Part-of-speech tagging

Klinton Bicknell

(borrowing from: Dan Jurafsky and Jim Martin)

Today

- Parts of speech (POS)
- Tagsets
- POS Tagging
  - Rule-based tagging
  - HMMs and Viterbi algorithm

Parts of Speech

- 8 (ish) traditional parts of speech
  - Noun, verb, adjective, preposition, adverb, article, interjection, pronoun, conjunction, etc
  - Called: parts-of-speech, lexical categories, word classes, morphological classes, lexical tags...
  - Lots of debate within linguistics about the number, nature, and universality of these
    - We'll completely ignore this debate.

POS examples

- N noun chair, bandwidth, pacing
- V verb study, debate, munch
- ADJ adjective purple, tall, ridiculous
- ADV adverb unfortunately, slowly
- P preposition of, by, to
- PRO pronoun I, me, mine
- DET determiner the, a, that, those

POS Tagging

- The process of assigning a part-of-speech or lexical class marker to each word in a collection.

WORD	tag
the	**DET**
koala	**N**
put	**V**
the	**DET**
keys	**N**
on	**P**
the	**DET**
table	**N**

Why is POS Tagging Useful?

- First step of a vast number of practical tasks
- Speech synthesis
  - How to pronounce "lead"?
  - INsult inSULT
  - OBject obJECT
  - OVERflow overFLOW
  - DIScount disCOUNT
  - CONtent conTENT
- Parsing
  - Need to know if a word is an N or V before you can parse
- Information extraction
  - Finding names, relations, etc.
- Machine Translation

Open and Closed Classes

- Closed class: a small fixed membership
  - Prepositions: of, in, by, …
  - Auxiliaries: may, can, will had, been, …
  - Pronouns: I, you, she, mine, his, them, …
  - Usually function words (short common words which play a role in grammar)
- Open class: new ones can be created all the time
  - English has 4: Nouns, Verbs, Adjectives, Adverbs
  - Many languages have these 4, but not all!

Open Class Words

- Nouns
  - Proper nouns (Boulder, Granby, Eli Manning)
    - English capitalizes these.
  - Common nouns (the rest).
  - Count nouns and mass nouns
    - Count: have plurals, get counted: goat/goats, one goat, two goats
    - Mass: don't get counted (snow, salt, communism) (*two snows)
- Adverbs: tend to modify things
  - Unfortunately, John walked home extremely slowly yesterday
  - Directional/locative adverbs (here,home, downhill)
  - Degree adverbs (extremely, very, somewhat)
  - Manner adverbs (slowly, slinkily, delicately)
- Verbs
  - In English, have morphological affixes (eat/eats/eaten)

Closed Class Words

Examples:

- prepositions: on, under, over, …
- particles: up, down, on, off, …
- determiners: a, an, the, …
- pronouns: she, who, I, ..
- conjunctions: and, but, or, …
- auxiliary verbs: can, may should, …
- numerals: one, two, three, third, …

Prepositions from CELEX

of	540,085	through	14,964	worth	1,563	pace	12
in	331,235	after	13,670	toward	1,390	nigh	9
for	142,421	between	13,275	plus	750	re	4
to	125,691	under	9,525	till	686	mid	3
with	124,965	per	6,515	amongst	525	o'er	2
on	109,129	among	5,090	via	351	but	0
at	100,169	within	5,030	amid	222	ere	0
by	77,794	towards	4,700	underneath	164	less	0
from	74,843	above	3,056	versus	113	midst	0
about	38,428	near	2,026	amidst	67	o'	0
than	20,210	off	1,695	sans	20	thru	0
over	18,071	past	1,575	circa	14	vice	0

English Particles

aboard	aside	besides	forward(s)	opposite	through
about	astray	between	home	out	throughout
above	away	beyond	in	outside	together
across	back	by	inside	over	under
ahead	before	close	instead	overhead	underneath
alongside	behind	down	near	past	up
apart	below	east, etc.	off	round	within
around	beneath	eastward(s),etc.	on	since	without

