

Application for Ethics Review

EK_Q_EN Version 1.1 (Revised: 02.2022)

Ethics Committee (Internal Review Board) Friedrich Schiller University, Jena, Germany

Table of Contents

User Instructions

General information

- 1 Basic information about proposed research
 - 1.1 Has the research already been reviewed by the EK?
 - 1.2 Research start date and end date
 - 1.3 Funding
- 2 Framework of proposed research
 - 2.1 Aim of research
 - 2.2 Methods
 - 2.3 Subject/participants' actions within study
 - 2.4 Procedure of the study/study design
 - 2.5 Does the study expose subjects to any burdens or risks?
 - 2.6 Does the study include an intervention (treatment) which provides either a) potential benefit and/or b) removal of harm? (For example: provides a treatment with potential to heal, cope, reduce pain, treat disability)
 - 2.7 What kind of information from the participants is needed/requested?
 - 2.8 Is the study done entirely within the EU? Is the country in which the study is performed a member of the EU?
- 3 Data Protection
 - 3.1 What kind of data is collected or otherwise processed?
 - 3.2 How is the data processed?
 - 3.3 On what basis is personal data processed?
 - 3.4 Is the data protection secure?
- 4 Subject Sample
 - 4.1 Sample recruiting process
 - 4.2 Was a subject sample from database used?
 - 4.3 Characteristics of the subject sample
 - 4.4 Ability to give consent
 - 4.5 Gender and Diversity Issues: Is research in any way relevant to matters of gender, ethnicity, or diversity?
 - 4.6 Is an internet-based data collection performed?
 - 4.7 Do subjects receive compensation?
- 5 Subject information form
 - 5.1 Please check that the following points are included in subject information form:
 - 5.2 Is pseudonymised data used?
 - 5.3 Is research an intervention study?
 - 5.4 When deception is used:
- 6 Consent form Checklist
 - 6.1 Please confirm the following points regarding the consent form.
 - 6.2 Is video footage/audio recorded?
 - 6.3 Does the research entail potential physical risks (especially: MRI, TMS, genome-wide analysis)?

- 6.4 Will study data be published and re-used in the context of open science?
- 6.5 Is a re-contacting planned (for further data collection or other reason)?
- 6.6 When deception is used:
- 6.7 Is the subject covered by university insurance?
- 7 Reporting results and incidental findings
 - 7.1 Are results reported to the participants?
 - 7.2 Are incidental findings possible?
- 8 Voluntariness and knowledgeability are ensured
- 9 Documents checklist
 - 9.1 Standard documents included
 - 9.2 Other documents included

User Instructions

- The Ethikkommission, referenced as "EK", functions as Internal Review Board (IRB) for university research at FSU.
- EK can only review research information as provided by scholars who have responsibility for identifying salient ethical issues. This questionnaire aids the process of identifying those issues.
- Questions are based upon general experience of academic research review. Though the attempt is to be comprehensive, the questions below may not adequately capture the nature of ethical issues in the specific research being reviewed. Thus, please treat the questionnaire as a reflective process, and use available text boxes to fully describe issues, even if your descriptions diverge from the questions themselves.
- The questionnaire contains redundancies, which are intentional. Please answer all questions fully. However, you may answer questions with reference to previously answered questions.
 When doing so, please make references using question number, not page number.
- If appropriate, please attach relevant documents as addenda to this application. If this is done, please list the document in section 9.
- Please answer all questions by filling the coloured textboxes and marking
 \sum appropriate checkboxes:
 - If a checkbox is marked, all items grouped below it should also be addressed
 - Items marked with a star (*) must be answered/checked

General information

Full Title of the research under review

Investigating US memory specificity in Evaluative Conditioning: A "who said what" approach

Name and contact details of investigator(s)

Principle Investigator	Dr. Karoline Bading			
Cooperating Scholars	Dr. Jérémy Bena			
Supervising Scholar (For research leading	Prof. Dr. Klaus Rothermund			
to university degree: Doctoral, MA, BA)				
Corresponding Person	Dr. Karoline Bading			
Research Institution	Friedrich Schiller University Jena			
Office Address	Am Steiger 3, 07743 Jena			
Email Address, for EK correspondence	Karoline.bading@uni-jena.de			
Phone Number(s)	01638432506; 03641-945118			

Abstract/summary of research. Please note salient issues for ethics review process

The Evaluative Conditioning effect refers to a change in the evaluation of an initially neutral stimulus (e.g., an unknown brand logo) after its pairing with a valent affective stimulus (e.g., positive : a kitten; negative: a spider).

Previous research found that the Evaluative Conditioning effect greatly depends on memory for the affective image and, specifically, memory for its valence (whether the initially neutral stimulus was paired with a positive or negative stimulus) rather than its identity (the specific stimulus).

In current research, memory for the affective stimulus identity and valence is measured through different tasks or calculated with different scores. Such approaches do not allow (1) modeling valence and identity memory jointly based on a single memory response, (2) separating memory processes from (memory-unrelated) guessing processes, and (3) testing the association between the processes estimates and the Evaluative Conditioning effect. In the current research, we attempt to address these three goals.

Participants will undergo a learning phase in which neutral nonwords (initially neutral stimuli; e.g., "banzon") will be repeatedly presented with positive or negative images. Participants will then evaluate the initially neutral stimuli they saw in the learning phase and new nonwords (not displayed in the learning phase). Participants will also indicate whether nonwords were part of the learning phase ("old" response) or not part of the learning phase ("new" response). When they respond "old," participants will have to select the specific image the nonword was paired with (1 target; 7 distractors, three of the correct valence and four of the incorrect valence). The order of the evaluative and memory tasks will be counterbalanced. We will mainly use the data to apply a formal model to (1) test the model fit and (2) quantify the contribution of several memory-related and memory-unrelated processes.

