

December 11, 2007

Dr. G. Fabbiano
Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory
60 Garden Street
MS 6
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dear Dr. Fabbiano:

Please accept the attached application for your postdoctoral position advertised in the November 2007 issue of the AAS Job Register. For my thesis (advised by Megan Donahue and Mark Voit), entitled '*Virialization, Entropy, and Feedback in Clusters of Galaxies*', I am studying the coupling of feedback mechanisms – such as AGN, star formation, and conduction in cluster cores – to gas entropy, and the role of this feedback in altering global ICM properties and truncating the high mass end of the galaxy luminosity function. I have also been studying a method for quantifying the virialization state of clusters through the band dependence of X-ray temperatures. For my thesis I assembled a sample of 350 archival *Chandra* observations for 276 clusters totaling 11.6 Msec of data. The results of this laborious effort have been many and are detailed in my research summary.

I am a great research asset for any X-ray astronomer because of my extensive experience with X-ray data, innovative technical skills, and ability to independently advance the group's and my own research objectives. I feel the post-doctoral position under your advisory at SAO is an excellent fit for me, and your research goals will benefit from my addition. My expertise in X-ray astronomy ideally suits me to further work on better understanding AGN, models of galaxy formation, and the effect of feedback in shaping galaxy and cluster properties. As our understanding of feedback mechanisms in clusters improves, additional focus must be paid to these same processes in groups and individual galaxies if we are to have a complete understanding of hierarchical structure formation.

Along with this letter are my resumé, a summary of past and current research, and a brief description of possible research directions. Letters of recommendation from Megan Donahue, Mark Voit, and Jack Baldwin are available at anytime via their contact information provided in my resumé. Please contact me if there is any further information I can provide as you review my application.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ken Cavagnolo', written over a light blue rectangular background.

Kenneth W. Cavagnolo
Michigan State University

RESUMÉ OF KENNETH W. CAVAGNOLO

Office Address

Michigan State University
 Department of Physics & Astronomy
 3265 Biomedical Physical Sciences Building
 East Lansing, MI 48824-2320
 Phone: 1-517-355-9200 ext.2443

Mailing Address

1111 Kimberly Dr, Apt. #7, Lansing MI 48912
 Phone: 1-517-285-9062
 Fax: 1-517-353-4500
 E-mail: cavagnolo@pa.msu.edu
 Web: www.pa.msu.edu/people/cavagnolo/

Education **Michigan State University** 2005 - Present

Ph.D. Astrophysics, Expected May-August 2008
 Thesis Title: “Virialization, Entropy, and Feedback in Clusters of Galaxies”
 Thesis Advisors: Dr. Megan Donahue & Dr. G. Mark Voit

Michigan State University 2002 - 2005

M.S. Astrophysics

Georgia Institute of Technology 1998 - 2002

B.S. Physics *Cum Laude*

Research **Graduate Research Assistant** 2003 - Present

Experience Supervisor: Dr. Megan Donahue, *Mich. St. Univ.*
 Studying clusters of galaxies via their X-ray properties to
 investigate feedback mechanisms, galaxy evolution, and the process
 of cluster virialization.

Graduate Research Assistant 2002 - 2003

Supervisor: Dr. Jack Baldwin, *Mich. St. Univ.*
 Analyzing echelle spectra for use in studies of *s*-process abundances
 in planetary nebulae.

Undergraduate Research Assistant 2000 - 2002

Supervisor: Dr. James Sowell, *Georgia Tech*
 Obtaining orbital solution for the eclipsing Algol binary ET Tau via
 UVB light curves and spectroscopic radial velocity curves.

- Research** • FEEDBACK MECHANISMS IN GALAXY CLUSTERS
Interests • GALAXY FORMATION
 • SUPERMASSIVE BLACK HOLES
 • AGN ACCRETION PHYSICS
 • LARGE SCALE STRUCTURE FORMATION AND COSMOLOGY

Teaching **Substitute Instructor** Fall 2006

Experience Course: “Visions of the Universe”
 Gave lectures covering stellar evolution, supernovae, white dwarves,
 neutron stars, and black holes.

Physics Tutor

Summer 2003

Course: “Introductory Honors Physics I & II”

Tutored physics students taking introductory physics courses such as classical mechanics, optics, and electromagnetism.

Graduate Teaching Assistant

2002 - 2003

Course: “Visions of the Universe”

Directed and supervised laboratories for non-calculus based astronomy course.

