#### TAYLOR'S THEOREM IN NORMED VECTOR SPACES

### **KB-MATH**

### 1. Basic setup

Let U, V be normed vector spaces and suppose that  $X \subset U$  is open. Let  $\mathcal{L}(U, V)$  denote the normed vector space of bounded linear maps.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that  $f: X \to V$  is differentiably at  $x_0 \in X$  if there exists a bounded linear map  $D: U \to V$  and  $\epsilon: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{r \to 0} \epsilon(r) = 0$  such that

$$||f(x_0 + u) - f(x_0) - Du|| \le \epsilon(||u||)||u||$$

for all u in some open neighbhourhood of 0.

**Lemma 1.2.** Such a *D* must satisfy

$$Du = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tu) - f(x_0)}{t||u||}$$

for each fixed non-zero  $u \in U$  and is hence unique.

*Proof.* For each fixed  $u \in U \setminus \{0\}$  and sufficiently small t we have that

$$||f(x_0 + tu) - f(x_0) - D(tu)|| = \epsilon(t||u||)||tu||.$$

Now divide both sides by |t| and use the linearity of D to get the estimate

$$\left\| \frac{f(x_0 + tu) - f(x_0)}{t} - Du \right\| \le \epsilon(t\|u\|) \|u\|.$$

The result now follows by letting  $t \to \infty$ .

Given the uniqueness, we can now define  $D = Df(x_0) \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$  to be the derivative of f at  $x_0$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** If  $f: X \to V$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  then it is continuous at  $x_0$ .

*Proof.* By triangle inequality we have that

$$||f(x_0+u) - f(x_0)|| \le ||f(x_0+u) - f(x_0) - Df(x_0)u|| + ||Df(x_0)u||$$

but both terms on the right hand side converge to zero as  $u \to 0$  by the differentiability of f and the boundedness of  $Df(x_0)$ , respectively.

### 2. Higher order derivatives

How do we define higher order derivatives in this setting? If  $f: X \to V$  is differentiable on X then  $Df: X \to \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ . Now  $\mathcal{L}(U, V)$  is itself a normed vector space (equipped with operator norm) hence the derivative of Df (assuming it exists) is a map

$$D^2 f: X \to \mathcal{L}(U, \mathcal{L}(U, V)).$$

This means that given  $u_1, u_2 \in U$  we have that

$$D(Df)(x_0) \in \mathcal{L}(U, \mathcal{L}(U, V))$$

and hence

$$(D(Df)(x_0))u_1 \in \mathcal{L}(U,V)$$

and hence

$$((D(Df)(x_0))u_1)u_2 \in V.$$

We abbreviate this element in V by

$$(D^2f)(x_0)(u_1,u_2)$$

and hence we get a multilinear map

$$(D^2f)(x_0): U \times U \to V$$

called the second derivative of f.

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to V$  is twice differentiable then

$$D^2 f(x_0)(e_i, e_j) = \partial_i \partial_j f(x_0)$$

where  $\partial_i$  is partial differentiation with respect to *i*-th co-ordinate.

This can be generalized to higher order derivatives, hence  $D^n f(x_0) : U \times \cdots \times U \to V$  may be realised as a multilinear map, if it exists, and it can be evaluated through iterated directional derivatives. To make this precise it is worth formalizing some notions regarding the continuity of multilinear maps.

**Definition 2.2.** Given normed vector spaces  $U_1, \ldots, U_n$  and V, let  $\mathcal{T}(U_1, \ldots, U_n \to V)$  denote the vector space of bounded multilinear maps  $T: U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n \to V$ , where bounded means that

$$||T|| := \sup\{T(u_1, \dots, u_m) \mid u_i \in U_i \text{ with } ||u_i|| = 1\} < \infty.$$

Moreover,  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm on the space of bounded multilinear maps.