We have identified no salient ethics issues, except for the possible psychological burdens and risks we describe in sections 2.5.1 and 2.5.2 respectively.

Basic information about proposed research 1

1.1 Has the research already been reviewed by the EK? ✓ No Yes. Please indicate below and state whether present application is for a basic review or for an amendment of existing review. Provide information here, indicate nature of previous review and reference numbers. 1.2 Research start date and end date Time period covered by ethics review: 01.06.2023 - 31.12.2023 The data collection will take place at some point in this period. Exact dates for the start and end of data collection cannot be provided while the present research has not been approved by the ethics committee. 1.3 Funding 1.3.1 Describe the funding for proposed research University-based research, no outside support Public/Government funding (EU, DFG, BMBF, Humboldt, ...) *If ethics review is intended for grant proposal, please indicate.* Industry or other forms of funding Indicate cooperation, funding levels, potential bias, or interest conflict. 1.3.2 How will be the results be available?

- Openly available in standard academic publishing process
- Restricted access, not intended for publication

If restricted, please explain here.

Framework of proposed research

2.1 Aim of research

The aim of the research is to investigate whether EC procedures produce memory representations that can be estimated by the "Who said what" MPT model introduced by Klauer & Wegener (1998). Moreover, we want to test whether individual MPT parameter estimates predict EC effects on a rating measure.

Methods 2.2

The study will be run online. Participants will be recruited via Prolific. Participants will have to look at stimulus pairings. Later on, they will be asked about their memory for the stimulus pairings. They will also be asked to rate some of the stimuli.

2.3 Subject/participants' actions within study

In the first part of the study, participants will undergo a learning procedure. In this learning procedure, participants will look at pairs of fictitious nonwords and (positive or negative) images. In the second part of the experiment, participants in the "memory task first" condition will perform a memory task followed by an evaluation task. Participants in the "evaluation task first" condition will perform the same two task, but in reversed order.

In the memory task, participants will be presented with the previously paired "old" nonwords as well as with "new" nonwords that had not been part of the learning procedure. For each nonword, participants will have to indicate whether the nonword is "old" or "new". Whenever, a nonword is classified as "old", participants will be presented with a list of images (4 positive, 4 negative). Participants will have to click on the image with which a given nonword was paired with during the learning procedure. If the cannot remember the image, they are supposed to take a guess.

In the evaluation task, participants will be presented with all "old" and "new" nonwords. For each nonword, participants will have to express their evaluation on a rating scale ranging from 1 (very negative) to 8 (very positive).

At the end of the experiment, participants (in both conditions) will be asked whether they paid attention during their participation and whether they responded seriously. Participants will have the chance to comment on the study, receive a debriefing and will then be redirected to Prolific to receive their compensation.

2.4 Procedure of the study/study design

2.4.1 Please describe the study design

The study will follow a 3 (nonword type: positively paired vs. negatively paired vs. unpaired) x 2 (Task order: memory task first vs. evaluation task first) mixed design. The first (second) factor will be manipulated within (between) participants.

2.4.2 Does the study include deception/illusion to generate results?

No (if no, please continue to next section, [2.5])
Yes. Please answer the following questions.
a) What information is given to the subjects?
Click here to enter text.
b) Why is deception necessary? Explain justification and why alternatives are not sufficient
instruments?
Click here to enter text.
c) Which form of deception/illusion is used?
Information is withheld.
☐ False information is given.
d) Explain special arrangements (during recruitment of participants, the wording of the
participation information,)
Click here to enter text.
e) Is it reasonable to expect that the deceptive information influences potential
participants' willingness to participate?
□ No
□ Yes
Click here to enter text.
f) Does study contain aspects that may induce elevated physical or psychological strain?
□ No
Yes. Please describe appropriate care provided to address potential stress:
Click here to enter text.

