

## Teaching Evaluations

Bogyung Kim

**ECON 4300: Economics of Cities**

**Semester: Fall 2025**

Questions	% Strongly agree/agree	Average score
The instructor followed the plan for the course as established by the syllabus.	94.7%	4.78
The instructor explained the grading system clearly.	95.0%	4.70
The instructor was prepared for class (face-to-face and/or online).	100.0%	4.84
The instructor communicated effectively.	85.0%	4.55
The instructor was accessible to students.	95.0%	4.75
The instructor created an environment that helped students learn.	85.0%	4.50
The feedback I received from the instructor on my work improved my learning.	90.0%	4.55
Overall Average		4.7/5

Notes. Total students enrolled: 37; responses received: 22. Score ranges from 5 (strongly agree) to 1 (strongly disagree)

### Student Responses to Open-ended/Free Response Questions

*Q. What were the strengths of my instructor's course management and teaching style?*

- The instructor was clearly very knowledgeable about the subject matter. Whenever anyone had any question, even one only tangentially related, Ms. Bogyung was always able to answer.
- The course material was near an exact parallel to the textbook.
- It makes the class simple having a good work load.
- The homework due dates alligned with class very well which allowed us to cover our answers and go in depth to how to solve it.
- clear structure, making the course feel secure and focused.
- all good.
- All the lecture slides were clear and the information that was taught was on the exams and assignments.
- She was very organized and willing to help when needed.
- Very helpful when in need of assistance or clarification.
- She covered every topic that was on the exams. She let us know what to prepare and study for so we could be prepared.
- Class was timely and pre-planned.

*Q. What did I most appreciate about how my instructor interacted with students?*

- I loved that the instructor was very open to having office hours in order to go over questions or provide additional help.

- She is very comprehensive in case something happens as long as you let her know in advance she will understand you and don't give you a problem.
- Instructor is very nice and more than willing to work with students.
- Effective communicators.
- Timely responses.
- she was a very nice lady though class just wasn't very engaging. Concepts were already hard to follow, so a more interactive class would have probably made that better.
- I appreciated the communication; she would respond very quickly.
- She was very nice and understanding.
- Helpful when I needed it
- She was always very good with communication. She responds to emails very fast.
- The professor worked to adjust to students as effectively as possible and was open to feedback throughout the course.

*Q. What advice do I have for my instructor about the use of instructional time (face-to-face and/or online)?*

- No advice, the instructional time was used efficiently and fully covered all relevant material.
- Making the class a little bit more dynamic.
- None, the instructor did a good job of utilizing the time we had.
- increasing student engagement with quick checks.
- Try to create a program for student engagement in the class—more contact with the material.
- Moving at a slower pace so that we can grasp concepts would be best. In the future the class may be easier to understand if economic basics were briefly touched on in the first 1-2 classes.
- More interaction with students. When just sitting in class hearing a lecture, especially for 2 and half hours, it can be hard to retain so much information. Therefore, I think more interaction between the students and teacher would be very helpful.
- Make classes more interactive.
- Post an answer key to the study guide for the final. That would have helped me more.
- I feel as though the time in class could have been used more effectively to disseminate and heighten the level of understanding.

## **ECON 2105: Principles of Macroeconomics (Online Asynchronous)**

**Semester: Summer 2025**

Questions	% Strongly agree/agree	Average score
The instructor followed the plan for the course as established by the syllabus.	89.5%	4.52
The instructor explained the grading system clearly.	90%	4.60
The instructor was prepared for class (face-to-face and/or online).	90%	4.60
The instructor communicated effectively.	90%	4.60
The instructor was accessible to students.	90%	4.55
The instructor created an environment that helped students learn.	90%	4.60
The feedback I received from the instructor on my work improved my learning.	90%	4.55
Overall Average		4.6/5

Notes. Total students enrolled: 73; responses received: 28. Score ranges from 5 (strongly agree) to 1 (strongly disagree)

#### Student Responses to Open-ended/Free Response Questions

What were the strengths of my instructor's course management and teaching style?

- Virtual class, offers extra credit every week, swiftly answers back email.
- My professor was able to grade assignments in a timely manner.
- Open communication/ Realistic due dates for assignments.
- She really knew what her students need.
- Clear communication, organizational skills.
- She responded to emails with clear instructions.

What did I most appreciate about how my instructor interacted with students?

- Communication was amazing.
- she explained everything thoroughly.
- I got to interact with other students through the discussion's posts.
- She always made sure we knew we can come to with her any questions we may have.

What advice do I have for my instructor about the use of instructional time (face-to-face and/or online)?

- no advice, great teacher.
- Everything was good.
- To have emotion Intelligence for her students.