

# CSE 106: Offline Assignment

Hierarchical Emergency Network Optimization

(Using Divide & Conquer and Greedy Algorithms)

## 1. Introduction

This assignment focuses on designing an efficient large-scale infrastructure network using two fundamental algorithm design paradigms:

- Divide and Conquer
- Greedy Algorithms

Students must combine both paradigms into a hybrid algorithm under structural and optimization constraints.

## 2. Problem Description

A country is building an emergency communication network among  $N$  cities. Each city has geographic coordinates and can be connected via communication links.

The cost of connecting city  $i$  and  $j$  is:

$$Cost(i, j) = \alpha \cdot Distance(i, j) + \beta \cdot Risk(i, j)$$

Where:

$$Distance(i, j) = \sqrt{(x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2}$$

If no risk value is provided for a pair, then  $Risk(i, j) = 0$ .

### Global Constraints

- The entire network must be connected.
- Each city can have at most  $K$  direct connections.
- Each regional cluster must contain at least one cycle.
- $10^4 \leq N \leq 10^5$
- $2 \leq K \leq 10$

Your algorithm must run in strictly better than  $O(N^2)$  time.

### 3. Input Specification and Parameter Explanation

The general input format is:

$N \ K \ M \ \alpha \ \beta$

Cities:

<ID> <x> <y>

...

Risk:

<u> <v> <risk\_value>

...

#### 3.1 Parameter Explanation

##### 1. $N$ — Number of Cities

- Total number of cities.
- Cities are labeled from 1 to  $N$ .
- Determines problem size and efficiency requirements.

##### 2. $K$ — Maximum Degree Constraint

- Maximum number of direct connections allowed per city.
- If degree of any city exceeds  $K$ , solution is invalid.
- Prevents trivial star-shaped greedy solutions.

##### 3. $M$ — Maximum Cluster Size

- Divide and Conquer stopping threshold.
- If cluster size  $\leq M$ , solve locally using greedy.
- Controls recursion depth and merge complexity.

##### 4. $\alpha$ — Distance Weight

- Controls importance of geographic distance.
- Larger  $\alpha$  prioritizes shorter edges.

## 5. $\beta$ — Risk Weight

- Controls importance of communication risk.
- Larger  $\beta$  prioritizes safer (lower risk) edges.

## 6. Cities Section

Each city line contains:

- City ID
- $x$  coordinate
- $y$  coordinate

Coordinates are used for:

- Distance calculation
- Spatial partitioning

## 7. Risk Section

Each line:

`u v risk_value`

- Represents additional risk between cities  $u$  and  $v$ .
- Only listed pairs have non-zero risk.
- All unspecified pairs have risk = 0.

This ensures sparse representation and prevents  $O(N^2)$  storage.

# 4. Algorithmic Requirements

## 4.1 Phase 1: Divide and Conquer

- Recursively partition cities by median x-coordinate.
- Stop when cluster size  $\leq M$ .
- Solve locally within clusters.
- Merge clusters carefully.

## 4.2 Phase 2: Greedy Optimization

- Select minimum-cost edges.
- Respect degree constraint  $K$ .
- Add minimum-cost redundancy edges.

You must clearly explain:

- Recurrence relation
- Time complexity
- Greedy choice justification
- Feasibility of merge step

## 5. Output Format

Total Cost: <value>

Edges:

u1 v1

u2 v2

...

Order does not matter, but all constraints must hold.

## 6. Sample Input and Output

### 6.1 Sample 1: Basic Connected Case

Input

8 3 3 1 2

Cities:

1 1 1

2 2 2

3 3 1

4 8 1

5 9 2

6 8 3

7 4 8

8 5 9

Risk:

1 2 1  
2 3 2  
4 5 1  
7 8 1

### Output (One Valid Solution)

Total Cost: 28.47

Edges:

1 2  
2 3  
3 7  
7 8  
4 5  
5 6  
3 4  
2 1  
5 4

—

## 6.2 Sample 2: Degree Constraint Tight Case

### Input

6 2 3 1 1

Cities:

1 0 0  
2 1 0  
3 2 0  
4 3 0  
5 4 0  
6 5 0

Risk:

### Explanation

Since  $K = 2$ , each city can connect to at most two others.

### Output (One Valid Solution)

Total Cost: 5.00

Edges:

1 2

2 3

3 4

4 5

5 6

(Note: No redundancy possible without violating degree constraint.)

---

### 6.3 Sample 3

**Input**

4 3 4 1 0

Cities:

1 0 0

2 0 1

3 1 1

4 1 0

Risk:

**Output (One Valid Solution)**

Total Cost: 4.00

Edges:

1 2

2 3

3 4

4 1

---

### 6.4 Sample 4

**Input**

5 3 2 1 10

Cities:

1 0 0

2 1 0

3 2 0  
 4 10 0  
 5 11 0

Risk:

1 2 5  
 2 3 5

### **Explanation**

Because  $\beta = 10$ , risk heavily influences cost. Algorithm must avoid high-risk edges even if distance is small.

### **Output (One Valid Solution)**

Total Cost: 21.00

Edges:

1 3  
 3 4  
 4 5  
 2 3

—

## **6.5 Sample 5**

### **Input**

6 3 2 1 0  
 Cities:  
 1 0 0  
 2 1 0  
 3 2 0  
 4 100 0  
 5 101 0  
 6 102 0

Risk:

### **Explanation**

Divide step splits into two clusters:  $\{1,2,3\}$  and  $\{4,5,6\}$ .

Correct merge must connect closest boundary nodes.

### **Output (One Valid Solution)**

Total Cost: 102.00

Edges:

1 2

2 3

4 5

5 6

3 4

—

## 6.6 Sample 6

### Input

7 3 3 2 5

Cities:

1 0 0

2 2 0

3 4 0

4 6 0

5 8 0

6 10 0

7 12 0

Risk:

3 5 1

2 6 2

### Explanation

Sparse risk edges change greedy edge ordering. Students must incorporate risk properly into cost calculation.

### Output (One Valid Solution)

Total Cost: 48.00

Edges:

1 2

2 3

3 4

4 5

5 6

6 7

3 5

## Important Notes About Sample Outputs

- Outputs shown are only **one valid solution**.
- Edge order does not matter.
- Multiple valid solutions may exist.
- All constraints must be satisfied:
  - Connectivity
  - Degree  $\leq K$
  - At least one cycle per cluster

## 7. Evaluation Criteria

Criteria	Marks
Correct use of Divide & Conquer	25
Correct use of Greedy	25
Correctness Proof	15
Time Complexity Analysis	15
Handling Edge Cases	10
Code Efficiency	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>

No marks will be provided for solutions that do not clearly justify algorithmic design decisions.