

## Results (taken from JIE\_revision on 6/17/15)

I'm taking all the results from the paper and going to attempt to re-write them for the modified legislative constraint

- I need to determine whether I can get away from two arguments:
  1. That the TA is symmetric, so changing  $\tau^a$  means a concomitant change in  $\tau^{*a}$  (this would simplify the base result for  $\frac{\partial \bar{e}}{\partial \tau^a}$ )
  2. That only one country has a random chance to change the TA
- I'm ignoring the section on repeated incentives for now
- I'm also ignoring the fact that I will have to rewrite the basic model to include an extra stage for the tariff cap