SO5012 Analysising Data in the Real World Multinomial regression Solutions and commentary

Contents

Introduction	1
Questions and answers	4
Question 1	 4
Question 2	 Į.
Question 3	 7
Question 4	 8
Question 5	
Question 6	

Introduction

This seminar sheet is intended as a introduction to multinomial regression and is a combination of code and interpretation for the worksheet $SO5012_semX_multinomial_regression.docx$.

As with all the previous weeks, first we need to: 1. Set the working directory 2. Load the packages we'll be using 3. Load the data

Here we'll use the results-'hide', message-FALSE command on the r chunk so that our output is not filled up by this set up code, although the code will be visible.

```
# setwd(whereeveryousavestuff)
# Note to RP - this isnt needed for a project, but you'll need to change if just posting rmd
if (!require(nnet)) install.packages("nnet")
library(nnet)
if (!require(lmtest)) install.packages("lmtest")
library(lmtest)
ukvote2010 <- read.csv("data/ukvote2010.csv")</pre>
```

To continue with the preparatory work, and **before** we dive into answering the questions, we first need to look at our data and see what it is, perhaps with some data cleaning if needed.

```
str(ukvote2010)
```

```
## 'data.frame': 16816 obs. of 8 variables:
## $ VoteIntention : chr "LiberalDemocrat" NA NA NA ...
## $ Region : chr "west midlands" "greater london" "east midlands" "scotland" ...
## $ Gender : chr "female" "female" "female" ...
## $ Ethnicity : chr "white" "white" "asian or asian british" "white" ...
## $ MaritalStatus : chr "Married/Widowed" "Seperated/Divorced" "Partner/Single" "Partner/Single" ...
```

```
## $ Age : int 62 70 30 42 61 79 78 22 26 62 ...
## $ Housing : chr "Owns/Mortgage" "Owns/Outright" "Rent/Other" "Owns/Mortgage" ...
                    : int 62 70 30 42 61 79 78 22 26 62 ...
## $ Qualifications: chr "GCE" "Technical/None/Other" "Technical/None/Other" "Technical/None/Other" .
names (ukvote2010)
## [1] "VoteIntention" "Region"
                                          "Gender"
                                                           "Ethnicity"
                                          "Housing"
                                                           "Qualifications"
## [5] "MaritalStatus" "Age"
# we c an that "VoteIntention", "Region", "Gender", "Ethnicity", "MaritalStatus",
# "Housing", "Qualifications" are character variables - lets set them to factors
# and lets be smart and do it in a loop
factorvars <- c("VoteIntention", "Region", "Gender", "Ethnicity",</pre>
                "MaritalStatus", "Housing", "Qualifications")
for (v in factorvars) {
  ukvote2010[[v]] <- as.factor(ukvote2010[[v]])</pre>
}
summary(ukvote2010)
            VoteIntention
##
                                               Region
                                                             Gender
##
    Conservative :3835 south east
                                                  :2915
                                                          female:8474
## Labour
                   :2700
                           greater london
                                                  :2103
                                                          male :8342
## LiberalDemocrat:2349
                           north west
                                                  :1858
## Other
                  :1119
                           scotland
                                                  :1609
## NA's
                   :6813
                           south west
                                                  :1582
##
                           yorkshire & humberside:1486
##
                           (Other)
##
                      Ethnicity
                                               MaritalStatus
                                                                    Age
   asian or asian british : 280 Married/Widowed :9994
                                                              Min. : 18.00
## black or black british : 161
                                    Partner/Single
                                                               1st Qu.: 38.00
                                                      :5221
## mixed background
                        : 174
                                    Seperated/Divorced:1601
                                                               Median : 51.00
## other ethnic background: 164
                                                               Mean : 49.89
                                                               3rd Qu.: 62.00
## white
                           :16037
##
                                                               Max. :110.00
##
##
             Housing
                                      Qualifications
## Owns/Mortgage:7217
                         BA
                                              :5378
## Owns/Outright:5670
                         GCE
                                              :4370
## Rent/Other :3929
                         GCSE
                                              :1722
##
                         Technical/None/Other:5346
##
##
##
# Now that we have set the factor variables correctly,
# this short cut will display most of the descriptives, but not the region
# as there are too many levels
table(ukvote2010$Region, useNA = "ifany")
##
##
              east anglia
                                   east midlands
                                                          greater london
##
                                             1186
                     1192
                                                                    2103
##
                    north
                                     north west
                                                                scotland
##
                      732
                                             1858
                                                                    1609
```

```
wales
##
               south east
                                       south west
                      2915
                                                                       831
##
                                              1582
            west midlands yorkshire & humberside
##
##
                      1322
                                              1486
# Lets pay special attention to the dependent, VotingIntention
table(ukvote2010$VoteIntention, useNA = "ifany")
##
##
      Conservative
                             Labour LiberalDemocrat
                                                               Other
                                                                                 <NA>
                               2700
                                                                                 6813
##
              3835
                                                2349
                                                                 1119
prop.table(table(ukvote2010$VoteIntention, useNA = "ifany"))
##
##
                             Labour LiberalDemocrat
      Conservative
                                                               Other
                                                                                 <NA>
##
        0.22805661
                         0.16056137
                                         0.13968839
                                                          0.06654377
                                                                           0.40514986
```

