# Mini Project 1

PSTAT100: Data Science Concepts and Analysis

Kai Barker

#### STUDENT NAMES

- Kai Barker (kaibarker)
- Luke Drushell (drushell)
- Rafael Romero (romeroflores)

## Instructions

- This mini project aims to familiarize you with real-life data sourced from various resources.
- The mini project includes narrative questions. While these questions are primarily based on lecture material and prerequisites, they may also require independent thinking and investigation.
- Collaborate in groups of **2-3** students from the **same section**; individual submissions **will not be accepted**.
- Please use the provided MP 1.qmd file to type your solutions and submit the completed assignment as a PDF file. You can utilize RStudiofor this purpose. For guidance, refer to the Tutorial: Hello, Quarto).
- Submit your answers via Gradescope.
- Ensure that all R code, mathematical formulas, and workings are presented clearly and appropriately.
- All figures should be numbered, and axes must be labeled.

# ♦ Due Date

**Due Date:** Sunday, May 4, 2025, 11:59 PM

```
library(readxl)
library(ggplot2)
library(dplyr)
library(writexl)
library(tidyverse)
```



Survey (Use the attached 'Survey.xlsx' file)

The data was collected during the first lecture from 85 students in the PSTAT 100 class in Spring 2025. The survey has 6 main sections namely Personal Information, Physical Measurements, Health Habits, Diet and Nutrition, Mental Health and Stress, and Academic Life.

These sections are interconnected - for example, physical measurements may relate to health habits and diet, while academic life may impact mental health and sleep patterns. The goal is to explore the relevant variables that may affect students' performance.

#### lacksquare Question 1

Based on your understanding of the collected data, propose three questions or hypotheses that can be explored or tested using the data.

#### ANSWERS TO QUESTION 1:

From the collected data, there are many hypothesis that could be tested. Three that stood out were, is there a correlation between the hours of sleep that students get, and their level of stress? Is there a relationship between the number of hours a student spends studying and their GPA? Is there a relationship between the students stress level, and the amount of times they get exercise each week?

Load the data into R, obtain basic descriptive statistics for the numerical variables, and provide your interpretation of the results.

#### ANSWERS TO QUESTION 2:

summary(survey\_data\$Height)

```
survey_data <- read_excel("Survey.xlsx")</pre>
survey_data
# A tibble: 84 x 16
   Gender Academic Weight Heigh Sleep
                                               Exercise Water Alcohol Meals Diet
   <chr> <chr>
                      <dbl> <dbl> <chr>
                                                        <chr> <chr>
                                                                      <chr> <chr>
                                               <chr>
 1 Male
          Junior
                        165 58
                                  6-8 hours
                                               5-7 days More~ Yes
                                                                      3 me~ Omni~
 2 Male
          Junior
                       185 511
                                  More than 8~ 3-4 days 4-6 ~ Yes
                                                                      3 me~ Omni~
 3 Male
          Junior
                       185 72
                                  4-6 hours
                                               3-4 days 2-4 ~ Yes
                                                                      2 me~ Omni~
 4 Female Sophomore
                            5
                                  6-8 hours
                                               5-7 days 4-6 ~ Yes
                                                                      2 me~ Omni~
                       115
 5 Female Senior
                       185 57
                                  More than 8 \sim 1-2 days 4-6 \sim Yes
                                                                      3 me~ Omni~
 6 Female Junior
                       106 62
                                  More than 8~1-2 days 2-4~No
                                                                      2 me~ I ea~
 7 Male
          Junior
                       145
                            5.7 More than 8~ 5-7 days More~ Yes
                                                                      More~ Omni~
 8 Male
          Senior
                       165
                                  More than 8~ 1-2 days More~ Yes
                                                                      3 me~ None
 9 Female Junior
                       135 57
                                  6-8 hours
                                               0 days
                                                        Less~ Yes
                                                                      2 me~ Omni~
10 Male
                                  Less than 4~ 0 days
                                                                      1 me~ Omni~
          Senior
                        390
                             5
                                                        Less~ Yes
# i 74 more rows
# i 6 more variables: FastFood <chr>, Stress <dbl>, SocialMedia <chr>,
    Studying <chr>, GPA <dbl>, Health <chr>>
#rename height since its spelled wrong
survey_data$Height <- survey_data$Heigh</pre>
head(survey_data)
# A tibble: 6 x 17
  Gender Academic Weight Heigh Sleep Exercise Water Alcohol Meals Diet FastFood
  <chr> <chr>
                    <dbl> <dbl> <chr> <chr>
                                               <chr> <chr>
                                                             <chr> <chr> <chr>
                             58 6-8 ~ 5-7 days More~ Yes
1 Male
         Junior
                      165
                                                             3 me~ Omni~ 1-2 tim~
                                                             3 me~ Omni~ 1-2 tim~
2 Male
         Junior
                     185
                            511 More~ 3-4 days 4-6 ~ Yes
3 Male
         Junior
                     185
                            72 4-6 ~ 3-4 days 2-4 ~ Yes
                                                            2 me~ Omni~ 1-2 tim~
4 Female Sophomo~
                     115
                             5 6-8 ~ 5-7 days 4-6 ~ Yes
                                                             2 me~ Omni~ O times
5 Female Senior
                     185
                             57 More~ 1-2 days 4-6 ~ Yes
                                                             3 me~ Omni~ O times
6 Female Junior
                      106
                             62 More~ 1-2 days 2-4 ~ No
                                                             2 me~ I ea~ 0 times
# i 6 more variables: Stress <dbl>, SocialMedia <chr>, Studying <chr>,
    GPA <dbl>, Health <chr>, Height <dbl>
summary(survey_data$Weight)
   Min. 1st Qu. Median
                           Mean 3rd Qu.
                                            Max.
  106.0
          135.0 160.0
                           159.0 173.5
                                           390.0
```

```
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. 5.000 5.675 29.550 57.827 67.500 511.000
```

