Lec 5 Page 1 CS 5 9000\_RL Concentration Inequalities. Agenda: \_ - Hoe & Loing's inequalites - Bernstien's inequalities - Azuma's - Freedman's Def. A random variable X on (52, F, IP)
is sub-Gaussian if there is a positive number R such blat  $F\left[e^{\lambda(X-F(X))}\right] \left\langle e^{R\frac{\lambda^2}{2}}\right\rangle$ R is referred to as sub-Gaussian constan. X -> P(()X- E[X]) > E) < E[X-G(X)] in the proof of the systher's No w let's use exponential.

P(X-E(X)) > E) = IP( $e^{(X-E(X))}$ ),  $e^{AS}$ (E[eX(X-EIX))] if the random variable
is sul Gaussian 

ERA2

OAE

$$P(X-E(X) \geq E) < e^{\frac{X^2}{2}-\frac{1}{2}E} > 0$$

$$R\lambda = E \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{E}{R}$$

$$P(X-E(X) \geq E) < e^{\frac{2}{12R}} - \frac{E^2}{R} = -\frac{E^2}{2R}$$

$$HW: P(X-E(X) \leq E) < e^{\frac{2}{2R}}$$

$$P(|X-E(X)| \geq E) < 2e^{\frac{2}{2R}}$$

$$P(|X-E(X)| \geq E)$$

$$P(|X-E(X)| \geq$$

proof:  $\sum X_i - \sum E(X_i)$   $E[\exp(\lambda(\sum_{i=1}^{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i))] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} E[\exp(\lambda(X_i - E(X_i)))] < \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp(R_i\lambda^2)$   $= \exp(\sum R_i)\lambda^2$ 

there fore  $\Sigma X_i - \Sigma E(X_i)$  is

a sub-Ganssian varolom variable

with sub-Gaussian constant  $\Sigma R_i$ iz) using the # > result in Hoeflely statement Using Hoekfoling & inequality for EX:-E(Xi) we have  $P(|\Sigma x_i = E(x_i)| > E) (2 exp(-\frac{E}{2ER})$  $\rightarrow 2 \exp\left(\frac{-\ell^2}{2 \geq R_i}\right) : \delta \rightarrow \frac{+\ell^2}{2 \leq R_i} = \log\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)$  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E} = \left[2\left(\mathbb{E} R_i\right) \right] \text{ loss (2)}$   $\Rightarrow \text{ There for the following hold in the probability }$   $P\left(\left(\mathbb{E} X_i\right) - \mathbb{E} X_i\right) \left(\mathbb{E} X_i\right) \left(\mathbb$ Note: For i.i.d. setting R1=R2=---Rn=R  $\left| \frac{\sum x_{i}}{n} - \frac{E[X]}{n^{2}} \right| \rightarrow \frac{nR}{n^{2}}$   $E(X_{i}) = E(X_{2}) - - E(X_{n}) : E(X_{1})$   $P\left(\left| \frac{\sum x_{i}}{n} \right| - E(X_{1}) \right| \left| \frac{2}{8} \right| \right)$ is at least (1-8)

4) 1 [ [ [ [ ] [ ] [ ] ] ] ] 1-8 8 = 1 / > ly(10) HW: E[max |X,1) < ? H) W. For mean zero independent vanela mvariable 1-- Xn such flot |X; | \ b a.s.

preve similar result as stuberd Holetholmsing. Theorem: (Benstiein's Inequality) Let X, to be a random variable on (R,F,P) such that IX-GXIK b a.s. and Ver (x) (R, then  $P(X-E\times E) \leq 2exp(\frac{-E^2}{2(\sigma^2 + b)})$ Bandit algorith Lo Martin Wanwright, High dimonsional Statistice

Martingales: Let X1, - t--, be a sequence of random variable on (R,J, Ip), and F=(F) Martingales: (F; GF: )-a fitration. A F-adapted sequence of random variables (Xx) is a F-adopted montingale sequence it a) E[x{/of-1] = x+1 a.s. 4 6>1 b) Xt is integrable. Example: Gamblins in a fair Caino. ton have X, do dars, and you play a fair egually likely. Then What is your expection of your pocket at time 1.1. 5(X (J) = X

Considera stock market: you have Xt - Bondyon Connot predict future.

F(X+1/F) = X+

Theorem: (Maximal inequality). Let (Xx) he a martingal sequene on (x, F, P) +EN with x > o. a.s. for all f. Then

 $P\left(\sup_{t\in\mathcal{N}}\chi_{t}>\epsilon\right)\left(\frac{G\left(\chi_{0}\right)}{\epsilon}\right)$ 

Def: (Martingal difference)

A sequence of undom variable T, on (R, JP)

with F= (F:) a fiftyabion of J, is

a martingale difference it each Y is integrable and ELY, IF, ] = 0

Nobe: Ye X - X - X where X is F - adapted mertinesale is a martingale sequence.

Mearen. (Azuma inequality) Let (t) to be a martingule difference on (SL, J, P) adapted to (J) such that the version of E (exp (1 Y6+1 / F6) < exp (RX)

 $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{t=1}^{n}Y_{6}\right|\right) \leqslant 2\exp\left(\frac{-\xi^{2}}{2\ln R}\right)$ 

Theorem [Freedman inequality]. Let (t) be a montingule alithenence, such blad

1/4 ( 6 a.s. and lab Vn = Z Var ( / IF.)

then  $P(1 \ge 1) \ge \text{ and } V_n(v) < 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2}{2}\right)$   $= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ 

Proof of & Azuma inequality we first prove to one side of the inequality and then argue @ about the other side. statement dip  $\left(\frac{2}{2} \right) < \exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon^2}{2nR}\right)$ Using Markov inequality, for 2>0 we have 1P ( = Y6 ) = P( e = 16 ), etc) < E[e1 2, 16]

a.s. E[E[e x Yn = x-1 Yb | 5 n-1]]

as. E[ AE, Y6 E[e XYh[Fhi]] e 

 $\langle e^{\frac{nR\lambda^2}{2}-\lambda \epsilon}$ Frieling

A > 0 with smallest r.h.s.

Sinf MRXC - 1 E destruction

A>0 2 - 1 E = NR

A>0 2 - 1 E = NR

→ IP (EK) E) (e = 2NR