Here we can see, after setting the factor variables correctly, that none of the questions have any missing values, except for the voting intention variable, where 40.5% are missing values - presumably people who did not express an firm opinion (but to confirm this we'd need to look at the data documentation, which you do not have)

Questions and answers

Question 1

Cross-tabulate the variable VoteIntention with the variable Qualifications, setting the table to include the conditional probabilities of VoteIntention, given Qualifications. Which parties do better among those with higher qualification levels and which do worse?

table(ukvote2010\$Qualifications,ukvote2010\$VoteIntention)

```
##
##
                           Conservative Labour LiberalDemocrat Other
##
     BA
                                            861
                                   1106
                                                             984
##
     GCE
                                    991
                                            645
                                                             573
                                                                   308
##
     GCSE
                                    425
                                            302
                                                             205
                                                                   112
##
     Technical/None/Other
                                   1313
                                            892
                                                             587
                                                                   416
# as this is two way table, we need to tell R which direction to calculate the
# percentages - this is done by the ,1 at the end of the prop.table command
prop.table(table(ukvote2010$Qualifications,ukvote2010$VoteIntention),1)
##
##
                           Conservative
                                             Labour LiberalDemocrat
                                                                          Other
##
     BA
                             0.34199134 0.26623377
                                                         0.30426716 0.08750773
##
     GCE
                             0.39372269 0.25625745
                                                         0.22765197 0.12236790
     GCSE
                             0.40708812 0.28927203
                                                         0.19636015 0.10727969
##
                             0.40928928 0.27805486
                                                         0.18298005 0.12967581
##
     Technical/None/Other
# and we can turn it into percentages and round...
round(100*prop.table(table(ukvote2010$Qualifications,ukvote2010$VoteIntention),1),1)
##
##
                           Conservative Labour LiberalDemocrat Other
##
     BA
                                   34.2
                                           26.6
                                                            30.4
                                                                   8.8
##
     GCE
                                   39.4
                                           25.6
                                                           22.8 12.2
##
     GCSE
                                   40.7
                                           28.9
                                                            19.6
                                                                 10.7
                                           27.8
##
     Technical/None/Other
                                   40.9
                                                            18.3
                                                                 13.0
```

Tracing the vote shares (fractions) for each party, we see that Labour's share is steady at around 25-28%, regardless of education levels. The Conservative share declines with increasing education, from 41% at the lowest levels to 34% at the highest levels. The Liberal Democratic share increases with higher education, rising from 18 to 30%, while those supporting other parties declines from 13% to 9% as education levels increase.

It is worth noting two things: 1. that these statistics are based on 2010 data, before the coalition government that introduced austerity, and when the Liberal Democrats had their first experience of national government. In short, their vote share was *very* different to what is seen now. 2. a GCE - a General Certificate of Education Advanced Level - is commonly known as an A-level but this also includes equivalents (BTECs and the like)