#### summary(survey\_data\$GPA)

```
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. NA's 1.300 3.300 3.500 3.478 3.800 4.000 3
```

We can see from the dataset that there is only three numerical variables. While many of the variables include numerical values, and value that can be categorized, such as the number of meals per day, does not count as a numerical variable. Further, students stress level was determined to not be a numerical variable as it was not continuous, and was limited to only ten options. Thus our numerical variables were Weight, Height, and GPA.

We can observe that the Weight variable had a minimum value of 106 and a maximum value of 309, with a mean value of 159. A challenge with this data is that not all responses may be in the same units, or honest. With that in mind, our mean could be more affected by the maximum and minimum values.

The height variable is a little bit more difficult to interpret, as the there were inconsistencies with the collection of the data. Some respondents input their height in centimeters, some in inches and others in a combination of feet' inches" or feet.inches. This lead to the data being difficult to interpret. We can see that the minimum height is 5, while the maximum height is 511, with a mean height of 57.827. Realistically, someone is not 5 inches tall, nor 511 inches tall. We can assume that the 511 is five foot eleven, while the minimum is five foot even.

From the summary of students GPA, we can observe that there was a minimum GPA of 1.3, and a maximum GPA of 4.0, with a mean of 3.478 and 3 missing values. The missing values are likely due to students who did not want to report their GPA. However, the mean GPA value seems plausible in a real world context. The maximum GPA also makes sense as students at UCSB can only achieve a maximum GPA of 4.00. While the minimum GPA does seem slightly low for an upper division student, it is hard to determine without further context.

- a- Identify any missing values in the Survey dataset.
- b- Assess the missing data mechanism in the dataset.
- c- How would you handle the identified missing values? Save the treated dataset as SurveyMissing?
- d- Can you address any potential bias for this missing values?

#### ANSWERS TO QUESTION 3:

**a**)

From the dataset, we can see that there are some missing values in the Alcohol, GPA, Health columns.

b) The missing data could be attributed to multiple factors. For the alcohol variable, some students may not have wanted to answer, as alcohol consumption can be a personal subject. They might also feel uncomfortable answering such a question on a school related survey. For the GPA variable, some students may not want to disclose their GPA because they think it is too low, and there is social pressure to be within a certain GPA range. Similarly, the health variable, related to how many days per week a student exercises may be too personal for someone to feel comfortable disclosing to their peers. Thus, while there many reasons for why these data are missing, it is most likely due to students digression. That would make these data MNAR, or missing not at random, as the missingness is related to the sensitive nature of the variable itself.