Conjunctions

and	514,946	yet	5,040	considering	174	forasmuch as	0
that	134,773	since	4,843	lest	131	however	0
but	96,889	where	3,952	albeit	104	immediately	0
or	76,563	nor	3,078	providing	96	in as far as	0
as	54,608	once	2,826	whereupon	85	in so far as	0
if	53,917	unless	2,205	seeing	63	inasmuch as	0
when	37,975	why	1,333	directly	26	insomuch as	0
because	23,626	now	1,290	ere	12	insomuch that	0
so	12,933	neither	1,120	notwithstanding	3	like	0
before	10,720	whenever	913	according as	0	neither nor	0
though	10,329	whereas	867	as if	0	now that	0
than	9,511	except	864	as long as	0	only	0
while	8,144	till	686	as though	0	provided that	0
after	7,042	provided	594	both and	0	providing that	0
whether	5,978	whilst	351	but that	0	seeing as	0
for	5,935	suppose	281	but then	0	seeing as how	0
although	5,424	cos	188	but then again	0	seeing that	0
until	5,072	supposing	185	either or	0	without	0

POS Tagging
Choosing a Tagset

- There are so many parts of speech, potential distinctions we can draw
- To do POS tagging, we need to choose a standard set of tags to work with
- Could pick very coarse tagsets
  - N, V, Adj, Adv.
- More commonly used set is finer grained, the "Penn TreeBank tagset", 45 tags
  - PRP$, WRB, WP$, VBG
- Even more fine-grained tagsets exist

Penn TreeBank POS Tagset

Tag	Description	Example	Tag	Description	Example
CC	coordin. conjunction	*and, but, or*	SYM	symbol	+,%, &
CD	cardinal number	*one, two, three*	TO	"to"	*to*
DT	determiner	*a, the*	UH	interjection	*ah, oops*
EX	existential 'there'	*there*	VB	verb, base form	*eat*
FW	foreign word	*mea culpa*	VBD	verb, past tense	*ate*
IN	preposition/sub-conj	*of, in, by*	VBG	verb, gerund	*eating*
JJ	adjective	*yellow*	VBN	verb, past participle	*eaten*
JJR	adj., comparative	*bigger*	VBP	verb, non-3sg pres	*eat*
JJS	adj., superlative	*wildest*	VBZ	verb, 3sg pres	*eats*
LS	list item marker	*1, 2, One*	WDT	wh-determiner	*which, that*
MD	modal	*can, should*	WP	wh-pronoun	*what, who*
NN	noun, sing. or mass	*llama*	WP$	possessive wh-	*whose*
NNS	noun, plural	*llamas*	WRB	wh-adverb	*how, where*
NNP	proper noun, singular	*IBM*	$	dollar sign	*$*
NNPS	proper noun, plural	*Carolinas*	#	pound sign	#
PDT	predeterminer	*all, both*	"	left quote	' or "
POS	possessive ending	*'s*	"	right quote	' or "
PRP	personal pronoun	*I, you, he*	(	left parenthesis	[, (, {, <
PRP$	possessive pronoun	*your, one's*	)	right parenthesis	],), }, >
RB	adverb	*quickly, never*	,	comma	,
RBR	adverb, comparative	*faster*	.	sentence-final punc	. ! ?
RBS	adverb, superlative	*fastest*	:	mid-sentence punc	: ; ... – -
RP	particle	*up, off*			

Using the Penn Tagset

- The/DT grand/JJ jury/NN commmented/ VBD on/IN a/DT number/NN of/IN other/JJ topics/NNS ./.
- Prepositions and subordinating conjunctions marked IN ("although/IN I/ PRP..")
- Except the preposition/complementizer "to" is just marked "TO".

POS Tagging

- Words often have more than one POS: back
  - The back door = JJ
  - On my back = NN
  - Win the voters back = RB
  - Promised to back the bill = VB
- The POS tagging problem is to determine the POS tag for a particular instance of a word.

