```
%#BIBTEX bibtex Si$_3$N$_4$
\documentclass[twocolumn,amsmath,amssymb,a4paper,prb,superscriptaddress,floatfix]{revtex4-1}
\usepackage[dvipdfmx]{graphicx}
\usepackage{natbib}
\usepackage{multirow}
\usepackage{amsmath}
\usepackage{bm}
\usepackage{mathrsfs}
\usepackage{url}
\usepackage{color}
\usepackage{ulem}
\begin{document}
\title{First-principles calculation of lattice thermal
conductivities of $\alpha$-, $\beta$-, and $\gamma$-Si$_3$N$_4$}
\author{Kazuyoshi Tatsumi} \email{k-tatsumi@imass.nagoya-u.ac.jp}
\affiliation{Institute of
Materials and Systems for Sustainability, Nagoya University, Chikusa,
Nagoya 464-8603, Japan}
\affiliation{Center for Elements Strategy
Initiative for Structural Materials, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto
606-8501, Japan}
\author{Atsushi Togo}
\affiliation{Center for Elements Strategy Initiative for Structural
Materials, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan }
\author{Isao Tanaka}
\affiliation{Center for Elements Strategy Initiative for Structural
Materials, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan}
\affiliation{Department of Materials Science and
Engineering, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan }
\affiliation{Nanostructures Research Laboratory, Japan Fine Ceramics
Center, Atsuta, Nagoya 456-8587, Japan}
```

\begin{abstract}

Lattice The lattice thermal conductivities of \$\alpha\$-, \$\beta\$- and \$\gamma\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$ phases are investigated from {\it ab-initio} anharmonic lattice dynamics, within the single-mode relaxation-time approximation of the linearized phonon Boltzmann transport equation. At 300 K, the lattice thermal conductivity of $\beta-x=-3$, and $\alpha_{z}=-2$ (in units of W m\$^{-1} \$K\$^{-1}\$), that which is consistent with the reported experimental values of 69 and 180, respectively. For $\alpha-5$ alpha-5 3 4, $\alpha-68$ and \kappa_{zz}=100\\$ are obtained. The difference of an isotropy between these phases is originated originates from their characteristic differences in their phonon band structures, which is closely related to the crystal structures. In \$\alpha\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$, acoustic-mode phonons below 6 THz are the main heat carriers.—I, while in \$\beta\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$, the phonon modes up to 12 THz contribute to the lattice thermal conductivity. In \$\gamma\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$, \$\kappa=77\$ is obtained. The distribution of phonon mode contributions to the lattice thermal conductivity with respect to phonon frequency is found to closely resembles that for \$\kappa \{xx\}\$ of \$\beta\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$, although the phonon lifetimes of for \$\gamma\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$ are twice as shorter-short asthan those of for \$\beta\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$. \end{abstract}

\maketitle

\section{Introduction}

Several nitride insulators are known to exhibit high thermal conductivities conductivity, which is and are-important for heat transfer materials at elevated temperatures. For example, Slack {\it et al.}\cite{slack} reported that wurtzite-type AlN has thermal conductivity that exceeding exceeds 100 W_m\$^{-1}_\$K\$^{-1}\$. Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$ has become another promising thermal conductive insulator because its thermal conductivity has been improved up to 177 W_m\$^{-1}_\$K\$^{-1}}\$ by using through the use of advanced ceramic technologies

related to the densification and microstructure control.\cite{zhou,hirao-rev,watari,hirosaki} Since tThe Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$ ceramics also exhibit high mechanical strength at elevated temperatures; therefore, they are regarded as ideal materials for the use in various applications, such as engine components, gas turbines, and heat sink substrates of power semiconductor devices.

```
At atmospheric pressure, Si$_3$N$_4$ has two phases, $\alpha$ and $\beta$, which
are generally considered as low- and high-temperature phases,
respectively.\cite{zhou,hirosaki-md,riley} Their crystal structures belong to
the space groups of P31c and P6$_3$/m space groups, respectively.\cite{yashima,boulay} These
structures have different manners of stacking manners of equivalent basal layer structures
composed of SiN$_4$ tetrahedra.\cite{hampshire} Im-Fig. \ref{fig:Fig1_cryst}
depicts these layer structures are depicted from the principal axis direction. They are
denoted as A, B, C, and D in the $\alpha$ phase, and A and B in the $\beta$
phase, respectively. The stacking manners are thus as ABCDABCD..., and ABAB...,
respectively. The $\alpha$ phase has additional two layer structures of C and
D, which are related to A and B by the $c$ glide operation.\cite{hampshire}
Along this direction, the lattice constant of the $\alpha$ phase is approximately
twice as longer long as than that of the
$\beta$ phase.
\begin{figure}[ht]
\begin{center}
 \includegraphics[width=0.90\linewidth]{Fig1_crystal_str2.eps} \caption{(color
 online) Crystal structures of $\alpha$- and $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$. Stacking of
 SiN$_4$ tetrahedron layers are shown in at the left. (a) ABCDABCD.... for
 $\alpha$-Si$_3$N$_4$. (b) ABAB.... for $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$. Space group
 diagrams\cite{inttableA}
                                  —for P31c
                                                   (\alpha -3N_{4})
                                                                                       P6$_3$/m
                                                                                and
($\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$)
 are shown in at the right.
 \label{fig:Fig1_cryst}
\end{center}
\end{figure}
The experimental thermal conductivities
\cite{zhou,hirao-rev,watari,hirosaki,hirai} of the Si$_3$N$_4$ polymorphs were
measured on the for bulk polycrystalline bulk samples. These values were significantly
affected by the lattice defects, impurities, shapes and orientations of the
constituent crystal grains;\cite{hirosaki-md} the intrinsic thermal conductivity
intrinsic to of defect-free Si$_3$N$_4$ has not been established. As an
```

experimental approach to determine this for it, Li {\it et al.}\cite{li} applied the high-resolution thermoreflectance microscopy on to single \$\beta\$-Si\$ 3\$N\$ 4\$

grains in a ceramic sample. Their analyzed thermal conductivity was analyzed as 69 and 180 W_m\$^{-1}_\$K\$^{-1}\$ along the \$a\$ and \$c\$ axes, respectively. These values respectively correspond to the \$xx\$ and \$zz\$ elements of the lattice thermal conductivity tensor, \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$. We consider the anisotropy of \$\kappa_{zz}\\kappa_{xx}\sim 3\$ is relatively large. Theoretically, Hirosaki {\it et al.}\cite{hirosaki-md} theoretically estimated the \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ by applying application of

the Green-Kubo formulation to the molecular dynamics (MD) method with the interatomic potentials proposed by Vashishta {\it et al.}\cite{vashishta}.