Honors

- College of Natural Science Dissertation Fellow 2007 - Present
- American Astronomical Society Member 2002 - Present
- American Physical Society Member 2002 - Present
- NASA Center for Astronomy Education Participant 2007
- Sigma Pi Sigma National Honor Society 2001 - Present
- Dean’s List, Georgia Tech 1998-2002

Scientific Skills

- Profound skills in reducing and analyzing data taken with *Chandra* X-ray Telescope.
- Extensive experience with CIAO and CALDB.
- Familiarity with multiwavelength analysis packages: AIPS, IRAF, and PyRAF.
- Fluent in Perl, IDL, LaTeX, and HTML.
- Working knowledge of Bash, C, CSH, Flash, Fortran, MySQL, and Supermongo.
- Mastery of multiple computing architectures: UNIX/Linux, Macintosh, and Windows.
- Expert of computer troubleshooting, maintenance, and system construction.

First Author Refereed Papers*“Feedback Mechanisms in Galaxy Clusters and Alteration of ICM Entropy”***Cavagnolo, Kenneth W.**; Donahue, Megan; and Voit, G. Mark

2008, in prep. for ApJ

*“Star Formation in BCGs: Resurrecting Conduction”***Cavagnolo, Kenneth W.**; Donahue, Megan; and Voit, G. Mark

2008, in prep. for ApJ Letters

*“Athenaeum of Galaxy Cluster Entropy Profiles”***Cavagnolo, Kenneth W.**; Donahue, Megan; Voit, G. Mark; and Sun, Ming

2007, near ApJ Supplement submission

*“X-ray Band Dependence of X-ray Temperatures in Galaxy Clusters”***Cavagnolo, Kenneth W.**; Donahue, Megan; Voit, G. Mark; and Sun, Ming

2007, ApJ submitted

Other Refereed Papers*“Star Formation, Radio Sources, Cooling X-Ray Gas and Galaxy Interactions in the Brightest Cluster Galaxy in 2A0335+096”*Donahue, Megan; Sun, Ming; O’Dea, Christopher P.; Voit, G. Mark; **Cavagnolo, Kenneth W.**[2007AJ....134...14D](#)*“Entropy Profiles in the Cores of Cooling Flow Clusters of Galaxies”*Donahue, Megan; Horner, Donald J.; **Cavagnolo, Kenneth W.**; Voit, G. Mark[2006ApJ...643..730D](#)

“s-Process Abundances in Planetary Nebulae”

Sharpee, Brian; Zhang, Yong; Williams, Robert; Pellegrini, Eric; **Cavagnolo, Kenneth**;
Baldwin, Jack A.; Phillips, Mark; Liu, Xiao-Wei

[2007ApJ...659.1265S](#)

**Presented
Work
& Talks**

“Library of Galaxy Cluster Entropy Profiles: A Study in Feedback”

Cavagnolo, Kenneth W.; Donahue, Megan; Voit, G. Mark; and Sun, Ming
2008 Winter Meeting of the American Astronomical Society, Poster

“Band Dependence of X-ray Temperatures”

[2007 University of Michigan Astrophysics Seminar, Invited Talk](#)

“The Entropy-Feedback Connection and Quantifying Cluster Virialization”

Cavagnolo, Kenneth W.; Donahue, Megan; Voit, G. Mark; and Sun, Ming
[2007 Eight Years of Science with Chandra Symposium, Poster](#)

“Chandra Studies of Dark Matter and Galaxy Formation: Signatures from the Intracuster Medium”

Donahue, Megan; Sun, M.; **Cavagnolo, K.**; Voit, G.

[2006 Winter Meeting of the American Astronomical Society, Poster](#)

“Abundances of s-process elements in planetary nebulae: Br, Kr & Xe”

Zhang, Y.; Williams, R.; Pellegrini, E.; **Cavagnolo, K.**; Baldwin, J. A.; Sharpee, B.;
Phillips, M.; Liu, X.-W.

[2006 IAU Symposium, Proceeding](#)

“Studies of Entropy Distributions in X-ray Luminous Clusters of Galaxies”

Cavagnolo, K. W.; Donahue, M. E.; Voit, G. M.; Sun, M.; Evrard, A. E.

[2005 Winter Meeting of the American Astronomical Society, Poster](#)

“Entropy Distributions in the Cores of Nearby X-ray Luminous Clusters of Galaxies”

Cavagnolo, K. W.; Donahue, M. E.; Voit, G. M.; Horner, D. J.; Evrard, A. E.

[2004 Winter Meeting of the American Astronomical Society, Poster](#)

“Radio-Free Cluster Cooling Flows”

Donahue, M. E.; Voit, G. M.; **Cavagnolo, K.**

[2004 Winter Meeting of the American Astronomical Society, Poster](#)

References

DR. MEGAN DONAHUE

Department of Physics & Astronomy

Michigan State University

East Lansing, MI 48823

(517)-355-9500 ext. 2418

donahue@pa.msu.edu

DR. G. MARK VOIT

Department of Physics & Astronomy

Michigan State University

East Lansing, MI 48823

(517)-355-9500 ext. 2419

voit@pa.msu.edu

DR. JACK BALDWIN
Department of Physics & Astronomy
Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI 48823
(517)-355-9500 ext. 2411
baldwin@pa.msu.edu

- Personal**
Interests
- Academic: environmental sciences, “Cradle2Cradle” design, and urban planning.
 - Athletics: triathlons, baseball, and everything Georgia Tech.
 - Hobbies: reading, building model airplanes, and raising bonsai trees.