**c**)

2

To handle the missing values in the dataset, we can use drop\_na to get rid of these rows that are missing data. While there are multiple methods to deal with the missing data, we have discussed in question one, our interest in the data correlating to some of these variables, thus we should drop rows that are missing these data.

```
SurveyMissing <- survey_data %>%
  drop_na(Alcohol, GPA, Health)
head(SurveyMissing)
```

```
# A tibble: 6 x 17
  Gender Academic Weight Heigh Sleep Exercise Water Alcohol Meals Diet FastFood
  <chr>>
         <chr>
                    <dbl> <dbl> <chr> <chr>
                                                <chr> <chr>
                                                               <chr> <chr> <chr>
1 Male
         Junior
                      165
                           58
                                6-8 ~ 5-7 days More~ Yes
                                                               3 me~ Omni~ 1-2 tim~
2 Male
         Junior
                      185 511
                                More~ 3-4 days 4-6 ~ Yes
                                                               3 me~ Omni~ 1-2 tim~
                                4-6 ~ 3-4 days 2-4 ~ Yes
3 Male
         Junior
                      185
                           72
                                                               2 me~ Omni~ 1-2 tim~
4 Female Senior
                      185
                           57
                                More~ 1-2 days 4-6 ~ Yes
                                                               3 me~ Omni~ O times
                                More~ 1-2 days 2-4 \sim No
5 Female Junior
                      106
                           62
                                                               2 me~ I ea~ 0 times
6 Male
         Junior
                      145
                            5.7 More~ 5-7 days More~ Yes
                                                               More~ Omni~ O times
 i 6 more variables: Stress <dbl>, SocialMedia <chr>, Studying <chr>,
    GPA <dbl>, Health <chr>, Height <dbl>
```


d) The bias for the missingness is likely due to the sensitive nature of the responses to those variables. See part b) of this question for further explanation.

- a- Detect and handle outliers in the Weight variable of the dataset.
- i- Justify your outlier detection method (e.g., IQR, Z-score, or visual inspection) and explain your chosen handling strategy (e.g., winsorization, capping, or removal).
- ii -Discuss the potential impact of these outliers on the analysis if left unaddressed.

#### ANSWERS TO QUESTION 4:

**Detect Outliers:** With a boxplot we can locate outliers by analyzing the whiskers of the plot and noticing the points that lie outside of them.

```
boxplot(survey_data$Weight, main="Boxplot of Weight", col ="blue",ylab= "Weight (lb)")
```




We notice two outliers that fall outside the whiskers. Above the 230 mark with visual inspection.

**Handling Strategy:** We can simply remove the outliers over the weight of 230 since they are extreme and do not accurately represent the population.

```
upper_limit<- 230
survey_data_no_outliers <- survey_data[survey_data$Weight < upper_limit, ]
boxplot(survey_data_no_outliers$Weight,main="Boxplot of Weight w/ No Outliers", col ="pink",ylab= "Weight")</pre>
```

# **Boxplot of Weight w/ No Outliers**



Consequences of Outliers: Outliers can have a great impact on the results of our analysis as they can affect our mean, variance, and standard deviation by providing an inaccurate measure due to an inflation or deflation of our statistics. Also, they can cause our results to be biased or lead to inaccurate p-values if they violate statistical test assumptions such as normality.

Investigate the relationship between sleep duration (Sleep) and perceived stress levels (Stress). Address the following:

- a- Calculate the average stress level for each sleep duration category and comment.
- b- Do certain sleep ranges (e.g., "4-6 hours") correlate with higher/lower stress?
- c- Use a boxplot to compare stress distributions across sleep categories and comment!

#### **ANSWERS TO QUESTION 5:**


To investigate the relationship between sleep duration and perceived stress levels, with must first calculate **the average** stress for each sleep duration category.

```
survey_data %>% select(Sleep, Stress) %>%
group_by(Sleep) %>%
summarise("Average Stress Level by Group" = mean(Stress))
```

**Comments:** We notice that on average, those who sleep less than 4 hours have the highest stress level of 10. We can also notice that those who sleep more than 8 hours have the lowest average stress level.

We can also look at a **boxplot** to visualize how the stress level changes based on sleep.

