# Temporary Trade Barriers: When Will They End?

Kristy Buzard
Syracuse University and The Wallis Institute
kbuzard@syr.edu

May 13, 2016

# The Questions

- ▶ How long do deviations from trade agreement tariffs last?
- ▶ What are the determinants of these deviations?
  - ► They don't happen for *no* reason at all
  - ► Take political economy perspective
- ▶

## Institutional Detail

- 1. Temporary trade barriers have potential to be renewed
  - ► For Anti-dumping (AD) duties, initial five year term, renewal for five years
  - ▶ For Safeguards, four then four; much rarer than AD
- 2. Authorized by WTO
- 3. Very little in the agreements to guide the process
- 4. WTO litigation has given governments a LOT of latitude on renewals
- 5. Conclusion

Preview

Overview

## Preview of Results

- ► H
  - ► R
- **▶** E
  - ▶ P
  - ▶ R
  - ► T

## Timeline

Taking trade agreement tariff and anti-dumping duties as given,

- 1. Import-competing firms lobby DOC/ITC to renew AD duties
- 2. Uncertainty is resolved
- 3. DOC/ITC decide whether to renew duties
- 4. Private actors make production, consumption decisions

Model

# Economy

- ► Two countries: home and foreign (\*)
- ► Separable in three goods: X and Y (traded) and numeraire
- ▶ Demand identical for both goods in both countries
- ▶ Supply:  $Q_X^*(P_X) > Q_X(P_X) \ \forall P_X$ ; symmetric for Y
  - ▶ Home net importer of X, net exporter of Y

Home levies  $\tau$  on X, Foreign levies  $\tau^*$  on Y

 $ightharpoonup P_X = P_Y^W + \tau$  and  $\pi_X(P_X)$  increasing in  $\tau$ 

Non-tradable specific factors motivates political activity

## Political Structure

### In Home country (foreign is passive):

- ▶ Dept. of Commerce / Int'l Trade Commission
  - ► Can renew AD duties
  - ► Susceptible to influence of lobbying, perhaps both direct and indirect
  - Modeled in reduced form
- ► A Single Lobby
  - ▶ Represents import-competing sector, X

The Players

## "Government"

Renewal decision determined by complex process including DOC, ITC, pressure via other political bodies. Reduced form:

$$W_G = CS_X(\tau) + \gamma(e, \theta)\pi_X(\tau) + CS_Y(\tau^*) + \pi_Y(\tau^*) + TR(\tau)$$

 $ightharpoonup CS_i(\cdot)$ : consumer surplus

000

- $\blacktriangleright \pi_X(\tau)$ : profits of import-competing industry
- $\blacktriangleright \pi_Y(\tau^*)$ : profits of exporting industry
- $ightharpoonup TR(\tau)$ : tariff revenue

## "Government"

$$W_G = CS_X(\tau) + \gamma(e, \theta)\pi_X(\tau) + CS_Y(\tau^*) + \pi_Y(\tau^*) + TR(\tau)$$

- $\triangleright \gamma(e,\theta)$ : weight on import-competing industry profits
  - e: lobbying effort

000

 $\triangleright$   $\theta$ : uncertain element in G's preferences

#### Assumption 1

1.  $\gamma(e, \theta)$  is increasing and concave in e for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

The Players

# Lobby

Lobby chooses effort to maximize:

$$\{1 - \Pr[AD \text{ Renewal}]\} \ \pi(\tau^a) + \Pr[AD \text{ Renewal}] \ \pi(\tau^{ad}) - e$$

- ▶ e: Lobbying effort
- $\triangleright$   $\tau^a$ : home import tariff under trade agreement
- $\triangleright$   $\tau^{ad}$ : home import tariff equivalent under anti-dumping duties

## Timeline

- 1. Import-competing firms lobby DOC/ITC to renew AD duties
- 2. Uncertainty is resolved
- 3. DOC/ITC decide whether to renew duties
- 4. Private actors make production, consumption decisions

# Why uncertainty?

#### Government

▶ Renews AD duties if G prefers  $\tau^{ad}$  to  $\tau^a$ 

### Lobby

- ▶ Given  $(\tau^a, \tau^{*a})$  and  $\tau^{ad}$ , lobby knows what e is required to induce renewal
- ▶ Lobby pays this e if:  $\pi(\tau^{ad}) e > \pi(\tau^a)$

#### In Equilibrium

► Firms only put forth effort when they know renewal will be granted

Lobby must be able to trigger the original AD duty

- ► Can think of non-adherence to MFN as eqm path dispute
- ► It could be justified, not motivated by politics/rent-seeking
- ▶ But still, firms lobby for duties they don't get

So what's the uncertainty about?

- ► Strength of evidence
- ► Probability foreign will retaliate or initiate dispute (indirect)
- ► G's valuation of harm to industry, e.g. how politically important is industry?

#### Timeline

- 1. Import-competing firms lobby DOC/ITC to renew AD duties
- 2. Uncertainty is resolved
- 3. DOC/ITC decide whether to renew duties
- 4. Private actors make production, consumption decisions

G renews AD duties if its utility is higher under AD duties than trade agreement tariff

- ▶ Preferences are ex-ante uncertain through  $\theta$
- ▶ When does G renew AD duties?

 $b(e, \tau^a, \tau^{ad})$ : probability G prefers  $\tau^{ad}$  to  $\tau^a$  for a given effort level e

#### Lemma 1

The probability that G renews AD duties is increasing and concave in lobbying effort e (i.e.  $\frac{\partial b}{\partial e} \ge 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial e^2} \le 0$ ).

## Result 1

The total probability that G renews AD duties is decreasing in the home trade agreement tariff  $\tau^a$ .

There's both a direct effect and an indirect effect through lobby's incentives, and both are negative:

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial e}\frac{\partial e}{\partial \tau^a}+\frac{\partial b}{\partial \tau^a}$$

## Foreign's Trade Agreement Tariff

Assuming trading partner does not retaliate

▶ No difference in foreign tariff under AD duty and  $\tau^a$ . So no effect on G's incentives (either direct or indirect)

#### Result 2

The total probability that G renews AD duties is unaffected by foreign's trade agreement tariff  $\tau^a$ .

Assume  $\pi(\cdot)$  shifts up uniformly for all  $\tau$ .

- ► Convexity of profits ⇒ G's marginal benefit of providing protection goes up
- ► Convexity of profits ⇒ return from lobbying increases

#### Result 3

The total probability that G renews AD duties is increasing in the profitability of the import-competing sector.



Assume  $\gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$  shifts up uniformly for all  $(e, \theta)$  pairs.

- ► G gives more weight to firms' benefit
- ► Lobbying incentives are unchanged

#### Result 3

The total probability that G renews AD duties increases when the weighting function shifts up exogenously and uniformly.

When  $\tau^{ad}$  increases, two effects on G's incentives:

- ► Social welfare decreases, pushes for decrease in renewal probability
- ► (Over-weighted) import-competing profits increase, pushes for increase in renewal probability

Indirect effect is of same sign as direct effect

- ▶ When  $\tau^{ad}$  (i.e. close to social optimum), second effect dominates  $\Rightarrow$  increase in renewal probability
- ► Effect may be concave

## Future Work

- ▶ Comparative static on uncertainty measure
- ► Empirical work
- ► Extend model to include initial decision to grant protection.
  - ► Explain variation in a lobby's incentives between original application of AD and renewal
  - ► Lobby's choice between investing in productive vs. rent-seeking behavior while protected