These examples from Dekang Lin

How Hard is POS Tagging? Measuring Ambiguity

		87-tag Original Brown		45-tag Treebank Brown	
Unambiguous (1 tag)		**44,019**		**38,857**	
Ambiguous (2–7 tags)		**5,490**		**8844**	
Details:	2 tags	4,967		6,731	
	3 tags	411		1621	
	4 tags	91		357	
	5 tags	17		90	
	6 tags	2	(*well*, *beat*)	32	
	7 tags	2	(*still*, *down*)	6	(*well*, *set*, *round*, *open*, *fit*, *down*)
	8 tags			4	(*'s*, *half*, *back*, *a*)
	9 tags			3	(*that*, *more*, *in*)

Two Methods for POS Tagging

1. Rule-based tagging
   - (ENGTWOL)
2. Stochastic
   1. Probabilistic sequence models
      - HMM (Hidden Markov Model) tagging
      - MEMMs (Maximum Entropy Markov Models)

Rule-Based Tagging

- Start with a dictionary
- Assign all possible tags to words from the dictionary
- Write rules by hand to selectively remove tags
- Leaving the correct tag for each word.

Start With a Dictionary

- she: PRP
- promised: VBN,VBD
- to TO
- back: VB, JJ, RB, NN
- the: DT
- bill: NN, VB

- Etc… for the ~100,000 words of English with more than 1 tag

Assign Every Possible Tag

			NN		
			RB		
	VBN		JJ		VB
PRP	VBD	TO	VB	DT	NN
She	**promised**	**to**	**back**	**the**	**bill**

Write Rules to Eliminate Tags

Eliminate VBN if VBD is an option when VBN|VBD follows "<start> PRP"

			NN		
			RB		
	VBN		JJ		VB
PRP	VBD	TO	VB	DT	NN
She	**promised**	**to**	**back**	**the**	**bill**

Stage 1 of ENGTWOL Tagging

- First Stage: Run words through FST morphological analyzer to get all parts of speech.
- Example: Pavlov had shown that salivation …

Pavlov	**PAVLOV N NOM SG PROPER**
had	**HAVE V PAST VFIN SVO**
	HAVE PCP2 SVO
shown	**SHOW PCP2 SVOO SVO SV**
that	ADV
	PRON DEM SG
	DET CENTRAL DEM SG
	CS
salivation	**N NOM SG**

Stage 2 of ENGTWOL Tagging

- Second Stage: Apply NEGATIVE constraints.
- Example: Adverbial "that" rule
  - Eliminates all readings of "that" except the one in
    - "It isn't that odd"

Given input: "that"

If

(+1 A/ADV/QUANT) **;if next word is adj/adv/quantifier**

(+2 SENT-LIM) **;following which is E-O-S**

(NOT -1 SVOC/A) **; and the previous word is not a**
; verb like "consider" which
; allows adjective complements
; in "I consider that odd"

Then eliminate non-ADV tags

Else eliminate ADV

Hidden Markov Model Tagging

- Using an HMM to do POS tagging is a special case of Bayesian inference
  - Foundational work in computational linguistics
  - Bledsoe 1959: OCR
  - Mosteller and Wallace 1964: authorship identification
- It is also related to the "noisy channel" model that's the basis for ASR, OCR and MT

POS Tagging as Sequence Classification

- We are given a sentence (an "observation" or "sequence of observations")
  - Secretariat is expected to race tomorrow
- What is the best sequence of tags that corresponds to this sequence of observations?
- Probabilistic view:
  - Consider all possible sequences of tags
  - Out of this universe of sequences, choose the tag sequence which is most probable given the observation sequence of n words $w_1 \ldots w_n$.

Getting to HMMs

- We want, out of all sequences of n tags $t_1 \ldots t_n$ the single tag sequence such that $P(t_1 \ldots t_n | w_1 \ldots w_n)$ is highest.

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(t_1^n | w_1^n)$$

- Hat ^ means "our estimate of the best one"
- Argmax_x f(x) means "the x such that f(x) is maximized"

Getting to HMMs

- This equation is guaranteed to give us the best tag sequence

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(t_1^n | w_1^n)$$

- But how to make it operational? How to compute this value?
- Intuition of Bayesian classification:
  - Use Bayes rule to transform this equation into a set of other probabilities that are easier to compute

Using Bayes Rule

$$P(x|y) = \frac{P(y|x)P(x)}{P(y)}$$

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{P(w_1^n|t_1^n)P(t_1^n)}{P(w_1^n)}$$

