

Market integration mediates human-environment interactions among traditional ranchers in Baja California Sur, Mexico

Kenneth B. Vernon¹, Brian F. Coddling^{2,3}, Simon Brewer⁴, and Shane J. Macfarlan^{2,3}

¹*Center for Collaborative Synthesis in Archaeology Institute of Behavioral Science University of Colorado, Boulder 1440 15th Street Boulder, CO 80302*

²*University of Utah Department of Anthropology, 260 S. Central Campus Drive, RM 4625, Salt Lake City, UT 84112*

³*University of Utah Global Change and Sustainability Center, 115 South 1460 East, RM 234 FASB, Salt Lake City, UT 84112*

⁴*University of Utah Department of Geography, 260 S. Central Campus Drive, RM 4625, Salt Lake City, UT 84112*

Draft compiled on 2023-07-12

Abstract

Market integration presents new opportunities and challenges for traditional communities still engaged in a largely subsistence-based economy. In this paper, we explore one particular aspect of that dynamic: how market integration affects the way that populations of historically marginalized ranchers distribute themselves across a landscape, where they feel the competing pull of urban amenities and local ecosystem services. Our study focuses on a small community of ranchers living in kin-based ranch clusters in the rugged and arid landscapes of the Sierra de la Giganta mountains along the eastern spine of the Baja California peninsula in Mexico. Our models show that ranch clusters are more likely to be abandoned the farther they are from surficial springs, and occupied ranch clusters have larger populations the closer they are to markets. This has important consequences for the ecological resilience of this ranching community, particularly in the context of climate change.

Keywords: Market Integration, Ideal Free Distribution, Behavioral Ecology, Sustainability, Urbanization, Land Abandonment

Target Journal(s): Nature Sustainability, Human Ecology, PNAS

Corresponding author email: Kenneth.Vernon@colorado.edu

1 Introduction

In traditional, small-scale subsistence economies, the value of a habitat is typically a function of its local resources, particularly their distribution and abundance. As individuals integrate with modern markets, however, that connection becomes more tenuous. This can be a good thing, of course, allowing individuals to diversify their livelihood, making them more flexible and capable of absorbing potential risks and uncertainty (Barrett, Reardon, and Webb 2001; Little et al. 2001). But, it can have other, unforeseen consequences, too, especially as individuals come to depend on markets for their livelihood. For those living in predominantly marginal habitats, it may even have the opposite effect, making them more sensitive to the effects of climate change and other exogenous forces like infrastructure development and range fragmentation (Fratkin 2001; Hobbs et al. 2008; Thornton et al. 2009). Together, these conflicting outcomes suggest important trade-offs for individuals presented with the opportunity to integrate more closely with modern markets. Time and energy they put into accessing regional market services is often time and energy they cannot spend accessing local ecosystem services. How they navigate that trade-off can have important consequences for their health and well-being (see, for example, Liebert et al. 2013; Urlacher et al. 2016; Stagaman et al. 2018), including dramatic changes to their life history and demography (Shenk et al. 2016; Colleran et al. 2015). It is, thus, critically important to understand how and to what degree individuals choose to integrate with modern markets.

Here we explore that question, focusing on a small group of historically marginalized rural ranchers living in the arid Sierra de la Giganta region of Baja California Sur, Mexico (Shane J. Macfarlan, Schacht, Foley, et al. 2020, see Figure 1). While these people are sometimes referred to as “choyeros”, a local nickname for anyone born in the rural, mountainous ranching communities of Baja California Sur (also a reference to the local cholla cactus, *Cylindropun-*

tia cholla), in this paper, we refer to them simply as “ranchers” or “rancheros”, which are the expressions they typically use to describe themselves. Descended from Euro-American colonists who began settling the region in the late-17th century (H. W. Crosby 1994), they number approximately 4,000 today, living in communities largely organized around clusters of one or more ranches housing inter-generational kin. These communities are some of the most severely under-served in the region, lacking access to critical services and infrastructure, including piped water, sanitation, and energy (Secretaría de Desarrollo Social 2021).

Given the aridity of the area and lack of regionally connective water infrastructure, rancheros depend almost entirely on surficial springs to satisfy their water needs, including drinking water for themselves and their livestock, as well as irrigation for garden plots (known as huertas and jardines), which provide a small supplement to human and livestock diets during dry periods (Shane J. Macfarlan, Schacht, Foley, et al. 2020; Shane J. Macfarlan, Schacht, Schniter, et al. 2020; Schniter et al. 2021; Lerback et al. 2022). To make use of springs, ranchers either have to pump water themselves, using gas or solar powered equipment, or transport it by gravity irrigation (Lerback et al. 2022). A strong incentive thus exists to locate in closer proximity to these water resources.

A similar, though competing, incentive exists for accessing markets in urban areas like Loreto, Ciudad Constitución, and La Paz, the capital of Baja California Sur. These cities furnish rural ranchers with many of the necessities they cannot acquire locally, including the ability to trade livestock, register animals with the state, receive medical attention, renew water permits, purchase groceries, and socialize with people outside their local community. The chance for supplemental income will also on occasion draw rancheros to the cities, as it has for pastoralists elsewhere (Barrett, Reardon, and Webb 2001; Little et al. 2001). This

includes working in construction, seasonal employment in coastal fisheries, or the tourist sector, specifically within the resort towns of Cabo San Lucas and San Jose del Cabo.

As a baseline for investigating the demographic consequences of these competing necessities, we first explore how market integration and local ecology structure the distribution of occupied and abandoned ranch clusters. This is a process that took place over several hundred years, with the shift to its current distribution beginning in the late 1970s, following construction of the transpeninsular Federal Highway 1 (Barkenbus 1974). We then ask how market integration and local ecology have shaped the distribution of the population across occupied clusters today.

