

# ASSIGNMENT 2 REPORT

## Task 1: Barrier Synchronization

Five friends are preparing different parts of a pizza ( dough, kneading, sauce, cheese and toppings).

All must complement their part before the pizza can be baked. Once all are done, they start the next pizza together.

Lock Chosen: **ReentrantLock**

Reason for this choice:

- ReentrantLock ensures mutual exclusion, allowing only one thread at a time to update the shared variables ( completed → counts how many friends have reached the barrier and current\_pizza → tracks which pizza (round) is being baked)
- It also provides Condition variables which allow threads to wait (await()) and be signalled (signalAll()) when the barrier condition is met.
- This allows control over thread synchronization compared to synchronized blocks.

Usage of Condition Variable Explanation

- The Condition object makes threads wait until all friends reach the barrier.
- When all threads arrive (completed == friends ), the barrier:
  1. Run the task.
  2. Reset completed to 0 for reuse.
  3. Increments current\_pizza to start the new 'round' of pizza.
  4. Signals all waiting threads to proceed.

## Task 2: Concurrent Data Structure

The pizzeria counter has cashiers ( producers) and customers (consumers) who access a shared order queue.

We ensure that:

- ✓ Multiple threads can enqueue and dequeue safely.
- ✓ No overwriting or reading from an empty queue occurs

Lock Chosen: **ReentrantLock**

Reason for this choice:

- It is for thread safety and access to the Condition variables (notFull, notEmpty) coordination.

## Coarse-Grained Queue

- Uses one lock for both enqueue and dequeue operations
- Conditions:
  - notFull → blocks producers when the queue is full.
  - notEmpty → blocks consumers when the queue is empty.

### Enqueue steps:

1. Wait while queue is full ( notFull.await())
2. Add item to queue
3. Signal notEmpty ( a consumer can now take)

### Dequeue steps:

1. Wait while queue is empty (notEmpty.await())
2. Remove item
3. Signal notFull ( a producer can now add.)

### Drawback:

Only one thread (producer or consumer) can access the queue at a time. It results in low concurrency.

## Fine-Grained Queue

- Uses two separate locks
  - enqueueLock → for inserting
  - dequeueLock → for removing
- Each has its own condition ( notFull and notEmpty )
- Also uses an AtomicInteger size to track the queue size safely across threads.

### Effects:

- A producer can enqueue while a consumer is dequeuing if space and items exist.
- Improves throughput and reduces blocking time.

	Coarse-Grained	Fine-Grained
Lock Structure	One lock for all	Separate locks for enqueue/dequeue
Concurrency	Low	High
Blocking	More frequent	Less Frequent
Complexity	Simple	More complex

### Best Choice for the Pizzeria

- The fine-grained queue is better because multiple cashiers (producers) can add orders while (customers) consumers collect pizzas simultaneously.
- This reduces waiting times and increases efficiency
- It mirrors a real pizzeria: while one staff member takes an order, another can deliver a pizza without disturbance.

## Task 3: Producer-Consumer

- The pizzeria acts as the producer, generating pizzas (orders) while delivery drivers act as consumers, collecting and delivering pizzas.
- Shared structure: `BlockingQueue<Integer>` manages pizzas between producers and consumers.
- Producer: Creates pizzas and places them in the queue.
- Consumer: Takes pizzas out of the queue for delivery.
- Termination: When production is complete, a “poison pill” (-1) is placed in the queue to signal consumers to stop waiting.

In the code:

- `queue.put(pizza)` → Blocks if the queue is full (prevents overwriting)
- `queue.take()` → Blocks if the queue is empty ( prevents reading invalid data)

### Alternative to the Poison Pill

Instead of using a poison pill, we could use a shared flag:

```
AtomicBoolean running = new AtomicBoolean(true);
```

- Producers set running to false when done.
- Consumers periodically check:
- `if (!running.get() && queue.isEmpty()) break;`

### Let us compare

- The poison pill is simpler and works well for small systems.
- The flag method is cleaner for complex systems with many producers/consumers.

### Ensuring Safe Queue Operations

- Producers call `put()` to block when the queue is full → prevents overwriting.
- Consumers call `take()` to block when the queue is empty → prevents reading nothing.
- This synchronization ensures no race conditions or missed pizzas.