable without adaptation. (§1.2)

25) True or False. An Assembly program written for one family of ARM Cortex chip can execute on any other Cortex ARM chip.

Answer: False (not universally).

Why: Portability depends on ISA mode and architecture level (e.g., Cortex-M is Thumb-2-only, while Cortex-A may use ARM/AArch32/AArch64). Also, system control and memory maps differ. Pure, ISA-only code might port, but in general modifications are required. (§1.2)

26) True or False. At the present time, ARM has just one manufacturer.

Answer: False.

Why: There are many Arm licensees (ST, NXP, TI, Microchip/Atmel, Renesas, Samsung, etc.). (§1.2)

27) What is the difference between the ARM products of different manufacturers?

Answer (concise):

- Same core architecture, but different peripherals (timers, UART, I²C/SPI, ADC, PWM), memory sizes, clock trees, packages, power modes, and toolchain support.
- Result: software drivers, BSPs, and startup code are vendor/family specific even when the CPU core is the same. (§1.2)

28) Name some 32-bit microcontrollers.

Examples (any correct subset):

- STM32 (STMicroelectronics, Cortex-M)
- NXP LPC / i.MX RT (Cortex-M)
- TI Tiva-C / MSP432 (Cortex-M)
- Microchip SAM (ex-Atmel, Cortex-M)
- Renesas RA (Cortex-M)
- **PIC32** (Microchip, MIPS-based still 32-bit MCU) (§1.2 examples/history)

29) What is Intel's challenge in decreasing the power consumption of the x86?

Answer: Maintaining backward compatibility with the complex legacy x86 ISA (variable-length decode and large micro-architectural support) imposes power/complexity overheads. Reducing power while keeping performance and compatibility is the key challenge compared to lean RISC designs like ARM. (§1.2 discussion)

arm-assembly-mazidi-solutions [page]/[toPage]