Importantly, we investigate the degree to which modern land fragmentation, specifically the security of land title, serves as an effective constraint on these dynamics. This is important because pastoralists have historically lacked clear title to land, instead relying on open rangeland systems (Behnke 1994; Turner 1999). In Mexico, these systems take the form of *ejidos*, or areas of communal agricultural land. The first *ejidos* were established by the Mexican state in the early part of the twentieth century (Perramond 2008), though in our project area they did not arrive until 1975 (DOF 1975) and 1976 (DOF 1976), respectively. While these *ejidos* have historically served as a buffer against land fragmentation, allowing rancheros to maintain their way of life, their ability to play that role continues to change as the pace of privatization and shifting land tenure accelerates (Lesorogol 2003; Reid, Galvin, and Kruska 2008). As has happened in other contexts, privatization efforts are often led by NGOs, commercial interests, and other external forces, with the result being greater fragmentation of pastoral rangeland systems (BurnSilver, Worden, and Boone 2008; Galvin 2009). For the rural ranchers of the Sierra de la Giganta, this process of fragmentation at least partially explains why ranches of related individuals tend to cluster. Rancheros, unable




Figure 1. Overview map. The top right panel shows the location of the study area (in red) in relation to the two nearest city centers, La Paz and Ciudad Constitucion. Panel A shows the distribution of ranch clusters in each watershed, as well as their population size (size and color). Note that a population of zero means the ranch cluster is abandoned. Panel B shows the distribution of land secure and land insecure ranch houses (shape and color) for all occupied ranch houses. Solid white lines represent Federal Highway 1 (in the top right panel), major roads connecting it to the project area, and other roads and paths in the project area.

to find new land for themselves, simply build ranches next to their kin on land that is at least historically associated with their family.

Our analysis relies on two generalized linear models (GLMs). We use a binomial GLM to evaluate whether market integration or local ecology has played a larger role in determining the current status of ranch clusters, if they are occupied or abandoned. We then use a negative binomial GLM to identify which variable contributes more to the distribution of these ranching populations across currently occupied clusters, with a binary term representing land security. These are applied to ranch clusters in two east-west running watersheds along the southern extent of the Sierra de la Giganta range.

The key measure in all these models is cost-distance or travel time. This has been used as a proxy for market integration in other studies focusing on largely subsistence-based economies (see especially [Gurven et al. 2017](#)), with models exploring its relationship to, among other things, social status ([Schultz 2019](#)), hunting effort and efficiency ([Luz et al. 2015](#)), and oral tradition ([Schniter et al. 2018](#)). An important advantage of our analysis, however, is that we use cost-distance as a proxy for both market integration and local ecology (or ecosystem services). Specifically, we use cost-distance to major cities as a proxy for market integration and cost-distance to local surficial springs as a proxy for ecosystem services. This allows for more straightforward comparisons of their relative contributions to settlement outcomes, which can then be readily interpreted as strategies for navigating trade-offs between those two variables.

We would also like to emphasize an important historical dimension to this research, namely, that the process leading to the current distribution of occupied and abandoned ranch clusters played out before Ciudad Constitucion (the large urban area to the north of our study area) offered significant market benefits. This is reflected in how we specify each model. The model

of occupied and abandoned ranch clusters includes only proximity to La Paz as a measure of market integration, which historically was the only market that ranchers accessed prior to the construction of Federal Highway 1. The model of population distributions across occupied ranches, however, includes cost-distance to La Paz and Ciudad Constitucion, as both have offered markets regularly used by ranchers in our study population today. All models are evaluated for goodness of fit, multicollinearity, and residual autocorrelation.

2 Results

We initiated this research to understand how local ecology and market integration influenced settlement dynamics. Our first model examines how these two factors influence whether or not a ranch cluster is occupied or abandoned. The model suggests that a cluster's status (whether it is occupied or abandoned) negatively co-varies with cost-distance to spring ($\beta = -4.803$, $p = 0.009$) and positively co-varies with cost-distance to La Paz ($\beta = 2.797$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that ranch clusters are more likely to be occupied closer to springs and further from town (Table 2, Figure 2). Our second model examines how local ecology and market integration influence the distribution of the population across occupied ranch clusters today. The model shows that population size across occupied ranch clusters negatively co-varies with cost-distance to cities ($\beta = -0.802$, $p < 0.001$), for both Constitucion and La Paz, but does not significantly co-vary with cost-distance to springs ($\beta = 0.699$, $p = 0.299$) (Table 2, Figure 2). The model with an additive land security term suggests that more secure ranch clusters have slightly smaller populations, but the effect is non-significant ($\beta = -0.114$, $p = 0.687$). The ANOVA also indicates that an additive land security term does not significantly improve the model ($\chi(1) = 3.003$, $p = 0.684$). The same is true for an interactive term.

The null hypothesis of no spatial autocorrelation in the untransformed residuals cannot be rejected for either the occupation status ($I = -0.023$, $p = 0.820$) or population size ($I = -0.082$, $p = 0.460$) model, thus indicating a lack of spatial correlation. Measures of variance inflation are minimal in each case, approximately one for all terms, strongly suggesting no multicollinearity in the independent variables.