Fit a multinomial logistic regression model for VoteIntention, with Labour as the baseline outcome category, using only the variable Qualifications as an explanatory (factor) variable. How can we see from the coefficients which parties do better among those with higher education levels and which do worse? Check that you see the same general patterns as you saw when you cross-tabulated the same data.

```
levels(ukvote2010$VoteIntention)
## [1] "Conservative"
                                            "LiberalDemocrat" "Other"
                         "Labour"
# so first we need to relevel Vote Intention
ukvote2010$VoteIntention <- relevel(ukvote2010$VoteIntention, ref = "Labour")
m1 <- multinom(VoteIntention~ Qualifications, data = ukvote2010)
## # weights: 20 (12 variable)
## initial value 13867.102494
## iter 10 value 13013.059359
## final value 12984.946892
## converged
summary(m1)
## Call:
## multinom(formula = VoteIntention ~ Qualifications, data = ukvote2010)
##
## Coefficients:
##
                   (Intercept) QualificationsGCE QualificationsGCSE
## Conservative
                     0.2504099
                                       0.1790537
                                                          0.09125419
                     0.1335318
## LiberalDemocrat
                                       -0.2518969
                                                         -0.52094697
## Other
                    -1.1126471
                                       0.3734956
                                                          0.12071355
##
                   QualificationsTechnical/None/Other
## Conservative
                                             0.1361937
## LiberalDemocrat
                                            -0.5519734
## Other
                                             0.3498647
##
## Std. Errors:
##
                   (Intercept) QualificationsGCE QualificationsGCSE
## Conservative
                    0.04544887
                                       0.06800786
                                                          0.08791927
## LiberalDemocrat 0.04666583
                                       0.07398167
                                                          0.10181848
## Other
                    0.06852012
                                       0.09742766
                                                          0.13013413
##
                   QualificationsTechnical/None/Other
                                            0.06283542
## Conservative
## LiberalDemocrat
                                            0.07072732
## Other
                                            0.09066384
##
## Residual Deviance: 25969.89
## AIC: 25993.89
```

This stores and displays the coefficients and the *standard errors* (a little like standard deviation, but how much variation there is in the estimate of a coefficient within a model). This needs to be used to calculate the p-values, as the multinom package does not do it automatically!

```
zvals <- coef(m1)/summary(m1)$standard.errors
pvals <- (1 - pnorm(abs(zvals), 0, 1)) * 2
# and then display the exponentiated coefficient along with p values afterwards</pre>
```

exp(coef(m1)) ## (Intercept) QualificationsGCE QualificationsGCSE 1.1960850 ## Conservative 1.2845519 1.0955474 ## LiberalDemocrat 1.1428576 0.7773249 0.5939578 ## Other 0.3286878 1.4528042 1.1283017 ## QualificationsTechnical/None/Other ## Conservative 1.1459039 ## LiberalDemocrat 0.5758124 ## Other 1.4188756 pvals ## (Intercept) QualificationsGCE QualificationsGCSE

```
3.594330e-08
## Conservative
                                      0.0084674575
                                                          2.993019e-01
                                                          3.113751e-07
## LiberalDemocrat 4.217114e-03
                                      0.0006619907
                   0.000000e+00
                                      0.0001262976
                                                          3.536106e-01
##
                   QualificationsTechnical/None/Other
## Conservative
                                          3.019924e-02
## LiberalDemocrat
                                          5.995204e-15
## Other
                                          1.138881e-04
```

Since Labour is the baseline outcome level, all coefficients correspond to a comparison of support for some other party to support for Labour. The raw coefficients represent the log odds of a Labour voting intention vs Conservative. The exponentiated form is the ratio of the probabilities of choosing one outcome category over the baseline category (in this case, Labour).

Looking at the coefficients for Conservative, we see that lower education levels are associated with greater support for the Conservatives, relative to Labour (positive coefficients for education levels below BA which translates to values above 1 when they are exponentiated). There is not much different between the various education levels that are less than a BA, which is consistent with the cross-tabulation results in question 1. What this means, is that the 'gap' (i.e. the ratio increases) between Labour and Conservative voting intention is larger at the lower education levels than it is at the BA level.

Looking at the coefficients for Liberal Democrat, we see that lower education levels are associated with lower levels of support for the Liberal Democrats, relative to Labour (increasingly negative raw coefficients/values below 1 when exponentiated as education levels go down). In this case, this means that at the lower education levels, the gap between Labour and Lib Dem votes increases, in favour of Labour.

Looking at the coefficients for Other, we see that education levels below BA are associated with higher levels of support for other parties, but there is not a clear trend among the lower education levels - i.e. that the gap between the parties is generally smaller at lower education levels, but there is not clear difference across the education levels.

All of these patterns are consistent with what we see in the cross-tabulation id you look at the 'gaps' between each parties vote intention share at each education level and compare them to the gap at the highest education level.