g)	Formalities for studies using deception:
h)	Please note information that must be provided in sections 5. and 6. Please describe timing and method of debriefing. Ideally the participants are informed in
,	writing and the process is standardised.
	Click here to enter text.
	Checklist for required debriefing after deception:
	Debriefing is offered to every participant (not solely available on demand).
	All participants are informed thoroughly about the study. The role of the participant as an "associate" is emphasized.
	Information is given about the true goal of the study.
	Information is given why a deception was necessary.
	The background of the deception is explained.
	A request for forgiveness is expressed.
	The subject has the possibility to ask further questions. If the participant feels aggrieved because of the style of the study: Support is provided
	(e.g.: invitation to group meetings with other affected persons, personal talk)
	(-19,
2.5 Does	s the study expose subjects to any burdens or risks?
If even only	minimal burden or risk involved, please use sections below to describe a) nature of burden
	ow it is being addressed, c) why it is acceptable, and d) outweighing benefits. Burdens and
	physical, psychological, social, environmental, and economic. They can be relevant for
individual p	articipants, groups, or wider society.
2.5.1 Burde	ens: Does the study expose subjects to any burdens?
☐ No	
	es. What kind of burdens exist for subjects?
□Р	hysiological (e.g., blood extraction, saliva extraction, administration of drugs, placebos, invasive/non-invasive measurements) <i>Describe below</i> .
	Click here to enter text.
⊠P	sychological (aversive stimuli, i.e., negative experiences) Describe below.
	Participants will see positive and negative images during the learning procedure and
	during the memory test. Some people might experience looking at the negative pictures
	(showing e.g. a cockroach, a bloody knife or the site of a plane crash) as unpleasant. Due to this, participants are warned of these potentially disturbing images before consenting
	to participate in the study.
socia	Indicate whether subject participation involves any risks (physical, psychological, or economic). If so, please describe the specific risk(s) and measures planned to nize and deal with risk.
□ No r	
	isks could be reasonably expected from the study participation.
_	sical risk potential – (e.g., pain, injury, sense impairment,) Explain below.
⊠ Psyc	sical risk potential – (e.g., pain, injury, sense impairment,) <i>Explain below.</i> hological risk potential - (e.g., feelings of sadness, anxiety, grief, negative self-appraisal,
⊠ Psyc an	sical risk potential – (e.g., pain, injury, sense impairment,) <i>Explain below.</i> hological risk potential - (e.g., feelings of sadness, anxiety, grief, negative self-appraisal, and self-esteem) Explain below. Appropriate measures include: oversight and surveillance,
⊠ Psyc an ex	sical risk potential – (e.g., pain, injury, sense impairment,) <i>Explain below.</i> hological risk potential - (e.g., feelings of sadness, anxiety, grief, negative self-appraisal,
⊠ Psyc an ex co	bical risk potential – (e.g., pain, injury, sense impairment,) Explain below. hological risk potential - (e.g., feelings of sadness, anxiety, grief, negative self-appraisal, and self-esteem) Explain below. Appropriate measures include: oversight and surveillance, clusion of vulnerable groups, the offer of social support for the subject, debriefing

Please describe risk and actions to minimize and deal with study risk. If aftercare is provided, please indicate how and when it is given.

Participants may feel unwell because of looking at the negative images (see above). Participants are warned of these potentially disturbing images before consenting to participate in the study. We do not provide aftercare.

2.5.3 I	s insurance	for sub	iects nee	eded?
---------	-------------	---------	-----------	-------

\boxtimes	No	
	Yes. Explain below.	
Fv	lain the insurance coverage for su	hiects

2.5.4 Do the objectives and methods of the study merit consideration regarding ratio of risk/burdens and potential benefits?

Please make a statement concerning the distribution of risks and benefits from the perspective of participating subjects.

Participants might have an unpleasant experience when looking at the negative images. However, participants are warned of these images before consenting to participate in the study. They can also abort the experiment whenever they wish without any negative consequences (and are informed of this option before consenting to participate). Finally, participants will earn £ 2.25 GBP ($^{\sim}$ 2.62 euros – hourly rate of £ 9.00 GBP).

2.6 Does the study include an intervention (treatment) which provides either a) potential benefit and/or b) removal of harm? (For example: provides a treatment with potential to heal, cope, reduce pain, treat disability)

Researchers have moral responsibility to treat participants in intervention studies fairly. Prior to study conclusion, research findings may indicate a responsibility to modify the study. For example, study findings may indicate unambiguous benefits of the intervention, rendering it unethical to deprive intervention benefits from the control group. The following statements are mostly relevant for longitudinal studies and demonstrate that researchers understand and respect the underlying ethical issues related to the principle of justice.

\boxtimes	No. The study involves <u>no</u> intervention with potential of either benefit or harm.
	Yes. Please describe intervention element, and potential for benefit/harm.
	Click here to enter text.
	If study demonstrates unambiguous benefit before the study is finished, and the benefit is non-trivial for participant well-being, the intervention benefit will also be provided to the control group. *
	Care will be taken regarding subject-screening advertisement. This clause is important for intervention studies including interventions/treatment for illnesses, disabilities, or studies providing potentially significant life benefits. Potential subjects may have heightened expectations that make them vulnerable. Thus, care must be taken to avoid taking unfair advantage of vulnerable persons with study advertisements or claims regarding benefits

2.7 What kind of information from the participants is needed/requested?

from study participation. Limitations must be clearly stated. *

During the memory task, we will record whether a nonword is classified as "old" vs. "new". If a given nonword is classified as "old", we will also record participants' response in the second memory task asking to identify the previously paired image. We will also record evaluations of the nonwords (on a rating scale). At the end of the experiment, we will record participants' response to the questions of

whether they paid attention and whether they responded seriously. If participants make a comment about the study, this will also be recorded.

Finally, Prolific provides sociodemographic information of each individual taking part in the study (e.g., first language; age; sex), and information regarding their participation on Prolific (Prolific ID, number of previous submissions; approval rate).

2.8 Is the study done entirely within the EU? Is the country in which the study is performed a member of the EU?

From Horizon2020 (6.2): "Any use of local resources [...] must show respect for cultural traditions and share benefits (i.e., also benefit local participants and their communities, involve local researchers – as equal partners – and respond to local research needs)."

Yes. Please continue with section	n 3.
-----------------------------------	------

□ No. Please answer questions below:

2.8.1 Please provide cultural context and describe local ethical considerations. Explain whether a local ethics review is required.

Click here to enter text.

2.8.2 How will the researcher(s) deal with local culture, circumstances, and social practices?

Click here to enter text.

2.8.3 Will there be a collaborative partnership with the local community for purposes of performing the study?

Yes. Please explain. Make sure to address how decision-making capacities as well as
responsibilities are shared.

Click here to enter text.

No. Please explain why.

Click here to enter text.

