



Respondent:

Jennifer Lee Keilin

Credential:

SWI.LW.60064966

Profession: Created: Closed:

06/23/2009

Social Worker Independent Clinical License

01/25/2010

Alleged Issues:

Unprofessional Conduct

Case Nature:

Standard of Care/Services

Resolutions:

Evidence does not support a violation

Timeline Detail	Start	End	Days Used
OPENED	06/18/2009	06/23/2009	5
Intake	06/23/2009	06/23/2009	0
Assessment	06/23/2009	06/25/2009	2
Investigation	06/25/2009	01/15/2010	204
Case Disposition	01/15/2010	01/25/2010	10
CLOSED	01/25/2010		0

Timeline Summary	Auth Days	Extend Days	Days Used	Days Remain
OPENED	0	О .	5	-5
Intake	7	0	0	7
Assessment	14	0	2	12
Investigation	170	0	204	-34
Case Disposition	140	0	10	130
CLOSED	0	0	0	0
			004	

Total: 221

Case Disposition Worksheet

	Case Dispo	SITION AAOLKSUBET	
Respondent: L/V/vsel Date Presented: 1/21/2010 Presented by Tammy Kelley.	Profession: LW/R	Litestoff ⊠ Don ∴ Betty Moe ⊠ sted (circle one)¹ Obenland ⊠ De	2009 -1372 CRC ☐ Tammy Kelley ☐ 30b Painter ☐ Mike Weisman Leann Yount ☐ Erin conna Chartrey ☐ Kitty Dale ☐ Fred Garcia
SEXUAL MISCONDUCT	CASES	0.0.0.	
		misconduct cases to the Secretar	y when there are no clinical issu
	_	estigation; however, any pre-investi	gation referral should include a pa
authorization for investigation.	-		
_	l finds there are clinical Issues, do inical issues, refer case to Secreta		•
			•
	w Only if Case is Referred to		
Authorized by Panel Chair: Print Name of Panel Chair:			
per Program Staff (initials)		on Member	
(if applicable)	(if applicable)		
Date referral authorized:			
A. REQUEST FOR L	EGAL ACTION:		
Summary Action:	ECAL AGIION.		
	☐ Practice Restrictions		
☐ Statement of Charges:		Compliance:	Release from STID
☐ Statement of Allegation		☐ Compliance:	Release from Order
☐ Notice of Correction:			Referral to Collection Agency
Notice of Determination		 •	Authorization for Fast Track
☐ Withdrawal of SOC:	☐ Withdrawal of	SOA:	-
•	Alleged Violatio	ons—RCW 18.130.180:	·
(1) Moral turpitude.	☐ (10) Aiding and al		eating by secret methods
	of facts 🔲 (11) Violation of r		trayal of patient privilege
(3) False advertising	(12) Practice beyo		bating
(4) Incompetence	(13) Misrepresent		erference w/ investigation
☐ (5) Out of state action	(14) Failure to sup		ırrent drug/alcohol misuse
(6) illegal use of drugs			exual contact/patient abuse
(7) Violated state or fe	<u> </u>		ceptance of more than
☐ (8) Failure to cooperat	drugs e ☐ (17) Criminal con		ominal gratuity
(9) Failure to comply	· ·		
	Relevant State or Federal		
Or	:		
RCW 18.130 .170:	Mental Impairment	Physical Impairment	
B. FILE CLOSED:	<u> </u>	,	
No Jurisdiction	☐ No violation at the time the	Conduct was within standard	I ☐ No violation determined
/	event occurred	of practice	
Evidence does not support	Risk minimal, not likely to	☐ Mistaken identity	Care rendered was within
violation Insufficient evidence	reoccur Complainant withdrew	No Whistleblower	standard of care Complaint unique closure
Application Investigation	- confession majoran		- womponing orngood or other
Only- No Action to Deny		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Further explanation (if any)	i		

OTHER, EXPLAIN (Legai Review, Return to Investigation, etc.):

¹ Program may request a specific staff attorney who has prior experience with the file or the Respondent.