Coefficient Estimates

model	term	estimate	std error	z value	p value
Occupied-Abandoned	(Intercept)	-2.489	0.836	-2.977	0.003
	paz	2.797	0.757	3.695	0.000
	springs	-4.803	1.828	-2.628	0.009
Population	(Intercept)	2.844	0.238	11.939	0.000
	cities	-0.802	0.214	-3.753	0.000
	springs	0.699	0.673	1.039	0.299

?(caption)

3 Discussion

With this analysis, we seek to understand how rural ranchers in Baja California Sur respond to important trade-offs between their local ecology and market integration. Our results indicate that the locations of modern ranch clusters are largely constrained by access to surficial water sources, our proxy for habitat suitability in this arid environment, rather than access to the capital La Paz. This observation is supported by the fact that occupied ranch clusters are more likely to be found farther from the capital, rather than closer to it. However, within those constraints, the rancheros are now living at larger densities in

locations with easier access to Ciudad Constitucion and La Paz, our proxies for market integration. In other words, water availability determines *where* people live but not *how many* people live there. Instead, that is being driven largely by markets, which pull people closer to the cities.




Figure 2. Response plots. Marginal response plots showing change in the dependent variables (occupied vs abandoned ranch clusters and population size) as a function of change in one independent variable while holding the other at its mean.

3.1 Proxies for market integration

One important advantage of this research concerns the use of travel costs as a measure of both market integration and local adaptation. Using this simple metric provides a straightforward way of evaluating the relative contribution of each variable to the outcome of interest,

in this case, settlement decisions among rural ranchers. It also conforms with geographic theory regarding spatial interactions and dependence (otherwise known as Tobler’s Law) and overcomes known limitations of Euclidean distance as a cost measure. And, it is a simple matter to derive those cost estimates from easily accessible remote-sensed elevation and road network data held in online repositories. High resolution informant data, on the other hand, are generally difficult and costly to acquire, often with little chance of providing the right sort of overlap between different variables required for fair comparisons.

It is true, as Mattison et al. (2022) point out, that market integration is a multi-dimensional process, making it at first blush an awkward concept to try and capture in a single variable like cost-distance or caloric-intake (Henrich, Heine, and Norenzayan 2010). Still, Tobler’s Law would seem to suggest that all the variables strongly associated with market integration will also co-vary with proximity to cities and their markets. In other words, the costs and benefits of market integration will positively co-vary with access to markets - both will increase as one gains greater access to and interacts more often with markets. So, the closer individuals are, the more likely they should be to derive their caloric requirements from cities, to work in cities, to own televisions, cellphones, and other goods sold in cities, but also the more likely they should be to face intense competition for jobs, higher rents, and other costs associated with living in that proximity.

The ranchers in our study population also recognize that those who live closer to the trans-peninsular highway have greater access to markets and are more likely to interact with them. They have said as much during our conversations with them. It is perhaps worth noting in this context that cost distance (specifically transport cost) is also a fundamental variable in the new economic geography, where models seek to explain urbanization or the spatial concentration of economic activity (Krugman 1991; Fujita, Krugman, and Venables

2001) and thus finds support from that direction, too. So, when we look at where individuals actually choose to live (how near or how far from cities and markets they choose to reside), it is safe to assume we are also getting an answer to the question of how they weigh the costs and benefits of cities against those afforded by a rural way of life.

3.2 Historical antecedents

What explains our results? There are many dimensions to this question, but key to any general understanding of such processes is the idea that people will choose to live where they can make the best living for themselves given the socioeconomic and environmental constraints that confront them. Those constraints will, in turn, differ depending on how one chooses to go about making a living (Vernon et al. 2022). For the people of the Sierra de la Giganta, that means living where you can expect to do ranching and subsistence crop production reasonably well, that is, where one has access to permanent water sources, as well as flat lands that sit above dry riverbeds (so they are not impacted by flooding caused by seasonal tropical storms and hurricanes). Much like the vast majority of the Baja California peninsula, the Sierra de La Giganta is a hot, arid, mountainous landscape with minimal surficial freshwater stocks (Maya et al. 1997). The only permanent sources of naturally occurring freshwater in this region are arid-land springs (Grismer and McGuire 1993; Shane J. Macfarlan, Schacht, Foley, et al. 2020; Shane J. Macfarlan, Schacht, Schniter, et al. 2020; Shane J. Macfarlan et al. 2021). Due to the lack of infrastructure in this region, households require springs to support both domestic (e.g. bathing and drinking) and economic needs (e.g. supporting livestock and crop production). Our statistical results are consistent with our ethnographic interviews with ranchers which indicate that access to a spring is the single most important factor for establishing and maintaining a home (Lerback et al. 2022).

Our first statistical model indicates that abandoned ranches were located farther from springs. Although this appears to run counter to our previous claim about the importance of springs to all settlement in the region, we believe this is an artifact of our analytic framework, which required us to collapse the temporal dimension of this largely historical process. Historical and ethnographic evidence suggest that as the first Euro-American colonists entered this region in the 18th century, they occupied the largest springs in close proximity to arable land (H. W. Crosby 1994). As the population expanded over time, individuals would move up or down drainages, to the next closest spring that could support subsistence needs. After time, ranchers likely saturated the landscape by occupying all areas with permanent freshwater (sensu Harry W. Crosby 1981) as well as less desirable locations which were supported not by springs, but by ephemeral freshwater sources such as tinajas and seasonally filled lake basins, which can hold water for months or years if they receive sufficient precipitation via the North American Monsoon.

However, after the construction of the Transpeninsular Highway and associated graded dirt roads in the 1970s and 1980s, the payoffs structuring settlement decisions were likely altered, as novel constraints and opportunities emerged. For a subsistence population undergoing market transition, the ideal household location has become both next to a spring and close to a road. These roads allowed ranchers to access urban goods and services more easily and created an incentive for households occupying springs and ephemeral freshwater sources far from the road to move closer to it. This process resulted in a depopulation event between the two major drainages. As this process has unfolded over the last 30-40 years, springs near roads with the closest access to urban markets have experienced increasing intensification as suitable habitats for establishing new households have been exhausted, resulting in the highest population densities.