2.8.4 Will study provide tangible benefits for local study population?

	No
--	----

Yes. Please describe fair distribution of benefits to participants and/or local community: Click here to enter text.

2.8.5 Is the ratio of potential social or economic risks for the local community and the knowledge gained from the study favourable? Please address specifically whether the knowledge gained will also benefit the local community.

Click here to enter text.

2.8.6 Some studies require an expert report on local ethics. Is consultation with an ethics committee chair recommended? Has it already been done?

Click here to enter text.

3 Data Protection

3.1 What kind of data is collected or otherwise processed?

Data protection law poses different requirements to different categories of personal data, i.e., the processing of personal data revealing ethnicity, political opinions, religious or philosophical beliefs, ... is subject to even stricter rules. For technical questions, please refer to the Research Data Management Helpdesk at: https://www.researchdata.uni-jena.de/en
Please list all categories of personal data involved in the research.

- During the memory task, we will record whether a nonword is classified as "old" vs. "new". If a given nonword is classified as "old", we will also record participants' response in the second memory task asking to identify the previously paired image. We will also record evaluations of the nonwords (on a rating scale). At the end of the experiment, we will record participants' response to the questions of whether they paid attention and whether they responded seriously. If participants make a comment about the study, this will also be recorded.
- Age
- Gender
- First language
- Prolific ID
- Number of previous submissions on Prolific
- Prolific approval rate

3.2 How is the data processed?

Please explain how the data according to 3.1 is processed.

- We will calculate the mean and standard deviation of participants' age.
- We will calculate the relative frequencies of the different genders.
- First language is required to be English for all participants and will therefore not be analysed.
- We will not analyse the number of previous submission on Prolific or the Prolific approval rate. Having at least 50 previous submissions on Prolific and an approval rate of at least 90% are required to take part in the study.
- The responses from the two memory tasks will be analysed with the "who said what" MPT model introduced by Klauer & Wegener (1998).
- Evaluative ratings (of nonwords) will be analysed with a (US valence, positive vs. negative vs. none) x task order mixed ANOVA.
- Responses from the two memory tasks, nonword ratings, and data on age and gender will be included in anonymized data sets that we will upload to a repository on the homepage of the open science framework. These data sets will not include Prolific IDs, number of previous submissions or the Profilic approval rates).

3.3 On what basis is personal data processed?

Data protection law requires that any data processing is based either on the consent of the study participant or on a legal basis. Consent is obligatory whenever the data processing constitutes an intense interference with the fundamental right of a data subject to the protection of personal data.

Consent: please note the consent form you intend to use and submit copy of consent form as "Addendum" to this application.

Consent form to take part in the study entitled "impressions of novel objects" conducted online on Prolific

Dear participant,

We are researchers from the Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena (Germany) and from the Université catholique de Louvain (Belgium). We are conducting a research study to examine how we form impressions of novel objects. Participation in this study will involve completing a survey. Your involvement will require about 15 minutes. You will receive £ 2.25 (~ \$ US 2.80) for participating.

There are no known or anticipated risks to you for participating. Although this study will not benefit you personally, we hope that our results will add to the knowledge about psychology and evaluative learning in particular.

Please do note that some of the pictures that you will be exposed to can be of a disturbing nature (including, but not limited to: cockroaches, accidents, fire).

The researcher will not know your name, and no identifying information will be connected to your survey answers in any way. The survey is therefore anonymous.

Your responses will be numbered and stored on a password-protected computer hard drive. The information you provide will be kept until publication. A data file containing your anonymous responses (without your Prolific ID) will be stored in a secure online archive (i.e., the Open Science Framework). This data file will be available to other researchers without time limit.

Participation in this study is completely voluntary. You are free to decline to participate, to end participation at any time for any reason, or to refuse to answer any individual question without penalty or loss of compensation.

If you want a copy of the consent form, click on the "Download consent form" button below (it will open a new tab in your browser; then go back to the experiment tab):

[Here, "Download" button]

Do you understand this consent form, agree with it, and want to participate in the study?

- Yes, I understand, I agree, and I want to participate
- No, I do not want to participate

Investigators:

Dr. Karoline Bading, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena | karoline.bading@uni-jena.de Friedrich Schiller University Jena, 07743 Jena, Germany

Dr. Jérémy Béna, UCLouvain | jeremy.bena@uclouvain.be

Other: Please name the legal provision upon which you base your research.	
Click here to enter text.	

3.4 Is the data protection secure?

Data protection law requires that any data processing must be fully transparent and comprehensible for the data subject (See: GDPR, Art. 13). Collected personal data must be protected by adequate IT measures, including protection against unauthorized or unlawful processing and against accidental loss, unintentional destruction, or accidental damage.

Please explain the technical and organizational measures taken for data security. In particular explain the IT used and the measures taken for anonymization or pseudonymization.

Data collection will be fully anonymous. The study is conducted online through the Prolific portal. Personal data of Prolific-accounts (e.g. name) and research data (e.g. reaction times) are kept separate. Prolific does not allow to identify participants to researchers (visit https://prolific.notion.site/Participants-6b6cb4f60a674d96a1433bff6412215b for more information).

4	Subj	ect	Sam	ple
---	------	-----	-----	-----

4.1	1	Samp	le	recr	uiting	process
	_	Janne		1 C C I	GI CII ID	process

4.1.1 Please describe the sample recruiting process.

We will run the study online through the Prolific-portal until we have 100 participants. Every participant gets £ 2.25 GBP (~2.62 euros – hourly rate of £ 9.00 GBP) as compensation.