**Proposition 2.3.** There is a canonical isometric isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}(U_1, \mathcal{T}(U_2, \dots, U_n \to V)) \cong \mathcal{T}(U_1, \dots U_n \to V)$$

given by

$$\phi \mapsto ((u_1, \dots, u_n) \mapsto \phi(u_1)(u_2, \dots, u_n)).$$

In other words, we could have defined ||T|| as the metric induced by the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}(U_1,\ldots,U_n\to V)\cong\mathcal{L}(U_1,\ldots\mathcal{L}(U_{n-1},\mathcal{L}(U_n,V))\ldots)$$

**Proposition 2.4.** A multilinear map  $T: U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n \to V$  is bounded if and only if it is continuous with respect to the product topology.

Hence the nth derivative  $D^n f: X \to \mathcal{T}(U, \dots, U \to V)$  may be recursively defined as  $D^1 f = Df$  (which makes sense since  $\mathcal{T}(U \to V) = \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ ) and

$$D^{(n+1)}f(x_0)(u_1,\ldots,u_{n+1}) = [(D(D^n f))(x_0)u_1](u_2,\ldots,u_{n+1})$$

if it exists (and if, of course,  $D^n f$  exists on X).

#### 3. Basic properties

**Lemma 3.1.** (Chain Rule) Suppose that U, V, W are normed vector spaces with  $X \subset U$  and  $Y \subset V$  open sets. Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is differentiable at  $x_0 \in X$  and  $g: Y \to W$  is differentiable at  $y_0 = f(x_0) \in Y$ . Then  $g \circ f: X \to W$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  and

$$D(g \circ f)(x_0) = D(g)(y_0) \circ D(f)(x_0).$$

Given normed vector spaces  $U_1, U_2$  we will always equip the direct sum with the max norm  $||(u_1, u_2)|| = \max\{||u_1||, ||u_2||\}$ , which induces the product topology.

**Lemma 3.2.** (Product Rule for multilinear maps) Suppose that  $U_1, \ldots, U_n$  are normed vector spaces and  $T: U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n \to V$  is a bounded multilinear map. Then T is differentiable at each  $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n$  with

$$D(T)(\vec{x})(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = T(u,x_2,\ldots,x_n) + T(x_1,u,x_3,\ldots,x_n) + \cdots + T(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},u).$$

**Lemma 3.3.** (General product rule) Suppose that  $U_1, \ldots, U_n$  are normed vector spaces and  $T: U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n \to V$  is a bounded multilinear map and suppose that  $f_i: X \to U_i$  are differentiable at  $x_0 \in X$ . Then the map

$$H(x) = T(f_1(x), \dots f_n(x))$$

is differentiable at  $x_0$  and has derivative

$$DH(x_0)u = T((Df_1)(x_0)u, f_2(x_0), \dots, f_n(x_0)) + \dots + T(f_1(x_0), f_2(x_0), \dots, Df_n(x_0)u)$$

*Proof.* The map  $F(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$  has derivative

$$DF(x_0)u = (Df_1(x_0)u, \dots, Df_n(x_0)u)$$

and  $H = T \circ F$  hence the derivative is

$$D(H)(x_0)u = D(T)(F(x_0))(DF)(x_0)u$$
  
=  $DT(F(x_0))(Df_1(x_0)u, \dots, Df_n(x_0)u)$ 

and the result now follows from the product rule for multilinear maps.

This example will be important for our proof of Taylor's theorem and can be used to give a higher order product rule.