3.3 Socio-ecological consequences

The findings presented here have important consequences for traditional ranching communities living in similar circumstances, for how they maintain their way of life while meeting the challenges posed by climate change, land fragmentation, and other exogenous forces. For instance, researchers have shown that market integration within traditional agricultural communities involves a shift from intensive to extensive kinship systems, or shifts from small kin networks with higher degrees of relatedness to large kin networks with lower degrees of relatedness (Peña, Alfonso-Sánchez, and Calderón 2002). This appears to be the result of a decreasing reliance on consanguineous or close-kin marriage customs, with families favoring expansive social networks over stronger family ties within a market economy (Shenk et al. 2016). Currently, the ranching communities of Baja California Sur are characterized by more intensive kin networks, but the limited available evidence suggests that this might be changing, with individuals increasingly marrying outside of the ranching community, most likely to individuals living within the cities. Families are as a consequence increasing their connections to the cities and by extension increasing the incentives for integrating more closely with their markets.

These settlement dynamics have also had variable ecosystem outcomes. Springs that exist closer to the road, which are more heavily utilized, typically experience substantial negative outcomes relative to springs that exist in the depopulated zone, including biodiversity loss, higher rates of introduced exotic species, soil degradation, and noise and chemical pollution (León de la Luz and Domínguez Cadena 2006). This is unfortunate, as the springs and the emergent terrestrial ecosystems they support are considered Ramsar sites (wetlands of international importance), as well as national terrestrial and hydrological priority regions (Arriaga Cabrera, Aguilar Sierra, and Alcocer Durán 2002; Ramsar 2022). However, it is in-

accurate to assume, as many conservation biologists, NGOs, and urban elites seem to do, that ranchers and their lifestyles have uniformly negative impacts on regional ecosystem health (Riemann and Ezcurra 2005). For it appears that small, riparian ecosystems surrounding springs in the depopulated hinterland away from roads are currently on the rebound, with higher rates of endemism, and this in spite of the fact that ranching continues in the region. Similar outcomes have also been noted elsewhere (Vaccaro and Ortiz Díaz 2021). So, concentration of the population within this region might actually be beneficial, at least from the perspective of biodiversity and ecosystem health, a pattern that appears to be recurring across the globe as populations become more urbanized (Daskalova and Kamp 2023). For that matter, current research suggests that the complete abandonment of a region can have negative consequences for biodiversity given the co-evolutionary histories of small-scale subsistence economies and their local ecosystems (Fischer, Hartel, and Kuemmerle 2012).

Current demographic trends across the region may also exacerbate these local processes (Vasco, Tamayo, and Griess 2017). Since the signing of NAFTA, the total population of the southern peninsula has more than doubled, from 375 thousand in 1995 to nearly 800 thousand in 2020. As one might expect, these increases have occurred almost exclusively within the peninsula’s urban corridors (INEGI 2023b, 2023a) . This entails increased demand for goods and services and by necessity, increased demand for the labor that can provide them, thereby increasing the incentives for locating in closer proximity to cities and abandoning more remote locations.

3.4 Climate change and drought

Of particular urgency for the rural ranchers of the Sierra de la Giganta is ongoing climate-induced drought (Cavazos and Arriaga-Ramirez 2012; Colorado-Ruiz et al. 2018). For the reasons we have emphasized throughout, a lack of access to government services and

infrastructure makes them uniquely sensitive to these local impacts (Hansen et al. 2019). That is almost certainly why access to water plays a larger role in constraining the location of occupied ranch clusters. It may also explain the decisions ranchers make about the size and composition of their herds, as intra- and inter-annual variation in precipitation - in the absence of water infrastructure - is the primary factor driving the abundance and distribution of most animal fodder in the region (Pettorelli 2013; Shane J. Macfarlan et al. 2023). Previous research has shown that pastoralists respond to and oftentimes prepare for drought conditions by reducing their herd size, selling off stock in the nearby cities, or switching to more drought tolerant alternatives like small ruminants (Mace and Houston 1989; Mace 1990, 1993). While these animals are more efficient in terms of their total land area, feed, and water requirements, there is less demand for them in city markets. This implies that ranchers in the region, while trying to address the ongoing threat of droughts, will lose important sources of capital they would need to access other resources provided by modern markets that they depend on.

3.5 Conclusion

Trade-offs between local ecology and market integration will continue to shape the development of rural, largely subsistence-based communities, especially as globalization and urbanization accelerate. This is as true of the rural ranchers of the Sierra de la Gigantes as it is for ranchers, pastoralists, and small-scale agriculturalists in other parts of the world. For ranchers living in the remote corners of Baja California Sur, the data coupled with informant reporting together suggest that these ranchers feel the pull of markets, being compelled to infill around ranches nearer to roads that give them greater access to those markets. The consequences of this spatial sorting of the population are complicated as well. While integration can help to mitigate local risks, it also raises new ones, with population packing

increasing pressure on nearby water resources that are crucial to the sustainability of these communities. At the same time, the concentration of the population appears to offer positive results for the ecology of abandoned areas, among other things increasing their biodiversity.

The research presented in this paper raises a number of important issues that deserve greater attention, particularly the manner and extent to which proximity or cost-distance to markets correlates with other proxies for integration. Answering that question would go along way towards helping researchers overcome many of the methodological challenges associated with richly ethnographic studies of remote and largely subsistence-based economies and in the process offer new avenues for investigating the ongoing integration of those systems with modern markets.