4.1.2	sig	clusion criteria and exclusion criteria: Is inclusion in study limited in any structurally inficant way? (For example: economic situation, student status, language ability, age nge, pregnancy status, gender,)
		No
	\leq	Yes. Please explain:
		criteria: We will recruit participants (1) whose first language is English, (2) with at least 50 submissions on Prolific, and (3) with at least an 90% approval rate on Prolific.

4.1.3 Please describe the way this study is advertised (for example: public advertising).

The study will not be advertised. We will create a new study on Prolific and Prolific will display the

Prolific page of the study to participants meeting the inclusion criteria.				
4.2 Was a subject sample from database used?				
4.2 Was a subject sample from database used:				
No No				
Yes. Please describe characteristics of the database:				
Click here to enter text.				
4.2.1 Has the data protection officer of database given consent?				
⊠ No				

Yes. Please provide data protection officer's name and contact information. *

Click here to enter text.

4.3 Characteristics of the subject sample

✓ Yes. Please answer paragraph 4.4.1 □ No. Please answer paragraph 4.4.2

4.3.1 Please describe the subject sample (target group, number, sex, age,...)

We aim to have a sample size of 100 adult participants. We do not target participants of specific sex, age, or other specific sociodemographic groups.

4.3.2 Are subjects chosen from a specific demographic group that is considered vulnerable?

For example: pregnant,	human foetuses or neonates, under 18, over 65, health-care facility patients,
presenting sub-optimal	health-characteristics, from region of conflict, refugees, low income,
incarcerated, students,	employees,

For example: pregnant, human foetuses or neonates, under 18, over 65, health-care facility patients,
presenting sub-optimal health-characteristics, from region of conflict, refugees, low income,
incarcerated, students, employees,
☑ No. Study participants are not from a vulnerable group.
Yes, because study participants are unable to give consent:
Click here to enter text.
 Yes, because study participants are from a vulnerable population (from conflict region, refugees, cognitive disabled, persons that are incarcerated, persons with low income, students, employees). Please describe vulnerability of subject population:
Click here to enter text.
Yes, because study participants are under the age of 18: See section 4.5 below.
Yes, because study participants are patients under health supervision:In outpatient careIn hospital setting
 Patients presenting disease (for example: under medical attention for psychological issue, addiction, disability):
Click here to enter text.
 Patients otherwise presenting sub-optimal health characteristics: physical, emotional, or otherwise:
Click here to enter text.
 Patients with strong dependence upon institutions – where this dependence could impinge upon freedom to participate in study (for example patients with cancer):
Click here to enter text.
4.3.3 If the study includes subjects from vulnerable population
a) How is the vulnerability ascertained, and how are subjects protected?
Click here to enter text.
b) Explain the need for a study including individuals belonging to the vulnerable population and how the study provides benefits for study participants (risk-benefit reasoning if relevant):
Click here to enter text.
4.4 Ability to give consent
Are all subjects over 18?

4.4.	1 Q	uestions for researchers when all subjects are over 18:
4.4.	1.1	Do subjects participate that (maybe) cannot give their consent?
		No. Please continue with Subsection 4.5 Yes
4.4.	1.2	From which group do these subjects come?
		Adults that are temporarily unable to give consent (for example: patients after polytrauma, concussion, stroke, traumatic or septic shock, delirium) Adults that are permanently unable to give consent (for example: patients with dementia)
4.4.		Why is it necessary to include these persons (that are unable to give consent)? Could the study be done with adults that can give informed consent themselves?
Clic	k he	re to enter text.
4.4.	1.4	Examination of the ability to give consent
a) I	s an	examination of the ability to give consent done (by a physician, other)? Yes * No
		is the ability to give consent tested?
		here to enter text.
-		ssess the ability to give consent the following points are tested individually (if one of the ts is not fulfilled then the person is unable to give consent): The person understands a specific situation (aim of the study, procedure, impairments, risks, alternatives). * The person can make decision based on understanding, process, and evaluation. *
4.4.	1.5	Participation of the subjects that are unable to give consent
-	•	rson that is unable to give consent is not allowed to participate unless one of these points is led. Which of the points is fulfilled in the proposed research? Individual benefit The study wants to improve the health of the group to which the person belongs. The study cannot be realised with persons that can give informed consent. The study has a low risk and minimal physiological/psychological stress.
b) I	orm	nalities: Which of the following points is fulfilled?
		The conservator (gesetzlich ermächtigter Vertreter) has given consent (the conservator must have the permission to decide in the area of "science") & the conservator is only allowed to give informed consent when the participation "meets the will of the participant".
		How is conservator informed about the study?
		Click here to enter text. How does the conservator give consent?
		Click here to enter text.
		The person themselves has given consent at a time when the person was able to give informed consent.
		is the subject treated that is unable to give consent?
		proval sought for study participation? Please describe here to enter text.
		t happens when the person does not give approval?
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