As a sense check, run a logistic regression for a voting intention of Labour (as the baseline) vs conservatives. What do you notice about the results compared to those found in question 2?

```
# Here we need to limit the data to only include voting intentions that are
# Labour or Tory.
m_logit <- glm(VoteIntention ~ Qualifications,</pre>
          data = ukvote2010[ukvote2010$VoteIntention == "Labour"| ukvote2010$VoteIntention == "Conservat
          family = "binomial")
summary(m_logit)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = VoteIntention ~ Qualifications, family = "binomial",
       data = ukvote2010[ukvote2010$VoteIntention == "Labour" |
##
           ukvote2010$VoteIntention == "Conservative", ])
##
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                                30
                                       Max
## -1.364 -1.326
                    1.001
                             1.018
                                     1.073
##
## Coefficients:
##
                                       Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)
                                        0.25041
                                                   0.04545
                                                              5.510 3.59e-08 ***
## QualificationsGCE
                                        0.17905
                                                   0.06801
                                                              2.633 0.00847 **
                                                                     0.29932
## QualificationsGCSE
                                        0.09125
                                                   0.08792
                                                              1.038
## QualificationsTechnical/None/Other 0.13619
                                                   0.06284
                                                              2.167
                                                                    0.03020 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 8861.3 on 6534 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 8853.3 on 6531 degrees of freedom
     (6813 observations deleted due to missingness)
## AIC: 8861.3
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(coef(m logit))
                                                        {\tt QualificationsGCE}
##
                           (Intercept)
##
                              1.284553
                                                                  1.196085
##
                   QualificationsGCSE QualificationsTechnical/None/Other
                              1.095544
##
                                                                  1.145903
```

We can see that the results here are the same the first line of the multinomial regression, and their interpretation should be familiar from previous seminars. This shows that multinomial regression is essentially just combining a series of logistic regressions which compare all the various levels in the dependent to your chosen reference into one handy method command.

Add the variable Age to the model. For which outcome levels is there a significant association between age and vote intention, controlling for qualifications?

```
m2 <- multinom(VoteIntention~ Qualifications + Age, data = ukvote2010)
## # weights: 24 (15 variable)
## initial value 13867.102494
## iter 10 value 13057.698986
## iter 20 value 12895.624225
## final value 12895.623583
## converged
summary(m2)
## Call:
## multinom(formula = VoteIntention ~ Qualifications + Age, data = ukvote2010)
##
## Coefficients:
##
                   (Intercept) QualificationsGCE QualificationsGCSE
## Conservative
                    -0.5792916
                                        0.1251710
                                                       -0.0065498275
## LiberalDemocrat
                     0.1948017
                                       -0.2476404
                                                        -0.5124993357
## Other
                    -2.1749271
                                        0.3060860
                                                         0.0008302082
##
                   QualificationsTechnical/None/Other
                                                                 Age
                                           -0.01414139 0.017526257
## Conservative
## LiberalDemocrat
                                           -0.53981612 -0.001352848
## Other
                                            0.16225110 0.022198394
## Std. Errors:
##
                   (Intercept) QualificationsGCE QualificationsGCSE
                                                           0.08888796
## Conservative
                    0.09738985
                                       0.06857176
## LiberalDemocrat 0.10247865
                                       0.07425199
                                                           0.10259346
## Other
                    0.14594700
                                       0.09809118
                                                           0.13119248
##
                   QualificationsTechnical/None/Other
                                            0.06506614 0.001826380
## Conservative
## LiberalDemocrat
                                            0.07299738 0.002014430
## Other
                                            0.09345030 0.002626301
##
## Residual Deviance: 25791.25
## AIC: 25821.25
zvals <- coef(m2)/summary(m2)$standard.errors</pre>
pvals \leftarrow (1 - pnorm(abs(zvals), 0, 1)) * 2
exp(coef(m2))
                   (Intercept) QualificationsGCE QualificationsGCSE
##
## Conservative
                     0.5602952
                                        1.1333422
                                                            0.9934716
## LiberalDemocrat
                     1.2150700
                                        0.7806406
                                                            0.5989966
## Other
                     0.1136164
                                        1.3580992
                                                            1.0008306
                   QualificationsTechnical/None/Other
##
                                                              Age
                                             0.9859581 1.0176807
## Conservative
## LiberalDemocrat
                                             0.5828554 0.9986481
## Other
                                             1.1761555 1.0224466
```

pvals

```
##
                    (Intercept) QualificationsGCE QualificationsGCSE
## Conservative
                   2.711534e-09
                                      0.0679404379
                                                         9.412600e-01
## LiberalDemocrat 5.731508e-02
                                      0.0008525802
                                                         5.870216e-07
## Other
                   0.000000e+00
                                      0.0018059105
                                                         9.949509e-01
##
                   QualificationsTechnical/None/Other
                                                              Age
## Conservative
                                          8.279444e-01 0.0000000
## LiberalDemocrat
                                          1.414424e-13 0.5018519
## Other
                                          8.252338e-02 0.0000000
```

The coefficients on Age are significant in the Conservative and Other equations, but not in the Liberal Democrat equation. That is, there is strong evidence of a positive association between age and support for Conservative relative to Labour, and strong evidence of a positive association between age and support for Other relative to Labour, holding qualifications constant. However, there does not appear to be a change associated with ageing for support of the Lib Dems over the Labour party.