4 Methods

To evaluate the determinants of ranch occupation and population size, we rely on two generalized linear models (GLMs). We use a binomial GLM to evaluate whether market integration or local ecology has played a larger role in structuring the distribution of occupied and abandoned ranch clusters. We then use a negative binomial GLM to identify which variable contributes more to the distribution of rancher populations across currently occupied clusters, with an additional variable representing land security, or the proportion of ranch houses within a cluster having clear title to their property, as this may mediate ranch population size. The use of a negative binomial rather than the standard Poisson model for count data is preferred here to account for dispersion, or circumstances in which the variance deviates from the mean, which violates a key assumption of the Poisson distribution. These models are applied to ranch clusters in two largely east-west running watersheds along the southern extent of the Sierra de la Giganta range.

4.1 Data

The dependent variables, occupation status and population, we obtain from Mexico’s online Catálogo de Localidades ([Secretaría de Desarrollo Social 2021](#)), coupled with fieldwork conducted over several years beginning in 2014. In total, the sample includes 72 ranch clusters, with 39 being unoccupied or abandoned and the remaining 33 being occupied by 290 individuals.

The independent variables, market integration and habitat suitability, we operationalize using three estimates of cost-distance: (i) one to La Paz, (ii) one to Ciudad Constitucion or La Paz (depending on the watershed), and (iii) one to local springs, with each involving a mix of pedestrian and automotive travel. The first two variables are our proxies for market integration, under the assumption that increased interaction with markets will positively covary with proximity to the cities. The third variable, cost-distance to springs, is our proxy for habitat suitability, as water is a critical resource in this arid environment. In the binomial GLM, which examines the distribution of abandoned versus active ranching clusters, we use only cost-distance to La Paz (i) as Ciudad Constitucion did not offer a significant market when those locations were being established. We then use cost-distance to both Ciudad Constitucion and La Paz (ii) in the negative binomial GLM examining ranch-cluster population sizes. Cost-distance to springs (iii) is used in both models, on the assumption that the location of active streams would not have changed during the period of time in question ([Grismer and McGuire 1993](#)).

Land fragmentation is here operationalized as land security, defined as the proportion of ranch houses within a cluster with clear title to land or who are registered members of an ejido. Information on this is provided by Mexico’s National Institute of Statistics and Geography ([Registro Agrario Nacional 2019](#)) and by ethnographic observation. Of the

occupied ranch clusters, only 8 are fully secure, meaning all ranch houses within the cluster have full title to their property. Of the remaining 25, less than half of their ranch houses are secure.

4.2 Travel time

To estimate travel time, we first derive a gridded cost surface from a 30-meter resolution digital elevation model derived from the National Elevation Dataset provided by the United States Geological Survey. This is achieved by applying Campbell’s hiking function (Campbell et al. 2019) to slope estimates extracted from the elevation model. The result is a cost surface where the value of each 30-meter grid cell represents the time in seconds it would take to traverse that cell.

Three important assumptions underlie the cost-surface model. First, we assume that the average walking speed of a rancher is approximately equal to the third decile of US hikers (about 2.5 meters per second over flat ground), which increases or decreases based on slope. Second, we assume that no individual will traverse a slope greater than 35 degrees. Obviously, there will be exceptions to this, but ethnographic observation does suggest that it is true in general for the rancheros in this region. Plus, our distance measure is used for relative comparisons, making the precise absolute value of limited concern. Finally, we assume that travel on roads and built paths will be less costly than through grid cells where vegetation and other landform characteristics provide obstacles to travel. In the cost surface, this is achieved by first classifying paths as primary (for maintained roads), secondary (for non-maintained roads), and tertiary (for walking paths), and then weighting the travel cost associated with each by 0.2, 0.5, and 0.8, respectively. This is equivalent to increasing the speed of travel to 12.5, 5, and 3.125 m/s, making the speeds more comparable to driving a car or riding a horse along the primary and secondary paths, which is what the ranchers

here do when going to the city or visiting their springs or neighbors. All road paths were digitized manually using Google Earth and validated through field observation.




Figure 3. Shortest paths. Maps showing least-cost paths to springs and cities.

Once we have this cost surface, we then use Dijkstra’s algorithm to identify least-cost paths from ranch clusters to springs and cities and, thus, the total time it takes to travel from each origin to each destination. These are shown in Figure 3. We note, however, that strictly speaking, we do not measure travel time to the cities, just to the edge of the study region in the direction of Federal Highway 1, for both the northern and southern watersheds. Each one has a single road that connects it to Highway 1 and thus to the markets in Ciudad Constitucion to the north and the capital La Paz to the south. The “road” that connects the watersheds to each other is not maintained and thus rarely used. As a consequence, nearly all of the variance in travel time to cities is found within each watershed. For that reason, we estimate the time it takes to leave each watershed (“leaving” here means hitting the edge of the project area), rather than the true time it takes to get to each city. We interpret this as the relative difference in time it takes to get to Ciudad Constitucion from the northern watershed and the relative difference in time it takes to get to La Paz from the southern

watershed. To get to La Paz from the northern watershed, we simply add an arbitrary half hour to the total time to get out of that watershed. The same would presumably be the case to get to Ciudad Constitucion from the southern watershed, though that value does not factor into our analysis. For springs, our ethnographic data reveal that individuals residing within the same ranch cluster tend to rely on one or two springs at most (Lerback et al. 2022). Thus, we estimate road distance to the two nearest springs and take the average.

4.3 Model evaluation

For completeness, we fit three versions of the negative binomial model of ranch cluster population: one without the land security variable, one with it included as an additive term, and one with it included as an interaction term. After fitting these models, we perform an ANOVA Likelihood Ratio Test for significant improvement in fit. We then test for spatial autocorrelation in the untransformed residuals of all models, including the binomial model, using Monte Carlo simulations of Moran's I. We also test for multicollinearity in our cost-distance variables by calculating their respective variance inflation factors.

All analyses are conducted in the R programming language and environment (R Core Team 2022). For more details on these models and the cost-distance analysis, please see the supplementary materials.