CASE REVIEW FORM-SECRETARY PROFESSIONS

DATE: 1/21/2010 CASE #: 2009-137219 LW/2009-137218 RC

ALLEGATIONS (from the original complaint):

Complainant alleges that respondent failed to meet her responsibilities, lied to her clients, misappropriated client money and professional misconduct.

SUMMARY OF CASE (ex. Criminal Conviction Dates, Classification of Crime, Pleadings, etc):

Complainant makes various allegations against respondent, including that respondent failed to report an allegation of child abuse. Complainant also expressed concerns regarding the respondent's billing practices which we do not have jurisdiction over. Complainant records include multiple character references for him, some of which also reference his ex-wife. The documentation does not substantiate the allegations he has made against respondent.

Respondent statement: Respondent states that she had been assigned by the court to conduct a parenting evaluation in the marriage dissolution of the complainant and his wife. She states she completed the evaluation as required. She provided approximately 1100 pages of patient records for this case. The client records provided include multiple letters to respondent from complainant thanking her for her work during the evaluation process.

CREDENTIAL INFORMATION:

ISSUANCE: RC 3/7/93 SW-pending **EXPIRATION:** 2/6/2010 **CURRENT STATUS:** active and pending

COMPLAINT HISTORY:

PREVIOUS COMPLAINTS: NO

BRIEF EXPLANATION (# of complaints, types, results):

INITIAL RECOMMENDATION (To CMT):

AGGRAVATING FACTOR:

MITIGATING FACTOR:

CHARGING/CLOSURE RECOMMENDATION:

Evidence doesn't support a violation

DISCUSSION (at CMT):

FINAL CHARGING/CLOSURE RECOMMENDATION (By CMT):

See case disposition worksheet in file

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH HEALTH SYSTEMS QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION

CONFIDENTIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT PREPARED FOR THE SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Case # 2009-137218RC 2009-137219LW RESPONDENT: Jennifer L. Keilin

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX A - RESPONDENT INFORMATION

APPENDIX B - COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

APPENDIX C - GENERAL SUMMARY

APPENDIX D - EVIDENCE/EXHIBITS

APPENDIX E - CONTACT LIST

APPENDIX F - ACTIVITY REPORT

Michael Gleason Health Care Investigator

DATE 01/15/20

APPROVED BY

APPENDIX A

RESPONDENT INFORMATION

NAME: Jennifer L. Keilin

BUSINESS ADDRESS: 216 1st Ave. S., Suite 333

Seattle, WA. 98104-2534

BUSINESS TELEPHONE #: (206) 621-7007

RESIDENCE ADDRESS:

RESIDENCE TELEPHONE #:

LICENSE NUMBER: RC.RC.00021187

SWI.LW.60064966

DATE ISSUED: 03-17-1993

11-17-2008 Application Date

EXPIRATION DATE: 02-06-2010

BIRTH DATE: 02-06-1968

SPECIALIZATION:

PREVIOUS COMPLAINT HISTORY: N/A refer to ILRS

ATTORNEY IDENTIFICATION: Pamela M. Andrews

Johnson, Andrews & Skinner, P.S.

200 W. Thomas St., Suite 500

Seattle, WA. 98110 (206) 223-9248

APPENDIX B

COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

NAME:	· Name - Whistleblower Regarding
-------	----------------------------------

BUSINESS ADDRESS: Not provided

BUSINESS TELEPHONE #: See home number

RESIDENCE ADDRESS:

2 - Name - Whistleblower Regarding Health Care .

RESIDENCE TELEPHONE #:

ATTORNEY: Not provided

ATTORNEY ADDRESS:

ATTORNEY TELEPHONE #:

APPENDIX C

GENERAL SUMMARY

Complaint

Unprofessional conduct

Allegations

The respondent was appointed by the court to provide a parenting evaluation of the complainant and his estranged wife in relation to their six-year old daughter. The complainant alleges that the respondent failed to meet those responsibilities and accuses the respondent of "lying to clients and interviewees, misappropriation of client money, failure to execute her job fully and professional misconduct". The complainant states further that the respondent ignored portions of interviews that were contrary to (her) "early conclusions". Evidence pages 1, 2-4.