They calculated xx and xx and xx of $\ \ xx$ of $\ xx$ of $\ xx$ as 170 and 225 W_m\$^{-1}_\$K\$^{-1}\$, and those of $\ xx$ as 170 and 450 W_m\$^{-1}_\$K\$^{-1}\$, respectively. The ratio $\ xx$ in $\ xx$ in $\ xx$ agreed well with the experimental ratio; the $\ xx$ and $\ xx$ and $\ xx$ agreed well with the experimental ratio; the $\ xx$ and $\ xx$ and $\ xx$ more than two times that of the experimental $\ xx$ boldsymbol{ $\ xx$ more than two times that of the experimental $\ xx$ twice.

Based on a-first_-principles calculations and the Boltzmann transport theory\cite{phono3py}, Togo {\it{et al.}} recently calculated \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ of for many polymorphs of the zincblende- and wurtzite-type structures. Their crystal structures have stacking manners of the densest atom planes as ABCABC..... and ABAB....., respectively. The different stacking manners merely altered the \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$, as well as the phonon linewidths and the phonon density of states (DOS).\cite{phono3py}

On the other hand, the previous MD results presented indicated that the different stacking manners between the \$\alpha\$ and \$\beta\$ phases altered the \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$\$ largelysignificantly. This has not been explained through-with respect to their phonon properties. It Therefore, it is

of interesting to investigate this based on the first_principles anharmonic phonon calculation.

In addition to the \$\alpha\$ and \$\beta\$ phases, a cubic spinel phase (\$\gamma\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$) is known to form upon compression and ${\dot \pi}_{in-situ}$ heating.\cite{zerr,zhang} The reported transition pressures are scattered from 10 to 36 GPa_ depending on the experimental conditions.\cite{xu} The \$\gamma\$ phase is experimentally quenched to atmospheric pressure and room temperature.

Its The thermal conductivity of the \$\gamma\$ phase has not been experimentally reported; although it has been estimated by the Slack model.\cite{morelli}

The present study aims to qualitatively <u>understand elucidate</u> the lattice thermal conductivity tensors among the three Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$ phases by <u>means of thea</u> first principles approach. We calculate <u>the </u>\$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ of the \$\gamma\$ phase as well, for systematic understanding. After the methodology section, we examine the validity of the present results <u>first</u>. <u>Our The</u> calculated thermal properties are <u>then</u> compared with the available experimental and theoretical references. Then we investigate the characteristic behaviors of the \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$\$ are then investigated in detail on the basis of the phonon band structures and phonon linewidths.

\section{Computational procedures}

\subsection{Lattice thermal conductivity calculation}

The lattice thermal conductivities were calculated by solving the linearized Boltzmann transport equation (LBTE) within the single-mode relaxation time approximation (single-mode RTA). The harmonic phonon states and lattice thermal conductivities were

calculated with the phonopy\cite{phonopy} and phono3py\cite{phono3py} software packages, respectively.

We also tried attempted the

direct-solution of LBTE\cite{chaput-direct} and leave give its the calculated \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ values in the following section. The differences between the \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ calculated by the single-mode RTA and that by the direct solution were was found to be minor for our discussion. Therefore, we limited our this research was limited

to use the single-mode RTA to take advantage of its intuitively closed form of \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$.

In the following sections, we denote a-the phonon mode by $\alpha=(\mathbf{q},p)$ with the set of the phonon wave vector \mathbf{q} and band index p and $-\lambda \cdot \mathbf{q}$. The relaxation time due to phonon-phonon

```
scattering was obtained as half the reciprocal of linewidth,
\lambda_{\alpha_{\alpha}} = {\lambda_{\alpha}}^{-1} , where the linewidth that
we was employed in this study is as follows:
\begin{align}
  \label{eq:linewidth}
   &\Gamma_\lambda = \frac{18\pi^2}{\hbar^2}
     \sum_{\lambda' \lambda"}
     \label{lembda} $$\left| \Phi_{-\lambda}\right|^2 \times \|\partial_{\lambda}\|^2 \times \|\partial_{\lambda}\|
   \left(n_{\lambda'} + n_{\lambda'} +
         \delta(\omega_\lambda-\omega_{\lambda'}-\omega_{\lambda''}) \right.
         + \nonumber \\
   \(n_{\lambda'}\) - n_{\lambda'} - n_{\lambda'}
     \left(\frac{\alpha_{\alpha}\lambda + \beta_{\alpha'}-\beta_{\alpha'}-\beta_{\alpha'}}{\alpha'}-\beta_{\alpha'}\right)
  \right.
  \left. -\left. \delta(\omega_\lambda - \omega_{\lambda'}+\omega_{\lambda''})
  \left\langle right\right\rangle .
\end{align}
Here, $\omega_\lambda$ is the harmonic phonon frequency of the phonon mode
\alpha\, n_\lambda = [\exp(\hbar\omega_\lambda \mathrm{k_B}T)-1]^{-1}\ is
the Bose-Einstein distribution at temperature $T$, and
$\Phi_{\lambda\lambda"\}$ denotes the three-phonon-scattering strength.
$\Phi_{\lambda\lambda"\}$ was obtained by the usual coordinate
transformation of third-order force constants from direct space to phonon
space.\cite{phono3py} The second- and third-order real-space force constants
were obtained from the by {\it ab_initio} calculation, whose of which the details are written given in
the
next section.
In order tTo more realistically compare the-calculated \boldsymbol \kappa \$ with the
measured thermal conductivities, the isotopic scattering effect due to the natural isotope
distribution was taken into account, according to the second-order perturbation
theory.\cite{tamura} Using the relaxation times of the for phonon-phonon scattering
and isotopic scattering, $\tau {\lambda,\text{ph-ph}}$ and
$\tau_{\lambda,\text{iso}}}$, respectively, the total relaxation time for a phonon mode,
$\tau {\lambda}$, was calculated by assuming Matthiessen's rule,
1/tau_{\lambda} = 1/tau_{\lambda}, text{ph-ph} +
```

```
1/tau_{\lambda,\text{text}}
```

```
The experimental thermal conductivities in the Si$_3$N$_4$ system were
measured on the for polycrystalline samples and not measured from any single
crystals. The conductivities measured at in a polycrystalline area were affected
by various lattice defects within #that area, such as grain boundaries, impurities, and
vacancies. We crudely took them into account by athe relaxation time
\lambda_{\c}
scattering model, where \mathbf \varphi_{\alpha} = \nabla_{\mathbf{q}}\
is the group velocity and $L$ is a parameter related regarding to the boundary mean free
path. We consider \tau_{\star} as a variable parameter and partly
include it to in the calculated $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$, according to Matthiessen's rule.
The closed form of $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ within the RTA was obtained via
\begin{align}
    \label{eq:kappa}
    \boldsymbol{\kappa}(T) = \frac{1}{N_{mathbf}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\kappa} \
    \lambda(T) \rightarrow \lambda(T) \cdot 
\end{align}
where N_{\mathrm{p}} is the number of
$\mathbf{q}$-points, $\Omega$ is the unit cell volume, and $c_\lambda$
is the mode heat capacity. To analyze $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ in detail, we calculate
the cumulative thermal conductivity:
\begin{align}
    \label{eq:cum-kappa}
     \boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\c} = \frac{1}{N_{mathbf}q}\Omega
    \int_0^\omega \sum_\lambda
    tau_\lambda(T) \cdot tau_\lambda(T) 
    c_\lambda(T) \cdot (\sigma(T) \cdot \sigma(T)) delta(\sigma(T) \cdot \sigma(T)) delta(\sigma
\end{align}
and its derivative $\frac{\partial}
\boldsymbol{\kappa}^\text{c}(\omega)}{\partial \omega}$, were calculated to see_determine the
phonon mode
contributions to $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$.
\subsection{Computational details}
```