Example 3.4. Consider the composition map

$$\mathcal{L}(U,V) \times \mathcal{L}(W,U) \to \mathcal{L}(W,U)$$

given by  $(L_1, L_2) \mapsto L_1 \circ L_2$ . Then it is a bounded bilinear map. Now suppose that we have maps  $g: X \to \mathcal{L}(W, U)$  and  $h: X \to \mathcal{L}(U, V)$  differentiable at  $x_0 \in X$ , where X is an open subset of a normed vector space  $U_0$ . Then the map  $M(x) = h(x) \circ g(x)$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  with derivative given by

$$DM(x_0)u = D(h(x_0))u \circ g(x_0) + h(x_0) \circ Dg(x_0)u$$
 for  $u \in U_0$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** (Mean value inequality) Suppose  $f: X \to V$  is differentiable on each point of the segment  $x_0 + tu$  for  $t \in [0,1]$  and that  $t \mapsto Df(x_0 + tu)$  is continuous on [0,1]. Then

$$||f(x_0 + u) - f(x_0)|| \le \sup_{t \in [0,1]} ||Df(x_0 + tu)u||.$$

*Proof.* We first reduce to the case  $V = \mathbb{R}$  as follows. By the Hahn-Banach theorem we have a linear  $\phi: V \to R$  such that  $\phi(f(x_0 + u) - f(x_0)) = ||f(x_0 + u) - f(x_0)||$  and  $|\phi|| = 1$ . So if the theorem is true for  $g = \phi \circ f: X \to V$  we must have

$$||f(x_0 + u) - f(x_0)|| = ||g(x_0 + u) - g(x_0)|| \le \sup_{t \in [0,1]} ||Dg(x_0 + tu)u||.$$

But by the chain rule and the fact that  $D\phi(x) = \phi$  for all linear  $\phi$ , we have that  $Dg = \phi \circ Df$ . Hence we have that

$$||Dg(x_0 + tu)u|| = ||\phi Df(x_0 + tu)u|| \le Df(x_0 + tu)u.$$

We now turn to show that the theorem holds when  $V = \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $h(t) = f(x_0 + tu)$ . Note that the classical derivative h'(t) = Dh(t)(1) is continuous, hence we may apply the fundamental theorem of calculus to get

$$||f(x_0+u)-f(x_0)|| = |h(1)-h(0)| \le |\int_0^1 h'(t)dt| \le \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |h'(t)|.$$

But from the chain rule we get

$$h'(t_0) = Dh(t_0)(1)$$

$$= D(f(x_0 + ut_0))D(t \mapsto (x_0 + tu))(t_0)(1)$$

$$= D(f(x_0 + t_0u))(t \mapsto tu)(1)$$

$$= D(f(x_0 + t_0u))u$$

# 4. The commutativity of higher order derivatives

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that  $f: U \to V$  is twice differentiable at  $x_0$ , then  $D^2 f(x_0)$  is a symmetric bilinear form, that is

$$D^2 f(x_0)(u_1, u_2) = D^2 f(x_0)(u_2, u_1)$$
 for all  $u_1, u_2 \in U$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show this for all small enough  $u_1, u_2 \in U$ . Let  $g(x) = f(x+u_1) - f(x)$ . If  $L: U \to V$  is the bounded linear map  $L = D^2 f(x_0)(u_1)$  then by the mean value inequality applied to g - L we have that

(1) 
$$||g(x_0 + u_2) - g(x_0) - L(u_2)|| \le \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} ||Dg(x_0 + tu_2)u_2 - L(u_2)||$$

for all small enough  $u_1, u_2 \in U$ .

By the definition of differentiability we have that

$$Df(x_0 + u) = Df(x_0) + D^2 f(x_0)(u) + R(u)$$

where  $||R(u)|| \le \epsilon(u)||u||$  where  $\lim_{u\to 0} \epsilon(u) = 0$ . We use this inequality to estimate the upper bound in (1) as follows

$$Dg(x_0 + tu_2)u_2 = Df(x_0 + tu_2 + u_1)u_2 - Df(x_0 + tu_2)u_2$$

$$= D^2 f(x_0)(tu_2 + u_1)u_2 - D^2 f(x_0)(tu_2)u_2 + R(tu_2 + u_1)u_2 - R(tu_2)u_2$$