4.4.2 Questions for researchers when some/all subjects are under 18 years old:
4.4.2.1 How old are the children?
 Under the age of 11 (no ability to reason; cannot give consent) Between 11 and 13 years (the researchers must examine if the children have the ability to grant consent) Between 14 and 17 (ability to grant consent is assumed)
4.4.2.2 Participation of the subjects that are unable to give consent
 a) A person that is unable to give consent is not allowed to participate unless one of these points is fulfilled. Which of the points is fulfilled in the proposed research? Individual profit or benefit The study wants to improve the health of the group to which the person belongs. The study cannot be realised with persons that can give informed consent. The study has a low risk and minimal physiological/psychological stress. b) Formalities Subjects' information form is given to the parent(s) * Consent is given from both parents (in case that children are the subjects). * Age-appropriate information is provided in printed format. * Under-18 children express understanding of study and willingness to participate. * Under-18 children are instructed that they can withdraw from study at any time. *
4.4.2.3 Why is it necessary to include this group of persons under 18? Could the study be done with adults that can give informed consent themselves? Describe age-appropriate measures used to protect study participants.
Click here to enter text.
4.5 Gender and Diversity Issues: Is research in any way relevant to matters of gender, ethnicity, or diversity?
No elevated relevance to for gender, ethnicity, or diversityYes. Please explain how, and why:
Click here to enter text.
4.6 Is an internet-based data collection performed?
 □ No ☑ Yes. If yes, how are the inclusion and exclusion criteria ensured? Inclusion and exclusion criteria will be ensured by applying filters handled by Prolific. A contact person must be available for the subjects.
4.7 Do subjects receive compensation?
 No. Please continue with section 5 ✓ Yes. Please answer following questions:

4.7.1 Which groups of subjects get which form/kind of compensation and how much? And describe appropriate ratio between compensation and effort.

All participants that take part in the entire study will receive the same monetary compensation. The study duration will be approximately 15 minutes and participants will be paid £ 2.25 GBP ($^{\sim}$ 2.62 euros – hourly rate of £ 9.00 GBP)

172	If children	participate:	Diago	doccribo	+hoir	200 2001	nrinta	compone	ation:
4./.2	n chilaren	participate:	riease	aescribe	meir	age-appro	priate	compens	sauon:

Click here to enter text.		

4.7.3	Does t	he compensa	tion impac	t who part	ticipates,	or risk	ks undertaker	1?
-------	--------	-------------	------------	------------	------------	---------	---------------	----

No.

4.7.4 Data protection

Receipt for the money is saved separately from the gathered data. *

4.7.5 Equity

a) Do	a) Do groups of persons differ in their compensation?					
X	No					
	Yes. Please explain which groups and why?					
(Click here to enter text.					

- b) Do subjects know about the form/kind of compensation prior to the beginning of the experiment?
 - ✓ Yes *☐ No
- c) Does compensation involve a raffle? (A raffle is not direct compensation, but ticket with a chance for winning a prize in a future competition.)
 - ⊠ No
 - Yes, and subjects are informed about the number of the raffle gifts (e.g., of gift cards) and the probability of winning a prize. *

4.7.6 If a subject declines to complete the experiment:

Participants have, in principle, a right to abort their participation without any disadvantages. Please comment below if the participant's incomplete participation impacts their compensation.

- Subject has the right to abort the experiment at any time without any disadvantages.
- Partial or no compensation given depending upon subjects' level of participation.

Participants will receive payment only if they complete the entire study. Aborting participation or declining consent will result in participants not being paid.

5 Subject information form

- 5.1 Please check that the following points are included in subject information form:
 - ∑ The subject information form is a separate document from the informed consent document. *
 - The aim and process of the study are described. *
 - ∑ The admission and exclusion criteria are described. *
 - The subject's time investment for the experiment is mentioned. *

	\boxtimes	Possible advantages for subject's participation are described. *						
		Clearly stated is the participant's right to quit the experiment at any time without negative						
		consequences. *						
	\boxtimes	·						
	\boxtimes	Protections and limitations of access to study data are clearly stated. *						
	\boxtimes	There is a clear statement regarding how personal data will be utilized. *						
		There is a clear statement regarding how study data will be published. *						
	<u> </u>	(anonymised/pseudonymised)						
	\boxtimes	There is a clear statement regarding study-participation compensation or reward. *						
		Statement includes the kind of compensation/reward. *						
		Statement includes conditions necessary to receive compensation/reward. *						
	\boxtimes	There is a clear statement regarding whether the study provides insurance. *						
	\boxtimes	If insurance is provided for study participants, the nature of insurance is stated. *						
	\boxtimes	Contact details of a contact person are given (for questions of the participant). *						
	\boxtimes	The subjects are informed that their participation is voluntary. *						
	\boxtimes	The subjects are informed that they have the possibility to ask questions. *						
Da	ıta pı	rotection - The following points are communicated:						
	\boxtimes	How data is saved *						
		How long the data is saved *						
		Collected data is saved so that a direct link between the participant and data is no longer						
		possible (anonymisation or pseudonymisation) *						
		, possible (all ell y line all ell y						
5.2	lc	pseudonymised data used?						
<u>J.Z</u>	13	pseudonymised data dsed:						
	\times	No						
		Yes. Please confirm following points:						
		Subjects are informed that the code list is deleted after the data acquisition. *						
		The exact date of the deletion is indicated ("by no later than the"). *						
		The subjects are made aware that a deletion of his/her data is only possible until						
		specified date (deletion date). *						
		If the code list should be stored longer, then the subjects are asked for an additional						
		informed consent. *						
5.3	ls	research an intervention study?						
	\boxtimes	No, this is not an intervention study.						
		Yes, this is an intervention study. Please confirm following points:						
		Information about the method of allocation to the different groups (for example:						
		randomisation) are given. *						
		The word "randomisation" is explained.						
		☐ Information about alternative treatment options is given.						
		☐ (As the circumstances require) information about the experimental character of the						
		treatment is given.						
		☐ (As the circumstances require) information about cost takeover is given.						
		☐ If two more or more equally effective interventions are tested, then the subjects are						
		informed in which group they are.						
		☐ If the participation within the experimental group leads to advantages for the						
		participating people, then information is given: The offers that are available for the control group. Which are not available?						