The force constants required for the lattice dynamics were calculated using the first-principles projector augmented wave method\cite{paw} (VASP code\cite{vasp-1996,vasp-1995, vasp-1999}). The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) parameterized by Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof\cite{pbe} was used for the exchange correlation potential. A plane wave energy cutoff of 500 eV was employed. The crystal structures were optimized for 0 K and 0 GPa until the residual forces acting on the constituent atoms were less than \$10^{-6}\$ eVA AA\$^{-1}. -Here, the temperature and pressure were considered only for the electronic system, and the zero point lattice vibration was not considered. The calculated lattice parameters were \$a=7.808\$ \AA- and \$c=5.659\$ \AA- for the α phase, a=7.660 \AA- and c=2.925 \AA- for the \beta phase, and \$a=7.787\$\AA- for the \$\gamma\$ phase, which are in agreement with the experimental data\cite{yashima,boulay,paszkowicz} within +0.7\\% errors. The lattice volume optimized with the local density approximation (LDA)\cite{lda} for the exchange correlation potential was, for \$\beta\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$, 3\\% smaller than the volume optimized with GGA, which is a typical volume contraction of LDA. \$\kappa_{xx}}\$ and \$\kappa_{zz}}\$ calculated with LDA were larger by 0.3 and 2.6 \% than those calculated with GGA. For our discussion, these differences are enough sufficiently small, therefore, the impact of the choice of exchange correlation potential is considered to be minor in our this study.

```
\begin{table}[ht]
\caption{\label{table:LTC} Calculated lattice thermal conductivities
of $\alpha$-, $\beta$-, and $\gamma$-Si$_3$N$_4$

(WK$^{-1}$m$^{-1}$) at 300 K with respect to several combinations of
supercell sizes.}
\begin{ruledtabular}
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\multirow{2}{*}{Phase}
& \multicolumn{2}{c}{Supercell (\# of atoms)} &
\multicolumn{2}{c}{LTC} \\
\cline{2-5}
& $3^\text{rd}$ force constants & $2^\text{nd}$ force constants & $xx$ & $zz$ \\
\hline
\multirow{6}{*}{$}{$\alpha$}}
```

```
& $1\times 1\times 1$ (28) & $1\times
```

1\times 1\$ (28) & 37 & 57 \\

& \$1\times 1\times 2\$ (56) & \$1\times

1\times 2\$ (56) & 41 & 79 \\

& \$1\times 1\times 1\$ (28) & \$2\times

2\times 2\\$ (224) & 55 & 81 \\

& \$1\times 1\times 2\$ (56) & \$2\times

2\times 2\$ (224) & 67 & 95 \\

& \$1\times 1\times 2\$ (56) & \$2\times

2\times 3\$ (336) & 68 & 97 \\

& \$1\times 1\times 2\$ (56) & \$3\times

3\times 4\$ (1008) & 68 & 100 \\

\hline

 $\mbox{multirow}{5}{*}{\mbox{\setminusbeta$}}$

& \$1\times 1\times 2\$ (28) & \$1\times

1\times 2\$ (28) & 44 & 173 \\

& \$1\times 1\times 2\$ (28) & \$2\times

2\times 4\$ (224) & 76 & 208 \\

& \$1\times 1\times 3\$ (42) & \$2\times

2\times 4\$ (224) & 71 & 194 \\

& \$1\times 1\times 3\$ (42) & \$2\times

2\times 5\$ (280) & 72 & 196 \\

& \$1\times 1\times 3\$ (42) & \$3\times

3\times 8\$ (1008) & 73 & 199 \\

\hline

 $\mbox{multirow}{3}{*}{\slashed{shape}}$

& \$1\times 1\times 1\$ (56) & \$1\times

 1×1 (56) & \multicolumn{2}{c}{72} \\

& \$1\times 1\times 1\$ (56) & \$2\times

 2×2 (448) & \multicolumn{2}{c}{77} \\

& \$1\times 1\times 1\$ (56) & \$3\times

 3×3 (56) & \multicolumn{2}{c}{79} \\

\end{tabular}

\end{ruledtabular}

\end{table}

The force constants were calculated by the finite difference approach\cite{wei-supercell}. For this calculation, we adopted the following supercells were adopted: \$1\times 1\times2\$, \$1\times 1\times3\$, and \$1\times 1\times1\$ supercells of the conventional unit cells for the calculations of the third-order force constants of \$\alpha\$, \$\beta\$, and \$\gamma\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$, respectively, and \$3\times 3\times4\$, \$3\times 3\times8\$ and \$2\times 2\times 2\$ for those of the second-order force constants. The length of the induced atomic displacements was set to 0.03 \AA. Table \ref{table:LTC} shows the \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ calculated with several different sets of the supercells, which indicating indicates that our the calculated \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ is has reasonably reasonable converging convergence with respect to the size of the supercells.

Uniform $\frac{k}{k}$ -point sampling meshes of \$4\times 2\$, \$4\times 4\times 3\$, and \$3\times 3\times 2\times 2\t

Non-analytical term correction\cite{wang} was applied to the second-order force constants to take into account the long range Coulomb coulombic forces present in ionic crystals. For the correction, static dielectric constants and Born effective charges were calculated by using the density functional perturbation theory, as implemented in the VASP code\cite{vasp-lepsiron,lepsiron}.

We examined the The effect of lattice thermal expansion on \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ was examined. For this, weby ealculated the calculation of \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ for several finite temperatures with the crystal structures optimized for the corresponding temperatures within the quasi-harmonic approximation

(QHA)\cite{dove-p76}. These \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ were different from those calculated for the same temperatures with the structure optimized for 0 K. We consider these differences as the effect of lattice thermal expansion. We found the Similar differences in \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ differences—for \$T\$=300,

600, 900, 1200, and, 1500 K within 1\%, similarly, were determined in the case of Si and Ge\cite{ward-ltc}. For the present study, these differences are negligible and for finite temperatures we adopted the \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ calculated with the structure optimized for 0 K_was adopted.