$$= D^2 f(x_0)(u_1)(u_2) + R(tu_2 + u_1)u_2 - R(tu_2)u_2$$

Hence (1) gives the estimate

$$||g(x_0 + u_2) - g(x_0) - D^2 f(x_0)(u_1, u_2)|| \le \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} ||R(tu_2 + u_1)u_2 - R(tu_2)u_2||$$
  
$$\le \epsilon_0 (||u_1|| + ||u_2||) (||u_1|| + ||u_2||)^2$$

for some  $\lim_{u\to 0} \epsilon_0(||u||) = 0$ . In particular, by replacing  $u_i$  with  $su_i$  for 0 < s < 1 and dividing by  $s^2$  we get the limit

$$D^{2}f(x_{0})(u_{1})(u_{2}) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{g(x_{0} + su_{2}) - g(x_{0})}{s^{2}}$$
$$= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{f(x_{0} + su_{1} + su_{2}) - f(x_{0} + su_{2}) - f(x_{0} + su_{1}) + f(x_{0})}{s^{2}}$$

but this term inside the limit is symmetric in  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ .

We can apply this inductively to get (notice that we don't require  $D^n f(x)$  to be defined or continuous on any open set):

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose that  $f: U \to V$  is such that  $D^n f(x_0)$  exists at  $x_0 \in U$ , then  $D^n f(x_0)$  is a symmetric multilinear form.

#### 5. Taylor's Theorem

If  $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is N times continuously differentiable at  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  then the classical Taylor expansion is

$$h(x_0 + u) = h(x_0) + h'(x_0)u + \frac{1}{2!}h^{(2)}(x_0)u^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{N!}h^{(N)}(x_0)u^N + R(u)$$

with error term

$$R(u) = \frac{1}{(N+1)!} \int_0^u h^{(N+1)}(x_0 + t) t^n dt.$$

We now ask how can this be formulated for  $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$  where U is an arbitrary vector space? If we define  $u^n$  to be the n-tuple  $(u, \ldots, u)$  then the expression  $Df^n(x_0)u^n$  makes sense, as  $Df^n(x_0)$  is a multilinear map. Hence a strategy of deriving a Taylor theorem involves first parametrizing the line segment from  $x_0$  to  $x_0 + u$  by defining L(t) = tu and applying the classical Taylor theorem to  $h = f \circ L$ . To do this we need to compute  $D^n(f \circ L)(t_0)$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $L: W \to U$  be a linear map and let  $f: U \to V$  be n times differentiable at L(a), where  $a \in W$ . Then

$$D^n(f \circ L)(a) = D^n f(L(a)) \circ L^n$$

where  $L^{n}(w_{1},...,w_{n}) := (Lw_{1},...,Lw_{n}).$ 

**Remark 5.2.** The is a generelization of the standard identity  $h^{(n)}(at) = a^n h^{(n)}(at)$  from classical differential calculus.

*Proof.* For n = 1 this is the chain rule and the fact that D(L)(a) = L for linear maps L. Now we proceed by induction. Assuming the result is true for n, we now suppose that f is n + 1 differentiable at L(a). Then we get

$$D^{n+1}(f \circ L)(a) = D(D^n(f \circ L))(a)$$
$$= D(w \mapsto D^n f(Lw) \circ L^n)(a).$$

To compute this term we use the product rule, specifically Example 3.4 to the map  $w \mapsto D^n f(Lw)$  and the constant map  $w \mapsto L^n$ . The latter has zero derivative so we only get the first term from Example ((3.4)). This means that

$$D^{n+1}(f \circ L)(a)(w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n) = D^{n+1}(f \circ L)(a)(w_0)(w_1, \dots, w_n)$$
$$= (D(w \mapsto D^n f(Lw))(a)(w_0) \circ L^n)(w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

where we firstly used our canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}(W_0, \mathcal{T}(W_1, \dots, W_n \to V)) \cong \mathcal{T}(W_0, \dots, W_n \to V)$$

with  $W_i = W$  followed by Example 3.4 as described.