Summary of Past Research and Future Interests

The general process of galaxy cluster formation through hierarchical merging is well understood, but many details, such as the impact of feedback sources on the cluster environment and radiative cooling in the cluster core are not. Mergers and feedback activity are interesting for two reasons: they potentially compromise the use of clusters for cosmological studies, and there is a tremendous amount of interesting astrophysics going on. My thesis research has focused on studying the details of feedback and mergers via X-ray properties of the ICM in clusters of galaxies. I have paid particular attention to ICM entropy distribution and the role of AGN feedback in shaping large scale cluster properties.

Mining the CDA

My thesis makes use of a 350 observation sample (276 clusters; 11.6 Msec) taken from the *Chandra* archive. This massive undertaking necessitated the creation of a robust reduction and analysis pipeline which 1) interacts with mission specific software, 2) utilizes analysis tools (i.e. XSPEC, IDL), 3) incorporates calibration and software updates, and 4) is highly automated. Because my pipeline is written in a very general manner, adding pre-packaged analysis tools from missions such as *XMM*, *Spitzer*, and *VLA* will be straightforward. Most importantly, my pipeline deemphasizes data reduction and accords me the freedom to move quickly into an analysis phase and generating publishable results.

Cluster Feedback and ICM Entropy

The picture of the ICM entropy-feedback connection emerging from my work suggests cluster radio luminosity and H α emission are anti-correlated with cluster central entropy. Following my analysis of 169 cluster radial entropy profiles (Fig. 1) I have found an apparent bimodality in the distribution of central entropy and central cooling times (Fig. 2) which is likely related to AGN feedback (and to a lesser extent, mergers). I have also found that clusters with central entropy ≤ 20 keV cm² show signs of star formation (Fig. 3) and AGN activity (Fig. 4) while clusters above this threshold unilaterally do not have star formation and exhibit diminished AGN radio feedback. This entropy level is auspicious as it coincides with the Field length, λ_F , (assuming reasonable suppression from magnetic fields) at which thermal conduction can stabilize a cluster core against further cooling and gas condensation. It is possible my work has opened a window to solving a long-standing problem in massive galaxy formation (and truncation): how are ICM gas properties coupled to feedback mechanisms such that the system becomes self-regulating? However, this result serves to highlight unresolved issues requiring further intensive study.

1) What is the origin of the bimodality in K_0 and t_{cool} ?

Is it archival bias? Are clusters with $K_0 \sim 70$ keV cm² “boring” (and faint) and thus have not been proposed for observation? To explore this possibility I have selected a representative sample of clusters which predictably fill the K_0 and t_{cool} gaps and will be submitting a Cycle 10 proposal to observe these clusters with *Chandra*. There is also the possibility that the gap is a physical manifestation of underlying timescales. For example, is the gap indicating there is a very short period in a clusters life when AGN activity has boosted the core entropy to the point of being conductively stable ($K_0 > 20$ keV cm²) and subsequent mergers quickly eliminate $K_0 \sim 70$ keV cm² clusters? A possible answer to this question might be found from analysis of simulations by asking the additional question: what is the timescale for depletion of $\sim 10^{12-13} M_\odot$ subclusters in a full dark matter halo? If this timescale is of the order a few Gyrs then this likely points to a collusion of AGN feedback and mergers to give rise to bimodality. But ultimately the questions I

posed are related with two primary underlying questions: what does the distribution of K_0 for a complete sample of clusters look like? And what does the AGN energy injection distribution look like?

2) What role is star formation playing in the feedback cycle of clusters?

Indications from the literature thus far are that most (possibly all?) cDs in X-ray luminous clusters with $K_0 \leq 20 \text{ keV cm}^2$ are dominated by star formation. But we can see from Figure 4 that most of these systems contain radio AGN. So one can ask the question: are there any AGN dominated nebular cDs? An interesting project to pursue with the *Spitzer* archive would be to examine the shape of spectral energy distributions (SEDs) for all clusters with a cD galaxy and attempt to reveal if the cD is star formation or AGN dominated. A cross-reference of my thesis sample (which is essentially the entire CDA) with the *Spitzer* data archive reveals 150+ clusters have already been observed by *Spitzer* (combinations of 75+ MIPS, 50+ IRAC, 30+ IRS) covering a broad entropy, luminosity (X-ray, $H\alpha$, radio), and mass range. This large data pool to draw from makes selection of a representative subsample immediately possible to answer the question, does star formation precede/inhibit/enhance/stunt AGN feedback? Currently we do not know. All we know is these two processes are triggered in cluster cDs which reside in low entropy environments. It is important to disentangle these two processes if a cohesive model of feedback is to be built.