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(w_1^n|t_1^n)P(t_1^n)$$

Likelihood and Prior

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}} \overbrace{P(w_1^n|t_1^n)}^{\text{likelihood}} \overbrace{P(t_1^n)}^{\text{prior}}$$

$$P(w_1^n|t_1^n) \approx \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_i|t_i)$$



$$P(t_1^n) \approx \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(t_i|t_{i-1})$$

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(t_1^n|w_1^n) \approx \underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_i|t_i) P(t_i|t_{i-1})$$

Two Kinds of Probabilities

- Tag transition probabilities $p(t_i|t_{i-1})$
  - Determiners likely to precede adjs and nouns
    - That/DT flight/NN
    - The/DT yellow/JJ hat/NN
    - So we expect P(NN|DT) and P(JJ|DT) to be high
    - But P(DT|JJ) to be:
  - Compute P(NN|DT) by counting in a labeled corpus:

$$P(t_i|t_{i-1}) = \frac{C(t_{i-1}, t_i)}{C(t_{i-1})}$$

$$P(NN|DT) = \frac{C(DT, NN)}{C(DT)} = \frac{56,509}{116,454} = .49$$

Two Kinds of Probabilities

- Word likelihood probabilities $p(w_i|t_i)$
  - VBZ (3sg Pres verb) likely to be "is"
  - Compute P(is|VBZ) by counting in a labeled corpus:

$$P(w_i|t_i) = \frac{C(t_i, w_i)}{C(t_i)}$$

$$P(is|VBZ) = \frac{C(VBZ, is)}{C(VBZ)} = \frac{10,073}{21,627} = .47$$

Example: The Verb "race"

- Secretariat/NNP is/VBZ expected/VBN to/TO **race**/VB tomorrow/NR
- People/NNS continue/VB to/TO inquire/VB the/DT reason/NN for/IN the/DT **race**/NN for/IN outer/JJ space/NN
- How do we pick the right tag?

Disambiguating "race"



Example

- P(NN|TO) = .00047
- P(VB|TO) = .83
- P(race|NN) = .00057
- P(race|VB) = .00012
- P(NR|VB) = .0027
- P(NR|NN) = .0012
- P(VB|TO)P(NR|VB)P(race|VB) = .00000027
- P(NN|TO)P(NR|NN)P(race|NN)=.00000000032
- So we (correctly) choose the verb reading,

Hidden Markov Models

- What we've described with these two kinds of probabilities is a Hidden Markov Model (HMM)

Definitions

- A weighted finite-state automaton adds probabilities to the arcs
  - The sum of the probabilities leaving any arc must sum to one
- A Markov chain is a special case of a WFST in which the input sequence uniquely determines which states the automaton will go through
- Markov chains can't represent inherently ambiguous problems
  - Useful for assigning probabilities to unambiguous sequences

Markov Chain for Weather



Markov Chain for Words



Markov Chain: "First-order observable Markov Model"

- A set of states
  - Q = q_1, q_2…q_N; the state at time t is q_t
- Transition probabilities:
  - a set of probabilities A = $a_{01}a_{02}…a_{n1}…a_{nn}$.
  - Each a_{ij} represents the probability of transitioning from state i to state j
  - The set of these is the transition probability matrix A
- Current state only depends on previous state

$$P(q_i \mid q_1 .. q_{i-1}) = P(q_i \mid q_{i-1})$$

Markov Chain for Weather

- What is the probability of 4 consecutive rainy days?
- Sequence is rainy-rainy-rainy-rainy
- I.e., state sequence is 3-3-3-3
- P(3,3,3,3) =
  - $\pi_1 a_{11} a_{11} a_{11} a_{11} = 0.2 \times (0.6)^3 = 0.0432$

HMM for Ice Cream

- You are a climatologist in the year 2799
- Studying global warming
- You can't find any records of the weather in Baltimore, MA for summer of 2007
- But you find Jason Eisner's diary
- Which lists how many ice-creams Jason ate every date that summer
- Our job: figure out how hot it was

Hidden Markov Model

- For Markov chains, the output symbols are the same as the states.
  - See **hot** weather: we're in state **hot**
- But in part-of-speech tagging (and other things)
  - The output symbols are **words**
  - But the hidden states are **part-of-speech tags**
- So we need an extension!
- A Hidden Markov Model is an extension of a Markov chain in which the input symbols are not the same as the states.
- This means we don't know which state we are in.