Now to compute this final derivative we use the chain rule as follows. Let  $F(u) = D^n f(u)$ . Then this final derivative is  $D(F \circ L)(a)$  hence equal to  $D(F)(La) \circ L = D^{n+1} f(La) \circ L$ . So we have shown that

$$D^{n+1}(f \circ L)(a)(w_0, \dots, w_n) = ((D^{n+1}f(La) \circ L)w_0 \circ L^n))(w_1, \dots, w_n)$$
$$= D^{n+1}f(La)(Lw_0)(Lw_1, \dots, Lw_n)$$

and the proof is complete once one again applies the canonical isomorphism.

**Theorem 5.3.** (Co-ordinate free general Taylor theorem) Suppose  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is (n+1) times continuously differentiable and let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $u \in U$  be such that the line segment  $x_0 + tu$  is in X. Then we have that

$$f(x_0 + u) = f(x_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} D^n f(x_0) u^n + R(u)$$

where  $u^n = (u, \dots, u) \in U^n$  and

$$R(u) = \frac{1}{(N+1)!} \int_0^1 D^{N+1} f(x_0 + tu) u^{n+1} t^n dt.$$

*Proof.* By translation invariance of derivatives, it is enough to prove this for  $x_0 = 0$ . Now write  $f(x_0 + tu) - f(x_0) = h(1) - h(0)$  and use Taylor's theorem, where  $h = f \circ L$  where  $L : \mathbb{R} \to U$  is given by L(t) = tu. The result now follows from the Lemma 5.1

## 6. Recovering the co-ordinate based Taylor theorem

To recover the Taylor theorem most often presented in undergraduate vector calculus, one needs to expand  $D^n f(x_0)u^n$  as follows. Writing

$$u = \sum_{i} u_i e_i$$

where  $e_1, \ldots, e_d$  is a basis for U, we have that

$$D^n f(x_0)(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) = \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_n} f(x_0)$$

by the discussion surrounding Lemma 2.1 on iterated derivatives, where  $\partial_i$  is partial differentiation with respect to the  $e_i$  direction. Hence by multilinearity we get that

$$D^n f(x_0) u = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_n} f(x_0) u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_n}.$$

Now by commutativity of derivatives the order of  $i_1, \ldots, i_n$  does not matter, hence it pays to express this in terms of partitions as follows. Given  $\alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$  such that  $\sum_i a_i = n$  we define

$$D^{\alpha}f(x_0) = \partial_1^{a_1} \cdots \partial_n^{a_d} f(x_0)$$

where  $\partial_i^k$  means  $\partial_i$  applied k times. Define also

$$u^{\alpha} = \prod_{i} u_i^{a_i}.$$

Then by grouping the terms together we get the expression

$$D^{n} f(x_{0}) u = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} {n \choose \alpha} D^{\alpha} f(x_{0}) u^{\alpha}$$

where  $|\alpha| = a_1 + \cdots + a_d$  and

$$\binom{n}{\alpha} = \frac{n!}{a_1! \cdots a_d!}$$

is the multinomial coefficient which counts the number of sequences  $\{1, \ldots, d\}^n$  where i appears  $a_i$  times. Finally, this means that the Taylor theorem may be written as

$$f(x_0 + u) = f(x_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D^{\alpha} f(x_0) u^{\alpha} + R(x)$$

where

$$\alpha! = a_1! \cdots a_d!$$

## 7. Sufficient conditions for differentiability

It is all well and good proving abstract theorems about derivatives, but so far we don't have a mechanism for quickly telling whether a certain function is differentiable. Fortunately we have the following criteria.

**Proposition 7.1.** Suppose that  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is open and  $f: X \to V$  has the property that all its partial derivatives exist and are continuous. Then f is continuously differentiable on X.