3) How is energy generated on the parsec scale from a SMBH deposited uniformly over volumes which are orders of magnitude larger?

The role of AGN feedback in shaping global cluster, group, and galaxy properties is quite complex (Perseus being a perfect example) and to some extent poorly understood. Models for the process of thermalizing energy in AGN blown bubbles have been proposed, but details of these models still need to be explored. Equally important are models which account for the range of environments we know AGN to be interacting with: spirals, gEs, and cDs. While bubbles are well studied and abundant, a fundamental question still remains unanswered: what's *inside* these bubbles? Are they pressure supported by a very low density non-relativistic thermal plasma or are they truly voids in the ICM and ISM? Observational studies of bubbles in clusters have been fruitful, but a corresponding study of bubbles in gEs and galaxy groups has been sorely lacking. An obvious project to pursue with *Chandra* is to replicate the seminal work of Birzan et al. 2004 where they studied bubbles in clusters, but instead of focusing on clusters, focusing on groups and gEs. An additional missing piece of the AGN feedback puzzle is what role X-ray coronae may be playing in promoting feedback. Coronae have been seen in groups and some clusters, but their progenitors should also be seen in smaller scale objects. A search for coronae in a sample of radio-loud groups and clusters with moderate to high central entropy would also be very interesting.

NGC 4151 and NGC 1365

Your upcoming 260 ks ACIS-S/HRC observations of NGC 4151 are exactly the kind of long exposure *Chandra* observations of AGN feedback which are necessary to detail the mechanisms by which an AGN interacts with the ISM. In a broader sense, the AGN feedback in NGC 4151 is a scaled down version of what occurs in the core of a massive cluster and understanding the sub-kpc interaction of an AGN with the ISM should yield insight, and at least constraints, on what is happening in other similar systems. With these long observations one should be able to detail the physical state of the ISM (temperature, density, pressure, entropy) with both generalized radial profiles and detailed 2D-maps. In addition, the ionization and thermal state of the ISM will tell us about the photoionization and collisional ionization processes near the nucleus and may also provide information about shocking as a result of the AGN outflows. From my own work I see two interesting

possibilities for these observations: 1) what is the AGN doing to the star formation in the galaxy? If NGC 4151 is anything like the systems I have studied, the AGN might be depositing energy into the ISM via shocks and from there conduction is doing the “grunt” work of heating the ISM and preventing low entropy gas from cooling any further and making stars. 2) This is a radio-quiet AGN, where is the jet energy going? The answer to this question will be interesting because NGC 4151 provides an interesting test case of thermal and non-thermal jet emission.

I am admittedly unfamiliar with NGC 1365, but am aware that it has been the focus of much study because of the extreme variability of the AGN. A scan of the literature shows me that NGC 1365 may have something in common with another favorite object of mine, IRAS 09104+4109 – a source which I proposed to re-observe for 50 ks with *Chandra*, and while unsuccessful the proposal scored very high and received recommendation for re-submittal in the next cycle. Both these objects are AGN which have undergone dramatic state changes over very short timescales, and are interesting in their own right as to how SMBHs are feed and operate.




Figure 1: Radial entropy profiles of 169 clusters of galaxies in my thesis sample. The observed range of $K_0 \lesssim 40 \text{ keV cm}^2$ is consistent with models of episodic AGN heating. Color coding indicates global cluster temperature (in keV) derived from core excised apertures of size R_{2500} .




Figure 2: Distribution of central cooling times for 169 clusters in my thesis sample. The peak in the range of cooling times (several hundred Myrs) is consistent with inferred AGN duty cycles of both weak ($\sim 10^{40-50}$ ergs) and strong ($\sim 10^{60}$ ergs) outbursts. However, note the distinct gap at $0.6 - 1 \text{ Gyr}$. An explanation for this bimodality does not currently exist.




Figure 3: Central entropy plotted against $H\alpha$ luminosity. Orange dots are detections and black boxes with arrows are non-detection upper-limits. Notice the characteristic entropy threshold for star formation of $K_0 \lesssim 20 \text{ keV cm}^2$. This is also the entropy scale at which conduction no longer balances radiative cooling and condensation of low entropy gas onto a cD can proceed.




Figure 4: Central entropy plotted against NVSS or PKS radio luminosity. Orange dots are detections and black boxes with arrows are non-detection upper-limits. There appears to be a dichotomy which might be related to AGN fueling mechanisms: AGN which are feed via low entropy gas, and the smattering of points at $K_0 > 50 \text{ keV cm}^2$ which are likely fueled by mergers or have X-ray coronae which promote ICM cooling.