Hidden Markov Models

- States $Q = q_1, q_2 \ldots q_{N;}$
- Observations $O = o_1, o_2 \ldots o_{N;}$
  - Each observation is a symbol from a vocabulary $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots v_V\}$
- Transition probabilities
  - Transition probability matrix $A = \{a_{ij}\}$

$$a_{ij} = P(q_t = j \mid q_{t-1} = i) \quad 1 \le i, j \le N$$

- Observation likelihoods
  - Output probability matrix $B = \{b_i(k)\}$

$$b_i(k) = P(X_t = o_k \mid q_t = i)$$

- Special initial probability vector π

$$\pi_i = P(q_1 = i) \quad 1 \le i \le N$$

Eisner Task

- Given
  - Ice Cream Observation Sequence: 1,2,3,2,2,2,3…
- Produce:
  - Weather Sequence: H,C,H,H,H,C…

HMM for Ice Cream



Transition Probabilities



Observation Likelihoods



Decoding

- Ok, now we have a complete model that can give us what we need. Recall that we need to get

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(t_1^n | w_1^n)$$

- We could just enumerate all paths given the input and use the model to assign probabilities to each.
  - Not a good idea.
  - Luckily dynamic programming (last seen in Ch. 3 with minimum edit distance) helps us here

The Viterbi Algorithm

function VITERBI(*observations* of len T,*state-graph* of len N) **returns** *best-path*

create a path probability matrix *viterbi*$[N+2,T]$
for each state s **from** 1 **to** N **do** ; initialization step
  $viterbi[s,1] \leftarrow a_{0,s} * b_s(o_1)$
  $backpointer[s,1] \leftarrow 0$
for each time step t **from** 2 **to** T **do** ; recursion step
  for each state s **from** 1 **to** N **do**
    $viterbi[s,t] \leftarrow \max_{s'=1}^{N} viterbi[s',t-1] * a_{s',s} * b_s(o_t)$
    $backpointer[s,t] \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{s'=1}^{N} viterbi[s',t-1] * a_{s',s}$
$viterbi[q_F,T] \leftarrow \max_{s=1}^{N} viterbi[s,T] * a_{s,q_F}$; termination step
$backpointer[q_F,T] \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{s=1}^{N} viterbi[s,T] * a_{s,q_F}$; termination step
return the backtrace path by following backpointers to states back in time from $backpointer[q_F,T]$



Viterbi Example



Viterbi Summary

- Create an array
  - With columns corresponding to inputs
  - Rows corresponding to possible states
- Sweep through the array in one pass filling the columns left to right using our transition probs and observations probs
- Dynamic programming key is that we need only store the MAX prob path to each cell, (not all paths).

Evaluation

- So once you have you POS tagger running how do you evaluate it?
  - Overall error rate with respect to a gold-standard test set.
  - Error rates on particular tags
  - Error rates on particular words
  - Tag confusions...

Error Analysis

- Look at a confusion matrix

	IN	JJ	NN	NNP	RB	VBD	VBN
IN	—	.2			.7		
JJ	.2	—	**3.3**	2.1	1.7	.2	**2.7**
NN		**8.7**	—				.2
NNP	.2	**3.3**	**4.1**	—	.2		
RB	**2.2**	2.0	.5		—		
VBD		.3	.5			—	**4.4**
VBN		**2.8**				**2.6**	—

- See what errors are causing problems
  - Noun (NN) vs ProperNoun (NNP) vs Adj (JJ)
  - Preterite (VBD) vs Participle (VBN) vs Adjective (JJ)

Evaluation

- The result is compared with a manually coded "Gold Standard"
  - Typically accuracy reaches 96-97%
  - This may be compared with result for a baseline tagger (one that uses no context).
- Important: 100% is impossible even for human annotators.

Summary

- Parts of speech
- Tagsets
- Part of speech tagging
- HMM Tagging
  - Markov Chains
  - Hidden Markov Models