				Alternatives that do exist if a potential participant does not participate or if the participation is cancelled prematurely. Information about who bears costs for implemented intervention? (Do the participants have to pay for it? Is it refunded from some institution?)
5.4	W	'hen	dec	eption is used:
			dece The can	ption is not used. Pption is used. Please confirm following points: subject is informed that at the beginning of the experiment not all the information be given and that a full education will be given after the experiment * subject information form doesn't contain wrong information *
6	Со	nsei	nt fo	orm – Checklist
6.1	Ρl	ease	con	firm the following points regarding the consent form.
		The of the Each	cons ne foi n sub	ent form is a separate document from the subject information document. * ent form is given to the subjects in written form, or access to downloadable copy rm is available for online studies. * ject receives two consent forms to sign. *
	\boxtimes			ion is kept by examiner; the other version is for the subject. * itle of the study is listed on the consent form. *
		The	cons	ent form includes a department letterhead with address, contact person for study, e number, and e-mail address. *
6.2	ls	vide	o fo	otage/audio recorded?
		No Yes,	and	additional consent for the video footage/audio recording is requested. *
6.3				esearch entail potential physical risks (especially: MRI, TMS, genomesis)?
		No Yes,	and	potential risks are clearly stated in consent form. *
6.4	W	'ill st	udy	data be published and re-used in the context of open science?
		No Yes. ⊠	Part anor Part data bety purp	se confirm following points: icipants are informed regarding the how their data will be maintained in hymised/pseudonymised formats in an open repository for use by third parties. * icipants are informed that the specific reason and the purpose of the re-use of the is not known at the moment (the law concerning the re-use of data differs ween different states; make sure that in your state it is acceptable to formulate the bose of the re-use broadly). * subject is asked for consent to re-use their data. *
		\square	If no	consent is given, a re-use is not done *

6.5	ls	a re-contacting planned (for further data collection or other reason)?		
		No Yes, and subjects are asked for consent to a re-contacting. *		
6.6	W	hen deception is used:		
		No, deception is not used. Yes, deception is used. Please confirm following points: Consent form contains the phrase: "I was informed that I'm not fully enlightened about all aspects of the study at the present moment. I will be informed after the end of the experiment." * The consent document contains only true information. * The consent is obtained during the debriefing (because due to the deception, a full consent could not be obtained before the experiment). * The participant is asked about consent after being fully informed about the purpose of the study and knows that a deletion of personal data is still possible. * The consent does not refer to the participation but to the use of the collected data (For example: "I give consent to the usage of the collected data"; "I exercise my right to delete my collected data). *		
6.7	ls	the subject covered by university insurance?		
		Yes No, and subject is informed that the university bears no insurance responsibility.		
7	Re	porting results and incidental findings		
7.1	Ar	e results reported to the participants?		
		No. Please continue with subsection 7.2 Yes		
7.1.1 Which results are reported to the subjects?				
Click	her	e to enter text.		
7.1.2	2 H	w are these results reported to the subjects?		
Click	her	e to enter text.		
7.1.3	3 Ar	e there possible consequences associated with reporting?		
Click	her	e to enter text.		
7.1.4 When are the results reported?				
Click	her	e to enter text.		
7.1.	5 Ar	e the results only reported if the subject agreed to the feedback and has given consent?		
		Yes * No		

7.2	Are incidental findings possible?		
	No. Please continue with section Yes		
7.2.	1 What kind of incidental findings are possible?		
Click	k here to enter text.		
7.2.	2 Communication		
a) C	Does the subject participate in a study within a treatment context?		
	 Yes, and the person is informed about incidental findings. * No, and the subject is asked for his/her consent regarding information about incidental findings in the consent form. * 		
	If the subject agrees to the feedback:		
	 The person is asked if feedback is wanted if intervention options exist (e.g., delayed language acquisition, delayed cognitive development, dementia, suicidality). * The person is asked if feedback is wanted because of individual interest. * In the consent it is made clear who gets the feedback (only the parents, only the children, also the children, can the children decide that they don't want the parents to know the feedback). * 		
b) F	How are the participants informed about incidental findings?		
Click	k here to enter text.		
8	Voluntariness and knowledgeability are ensured		
	ich of the following points apply to the process of information and consent prior to the beginning the experiment? The subject information form is given to every subject. * (exception: see 4.7) All subjects must sign the consent form. * (exception: see 4.7) The subjects can withdraw from the experiment at any time without any consequences. * There is the possibility for the subjects to ask questions. * Enough time is scheduled for addressing subjects' questions before start of study. * Subject participation is clearly voluntary. *	g	
9	Documents checklist		
9.1 Standard documents included			
	 Research proposal (grant proposal, dissertation proposal, anything official submitted for research permission or funding) Consent form * Subject information form * Every other form that is handed over to the subject * External documents (previous or partner ethics approvals, letters of cooperation indicatin ethics-relevant matters, letters of invitation from outside contexts where research will taken the proposal of the propo	_	

place, ethics review of the original data-collection if data is re-used) $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{*}}}$

9.2 Other documents included

• Debriefing form

Jena, 07.06.2023

Place and date, name in block letters

Click here to enter text.

Signature of the applicant (digital signature is possible)

Subject Information form

This is a research study investigating how we form impressions of novel objects. Participation in this study involves completing a survey and takes about 15 minutes. You will receive \pounds 2.25 (\sim \$ US 2.80) for completing the study.