*Proof.* The hypothesis says that each limit

$$\partial_i f(x) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x + te_i)}{t}$$

exists and is continuous as a function of x. To show differentiability at  $x_0 \in X$ , we first construct the derivative  $D = Df(x_0)$  and then show it satisfies the definition. Without loss of generality, we will use the 1-norm  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_1$  for convenience. We define D to be the linear map

$$Du = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \partial_i f(x_0)$$

for  $u = \sum_i u_i e_i$ . To show that D satisfies the definition of the derivative fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and find a corresponding  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|\partial_i f(x) - \partial_i f(x_0)\| < \epsilon$  for all x such that  $\|x - x_0\| < \delta$ . Choose u such that each  $\|u_i e\| < \frac{1}{d}\delta$  and let  $w_i = u_1 + \ldots + u_i$  and  $w_0 = 0$  (notice that this ensures each  $\|w_i\| < \delta$ ). We have

$$f(x_0 + u) - f(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} f(w_i) - f(w_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{d} f(w_{i-1} + u_i e_i) - f(w_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{d} (u_i \partial_i f(w_{i-1}) + R_i)$$

$$= Du + \sum_{i=1}^{d} u_i (\partial_i f(w_{i-1}) - \partial_i f(x_0)) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} R_i$$

where the remainder term  $R_i$  satisfies

$$||R_i|| \le \sup_{t \in [0,1]} ||u_i \partial_i f_i(w_{i-1} + tu_i e_i) - u_i \partial_i f_i(w_0)|| \le 2\epsilon ||u_i||$$

by the mean value inequality applied to the function  $u \mapsto f(w_{i-1} + ue_i)$ . So altogether we have shown that if  $||u_i e_i|| < \frac{1}{d}\delta$  then we have

$$||f(x_0 + u) - f(x_0) - Du|| \le 3\epsilon ||u||$$

which verifies differentiability.

This generalizes to higher order differentiability. We will focus on finite dimensional spaces. If U has basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_d$  and V has basis  $b_1, \ldots, b_m$  then the corresponding standard basis for  $\mathcal{L}(U, V)$  is given by  $\delta_{i,j}$  for  $1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le d$  where

$$\delta_{i,j}\left(\sum_{\ell}u_{\ell}e_{\ell}\right)=u_{j}b_{i}.$$

More generally, the space of k-multilinear map  $T: U \times \cdots \times U \to V$  has a standard basis where the elements are indexed by  $i, j_1, \ldots, j_k$  where such an element maps  $(e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k})$  to  $b_i$  but all other tuples in  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}^k$  map to 0.

**Theorem 7.2.** Suppose that  $X \subset U = \mathbb{R}^d$  is open and  $f: X \to V = \mathbb{R}^m$  has the property that all its *n*-order partial derivatives exist and are continuous. Then f is continuously n times continuously differentiable on X.

Proof. We have shown the n=1 case. Proceeding by induction, suppose that it holds for n. Suppose that  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^m$  has the property that all its n+1-order partial derivatives exist and are continuous. The induction hypothesis says that  $D^n f: X \to \mathcal{T}(U, \ldots, U \to V)$  exists and is continuous. But with respect to the standard basis for  $\mathcal{T}(U, \ldots, U \to V)$  the coefficients of  $D^n f(x_0)$  are n-order partial derivatives of f at  $x_0$  and their partial derivatives are the order (n+1) partial derivatives, which exist and are continuous by hypothesis. Applying the already shown n=1 case establishes the inductive step.

#### 8. APPLICATION: LAPLACIAN AND WAVE OPERATOR

8.1. **Motivation.** The Laplacian of a twice differentiable  $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$\triangle f = \partial_1^2 f + \partial_2^2 f + \partial_3^2 f.$$

In elementary courses one shows that

$$(\triangle f) \circ Q = \triangle (f \circ Q)$$

for  $Q \in O(3)$ . In mathematical physics and PDEs, one is often interested in the wave operator (D'Alembertian) of  $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$  as

$$\Box f = \partial_1^2 f + \partial_2^2 f + \partial_3^2 f - \partial_4^2 f$$

and one shows that it is invariant under Lorentz transformations, i.e., if  $L \in O(3,1)$  then

$$\Box(f \circ L) = (\Box f) \circ L.$$

Our aim is to prove these in an elegant and co-ordinate free way in the framework developed here.