In addition, we calculated tThe volumetric thermal expansion coefficients were also calculated. Their eComparison with the experimental coefficients is useful to validate the present thermal conductivity calculation, because the thermal expansion is originated originates from both the anharmonicity of the interatomic potential as well as and \$\both \text{he anharmonicity of the interatomic potential as well as and \$\both \text{heta}\$ phases were 4.31\$\times 10^{-6}\$ and 4.19\$\times 10^{-6}\$ K\$^{-1}\$ for 300 K, while the experimental values\cite{minikayev-alpha} are were 3.75\$\times 10^{-6}\$ and 3.55\$\times 10^{-6}\$ K\$^{-1}\$. The calculation reproduced the experimental tendency that the \$\alpha\$ phase has a slightly larger thermal expansion coefficient than the \$\both \text{beta}\$ phase. This supports that the validity of the present calculation enables us to qualitatively compare the calculated \$\both \text{boldsymbol}\$ among the Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$ phases.

In order tTo compare the microscopic phonon properties among the three phases at under the same conditions, those the results calculated at 0 GPa are shown and discussed. For the \$\gamma\$ phase, this means that we assume the condition of a virtually quenched \$\gamma\$ phase at 0 GPa from the high pressure. To examine the analytical continuity of the properties with respect to pressures, we ealculated \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ of the \$\gamma\$ phase was calculated at 10, 20, and 40 GPa, as shown in Fig.~\ref{fig:S1}. The phenomenological behaviour behavior of the linear dependence of \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ with respect to the pressure was reproduced, as similar to that in Ref.~\onlinecite{andersson-pressure}. The slope was 2.89

W_m\$^{-1}_\$K\$^{-1}_\$GPa\$^{-1}\$ for the \$\gamma\$ phase. By From this dependence, we consider that the microscopic values are also varied smoothly with the pressure

and those at 0 GPa are valuable to be for compared comparison with the corresponding values of the \$\alpha\$ and \$\beta\$ phases.

\subsection{Direct solution of LBTE}

complicated investigation.

The merit toadvantage of employing the single-mode RTA for thermal conductivity calculations is the closed form, by which we can intuitively understand the qualitative character of \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ can be intuitively understood in terms of the phonon-mode specific properties. The microscopic understanding of the full solution of LBTE is still under the development,\cite{cepellotti-relaxons} and the microscopic picture

It is known that the sSingle-mode RTA solutions of LBTE often underestimates the full solution.\cite{mukhopadhyay-ltc,ward-ltc} To check the this underestimation, we calculated \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ of for the \$\alpha\$ and \$\beta\$ phases were calculated by a the direct

based on collective phonons\cite{hardy-collective} will require more

solution of LBTE\cite{chaput-direct}, which is one of the methods of LBTE full solutions. Their-\$\kappa_{xx}\$ and \$\kappa_{zz}\$ without the isotope effect were 69 and 102 W_m\$^{-1}_\$K\$^{-1}\$ for the \$\alpha\$ phase, and 76 and 238 W_m\$^{-1}_\$K\$^{-1}\$ for the \$\beta\$ phase, respectively, while the corresponding single-mode RTA values were 70 and 102 W_m\$^{-1}_\$K\$^{-1}\$ for the \$\alpha\$ phase, and 76 and 210 W_m\$^{-1}_\$K\$^{-1}\$ for the \$\beta\$ phase. The_\$\kappa_{zz}\$ for the \$\beta\$ phase of from the

direct solution in the \$\beta\$ phase was 13\% larger than that of the single-mode RTA solution. Since tThe differences in \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ between the LBTE solutions are not significant; therefore, we expect that the physics on of those these lattice thermal conductivities is can be well understood within the single-mode RTA in at the current level of our interest. Therefore, we discuss the lattice thermal conductivities calculated by the single-mode RTA solution.

\section{Results and discussion}

\subsection{Lattice thermal conductivities}

```
\begin{table}[ht]
 \caption{\label{table:LTC-exp} Calculated thermal conductivities of
 \alpha -Si_3N_4\ (trigonal), \beta-Si_3N_4\ (trigonal), and
 $\gamma$-Si$_3$N$_4$ (cubic) at 300
 K in units of W m^{-1} KK^{-1}, compared with the experimental and theoretical reference data.
Theoretical bulk moduli $B$ (in
 units of GPa, calculated by the authors by using the present band
 method, are presented in the fourth column.}
\begin{ruledtabular}
 \begin{tabular}{ccccccc}
     & \mbox{\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{}\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{
     & \multicolumn{2}{c}{Ref. Expt.} \\
    \cline{2-9}
     & \x \x \ & \x \
$\kappa_{xx}$ & $\kappa_{zz}$ \\
     \hline
     $\alpha$-Si$_3$N$_4$ & 68 & 100 & 224 & 70\footnotemark[1] & 105\footnotemark[2] &
225\footnotemark[2] & - & - \\
     $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$ & 73 & 199 & 237 & 250\footnotemark[1] & 170\footnotemark[2] &
450\footnotemark[2] & 69\footnotemark[3] & 180\footnotemark[3] \\
     $\gamma$-Si$_3$N$_4$ & 77 & - & 296 & 80\footnotemark[1] & - & - & - & -
     \footnotetext[1]{Ref.~\onlinecite{morelli}, Slack model.}
    \footnotetext[2]{Ref.~\onlinecite{hirosaki-md}, molecular dynamics (Green-Kubo).}
    \footnotetext[3]{Ref.~\onlinecite{li}, single crystalline grains of poly-crystals.}
   \end{tabular}
 \end{ruledtabular}
\end{table}
Table \ref{table:LTC-exp} shows the calculated
anisotropic \boldsymbol{\h \h}\ than \alpha-Si_3N_4\ . The directional
averages \sum_{i=0}^{4} \frac{1}{3}, are 79, 115, and 77 W m\^{-1} K^{-1} for the
$\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ phases, respectively. -The value of for the
$\gamma$ phase is similar to that of for the $\alpha$ phase, in despite of the
comparatively large difference among the bulk moduli ($B$) that are also shown
```

in Table \ref{table:LTC-exp}.

Table \ref{table:LTC-exp} also lists the previously reported experimental\cite{li} and theoretical\cite{hirosaki-md} \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ for the references.- The theoretical results\cite{morelli} of the Slack model, which do not include the anisotropy in \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$, are shown as \$\kappa\$ in Table \ref{table:LTC-exp}.- For the \$\beta\$ phase, compared Compared to the \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ of the from molecular dynamics MD\cite{hirosaki-md}, our \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ for the \$\beta\$ phase has agrees better agreement with the experimental \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$.

