Multi-step RL: Unifying Algorithm

Kirill Bobyrev

November 7, 2017

Plan

- Introduction
- From MC and one-step TD to multi-step Bootstrapping
- n-step methods
 - 1-step into *n*-steps transition
 - Backups overview
- $oldsymbol{Q}(\sigma)$ algorithm
 - Relation to other algorithms
 - Backups
 - Off-policy learning
 - Algorithm details
- Comparison
- Conclusion



Results

Introducing algorithm $Q(\sigma)$ with following properties

- Model-free
- Can be trained both off- and on-policy
- Uses *n*-step backup rule
- Generalizes a huge number of existing algorithms while subsuming them as special cases
- \bullet Performs better given a reasonable choice of hyperparameter σ

Monte Carlo methods

- Sample many episodes
- MC every-visit backup: $V(S_t) \leftarrow V(S_t) + \alpha[G_t V(S_t)]$
- Does not need environment model

TD methods

- Combines Monte Carlo and Dynamic Programming
- Does not need environment model
- Uses bootstrapping for updates
- Sample many steps instead of methods
- One-step TD backup: $V(S_t) \leftarrow V(S_t) + \alpha [R_t \gamma V(S_t)]$

From 1-step to *n*-step


Define multi-step return for TD:

$$G_{t:t+n} \stackrel{.}{=} R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \ldots + \gamma^{n-1} R_{t+n} + \gamma^n V_{t+n-1}(S_{t+n})$$
 Using this multi-step return:

- Monte Carlo backup uses $G_{t:T}$
- One-step TD backup uses $G_{t:t+1}$

n-step TD:
$$V_{t+n}(S_t) \doteq V_{t+n-1}(S_t) + \alpha[G_{t:t+n} - V_{t+n-1}(S_t)]$$
 $Q(\sigma)$ is based on *n*-step Sarsa and *n*-step Tree Backup

Backups: From one-step TD to MC



n-step Sarsa

Transition from one-step Sarsa to *n*-step version is straightforward:

$$G_{t:t+n} = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \ldots + \gamma^{n-1} R_{t+n} + \gamma^n Q_{t+n-1} (S_{t+n}, A_{t+n})$$

The update rule is transformed as follows:

$$Q_{t+n}(S_t, A_t) = Q_{t+n-1}(S_t, A_t) + \alpha[G_{t:t+n} - Q_{t+n-1}(S_t, A_t)]$$

Generalizing Expected Sarsa would modify the error definition by a small margin:

$$\delta_t^{S} = R_{t+1} + \gamma Q(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}) - Q(S_t, A_t)$$

$$\delta_t^{ES} \stackrel{.}{=} R_{t+1} + \gamma \sum_{a} \pi(a|S_{t+1}) Q_t(S_{t+1}, a) - Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t)$$

Therefore we obtain Expected Sarsa return:

$$G_{t:t+n} \stackrel{\cdot}{=} R_{t+1} + \ldots + \gamma^{n-1} R_{t+n} + \gamma^n \sum_{a} \pi(a|S_{t+n}) Q_{t+n-1}(S_{t+n}, A_{t+n})$$

8 / 22

Sarsa off-policy learning

- ullet π is greedy policy for the current action-value-function estimate
- ullet μ is exploratory policy, perhaps arepsilon-greedy


Goal: learn value function for π while following μ Solution: use importance sampling ratio and modify update rule

$$\rho_{t:t+n} = \prod_{k=t}^{\min t+n, T-1} \frac{\pi(A_k|S_k)}{\mu(A_k|S_k)}$$

$$Q_{t+n}(S_t, A_t) \doteq Q_{t+n-1}(S_t, A_t) + \alpha \rho_{t+1:t+n-1}[G_{t:t+n} - Q_{t+n-1}(S_t, A_t)]$$

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 めるぐ

n-step Sarsa and Expected Sarsa backup mechanisms





Tree Backup: off-policy without Importance Sampling

Tree Backup is the multi-step generalization of Expected Sarsa:

$$G_{t:t+1} \stackrel{.}{=} R_{t+1} + \gamma \sum_{a} \pi(a|S_{t+1})Q_t(S_{t+1}, a) = \delta_t^{ES} + Q_{t-1}(S_{t+1}, a)$$