Participation in this study requires (1) that your first language is English, (2) that you have at least 50 previous submissions on Prolific, and (3) that your Prolific approval rate is at least 90%.

There are no known or anticipated risks to participating in this study. Although this study will not benefit you personally, we hope that our results will add to the knowledge about psychology and evaluative learning in particular.

However, please note the following points. Firstly, some of the pictures that you will be exposed to can be of a disturbing nature (including, but not limited to: cockroaches, accidents, fire). Secondly, we do not provide insurance for participation in this study.

The researcher will not know your name, and no identifying information will be connected to your survey answers in any way. The survey is therefore anonymous.

Your responses will be numbered and stored on a password-protected computer hard drive. The information you provide will be kept until publication. A data file containing your anonymous responses (without your Prolific ID) will be stored in a secure online archive (i.e., the Open Science Framework). This data file will be available to other researchers without time limit.

Participation in this study is completely voluntary. You are free to decline to participate, to end participation at any time for any reason, or to refuse to answer any individual question without penalty or loss of compensation.

If you have any question or comment, or if you would like to receive additional information on the present study, please do not hesitate to contact the person in charge of this research at the following e-mail address: karoline.bading@uni-jena.de

Consent form (four pages; 1-2: consent form as presented at the beginning of the experiment; 3-4: consent form participants can download by clicking on a "download" button displayed on the consent form included at the beginning of the experiment)



Consent form to take part in the study entitled "impressions of novel objects" conducted online on Prolific

Dear participant,

We are researchers from the Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena (Germany) and from the Université catholique de Louvain (Belgium). We are conducting a research study to examine how we form impressions of novel objects. Participation in this study will involve completing a survey. Your involvement will require about 15 minutes. You will receive £ 2.25 (~ \$ US 2.80) for participating.

There are no known or anticipated risks to you for participating. Although this study will not benefit you personally, we hope that our results will add to the knowledge about psychology and evaluative learning in particular.

Please do note that some of the pictures that you will be exposed to can be of a disturbing nature (including, but not limited to: cockroaches, accidents, fire).

The researcher will not know your name, and no identifying information will be connected to your

survey answers in any way. The survey is therefore anonymous.

Your responses will be numbered and stored on a password-protected computer hard drive. The

information you provide will be kept until publication. A data file containing your anonymous

responses (without your Prolific ID) will be stored in a secure online archive (i.e., the Open Science

Framework). This data file will be available to other researchers without time limit.

Participation in this study is completely voluntary. You are free to decline to participate, to end

participation at any time for any reason, or to refuse to answer any individual question without

penalty or loss of compensation.

If you want a copy of the consent form, click on the "Download consent form" button below (it

will open a new tab in your browser; then go back to the experiment tab):

[Here, "Download" button]

Do you understand this consent form, agree with it, and want to participate in the study?

- Yes, I understand, I agree, and I want to participate

- No, I do not want to participate

Investigators:

Dr. Karoline Bading, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena | karoline.bading@uni-jena.de

Friedrich Schiller University Jena, 07743 Jena, Germany

Dr. Jérémy Béna, UCLouvain | jeremy.bena@uclouvain.be

Prof. Klaus Rothermund, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena | klaus.rothermund@uni-jena.de



Consent form to take part in the study entitled "impressions of novel objects" conducted online on Prolific

[This is a copy of the consent form presented to you at the beginning of the Prolific study "impressions of novel objects."]

Dear participant,

We are researchers from the Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena (Germany) and from the Université catholique de Louvain (Belgium). We are conducting a research study to examine how we form impressions of novel objects. Participation in this study will involve completing a survey. Your involvement will require about 15 minutes. You will receive £ 2.25 (~ \$ US 2.80) for participating.

There are no known or anticipated risks to you for participating. Although this study will not benefit you personally, we hope that our results will add to the knowledge about psychology and evaluative learning in particular.

Please do note that some of the pictures that you will be exposed to can be of a disturbing nature (including, but not limited to: cockroaches, accidents, fire).

The researcher will not know your name, and no identifying information will be connected to your

survey answers in any way. The survey is therefore anonymous.

Your responses will be numbered and stored on a password-protected computer hard drive. The

information you provide will be kept until publication. A data file containing your anonymous

responses (without your Prolific ID) will be stored in a secure online archive (i.e., the Open Science

Framework). This data file will be available to other researchers without time limit.

Participation in this study is completely voluntary. You are free to decline to participate, to end

participation at any time for any reason, or to refuse to answer any individual question without

penalty or loss of compensation.

Do you understand this consent form, agree with it, and want to participate in the study?

- Yes, I understand, I agree, and I want to participate

- No, I do not want to participate

Investigators:

Dr. Karoline Bading, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena | karoline.bading@uni-jena.de

Friedrich Schiller University Jena, 07743 Jena, Germany

Dr. Jérémy Béna, UCLouvain | jeremy.bena@uclouvain.be

Prof. Klaus Rothermund, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena | klaus.rothermund@uni-jena.de

Debriefing form

The experiment is now over. Thank you very much for your participation!

In this experiment, we wanted to see whether the valence of the paired image (positive vs. negative) has an influence on your evaluation of the nonwords. In addition, we were also interested in your memory for the nonword-image pairs.

If you have any question or comment, or if you would like to receive additional information on the present study, please do not hesitate to contact the person in charge of this research at the following e-mail address: karoline.bading@uni-jena.de

Press the spacebar to be redirected to Prolific.