Also, eCompared to the \\kappa\ of from the Slack model, our directional average \\sum_i \kappa_{ii}/3\ is also much closer to the experimental average.

Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig1_338} shows the theoretical \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ of for the \$\alpha\$ and \$\beta\$ phases as a function of \$T\$, together with the reference experimental data\cite{hirosaki,hirai}. The experimental thermal conductivities for a series of temperatures were measured on polycrystalline areas by the laser flash method. These thermal conductivities (denoted as \$\kappa_\mathrm{polycrystal}\$) cannot be directly compared with the calculated intrinsic \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$, because they are largely depended dependent on the microstructure of the samples: T; they were deviated from the simple directional averages of the intrinsic \$\kappa_{ii}\$, depending on the shapes of the crystal grains.- We treated this effect by using a the parameter \$0\le{w}\le{1}\$ and fitting the quantity \$w\kappa_{xx} + (1-w) \kappa_{zz}\$ to the experimental \$\kappa_\mathrm{polycrystal}\$ by the least squares method. We regard it as theoretical \$\kappa_\mathrm{polycrystal}\$.

\begin{figure}[ht]
\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=0.90\linewidth]{Fig1_m1010.eps} \caption{(color online) Temperature dDependences of thermal conductivities conductivity on the temperature for \$\alpha\$- and \$\beta\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$. For \$\beta\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$, theoretical conductivities with the boundary scattering effect are shown by broken lines. Theoretical \$\kappa_\mathrm{polycrystal}\$

(see in-text) for the \$\beta\$-Si\$ 3\$N\$ 4\$ sample are

```
also shown to be compared for comparison with the experimental conductivities.
   \label{fig:Fig1_338}
 \end{center}
\end{figure} Fig. edit: Capitalize only first letter of first word in axis captions (e.g., Lattice
thermal conductivity). Space units correctly (W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>). Change "theo." to "Theor.".]
In Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig1_338}, the \kappa_{ii}\$ calculated without
\alpha_{\star} \simeq \frac{\lambda_{\star}}{2}  are \frac{\lambda_{\star}}{2} \simeq \frac
n_\lambda \ in Eq.~(\ref{eq:linewidth}) can be reduced to
\frac{h}{R}T}{\hbar\omega_\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}\. In Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig1_338}-(a), the
experimental $\kappa_\mathrm{polycrystal}$ of a chemically vapor-deposited
$\alpha$-Si$_3$N$_4$ sample\cite{hirai} is not proportional to $T^{-1}$ and
intersects the theoretical $\kappa_{ii}$. -Thus, $w$ does not adjust the
theoretical $\kappa_\rm{polycrystal}$ to the experimental
$\kappa_\rm{polycrystal}$. -The full solution of LBTE
would negligibly cure the disagreement. -Including the simple phonon lifetime of
boundary scattering, \alpha, \text{bs}=L/|\mathcal{v}_{a}|, into the
total phonon lifetime could not explain the
discrepancy as welleither. A $L$ value of 0.6 $\mu\text{m}$$, which was much smaller
than the experimental grain size\cite{hirai} of 10 $\mu\text{m}$$, decreased the
theoretical \kappa\$\{ii\}\ ii \text{ in at the low temperature side toward the experimental
values; however, but the $\kappa$$_{ii}$ in at the high temperature side continued to be
severely significantly
smaller than the experimental values. At present, the reason for the discrepancy
between the theoretical and experimental behaviors is unclear. -Although the
crystal structure of the experimental sample was characterized as
$\alpha$-Si$_3$N$_4$, significant lattice defects existed were present in the sample, as
pointed out by Hirosaki {\it et al.}\cite{hirosaki-md}, and so that the simple phonon
boundary scattering model may fail to describe their effects on the
$\kappa_\mathrm{polycrystal}$.
For the $\beta$ phase, the experimental $\kappa_\mathrm{polycrystal}$ is located
in-between the theoretical $\kappa$$ {xx}$ and-$\kappa$$ {zz}$ curves, being nearly almost
proportional to $T^{-1}$. Simple directional averages of the theoretical
$\kappa {ii}$ slightly underestimate these experimental values.- This is
understood from the fact that control of the microstructure was controlled to increase the
```

\$\kappa_\mathrm{polycrystal}\$, and the crystalline grains were selectively grown along the \$c\$ axis of the most conductive direction.\cite{hirosaki} The theoretical \$\kappa_\mathrm{polycrystal}\$ was fit well with \$w=0.44\$ -to the experimental data. -For the effects of lattice defects, most of which were grain boundaries, we included \$\tau_{\lambda \to 0.6} \$\mu\text{m}\$ to further fit the theoretical curve (\$w=0.33\$) to the experimental data. - The \$L\$ value is slightly smaller than the average grain size\cite{hirosaki} of 2 \$\mu\text{m}\$\$ in the experiment; therefore, the discrepancy can presumably be explained by the presence of other existing lattice defects than by the grain boundaries.

\subsection{Dispersion curves}

Figure-Fig. \ref{fig:Fig4_ver5_338} shows the-phonon band diagrams of for the three Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$ phases. The branches are classified according to their symmetry group, s_by using different colors and line styles. The full solid and broken dashed lines are used for to represent degenerate and non-degenerate modes, respectively. The entireAll of the band diagrams are almost identical to those reported earlier\cite{kuwabara,xu} and thus are not shown.- Here we investigate the frequency gradients, the group velocities projected on the paths. We especially, with particular focus on their anisotropy in the \$\alpha\$ and \$\beta\$ phases. This was not investigated by in the previous works.

Because <u>t</u>The \$\alpha\$ phase unit cell contains two times more basal layer structures than the \$\beta\$ phase unit cell; <u>therefore</u>, the edge of the \$\alpha\$ phase Brillouin zone in <u>the</u> stacking direction A is half as far as that of the \$\beta\$ phase. The number of phonon branches in the \$\alpha\$ phase are twice <u>more than</u>

that in the \$\beta\$ phase. In general, pPhonon branches being that are adjacent in frequency and belonging to the same symmetry group generally show a band gap, and an anticrossing occurs when they are close to each other. If we regard the \$\alpha\$ phase lattice as a superlattice of the \$\beta\$ phase lattice, then the phonon branches of the $\alpha\$ phase in Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig4_ver5_338}-\(a) are produced by folding the phonon branches of the \$\beta\$ phase at the perpendicular bisector plane of \$\Gamma\$A. Taking for example the folding of the acoustic phonon branch, in Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig4_ver5_338}-\(a), an upper branch that belonging belongs to the same symmetry group is located very close in frequency, which inevitably entails an anticrossing and a band gap between them. This explains why the folded branch, which is degenerate at A with the acoustic branch due to the non-symmorphic symmetry, can not increase its frequency as it goes back on \$\Gamma\$A in Fig. $\sqrt{\text{ref}}\{\text{fig:Fig4_ver5_338}\}$ (a).- The band gap and anticrossings creations are reported in the theoretical study on the lattice thermal conductivities of GaAs/AlAs superlattices.\cite{GaAs/AlAs} It is interesting that these effects occur, in the present system, due to the stacking manners of the unit structures composed of the same elements.