Question 5

Perform a likelihood ratio test to see whether Age is a significant predictor across all outcome levels.

```
lrtest(m1, m2)
```

```
## Likelihood ratio test
##
## Model 1: VoteIntention ~ Qualifications
## Model 2: VoteIntention ~ Qualifications + Age
## #Df LogLik Df Chisq Pr(>Chisq)
## 1 12 -12985
## 2 15 -12896 3 178.65 < 2.2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1</pre>
```

The likelihood ratio statistic is 2((-12895.624)-(-12984.947))=178.65. Since we added three coefficients (age in each of three equations), the likelihood ratio test has three degrees of freedom. The likelihood ratio test is strongly significant (p < 0.0001), indicating that holding qualifications constant, there is evidence that voter support for the parties has some association with age.

Now, add the variable Gender to the model. Relative to men of the same age and qualifications, which parties are women more/less likely to vote for? Does gender improve the model?

```
m3 <- multinom(VoteIntention~ Qualifications + Age + Gender, data = ukvote2010)
## # weights: 28 (18 variable)
## initial value 13867.102494
## iter 10 value 13048.625619
## iter 20 value 12872.188089
## final value 12866.003464
## converged
summary(m3)
## Call:
## multinom(formula = VoteIntention ~ Qualifications + Age + Gender,
      data = ukvote2010)
##
##
## Coefficients:
                  (Intercept) QualificationsGCE QualificationsGCSE
## Conservative
                   -0.5177754
                                      0.1225635
                                                      -0.02031279
## LiberalDemocrat 0.1972151
                                     -0.2476788
                                                       -0.51285167
## Other
                   -2.3567793
                                      0.3138330
                                                        0.03672553
                  QualificationsTechnical/None/Other
##
                                                              Age
                                                                    Gendermale
## Conservative
                                         ## LiberalDemocrat
                                         -0.53969678 -0.001347221 -0.005114873
## Other
                                          ##
## Std. Errors:
##
                   (Intercept) QualificationsGCE QualificationsGCSE
## Conservative
                    0.0995174
                                     0.06860414
                                                        0.08904507
## LiberalDemocrat
                    0.1051109
                                     0.07425206
                                                        0.10269243
## Other
                    0.1509826
                                     0.09820945
                                                        0.13148227
##
                  QualificationsTechnical/None/Other
                                                             Age Gendermale
## Conservative
                                          0.06510873 0.001831183 0.05074703
## LiberalDemocrat
                                          0.07299600 0.002019117 0.05692825
## Other
                                          0.09346332 0.002630122 0.07339890
## Residual Deviance: 25732.01
## AIC: 25768.01
zvals <- coef(m3)/summary(m3)$standard.errors</pre>
pvals \leftarrow (1 - pnorm(abs(zvals), 0, 1)) * 2
exp(coef(m3))
                  (Intercept) QualificationsGCE QualificationsGCSE
## Conservative
                   0.59584461
                                      1.1303909
                                                         0.9798921
## LiberalDemocrat 1.21800599
                                      0.7806106
                                                         0.5987856
                   0.09472481
## Other
                                      1.3686611
                                                         1.0374082
##
                  QualificationsTechnical/None/Other
                                                           Age Gendermale
## Conservative
                                           0.9867208 1.0180317
                                                               0.8593132
## LiberalDemocrat
                                           0.5829250 0.9986537
                                                               0.9948982
## Other
                                           1.1758510 1.0216185 1.4600011
```

pvals

```
##
                    (Intercept) QualificationsGCE QualificationsGCSE
## Conservative
                   1.962418e-07
                                      0.0740130722
                                                         8.195544e-01
## LiberalDemocrat 6.062007e-02
                                      0.0008510047
                                                         5.912444e-07
                                                         7.799998e-01
## Other
                   0.000000e+00
                                      0.0013956590
##
                   QualificationsTechnical/None/Other
                                                                Age
                                                                       Gendermale
## Conservative
                                          8.373215e-01 0.000000e+00 2.809963e-03
## LiberalDemocrat
                                          1.429967e-13 5.046234e-01 9.284082e-01
## Other
                                          8.305724e-02 4.440892e-16 2.524193e-07
```

Relative to women of the same age and qualification (i.e. the effect of these two variables is controlled for in the model), men are less likely to vote Conservative than Labour, and are more likely than women to vote for other parties. There is little difference between women and men's propensity to vote for the Liberal Democrats versus Labour, given the same age and qualifications.