

Big - O notation

Often, want to classify functions according to growth rate

" $n^2 + n$ grows faster than $20n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ "

or " $n^2 + n^3$ ~~grows~~ decays ~~faster~~ than n as $h \rightarrow 0$ "

we say " $f(x) = O(g(x))$ " ($f(x)$ is order $g(x)$)
 $x \rightarrow a$

if for all x sufficiently close to a , there is a constant

$C > 0$ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq C g(x)$$

"As x tends to a , $f(x)$ grows no faster than $g(x)$ "

Ex: $f(n) = 5n^2 + 2n + 1$, $n \rightarrow \infty$

Proof: $|f(n)| \leq 5n^2 + 2n^2 + n^2 \leq 8n^2$

$$\Rightarrow f(n) = O(n^2) \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty$$

"largest power wins"

Ex: $f(h) = \cancel{2h^2} + 3h + h^3, h \rightarrow 0$

small: $|f(h)| \leq 2h + 3h + h = 6h$

$\Rightarrow f(h) = O(h) \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0.$

"smallest power wins" ~~power~~

Runge-Kutta methods

Basic idea: sample more points of $f(t, u)$
to get better approximations

x: midpoint method

$$s_1^n = f(t_n, u_n)$$

$$s_2^n = f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, u_n + \frac{h}{2} s_1^n\right)$$

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h$$

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + s_2^n$$

take an Euler step with size $\frac{h}{2} \rightarrow$ slope s_2 at the midpoint
move along s_2 from t_0 to t_1

x: "classical Runge-Kutta" RK4

(MATLAB's ode45)

$$s_1^n = f(t_n, u_n)$$

$$s_2^n = f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, u_n + \frac{h}{2} s_1^n\right)$$

$$s_3^n = f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, u_n + \frac{h}{2} s_2^n\right)$$

$$s_4^n = f\left(t_n + h, u_n + h s_3^n\right)$$

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h$$

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + \underbrace{\frac{h}{6} (s_1^n + 2s_2^n + 2s_3^n + s_4^n)}_{\text{weighted average}}$$

error analysis: tedious, but can show

RK4 is order-4 method

most RKn methods employ weighted averages to cancel out $O(h^n)$ terms in the Taylor expansion.

Stability

What is a good value of h ?

Forward Euler

Consider test equation

$$\frac{du}{dt} = -\lambda u, \quad u(0) = 1$$

$$\rightarrow u(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$$

Euler method: $t_n = t_0 + h = nh$

$$u_n = u_{n-1} + f(t_{n-1}, u_{n-1})$$

$$= u_{n-1} - h\lambda u_{n-1} = (1 - \lambda h) u_{n-1}$$

$$= (1 - h\lambda)^n$$

in general: $u_n = (1 - h\lambda)^n u_0$

We know that our test problem has solution that decays to 0 for large t .

A method is stable (\Rightarrow) the approximations also decay for large n .
numerical

here: $| (1 - h\lambda)^n | \rightarrow \infty \Leftrightarrow |1 - h\lambda| > 1$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 - h\lambda < -1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow h\lambda > 2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{h > \frac{2}{\lambda}}$$

\rightarrow Forward Euler is stable for $h < \frac{2}{\lambda}$

\rightarrow Since FE is not always stable, we call it a conditionally stable method.

Implicit Euler

Instability often cured by backward methods

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h$$

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + hf(t_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \left. \vphantom{u_{n+1}} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{implicit eqn} \\ \text{for } u_{n+1} \end{array}$$

costly: linear system $\dot{\vec{u}} = A \vec{u}$

$$\rightarrow \vec{u}_{n+1} = (I - hA)^{-1} \vec{u}_n$$

solving a linear system is much more costly than a matrix-vector product!

Error analysis: can show: order-1 method

Stability: $u_{n+1} = u_n - h\lambda u_{n+1} \quad (\text{test problem})$

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow u_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{1+h\lambda} u_n \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+h\lambda)^n} u_0 \end{aligned}$$

\leadsto always decays!

\leadsto Implicit Euler is unconditionally stable

general stability

$$\frac{d\vec{u}}{dt} = A \vec{u}, \quad \vec{u}(0) = \vec{u}_0$$

$$\rightarrow \vec{u}(t) = C_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \vec{v}_1 + \dots + C_N e^{\lambda_N t} \vec{v}_N$$

$$A \vec{v}_i = \lambda_i \vec{v}_i$$

Euler method stability : $h < \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}}$

λ_{\max} has minimal real part,
(fastest decaying mode)

at: generally want to know dynamics on the slowest timescale
(to see all the dynamics)

$$\rightarrow t_{\max} \approx \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}}$$

\rightarrow Number of time steps needed for stable integration with explicit method

$$N = \frac{t_{\max}}{h} \sim \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}}$$

if $\frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}}$ is large the ODE is called

stiff and requires special methods
(such as implicit Euler)

Nonlinear stability

usual analysis: close to a fixed point

$$\frac{d\vec{u}}{dt} = \vec{f}(\vec{u})$$

$$\vec{f}(\vec{u}_0) = \vec{0} \rightarrow \vec{u}(t) = \vec{u}_0$$

consider small perturbations

$$\vec{u}(t) = \vec{u}_0 + \Delta \vec{u}(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \frac{d\Delta \vec{u}}{dt} &= \vec{f}(\vec{u}_0 + \Delta \vec{u}(t)) \\ &= \underbrace{\vec{f}(\vec{u}_0)}_{=0} + \underbrace{\mathbf{J}}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{Jacobian } J_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_j} \big|_{\vec{u}_0}}} \Delta \vec{u} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta u^2) \end{aligned}$$

\rightarrow linear system, can use standard methods.