As a result, in Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig4_ver5_338}-\(\)(a), the acoustic phonon branches increase their frequencies similarly between these paths. In contrast, the corresponding frequencies in Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig4_ver5_338}-\(\)(b) increase much more from \$\Gamma\$ to A than from \$\Gamma\$ to K. -The anisotropic frequency increments indicate the an anisotropic \$\mathbf{v}_\lambda\$. Compared with the \$\alpha\$ and \$\gamma\$ phases, the \$\beta\$ phase shows significantly steep slopes for the low frequency optical phonon branches on \$\Gamma\$A. This, which indicates that \$\rm{v}_{\lambda}\$ of these phonon modes are large. The anisotropic \$\mathbf{v}_\lambda\$ of the acoustic and low_-frequency optical phonons will be investigated further in the following sections.

In the \$\gamma\$ phase, the longitudinal acoustic branches keep maintain linear dispersion at higher frequencies than in the other phases. -The gradients of \$\omega \lambda\$ for the \$\gamma\$ phase are the largest among the three phases, as expected by the largest \$B\$. Its this your intended meaning?

\subsection{\$\omega_\lambda\$ contour map on the reciprocal plane}

```
\begin{figure}[ht]
\centerins
 \includegraphics[width=\linewidth]{Fig2_small.eps} \caption{(color
 online) Contour maps of phonon frequency (THz) on the $b^*c^*$
 planes of Brillouin -zones. The coordinates in the reciprocal plane
 are in units of 10^{-2} \AA$^{-1}$. The maps for the four lowest_frequency
 phonon modes are shown. The frequency landscapes are formed by simply
 connecting the frequencies of the same band indices, assigned byin
 ascending order of frequency at the respective $\mathbf {q}$
 points. \label{fig:Fig3_338} }
\centering
\end{figure} [Fig. edit: Change "band" to "Band"
We investigate the anisotropy in the \mathrm{he}\ and v_{\mathrm{lambda}}\ of the \alpha and
$\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$ by phases using another geometry, that is i.e., a cross-section of the
Brillouin-zone. -Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig3_338} shows contour maps of
lowest-frequency bands, because they contribute significantly to the
$\boldsymbol{\kappa}$, which will be confirmed in the next section. There were
negligible differences between the distributions on the $b^*c^*$ plane and the
other planes containing the $c^*$ axis.- Thus-Therefore, we select the $b^*c^*$ plane was selected
representative plane. - In the $\alpha$ phase, the $\omega_{\lambda}$ distributions and
thus \mathbf{v}_{\lambda} are \mathbf{v}_{\lambda} are \mathbf{v}_{\lambda} isotropic. In the \mathbf{v}_{\lambda} phase, the
contours are rather parallel to the $b^*$ axis, and thus the
\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}\ tends to orient toward the c^*\ axis direction. This
confirms the large anisotropy of the \mathrm{s}\
for of the acoustic and low-frequency optical phonon branches for the $\beta$ phase.
\subsection{Frequency_distributions of phonon properties}
\begin{figure*}[ht]
\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=0.9\linewidth]{figure_dos_jdos_kc_m1010_dos_wo_arrows_bw.eps}
```

```
\caption{(color online) Microscopic phonon properties of three Si$_3$N$_4$ phases. (a) Cumulative thermal conductivity $\boldsymbol{\kappa}^\text{c}$ and its frequency derivative

(a), (b) DOS as $g(\omega)$ (b), (c) DOS weighted with $\mathbf{v}_\lambda \otimes \mathbf{v}_\lambda$ as $\boldsymbol{h}(\omega)$ (e), and (d) scatter plots of linewidths and phonon frequencies, $(\Gamma_\lambda,\omega_\lambda)$, (d). \label{fig:Fig5_338_rev} } \end{center} \end{figure*} \text{Fig. edit: Space units correctly (W m-1 K-1, etc.).}}

We have investigated in In the previous two sections, we have investigated the anisotropy in the $\mathbf{v}_\lambda$, which may explain the anisotropy in the $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$$. Here we examine which phonon frequencies and which terms in the RTA closed form characterize the behaviors of the present
```

capacity <u>since because</u> it is approximately constant for 300 K.

<u>For simplicity</u>, <u>T</u>the effects of <u>the isotope scattering</u> and boundary scattering are not considered <u>for simplicity</u>.

\$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$. In the following, we disregard the term of mode heat

For the investigation, the cumulative thermal conductivity, \$\boldsymbol{k}^c(\omega)\$ in Eq.(\ref{eq:cum-kappa}), as well as and its derivative, \$d\boldsymbol{\kappa}^c/d\omega\$, are shown at the top of Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig5_338_rev}.- From this figure, we clearly find it is evident that, in the \$\alpha\$, \$\beta\$, and \$\gamma\$ phases, the phonon modes with their frequencies up to \$\sim\$ca. 6, \$\sim\$12 and \$\sim\$10 THz largely contribute to the each respective \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$. The frequencies shown in the contour maps in Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig3_338} are within these frequency ranges, and thus we it is confirmed that those these bands make a significantly contribute contribution to the \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$.

```
Assuming that \hat \alpha = \ and \hat \alpha = \ are constant, then \ d\kappa_{ii}^c/d\omega (\$ii\$=\$xx,zz\$) are proportional to the phonon density of states (DOS): \begin{align} \label{eq:dos} g(\omega) = \frac{1}{N_\infty}{\phi} \
```

```
\delta(\omega_{\alpha}).
\end{align}
In this context, we view $g(\omega)$ as frequency distributions of heat
carrier density.- Alternatively, assuming that only $\tau_\lambda$ is constant,
then $d\boldsymbol{\kappa}^c/d\omega$ is proportional to:
\begin{align}
\label{eq:wdos}
\boldsymbol{h}(\omega) = \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{mathbf}}}
\sum_\lambda
\mbox{mathbf}\{v\}_{\adjustration} \operatorname{lambda} \operatorname{lambda} \
\delta(\omega_{\alpha}),
\end{align}
from which we examine the impacts of both of the \mathbf{v}_\lambda\ and the heat carrier
density. -\$g(\omega)\$ and \$\boldsymbol{h}(\omega)\$
are shown in
Figs.~\operatorname{fig:Fig5\_338\_rev}}(b) and (c).- As for the frequency
variation of $\tau_{\lambda,ph-ph}$, the phonon linewidths are shown as scatter
plots of (\Gamma_\alpha) in Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig5_338_rev}{(d).
```