5 References

- Arriaga Cabrera, Laura, Verónica Aguilar Sierra, and Javier Alcocer Durán. 2002. *Aguas Continentales y Diversidad Biológica de México*. Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad (CONABIO).
- Barkenbus, Jack. 1974. “The Trans-Peninsular Highway: A New Era for Baja California.” *Journal of Interamerican Studies and World Affairs* 16 (3): 259–73. <https://doi.org/10.2307/174886>.
- Barrett, C. B., T. Reardon, and P. Webb. 2001. “Nonfarm Income Diversification and Household Livelihood Strategies in Rural Africa: Concepts, Dynamics, and Policy Implications.” *Food Policy* 26 (4): 315–31. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-9192\(01\)00014-8](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-9192(01)00014-8).
- Behnke, Roy. 1994. “Natural Resource Management in Pastoral Africa.” *Development Policy Review* 12 (1): 5–28. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7679.1994.tb00053.x>.
- BurnSilver, Shauna B., Jeffrey Worden, and Randall B. Boone. 2008. “Processes of Fragmentation in the Amboseli Ecosystem, Southern Kajiado District, Kenya.” In, edited by Kathleen A. Galvin, Robin S. Reid, Roy H. Behnke Jr, and N. Thompson Hobbs, 225–253. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-4906-4_10.
- Campbell, Michael J., Philip E. Dennison, Bret W. Butler, and Wesley G. Page. 2019. “Using Crowdsourced Fitness Tracker Data to Model the Relationship Between Slope and Travel Rates.” *Applied Geography* 106: 93–107. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2019.03.008>.
- Cavazos, Tereza, and Sarah Arriaga-Ramirez. 2012. “Downscaled Climate Change Scenarios for Baja California and the North American Monsoon During the Twenty-First Century.” *Journal of Climate* 25 (17): 5904–15. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00425.1>.

- Colleran, Heidi, Grazyna Jasienska, Ilona Nenko, Andrzej Galbarczyk, and Ruth Mace. 2015. "Fertility Decline and the Changing Dynamics of Wealth, Status and Inequality." *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 282 (1806): 20150287. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0287>.
- Colorado-Ruiz, Gabriela, Tereza Cavazos, José Antonio Salinas, Pamela De Grau, and Rosario Ayala. 2018. "Climate Change Projections from Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 Multi-Model Weighted Ensembles for Mexico, the North American Monsoon, and the Mid-Summer Drought Region." *International Journal of Climatology* 38 (15): 5699–5716. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5773>.
- Crosby, H. W. 1994. *Antigua California: Mission and Colony on the Peninsular Frontier, 1697-1768*. Albuquerque, NM: University of New Mexico Press.
- Crosby, Harry W. 1981. *Last of the Californios*. La Jolla, CA: Copley Books.
- Daskalova, Gergana N., and Johannes Kamp. 2023. "Abandoning Land Transforms Biodiversity." *Science* 380 (6645): 581–83. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adf1099>.
- DOF. 1975. "Resolución Sobre La Creación de Un Nuevo Centro de Población Ejidal Que Se Denominará "Tepentu", y Que Quedará Ubicado En El Municipio de Comundú, {b. C. S.}" *Diario Oficial de La Federación [DOF]*.
- . 1976. "Resolución Sobre Privación y Reconocimiento de Derechos Agrarios, En El Ejido Del Poblado Denominado Ley Federal de Aguas No. 2, Municipio de Comondú, b. C. Sur." *Diario Oficial de La Federación [DOF]*.
- Fischer, Joern, Tibor Hartel, and Tobias Kuemmerle. 2012. "Conservation Policy in Traditional Farming Landscapes." *Conservation Letters* 5 (3): 167–75. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-263X.2012.00227.x>.

- Fratkin, Elliot. 2001. "East African Pastoralism in Transition: Maasai, Boran, and Rendille Cases." *African Studies Review* 44 (3): 125. <https://doi.org/10.2307/525591>.
- Fujita, Masahisa, Paul R Krugman, and Anthony Venables. 2001. *The Spatial Economy: Cities, Regions, and International Trade*. MIT press.
- Galvin, Kathleen A. 2009. "Transitions: Pastoralists Living with Change." *Annual Review of Anthropology* 38 (1): 185–98. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anthro-091908-164442>.
- Grismer, L Lee, and Jimmy A McGuire. 1993. "The Oases of Central Baja California, Mexico. Part i. A Preliminary Account of the Relict Mesophilic Herpetofauna and the Status of the Oases." *Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences* 92 (1): 2–24.
- Gurven, Michael, Jonathan Stieglitz, Benjamin Trumble, Aaron D. Blackwell, Bret Beheim, Helen Davis, Paul Hooper, and Hillard Kaplan. 2017. "The Tsimane Health and Life History Project: Integrating Anthropology and Biomedicine." *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews* 26 (2): 54–73. <https://doi.org/10.1002/evan.21515>.
- Hansen, James, Jon Hellin, Todd Rosenstock, Eleanor Fisher, Jill Cairns, Clare Stirling, Christine Lamanna, Jacob van Etten, Alison Rose, and Bruce Campbell. 2019. "Climate Risk Management and Rural Poverty Reduction." *Agricultural Research for Rural Prosperity: Rethinking the Pathways* 172 (June): 28–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2018.01.019>.
- Henrich, Joseph, Steven J. Heine, and Ara Norenzayan. 2010. "The Weirdest People in the World?" *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 33 (2-3): 61–83. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X0999152X>.
- Hobbs, N. Thompson, Kathleen A. Galvin, Chris J. Stokes, Jill M. Lockett, Andrew J. Ash, Randall B. Boone, Robin S. Reid, and Philip K. Thornton. 2008. "Fragmentation of

