## Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem and its consequences

Below we prove the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem and use it to prove some general theorems that are helpful in determining whether sequences and series converge.

**Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.** If  $(x_n)$  is bounded, then it contains a convergent subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be bounded. Then there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_n| \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will construct a series of nested, closed intervals  $I_k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the length of  $I_k$  tends to 0, and each  $I_k$  contains infinitely many terms. We show that the point contained in  $I_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (which exists by the nested interval property) is the limit of our subsequence.

Consider the interval [-M,M] which the sequence  $(x_n)$  lives in. Now bisect it into two intervals [-M,0] and [M,0]. One of these intervals is guaranteed to contain infinitely many terms. Choose that interval and call it  $I_1$ . Choose  $n_1$  such that  $x_{n_1} \in I_1$ . Now bisect  $I_1$  into two intervals, and again choose the interval with infinitely many terms to be  $I_2$ , and choose  $n_2 > n_1$  such that  $x_{n_2}$  is in  $I_2$ . Continue this process so that  $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots$  and  $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \ldots$ . There exists  $x \in I_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  by the nested interval property. Note that  $\lim_{k \to \infty} |I_k| = \lim_{k \to \infty} M\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-1} = 0$ . Hence, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $k \ge N$ , we have  $|I_k| < \epsilon$ . Thus, because  $x_{n_k} \in I_N$  for all  $k \ge N$ , and  $x \in I_N$ , we know  $|x_{n_k} - x| < \epsilon$  for all  $k \ge N$ . So  $(x_{n_k}) \longrightarrow x$ .

Now will use the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem in proving the equivalence of being convergent and Cauchy. The Cauchy criterion is a handy tool we have for assessing convergence without identifying a specific limit. We need the following lemma to be able to use BW.

**Lemma.** If  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, then it is bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be Cauchy. Take  $\epsilon = 1$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq N, |x_n - x_m| < 1$ . This implies that  $|x_n| < |x_N| + 1$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Taking  $M = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, ..., |x_N| + 1\}$ , it is clear that  $|x_n| \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $(x_n)$  is bounded.

Now we can prove the equivalence of convergence and being Cauchy.

**Theorem.** A sequence  $(x_n)$  is convergent if and only if it is Cauchy.

Proof. We start with the backwards direction. Let  $(x_n)$  be Cauchy. Then there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq N_1$  we have  $|x_n - x_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Moreover, because  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, our lemma tells us that it is bounded. By Bolzano-Weierstrass, it must contain a convergent subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$ . Let  $x_{n_k} \longrightarrow x$ . Then there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n_k \geq N_2$ , we have  $|x_{n_k} - x| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Take  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . Then for  $n, n_k \geq N$ 

$$|x_n - x| \le |x_n - x_{n_k}| + |x_{n_k} - x|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

So  $x_n \longrightarrow x$ .

Now for the forwards direction. Let  $(x_n)$  converge. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists N such that for all  $n \geq N$ , we have  $|x_n - x| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . For  $n, m \geq N$ ,

$$|x_n - x_m| \le |x_n - x| + |x_m - x|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \epsilon.$$

So  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy. We are done.