Temporary Trade Barriers: When Will They End?

Kristy Buzard
Syracuse University and The Wallis Institute
kbuzard@syr.edu

May 13, 2016

In

- ▶ r
- ▶ g
- **▶** a

000 Preview

Overview

Preview of Results

- ► H
 - ► R
- **▶** E
 - ▶ P
 - ▶ R
 - ► T

Preview

Outline of Talk

- 1. B
- 2. E
- 3. R
- 4. F
- 5. Conclusion

Economic and Political Structure

Timeline

Taking trade agreement tariff and anti-dumping duties as given,

- 1. Import-competing firms lobby DOC/ITC to renew AD duties
- 2. Uncertainty is resolved
- 3. DOC/ITC decide whether to renew duties
- 4. Private actors make production, consumption decisions

Economy

- ► Two countries: home and foreign (*)
- ► Separable in three goods: X and Y (traded) and numeraire
- ▶ Demand identical for both goods in both countries
- ▶ Supply: $Q_X^*(P_X) > Q_X(P_X) \ \forall P_X$; symmetric for Y
 - ▶ Home net importer of X, net exporter of Y

Home levies τ on X, Foreign levies τ^* on Y

 $lackbox{P} P_X = P_X^W + au \ ext{and} \ \pi_X(P_X) \ ext{increasing in } au$

Non-tradable specific factors motivates political activity

Political Structure

In Home country (foreign is passive):

Model

- ▶ Dept. of Commerce / Int'l Trade Commission
 - ► Can renew AD duties
 - Susceptible to influence of lobbying, perhaps both direct and indirect
 - ► Modeled in reduced form
- ► A Single Lobby
 - ► Represents import-competing sector, X

"Government"

Renewal decision determined by complex process including DOC, ITC, pressure via other political bodies. Reduced form:

$$W_G = CS_X(\tau) + \gamma(e, \theta)\pi_X(\tau) + CS_Y(\tau^*) + \pi_Y(\tau^*) + TR(\tau)$$

 $ightharpoonup CS_i(\cdot)$: consumer surplus

Model 000

- $\blacktriangleright \pi_X(\tau)$: profits of import-competing industry
- $\blacktriangleright \pi_Y(\tau^*)$: profits of exporting industry
- $ightharpoonup TR(\tau)$: tariff revenue

The Players

"Government"

$$W_G = CS_X(\tau) + \gamma(e, \theta)\pi_X(\tau) + CS_Y(\tau^*) + \pi_Y(\tau^*) + TR(\tau)$$

- $\triangleright \gamma(e,\theta)$: weight on import-competing industry profits
 - ▶ e: lobbying effort

Model

 \blacktriangleright 0: uncertain element in G's preferences

Assumption 1

1. $\gamma(e, \theta)$ is increasing and concave in e for all $\theta \in \Theta$.

The Players

Lobby

Lobby chooses effort to maximize:

$$\{1 - \Pr[AD \text{ Renewal}]\} \ \pi(\tau^a) + \Pr[AD \text{ Renewal}] \ \pi(\tau^{ad}) - e$$

- ▶ e: Lobbying effort
- \triangleright τ^a : home import tariff under trade agreement
- $ightharpoonup au^{ad}$: home import tariff equivalent under anti-dumping duties

Timeline

- 1. Import-competing firms lobby DOC/ITC to renew AD duties
- 2. Uncertainty is resolved
- 3. DOC/ITC decide whether to renew duties
- 4. Private actors make production, consumption decisions

Why uncertainty?

Government

▶ Renews AD duties if G prefers τ^{ad} to τ^a

Lobby

- ▶ Given (τ^a, τ^{*a}) and τ^{ad} , lobby knows what e is required to induce renewal
- ▶ Lobby pays this e if: $\pi(\tau^{ad}) e > \pi(\tau^a)$

In Equilibrium

► Firms only put forth effort when they know renewal will be granted

Timeline

- 1. Import-competing firms lobby DOC/ITC to renew AD duties
- 2. Uncertainty is resolved
- 3. DOC/ITC decide whether to renew duties
- 4. Private actors make production, consumption decisions

Government

G renews AD duties if its utility is higher under AD duties than trade agreement tariff

- \blacktriangleright Preferences are ex-ante uncertain through θ
- ▶ When does G renew AD duties?

 $b(e, \tau^a, \tau^{ad})$: probability G prefers τ^{ad} to τ^a for a given effort level e

Lemma 1

The probability that G renews AD duties is increasing and concave in lobbying effort e (i.e. $\frac{\partial b}{\partial e} \ge 0$, $\frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial e^2} \le 0$).

Result 1

The total probability that G renews AD duties is decreasing in the home trade agreement tariff τ^a .

There's both a direct effect and an indirect effect through lobby's incentives, and both are negative:

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial e}\frac{\partial e}{\partial \tau^a} + \frac{\partial b}{\partial \tau^a}$$

Foreign's Trade Agreement Tariff

Assuming trading partner does not retaliate

▶ No difference in foreign tariff under AD duty and τ^a . So no effect on G's incentives (either direct or indirect)

Result 2

The total probability that G renews AD duties is unaffected by foreign's trade agreement tariff τ^a .

Profitability of Import-Competing Sector

Assume $\pi(\cdot)$ shifts up uniformly for all τ .

- ► Convexity of profits ⇒ G's marginal benefit of providing protection goes up
- ► Convexity of profits ⇒ return from lobbying increases

Result 3

The total probability that G renews AD duties is increasing in the profitability of the import-competing sector.

Future Work

- ► C
- **►** E
- ▶ P
- ► A

Conclusion

- ► S
- **►** E
- ► F