8.2. Trace of a bilinear form. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and suppose that

$$(v_1, v_2) \mapsto \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$$

is a symmetric, non-degenerate bilinear form  $V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 8.1.** If  $B: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  is a bilinear form, then there exists a unique linear map  $L = L_B: V \to V$  such that

$$B(v_1, v_2) = \langle v_1, Lv_2 \rangle.$$

*Proof.* The map  $L_B \mapsto B$  is a well defined linear map  $\mathcal{T}(V, V \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{L}(V \to V)$ . It is injective since  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is non-degenerate. It is therefore surjective since its domain and codomain have equal dimensions  $(\dim V)^2$ .

If  $Q: V \to V$  is a linear automorphism then we say it is *orthogonal* (with respect to  $\langle -, - \rangle$ ) if

$$\langle Qv_1, Qv_2 \rangle = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle.$$

**Lemma 8.2.** If Q is orthogonal then

$$L_{B \circ Q^2} = Q^{-1} L_B Q$$

where  $Q^2(v_1, v_2) = (Qv_1, Qv_2)$ .

*Proof.* For each fixed  $v_0 \in V$  we have that

$$\langle v_0, L_{B_0Q^2}v \rangle = (B \circ Q^2)(v_0, v) = B(Qv_0, Qv) = \langle Qv_0, L_BQv \rangle = \langle v_0, Q^{-1}L_BQv \rangle$$

Since this holds for all  $v_0 \in V$  and  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is non-degenerate, we have the desired equality.

If Tr(L) denote the trace of a linear operator  $L: V \to V$  then we obtain the following corollary.

## Corollary 8.3. If Q is orthogonal then

$$Tr(L_B) = Tr(L_{B \circ Q^2})$$

To see how this demonstrates the symmetries of the Laplacian and D'Alembertian, we observe the following. First, let  $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  be non-zero real numbers. We now consider on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the symmetric, non-degenerate bilinear form given by

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i v_i w_i.$$

Now fix a twice differentiable function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ . For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have a unique linear operator  $L_{D^2f(x)}$  such that

$$D^2 f(x)(v, w) = \langle L_{D^2 f(x)} v, w \rangle.$$

Let  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  be the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{c_i}\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$$

we compute the trace to be

$$Tr(L_{D^2f(x)}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} \langle L_{D^2f(x)}e_i, e_i \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} D^2 f(x)(e_i, e_i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} \partial_i^2 f.$$

Now observe that for the case  $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 1$  this trace is the Laplacian. While for  $c_1 = \cdots = c_{n-1} = 1$ ,  $c_n = -c^2$  this trace is the D'Alembertian for the wave equation with speed c > 0. We know by Lemma 5.1 that if  $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is al linear transformation then

$$D^2(f \circ Q)(x) = D^2f(Qx) \circ Q^2.$$

Now if Q is orthogonal with respect to our quadratic form, then

$$Tr(L_{D^2f(Qx)\circ Q^2}) = Tr(L_{D^2f(Qx)})$$

by the corollary above. We have thus shown

**Theorem 8.4.** If Q is orthogonal with respect to  $\langle -, - \rangle$  then

$$Tr(L_{D^2(f\circ Q)(x)}) = Tr(L_{D^2f(Qx)}).$$

In particular, this shows that

$$\triangle(f \circ Q) = (\triangle f) \circ Q$$

for orthogonal linear transformation Q on Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The same holds if for  $\square$  in place of  $\triangle$  if Q is a Lorentz transformation.