Hence *n*-step return of Tree Backup is a sum of TD errors:


$$G_{t:t+n} \stackrel{.}{=} Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t) + \sum_{k=t}^{\min t+n-1, T-1} \delta_k^{ES} \prod_{i=t+1}^k \gamma \pi(A_i|S_i)$$

Taking update rule from *n*-step Sarsa:

$$Q_{t+n}(S_t, A_t) = Q_{t+n-1}(S_t, A_t) + \alpha [G_{t:t+n} - Q_{t+n-1}(S_t, A_t)]$$



Tree Backup mechanism



Relation to other algorithms

Two families of multi-step algorithms:

- Algorithms that backup their actions and samples (Sarsa and Expected Sarsa)
- Algorithms that consider an expectation over all actions in their backup (Expected Sarsa and Tree Backup)

New parameter $\sigma \in [0,1]$, which controls the degree of sampling at each step of the backup, unifies both families

Details


Error modification:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_t^{\sigma} &= \sigma_{t+1} \delta_t^{S} + (1 - \sigma_{t+1}) \delta_t^{ES} \\ &= R_{t+1} + \gamma [\sigma_{t+1} Q_t(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}) + (1 - \sigma_{t+1}) V_{t+1}] - Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t) \end{aligned}$$

Resulting return:

$$G_t^{(n)} = Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t) + \sum_{k=t}^{\min t + n - 1, T - 1} \delta_k^{\sigma} \prod_{i=t+1}^k \gamma[(1 - \sigma_i)\pi(A_i|S) + \sigma_i]$$

Backup comparisons



σ choosing strategies

- Constant $\sigma = C$
- Altering $\sigma(t) = 1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots = [t \mod 2 = 0]$
- Random $\sigma(t) \sim \mathcal{U}[0,1]$
- Decreasing or increasing over t (between 0 and 1)



$Q(\sigma)$ off-policy learning

- Both base algorithms can be trained off-policy
- $Q(\sigma)$ importance sampling for off-policy learning combines the off-policy learning ideas for base algorithms

Learning policy π while following μ can be achieved via introducing appropriate importance ratio:

$$\rho_{t+1}^{t+n} = \prod_{k=t+1}^{\min t + n - 1, T - 1} (\sigma_k \frac{\pi(A_k | S_k)}{\mu(A_k | S_k)} + 1 - \sigma_k)$$

Algorithm description

```
1: Initialize S_0 \neq S_T; select A_0 according to \pi(.|S_0)
 2: Store S_0, A_0 and Q(S_0, A_0)
 3: for t \leftarrow 0, T + n - 1 do
         if t < T then
 4.
 5:
             Take action A_t, get R, observe and store S_{t+1}
             if S_{t+1} is S_T then
 6:
                  Store \delta_t^{\sigma} = R - Q(S_t, A_t)
 7:
             else
 8:
                  Select and store A_{t+1} according to \pi(.|S_{t+1}|
 9:
                  Store Q(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}), \sigma_{t+1}, \pi(A_{t+1}|S_{t+1})
10:
                  Calculate and store \delta_t^{\sigma}
11:
             end if
12:
         end if
13
14:
         if t \ge n then
             Calculate and store G_t^{(n)}
15:
             Perform backup: Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow S(t, A_t) + \alpha [G_t^{(n)} - Q(S_t, A_t)]
16:
17:
         end if
18: end for
```

Comparing Sarsa, Tree Backup, Q(0.5) and dynamic σ performance



Synopsis

Today we

- saw how n-step algorithms are derived from MC and one-step TD methods
- revised through Sarsa and Tree Backup
- were introduced to the $Q(\sigma)$ algorithm in MDP setting
- outlined the relation of presented algorithm to other *n*-step methods
- gained some intuition about algorithm structure
- compared $Q(\sigma)$ performance to its base algorithms

References



Kristopher De Asis, J. Fernando Hernandez-Garcia, G. Zacharias Holland, Richard S. Sutton.

Multi-step Reinforcement Learning: A Unifying Algorithm. arXiv, 3 Mar 2017.



Richard S. Sutton, Andrew G. Barto.

Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction.

MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 19 Jun 2017 Draft.



Materials

Presentation, code and other materials are available in the GitHub repository