- Rangelands: Implications for Humans, Animals, and Landscapes.” *Global Environmental Change* 18 (4): 776–85. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2008.07.011>.
- INEGI. 2023a. “Panorama sociodemográfico de Baja California Sur. Censo de Población y Vivienda 2020.” <https://www.inegi.org.mx/app/biblioteca/ficha.html?upc=702825197742>.
- . 2023b. “Principales resultados del Censo de Población y Vivienda 2020. Baja California Sur.” <https://www.inegi.org.mx/app/biblioteca/ficha.html?upc=702825198091>.
- Krugman, Paul. 1991. “Increasing Returns and Economic Geography.” *Journal of Political Economy* 99 (3): 483–99. <https://doi.org/10.1086/261763>.
- León de la Luz, J. L., and R. Domínguez Cadena. 2006. “Hydrophytes of the Oases in the Sierra de La Giganta of Central Baja California Sur, Mexico: Floristic Composition and Conservation Status.” *Journal of Arid Environments* 67 (4): 553–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2006.03.012>.
- Lerback, J. C., B. B. Bowen, S. J. Macfarlan, E. Schniter, J. J. Garcia, and L. Caughman. 2022. “Development of a Graphical Resilience Framework to Understand a Coupled Human-Natural System in a Remote Arid Highland of Baja California Sur.” *Sustainability Science* 17 (3): 1059–76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-022-01101-6>.
- Lesorogol, Carolyn K. 2003. “Transforming Institutions Among Pastoralists: Inequality and Land Privatization.” *American Anthropologist* 105 (3): 531–41. <https://doi.org/10.1525/aa.2003.105.3.531>.
- Liebert, Melissa A., J. Josh Snodgrass, Felicia C. Madimenos, Tara J. Cepon, Aaron D. Blackwell, and Lawrence S. Sugiyama. 2013. “Implications of Market Integration for Cardiovascular and Metabolic Health Among an Indigenous Amazonian Ecuadorian Pop-

ulation.” *Annals of Human Biology* 40 (3): 228–42. <https://doi.org/10.3109/03014460.2012.759621>.

Little, Peter D., Kevin Smith, Barbara A. Cellarius, D. Layne Coppock, and Christopher Barrett. 2001. “Avoiding Disaster: Diversification and Risk Management Among East African Herders.” *Development and Change* 32 (3): 401–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-7660.00211>.

Luz, Ana Catarina, Maximilien Guèze, Jaime Paneque-Gálvez, Joan Pino, Manuel J. Macía, Martí Orta-Martínez, and Victoria Reyes-García. 2015. “How Does Cultural Change Affect Indigenous Peoples’ Hunting Activity? An Empirical Study Among the Tsimane’ in the Bolivian Amazon.” *Conservation and Society* 13 (4): 382–94. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/26393218>.

Mace, Ruth. 1990. “Pastoralist Herd Compositions in Unpredictable Environments: A Comparison of Model Predictions and Data from Camel-Keeping Groups.” *Agricultural Systems* 33 (1): 1–11. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0308-521X\(90\)90067-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0308-521X(90)90067-Z).

———. 1993. “Nomadic Pastoralists Adopt Subsistence Strategies That Maximise Long-Term Household Survival.” *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 33 (5): 329–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00172931>.

Mace, Ruth, and Alasdair Houston. 1989. “Pastoralist Strategies for Survival in Unpredictable Environments: A Model of Herd Composition That Maximises Household Viability.” *Agricultural Systems* 31 (2): 185–204. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0308-521X\(89\)90020-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0308-521X(89)90020-6).

Macfarlan, Shane J., Ryan Schacht, CA Davis, AD Yerman, FJ Higuera Landeros, and M Amador Amador. 2023. “Decision-Making Under Climate Shocks and Economic Insecu-

- rity: Ranching in Rural Baja California Sur, Mexico.” Paper submitted for publication to *Evolution and Human Behavior*. (MS# EVOLHUMBEHAV-D-22-00132R2).
- Macfarlan, Shane J., Ryan Schacht, Caroline Foley, Sydney Cahoon, Grace Osusky, Kenneth B. Vernon, Evan Tayler, Celeste Henrickson, and Eric Schniter. 2020. “Marriage Dynamics in Old Lower California: Ecological Constraints and Reproductive Value in an Arid Peninsular Frontier.” *Biodemography and Social Biology* 65 (2): 156–71. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19485565.2020.1728685>.
- Macfarlan, Shane J., Ryan Schacht, Eric Schniter, Juan José Garcia, Diego Guevara Beltran, and Jory Lerback. 2020. “The Role of Dispersal and School Attendance on Reproductive Dynamics in Small, Dispersed Populations: Choyeros of Baja California Sur, Mexico.” *PLOS ONE* 15 (10): e0239523. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239523>.
- Macfarlan, Shane J., Ryan Schacht, Izabella Bourland, Savannah Kapp, Trevor Glad, Lauren Lewis, Spencer Claflin, et al. 2021. “NDVI Predicts Birth Seasonality in Historical Baja California Sur, Mexico: Adaptive Responses to Arid Ecosystems and the North American Monsoon.” *Biodemography and Social Biology* 66 (2): 145–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19485565.2020.1870924>.
- Mattison, Siobhán M., Darragh Hare, Neil G. MacLaren, Adam Z. Reynolds, Chun-Yi Sum, Ruizhe Liu, Mary K. Shenk, et al. 2022. “Context Specificity of “Market Integration” Among the Matrilineal Mosuo of Southwest China.” *Current Anthropology* 63 (1): 118–24. <https://doi.org/10.1086/719266>.
- Maya, Yolanda, Raúl Coria, Rafael Domínguez, L Arriaga, and R Rodríguez-Estrella. 1997. “Caracterización de Los Oasis.” *Publication 13. La Paz, Mexico: Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas de Noroeste SC (CIBNOR)*, 525.