# Stress Distribution Across Sleep Categories



Conclusion: We can confidently state that there is a significant correlation between an increase in sleep duration and and decrease in perceived stress levels. 4-6 hours of sleep and less than 4 hours of sleep have the highest amount of perceived stress levels, which supports this claim. It is important to note, though, that 6-8 hours of sleep and more than 8 hours of sleep have notably long whiskers, which indicates that although they are getting good sleep, some may still be experiencing high stress levels. Regardless, their average stress level is still significantly lower than these stress levels, which again supports the correlation between high sleep duration and lower stress levels.

100

Create a visualization to show the distribution of Weight, comment!

```
survey_data_revisions <- read_excel("Survey.xlsx")

ggplot(survey_data_revisions, aes(x = Weight)) +

geom_histogram(binwidth = 5, fill = "cyan", color = "orange") +

labs(title = "Distribution of Weight",

x = "Weight",

y = "Frequency")</pre>
```

# Distribution of Weight 10.0 7.5 2.5 0.0

Weight

200

While there is evidently one outlier, what is much more interesting is the dip found around 150, it seems a lot of people hang just below and just above that range, but very infrequently actually in that area.

400


300

- a. Define a new variable BMI =  $\left(\frac{\text{Weight (lb)}}{\text{Height (in)}^2}\right) \times 703$ , and classify students into BMI categories based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines:
- $BMI < 18.5 \rightarrow$  "Underweight"
- $18.5~\mathrm{BMI} < 25 \rightarrow "Normal"$
- $25 \quad \mathrm{BMI} < 30 \rightarrow "Overweight"$
- BMI  $30 \rightarrow$  "Obese"
- b- Visualize and describe the distribution of students' BMI categories using a bar chart.
- c- Explore the correlations between **BMI**, **GPA**, and **Stress**. Use visualizations and correlation statistics to summarize the relationships.

Starting by standardizing the height to inches:


```
# Adjust the height column
   survey_data_revisions$Heigh <- sapply(survey_data_revisions$Heigh, function(h) {</pre>
     if (h < 3) {
        # they typed in meters
        return(h * 39.3701)
5
     } else if (h < 30) {
        # ft.inches format (5.11 means 5 feet 11 inches)
        parts <- strsplit(as.character(h), "\\.")[[1]]</pre>
        feet <- as.numeric(parts[1])</pre>
10
        inches <- ifelse(length(parts) > 1, as.numeric(parts[2]), 0)
11
12
        # adjust if they had the audacity to put partial inches
13
        if (inches \geq= 12) { inches <- inches/10 }
14
15
        return(feet * 12 + inches)
17
     } else if (h \ge 30 \&\& h < 100) {
18
        # already in inches
19
       return(h)
20
     } else if (h \ge 100 \&\& h < 350) {
21
        # they typed in centimeters
22
        return(h * 0.393701)
23
     } else {
24
        # ftin format (511 means 5 feet 11 inches)
25
26
       h_str <- as.character(h)
27
        feet <- as.numeric(substr(h_str, 1, 1))</pre>
28
        inches <- as.numeric(substr(h_str, 2, nchar(h_str)))</pre>
29
30
        # adjust if they had the audacity to put partial inches
31
        if (inches \geq= 12) { inches <- inches/10 }
32
33
        return(feet * 12 + inches)
34
35
   })
36
```

```
write_xlsx(survey_data_revisions, "Survey_Height_Revised.xlsx")
   a)
  BMI <- survey_data_revisions$Weight / survey_data_revisions$Heigh^2 * 704
   b)
   bmi_category <- cut(</pre>
     BMI,
     breaks = c(-Inf, 18.5, 25, 30, Inf),
     labels = c("Underweight", "Normal", "Overweight", "Obese")
5
   bmi_dataframe <- data.frame(Category = bmi_category)</pre>
   ggplot(bmi_dataframe, aes(x = Category)) +
9
     geom_bar(fill = "cyan") +
10
     labs(title = "BMI", x = "BMI Category", y = "Count")
11
```



c) Explore the correlations between **BMI**, **GPA**, and **Stress**. Use visualizations and correlation statistics to summarize the relationships.

# Correlation between BMI and Stress



## Correlation between BMI and GPA

2