Comparing Comparison of between the \$\alpha\$ and \$\beta\$ phases, indicates their linewidth distributions are qualitatively similar, except for a striking difference below \$\sim\sca._5 THz, which will be examined later. The markedly different \$d\kappa_{ii}^c/d\omega\$ between the two phases are therefore ascribed to the corresponding h_{ii} . Moreover, because tThe overall spectral shapes of $g(\omega)$ are also similar between the two phases, the refore, $\$ mathbf{v}_\lambda\$ alone accounts for the different behaviors of the \$d\kappa_{ii}^c/d\omega\$. Thus It is thus we concluded that the different anisotropy in \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ iscan be qualitatively explained by the different \$\mathbf{v}_\lambda\$, due to the folding effects of the band gaps and anticrossings. In contrast to this, in the case of for the zincblende and wurtzite structures, the group velocities are suggested to be similar from their band structures\cite{phono3py}, because the anticrossings are not created by the folding, as the optical branches are located at much higher frequencies than in the present system. This must result in the similar \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ between these structures, irrespective of the stacking manners.

```
The $\gamma$ phase has much different -$g(\omega)$, $\boldsymbol{h}(\omega)$, and, $\Gamma_\lambda$ from the other <u>phases</u>, as expected from the large differences in their crystal structures. The most significant difference is in <u>its-the</u> phonon linewidths. Below $\sim\sca_10\text{ The}, they the phonon linewidths are approximately twice as larger large asthan those of the other phases. We will examine this in_details later. -As a result, the $d\kappa_{xx}^c/d\omega$ shows has relatively low intensities. Since tThe longitudinal acoustic phonon branch increases its frequencies much significantly, as we have examined in the band diagram; therefore, $d\kappa_{xx}^c/d\omega$ rather gradually attenuates as the frequency increases, occasionally resembling to $d\kappa_{xx}^c/d\omega$ of the $\beta$ phase.

It is left curious that tThe similar linewidths are similar between the $\alpha$ and
```

```
$\beta$ phases remains a curiosity, although their group velocities show have marked differences
<del>between</del>
them.- In a Analogyous to the report by Lindsay {\it et al.}\cite{Lindsay}, we can say that
$\Gamma_\lambda$ in the present form is depended on the phase space for the
available two phonons, $\{\lambda', \lambda''\}$, and is also depended on
$|\Phi_{\lambda\lambda"}|^2$. We examine these terms one-by-one. A
distribution of two-phonon configurations is represented as a joint density of
states DOS (JDOS),
{D_2(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q})}
\begin{align}
     \label{eq:jdos}
      &D_2(\mathbf{q},\omega) = D_2^{(1)}(\mathbf{q},\omega) + D_2^{(2)}(\mathbf{q},\omega),
\end{align}
where
\begin{eqnarray*}
                                                                                                 D_2^{(1)}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    &
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           &
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{mathbf}}}
\sum_{\alpha'} \Delta'' = \sum_{\alpha''} \Delta'' + \sum_{\alpha''} \Delta'' = \sum
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            \times
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            &
[\delta(\omega + omega_{\lambda'} - omega_{\lambda'}) + \delta(\omega - omeg
\omega_{\lambda}(\lambda_{\lambda}),\
                                                                                                 D_2^{(2)}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    &
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{mathbf}}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           &
\sum_{\alpha'} \Delta'' = \sum_{\alpha''} \Delta'' + \sum
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               \times
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            &
```

```
\label{lambda'} $$ \left( \operatorname{s} - \operatorname{s}_{x} \right) = 1 \text{ if }\operatorname{s}_{x} $$ is a reciprocal lattice vector, and otherwise zero. $$Z$$ is the number of formula units in the primitive unit cell and is included as a scaling factor to compare JDOS for the structures with different $$Z$.- The equation of the linewidth in Eq.~(\left| \operatorname{eq:linewidth} \right|) contains terms of $(n_{\lambda}^+n_{\lambda}^+)^+ and $(n_{\lambda}^-)^- {\lambda}^+ should employ weighted JDOS with these terms.- We firstly employ the JDOS in Eq.~(\left| \operatorname{eq:jdos} \right|) to intuitively examine the similarity between the linewidths of the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phases. The weighted JDOS (WJDOS)
```

will be briefly shown later, including that of the \$\gamma\$ phase.

Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig6_338} shows frequency-functions of JDOS at several different \$\mathbf{q}\$-points. They have very weak \$\mathbf{q}\$-point dependences. At-In_the low_frequency region up to \$\simeq\$ 10 THz_ \$D_2^{(1)}\$ is dominant between the two terms. The \$D_2^{(1)}\$ are similar between the phases.- In the present $Si\$_3N\$_4$ system, the phonon modes of the acoustic and low-frequency optical branches, which largely contribute to the \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$, are much-significantly fewer than the other phonon modes.- The JDOS are mainly determined by the latter majorities.

As in the band diagrams, the branches of the majorities are rather flat. Thus Therefore, we can approximately disregard in Eq.~(\ref{eq:jdos}) the dependences of the \$\omega_{\{\lambda\}} and \$\omega_{\{

