

Heaps_1

@kbbhatt04

@June 28, 2023

Find K Pairs with Smallest Sums

You are given two integer arrays `nums1` and `nums2` sorted in **ascending order** and an integer `k`.

Define a pair (u, v) which consists of one element from the first array and one element from the second array.

Return the `k` pairs $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2), \dots, (u_k, v_k)$ with the smallest sums.

Example:

Input: `nums1 = [1,1,2]`, `nums2 = [1,2,3]`, `k = 2`

Output: `[[1,1],[1,1]]`

Explanation: The first 2 pairs are returned from the sequence: `[1,1],[1,1],[1,2],[2,1],[1,2],[2,2],[1,3],[1,3],[2,3]`

Note: Wrong intuition of using two pointers.

Consider this testcase: `nums1 = [1,1,2]`, `nums2 = [1,2,3]`, `k = 10`

In this when you maintain two pointers (`i` and `j`), and say you reach `i = 2` and `j = 0`. So you will add the pair `[nums1[i], nums2[j]]` to the answer vector and then increment `j`.

Now `i = 2` and `j = 1`. But before `[nums1[i], nums2[j]]` can make pair of `[2, 2]`, you also need pairs of `[nums1[0], nums2[1]]`, `[nums1[1], nums2[1]]` i.e. `[1, 2]` and `[1, 2]`.

By maintaining two pointer such as `i` and `j`, you will miss out on these pairs i.e. we will only get $(n+m)$ pairs instead of $(n*m)$ overall pairs. Thus this approach fails.

- Approach
 - Brute-force
 - Maintain a min-heap that stores two indices and sum of numbers at that indices `[{nums1[i] + nums2[j], {i, j}}]`
 - Push all pairs to the min-heap and pop out `k` pairs
 - Time Complexity: $O((n * m) \log(n * m) + k \log(n * m))$ where $k \log(n * m)$ is while popping `k` pairs with smallest sums
 - Space Complexity: $O(n * m)$
 - Better
 - Maintain a max-heap that stores two indices and sum of numbers at that indices `[{nums1[i] + nums2[j], {i, j}}]`
 - For each element in `nums1`, iterate over all elements of `nums2` and compare their sum with the top element of heap
 - If their sum is $<$ sum at top, then pop the heap and insert this new pair
 - Else if their sum is $>$ sum at top, then break inner for loop (i.e. skip remaining elements of `nums2`)
 - Time Complexity: $O((n * m) \log k)$
 - Space Complexity: $O(\min(k, n * m))$
 - Optimal



- These are all the pairs of indices of both arrays possible represented in binary tree form
- We maintain min-heap and visited set to keep track
- Initially, we add {nums1[0] + nums2[0], {0, 0}} pair to min-heap and {0, 0} pair to visited as we know it is guaranteed to be the smallest
- Run a loop `while k > 0 && !minHeap.empty()` and pop from minHeap and add it to answer list and also add next two index pair (i.e. {i+1, j} and {i, j+1} where i and j are obtained from the top element we just popped from the minHeap) to minHeap and visited set
- Time Complexity: $O(\min(k \log k, (n * m) \log(n * m)))$
- Space Complexity: $O(\min(k, n * m))$

```
# Python3
# Brute-force Solution
class Solution:
    def kSmallestPairs(self, nums1, nums2, k):
        pq = []
        for i in nums1:
            for j in nums2:
                heapq.heappush(pq, (i+j, i, j))
        ans = []
        for i in range(min(k, len(nums1) * len(nums2))):
            top = heapq.heappop(pq)
            ans += [top[1], top[2]],
        return ans
```

```
# Python3
# Better Solution
class Solution:
    def kSmallestPairs(self, nums1, nums2, k):
        pq = [] # max-heap
        for i in nums1:
            for j in nums2:
                if len(pq) < k:
                    # pushing negative sum as python inbuilt heapq is min-heap
                    heapq.heappush(pq, (-i-j, i, j))
                elif -i-j > pq[0][0]:
                    heapq.heappop(pq)
                    heapq.heappush(pq, (-i-j, i, j))
                else:
                    break
        ans = []
        for summ, i, j in pq:
```

```

        ans += [i, j],
    return ans

```

```

// C++
// Better Solution
#include <bits/stdc++.h>
class Solution {
public:
    vector<vector<int>>> kSmallestPairs(vector<int>& nums1, vector<int>& nums2, int k) {
        int n = nums1.size();
        int m = nums2.size();
        priority_queue<pair<int, pair<int, int>>> pq; // max-heap

        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
            for (int j = 0; j < m; j++) {
                if (pq.size() < k) {
                    pq.push({nums1[i]+nums2[j], {nums1[i], nums2[j]}});
                }
                else if (nums1[i] + nums2[j] < pq.top().first) {
                    pq.pop();
                    pq.push({nums1[i]+nums2[j], {nums1[i], nums2[j]}});
                }
                else {
                    break;
                }
            }
        }

        vector<vector<int>>> ans;
        while (!pq.empty()) {
            ans.push_back({pq.top().second.first, pq.top().second.second});
            pq.pop();
        }
        return ans;
    }
};

```

```

# Python3
# Optimal Solution
class Solution:
    def kSmallestPairs(self, nums1, nums2, k):
        queue = [] # min-heap
        visited = set()
        def push(i, j):
            if i < len(nums1) and j < len(nums2) and (i, j) not in visited:
                heapq.heappush(queue, [nums1[i] + nums2[j], i, j])
                visited.add((i, j))

        push(0, 0)
        pairs = []
        while queue and len(pairs) < k:
            _, i, j = heapq.heappop(queue)
            pairs += [nums1[i], nums2[j]],
            push(i, j + 1)
            push(i + 1, j)
        return pairs

```

```

// C++
// Optimal Solution
#include <bits/stdc++.h>
class Solution {
public:
    vector<vector<int>>> kSmallestPairs(vector<int>& nums1, vector<int>& nums2, int k) {
        int n = nums1.size();
        int m = nums2.size();

        // [[nums1[i], nums2[j]]]
        vector<vector<int>>> ans;
        // ({i, j})
        set<pair<int, int>> visited;
        // [{nums1[i] + nums2[j], {i, j}}]
        priority_queue<pair<int, pair<int, int>>, vector<pair<int, pair<int, int>>>, greater<pair<int, pair<int, int>>>> minHeap; //min-heap

        minHeap.push({nums1[0] + nums2[0], {0, 0}});
        visited.insert({0, 0});

        while (k-- && !minHeap.empty()) {
            auto top = minHeap.top();
            minHeap.pop();
            int i = top.second.first, j = top.second.second;

```

```

        ans.push_back({nums1[i], nums2[j]});

        if (i + 1 < n && visited.find({i+1, j}) == visited.end()) {
            minHeap.push({nums1[i+1] + nums2[j], {i+1, j}});
            visited.insert({i+1, j});
        }
        if (j + 1 < m && visited.find({i, j+1}) == visited.end()) {
            minHeap.push({nums1[i] + nums2[j+1], {i, j+1}});
            visited.insert({i, j+1});
        }
    }

    return ans;
}
};

```

Template

- Approach
 - Brute-force
 -
 - Time Complexity: $O(n^3)$
 - Space Complexity: $O(1)$
 - Better
 -
 - Time Complexity: $O(n^3)$
 - Space Complexity: $O(1)$
 - Optimal
 -
 - Time Complexity: $O(n^3)$
 - Space Complexity: $O(1)$

```

# Python3
# Brute-force Solution

```

```

# Python3
# Better Solution

```

```

# Python3
# Optimal Solution

```

```

// C++
// Optimal Solution

```