TABLE 3

EXCESS MARRIAGES RELATIVE TO RANDOM MATCHING BY MARRIAGE MARKET

	MCD			UD FULL MODEL			MCD			UD FULL MODEL		
$s_f \setminus s_m$	hs	sc	c+	hs	sc	c+	hs	sc	c+	hs	sc	c+
	Northeast						Midwest and West					
hs	.10	−.05	−.07	.11	−.05	−.06	.10	−.07	−.07	.12	−.06	−.07
sc	−.02	.04	−.01	−.05	.07	−.01	−.01	.04	−.02	−.06	.06	−.00
c+	−.06	−.00	.07	−.07	−.03	.09	−.04	.00	.06	−.06	.01	.06
	South Atlantic						South Central					
hs	.09	−.05	−.05	.10	−.09	−.05	.09	−.05	−.03	.08	−.04	−.02
sc	−.05	.04	−.00	−.03	.07	−.01	−.05	.04	.02	−.05	.04	.02
c+	−.04	.01	.04	−.02	−.00	.04	−.06	−.00	.04	−.06	−.01	.03

NOTE.—s_f and s_m refer to the education of women and men, respectively. Each cell shows the difference between the fraction of marriages in my model relative to what would be implied by random matching, keeping the fraction that marries constant.