width of the gap. Because tThe gap originates is originated from the local modes of the planer planar NSi\$_3\$ that composing compose each of the \$\alpha\$ and \$\beta\$ crystal structures;\cite{kuwabara} therefore, the the \$D 2^{(1)}\$ are similar in these phases.

```
\begin{figure}[ht]
\centering
 \includegraphics[width=0.9\linewidth]{figure_jdoss.eps} \caption{(color
           online) JDOS of $\alpha$- and $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$ at different $\mathbf q$ points.
 The first and fourth rows are JDOS at the same $\Gamma$-point but calculated
 with the polarization for the non-analytic term correction set along $c^*$ and
 $b^*$, respectively. \label{fig:Fig6_338} }
\centering
\end{figure} [Fig. edit: Space units correctly (THz<sup>-1</sup> f.u.<sup>-2</sup>).]
The WJDOS are shown in Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig_wjdos} shows the WJDOS.— The terms that
corresponding to
D_2^{(1)} and D_2^{(2)} are denoted as N_2^{(1)} and N_2^{(2)}, respectively. They
are
weighted D_2^{(1)} and D_2^{(2)} with (n_{\lambda'}-n_{\lambda'}) and
(n_{\lambda'}+n_{\lambda'}+1), respectively. For the comparison among the
three phases, we only show the frequency distributions at \frac{q}{q}=(0,0,0)
because the $\mathbf{q}$$ dependences of the WJDOS were was as weak as that for the JDOS.- The
weighting factors reduce the N_2^{(1)} near 0 THz and enhance the N_2^{(2)}
in the high frequency range. The latter reduces the
$d\boldsymbol{\kappa}^c/d\omega$ in the high frequency range, for all the phases.
The total WJDOSs are similar between the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phases. The
$\gamma$ phase has slightly small intensities of the total WJDOS below $\sim$ca. 10_THz.
\begin{figure}[ht]
\centering
 \includegraphics[width=0.9\linewidth]{Fig_wjdos.eps} \caption{(color
           online) Comparison of WJDOS among the three phases at \frac{q}{q}=(0,0,0) for and
300 K-among the three phases.
                    } \label{fig:Fig_wjdos}
\centering
\end{figure} [Fig. edit: Space units correctly (THz<sup>-1</sup> f.u.<sup>-2</sup>).]
\begin{table}[ht]
         \caption{\label{table:aveavepp} Averages of
```

```
$\\Phi_{\lambda\lambda'\lambda''}\|^2$ over frequency ranges of
         \omega = \lambda (0-15 \text{ and } 0-35 \text{ THz})  and all (\lambda (3\lambda )). The
         values are in units of 10$^{-9}$ eV$^2 $f.u.$^{2}$.}
\begin{ruledtabular}
 \begin{tabular}{ccc}
          \multirow{2}{*}{Frequency Range range (THz)}
 & \multicolumn{3}{c}{Phase} \\
 \langle cline\{2-4\} \rangle
 & $\alpha$ & $\beta$ & $\gamma$ \\
 \hline
 \multirow{1}{*}{0--15}
 & 1.1 & 1.1 & 2.3 &
 \multirow{1}{*}{0--35}
 & 5.2 & 5.2 & 4.6 &
 \end{tabular}
\end{ruledtabular}
\end{table}
As for \left| \right| ^2\, in Table.~\ref{table:aveavepp},
they are averaged over two kinds of frequency ranges of 0--15 or 0--35 THz for
\omega_\lambda\ and all indices in \lambda'\ and \lambda'\.- The averages
are very similar values between for the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phases. With the
similar impacts of the (W)JDOS and \Lambda \simeq \ the (W)JDOS and \Lambda \simeq \
linewidths in these phases are also similar.- For the $\gamma$ phase, the large
$\\Phi_{\lambda\\lambda'\lambda"}\^2$ <u>is attributed</u> to the large linewidths. We set
The frequency ranges for \omega_\lambda\ were set so that the narrower frequency range
approximately corresponds to the range where the phonon modes largely contribute
to the $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$. A small change in the frequency ranges by a few
THz terahertz did not change the qualitative characteristics of the averages.
\begin{figure}[ht]
\centering
 \includegraphics[width=\linewidth]{figure analyze gamma3 m1010 print.eps} \caption{(color
           online) Distribution of linewidths $\omega_\lambda$ $\leq$ 5 THz
                    shown with in colors with respect to the strengths of the eigenvector
components along (a) $\mathbf q$ (a)
```

and (b) on the \$ab\$ plane (b). } \label{fig:Fig7_338}

\centering

\end{figure} [Fig. edit: "Fraction of eigenvector components".]

Finally, we examine the exceptional, but striking difference in the linewidth distributions between the \$\alpha\$ and \$\beta\$ phases. In the \$\alpha\$ phase, \$\Gamma_\lambda\$ below \$\sim\\$ca. 5 THz are aligned on a single smooth line, while in the \$\beta\$ phase, they are scattered roughly on two branches.- This difference is was investigated by trying an attempt to relate the linewidths with to the directions of the atomic vibrations of the phonon modes.-Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig7_338} enlarges the \$(\Gamma_\lambda,\omega_\lambda)\$ plots in this frequency range. In Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig7_338\}(a), the \Gamma_\lambda\ are classified using colors according to the sums of the squares of the eigenvector components along the \$\mathbf{q}\$; the sum is 1 for a perfectly longitudinal wave. However, these sums show no clear contrast between the two branches in the \$\beta\$ phase. Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig7 338 $\stackrel{1}{\leftarrow}$ }(b) shows the same plot as Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig7 338 $\stackrel{1}{\leftarrow}$ }(a), but with colors according to the sums of the squares of the eigenvector components along the \$ab\$ plane, which is 1 when the eigenvector lays lies on the \$ab\$ plane. There is a tendency in the \$\beta\$ phase that-\$\Gamma_\lambda\$ are large for atomic vibrations along the \$ab\$ plane. This means that; therefore, the vibration modes along the \$ab\$ plane, that belonging to the acoustic phonon branches, are more easily scattered in the \$\beta\$ phase, no matter whether regardless of whether they are longitudinal or transverse. For the panel of $\beta = 3N_4$ in Fig.~\ref{fig:Fig7_338\}(b), a straight line splits the phonon modes into two groups. The numbers of the phonon modes assigned to the larger and smaller \$\Gamma_\lambda\$ groups are 157 and 58, whose of which the ratio is confirmed to be close to the population ratio of the vibration modes along and out of the \$ab\$ plane.

\section{Summary}

In the present study, we investigate the lattice thermal conductivities of the three Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$ phases, were investigated by using the lattice dynamics based on the first-principles interatomic force constants. The main remarks are as follows:

1) In the \$\alpha\$- and \$\beta\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$, whose of which the crystal structures are

characterized by the stacking manners of the basal layer structures, which largely alter \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$, is largely altered due to the folding effects of the band gaps and anticrossings. This is in contrasts with the ease of the zincblende and wurtzite structures in the previous study\cite{phono3py}.

The \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ of for \$\alpha\$-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$ is rather isotropic, while the \$\kappa\$\$_{zz}\$ of for the \$\beta\$ phase is twice or more larger than the other \$\kappa_{ii}\$ of the three phases.

- 2) In the \$\alpha\$ phase, the acoustic mode phonons below 6 THz are the main heat carriers, while in the \$\beta\$ phase, the phonons below 12 THz contribute to the \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$. Their group velocities are confirmed to characterize the behaviour behaviors of \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$.
- 3) In the \$\gamma\$ phase, the frequency distribution of the phonon mode contributions to \$\boldsymbol{\kappa}\$ is similar to that for \$\kappa_{xx}\$ of \$\beta-Si\$_3\$N\$_4\$. Its_, which is attributed to its large phonon-phonon scattering strength and steep

longitudinal acoustic branches attribute to this.

\section*{ACKNOWLEDGMENTS}

The present work was partly supported by <u>a</u> Grants-in-Aid for Scientific

Research <u>of MEXT, Japan (Grant No. 15K14108) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) Japan and the Elements Strategy Initiative for Structural Materials

<u>ESISM (Elements Strategy</u>

<u>Initiative for Structural Materials</u> <u>ESISM)</u> of Kyoto University).</u>

\appendix

\end{figure} Fig. edit: Change axis captions from all capitals to on the first letter of the first word as uppercase capital. Change "FIG. 9." to "FIG. S1." or "FIG. A1."

\bibliography{Si3N4} \end{document}