- Peña, J. A., M. A. Alfonso-Sánchez, and R. Calderón. 2002. “Inbreeding and Demographic Transition in the Orozco Valley (Basque Country, Spain).” *American Journal of Human Biology* 14 (6): 713–20. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.10085>.
- Perramond, Eric P. 2008. “The Rise, Fall, and Reconfiguration of the Mexican ”Ejido”.” *Geographical Review* 98 (3): 356–71. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40377336>.
- Pettorelli, Nathalie. 2013. *The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- R Core Team. 2022. “R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.” Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Ramsar. 2022. “The List of Wetlands of International Importance.” <https://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/library/sitelist.pdf>.
- Registro Agrario Nacional. 2019. “Tierras uso común SHAPE Entidad Federativa Baja California Sur.” <https://datos.gob.mx/busca/dataset/datos-geograficos-de-las-tierras-de-uso-comun-por-estado--formato-shape/resource/d14ea4b8-2acc-463c-97e5-7f63a4aa8c21>.
- Reid, Robin S., Kathleen A. Galvin, and Russell S. Kruska. 2008. “Global Significance of Extensive Grazing Lands and Pastoral Societies: An Introduction.” In, edited by Kathleen A. Galvin, Robin S. Reid, Roy H. Behnke Jr, and N. Thompson Hobbs, 124. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-4906-4_1.
- Riemann, Hugo, and Exequiel Ezcurra. 2005. “Plant Endemism and Natural Protected Areas in the Peninsula of Baja California, Mexico.” *Biological Conservation* 122 (1): 141–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2004.07.008>.

- Schniter, Eric, Shane J. Macfarlan, Juan J. Garcia, Gorgonio Ruiz-Campos, Diego Guevara Beltran, Brenda B. Bowen, and Jory C. Lerback. 2021. “Age-Appropriate Wisdom?” *Human Nature* 32 (1): 48–83. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-021-09387-8>.
- Schniter, Eric, Nathaniel T. Wilcox, Bret A. Beheim, Hillard S. Kaplan, and Michael Gurven. 2018. “Information Transmission and the Oral Tradition: Evidence of a Late-Life Service Niche for Tsimane Amerindians.” *Evolution and Human Behavior* 39 (1): 94–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2017.10.006>.
- Schultz, Alan Frank. 2019. “Status Determinants, Social Incongruity and Economic Transition: Gender, Relative Material Wealth and Heterogeneity in the Cultural Lifestyle of Forager-Horticulturalists.” *PLOS ONE* 14 (9): e0220432. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220432>.
- Secretaría de Desarrollo Social. 2021. “Catálogo Do Localidades.” <http://www.microrregiones.gob.mx/catloc/LocdeMun.aspx?tipo=clave&campo=loc&ent=03&mun=003>.
- Shenk, Mary K., Mary C. Towner, Emily A. Voss, and Nurul Alam. 2016. “Consanguineous Marriage, Kinship Ecology, and Market Transition.” *Current Anthropology* 57 (S13): S167–80. <https://doi.org/10.1086/685712>.
- Stagaman, Keaton, Tara J. Cepon-Robins, Melissa A. Liebert, Theresa E. Gildner, Samuel S. Urlacher, Felicia C. Madimenos, Karen Guillemin, J. Josh Snodgrass, Lawrence S. Sugiyama, and Bohannan J. M. Brendan. 2018. “Market Integration Predicts Human Gut Microbiome Attributes Across a Gradient of Economic Development.” *mSystems* 3 (1): 10.1128/msystems.00122–17. <https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00122-17>.
- Thornton, P. K., J. van de Steeg, A. Notenbaert, and M. Herrero. 2009. “The Impacts of Climate Change on Livestock and Livestock Systems in Developing Countries: A Review

- of What We Know and What We Need to Know.” *Agricultural Systems* 101 (3): 113–27. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2009.05.002>.
- Turner, Matthew D. 1999. “The Role of Social Networks, Indefinite Boundaries and Political Bargaining in Maintaining the Ecological and Economic Resilience of the Transhumance Systems of Sudano-Sahelian West Africa.” In, 97123. Practical Action Publishing.
- Urlacher, Samuel S., Melissa A. Liebert, J. Josh Snodgrass, Aaron D. Blackwell, Tara J. Cepen-Robins, Theresa E. Gildner, Felicia C. Madimenos, Dorsa Amir, Richard G. Bri-biescas, and Lawrence S. Sugiyama. 2016. “Heterogeneous Effects of Market Integra-tion on Sub-Adult Body Size and Nutritional Status Among the Shuar of Amazonian Ecuador.” *Annals of Human Biology* 43 (4): 316–29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03014460.2016.1192219>.
- Vaccaro, Ismael, and Edith Ortiz Díaz. 2021. “The Effects of Migration on Peasant Agricul-tural Systems: Oaxacan Villages, Between Remittances and Market Integration.” *Cul-ture, Agriculture, Food and Environment* 43 (1): 47–59. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/cuag.12268>.
- Vasco, Cristian, Grace Tamayo, and Verena Griess. 2017. “The Drivers of Market Integration Among Indigenous Peoples: Evidence from the Ecuadorian Amazon.” *Society & Natural Resources* 30 (10): 1212–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2017.1331487>.
- Vernon, Kenneth B., Peter M. Yaworsky, Jerry Spangler, Simon Brewer, and Brian F. Cod-ding. 2022. “Decomposing Habitat Suitability Across the Forager to Farmer Transi-tion.” *Environmental Archaeology* 27 (4): 420–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14614103.2020.1746880>.