This complaint was reviewed by the Counselor Programs and authorized for investigation. Two case numbers are assigned due to the respondent having a pending application for a Social Worker/ Independent Clinical license. The companion file is listed for reference only as 2009-137219LW and all evidence in this matter is collected under the primary case # 2009-137218RC.

On July 8, 2009, the respondent was mailed notification of the investigation. Evidence page 5.

On July 8, 2009, the complainant was mailed a Whistleblower Notification letter and waiver of confidentiality. Evidence pages 6-7.

On July 27, 2009, the waiver of confidentiality was received from the complainant. Evidence page 8,

On July 27, 2009, I also received the investigative files in this matter. I received a call from the complainant. He advised that the he had signed and sent the waiver back to DOH. The complainant discussed his lengthy and broad based complaint. He alleged that the respondent's completed evaluation was based on ethical and legal misconduct. He also alleges financial misconduct, in that the respondent demanded further payment before completing the evaluation process. I explained to the complainant that as part of the investigation I would be requesting copies of the respondent's files covering the evaluation and requesting she address the allegations he's making. I asked if he was aware of any other open investigations in this matter and he told me "No". The complainant is insistent on sending further documentation in support of his allegations.

On July 31, 2009, I returned a call and email to the attorney representing the complainant's estranged wife in this matter (Allen Glass). Mr. Glass told me that the respondent has been assigned by the court to perform a parenting evaluation in the 2-Name... marriage dissolution. He explained that the complainant had presented him with two letters signed by Tina Crawford of DOH/ HSQA, to show that there are two open investigations against the respondent. Glass told me that the complainant is using the letters as foundation for dismissing the validity of the of the respondent's parenting evaluation, which is critical of him (respondent). Mr. Glass also asked if there are any known disciplinary actions against the respondent. I explained to Mr. Glass that

2009-137218RC / Jennifer L. Keilin

there were two open cases due to the respondent having a current RC and an application for a LW license in place. I explained that the cases were initiated by 2-Name-Whistle... and that there were no previous investigations or disciplinary actions known in the respondent's history with the DOH. I explained that I was unable to provide further details other than the complainant was alleging misconduct by the respondent in performing the evaluation. (Evidence pages 24-25).

On August 12, 2009, I received an email and attachments from the complainant, amending the original complaint and adding substantially more allegations including that the respondent failed to report child molestation and other matter she is required to report.

Evidence pages 9, 10-11, 12-13.

On August 19, 2009, I received an additional email from the complainant advising that he was forwarding additional documents by mail. He also forwarded email attachments of previously sent documents. Evidence page 14, 15-21.

On August 21, 2009, I received from the complainant a two page cover letter, five page "complaint overview", copies of communications, questionnaires, client waivers, a copy of an on-line police report by the complainant, emails exchanged between parties and the completed evaluation by the respondent. Evidence pages 26-27, 28-32, 33-175, 175-178, 179-203.

I reviewed all the documents provided by the complainant. They include the respondent's final evaluation issued in the parenting matter. I found that the complainant's allegations are generalized. The substantiation is not provided as claimed, other than by his statement. Specifically, the complainant's allegations evolve from a stated allegation into emotive statements of his perceptions that are not based on presented facts. In several statements he made to the respondent he alleges that statements made by his (6 year old) daughter are representative of failed parenting by his estranged wife, yet there is no evidence that the statement was evidenced by anyone else. These accusations of "child abuse" or "molestation" are contrary to the final report provided by the respondent. There are no police reports or CPS reports of abuse. No interview summaries or statements purport abuse. The complainant alleges that the respondent failed to meet her duty in reporting alleged abuse which he reported to her, based on statements he made to her. There is no evidence provided that the complainant or his attorney(s) ever reported any kind of abuse. I noted that in several emails from the complainant to the respondent he thanked the respondent for her work in this matter, even after several months into the process. I noted that the evaluation was completed and presented on June 15, 2009 and that the complainant submitted his complaint on June 18th

On August 20, 2009, I received a call from the respondent. She explained that she had received the complaint notification letter. I provided her an explanation of the allegations and that I would be sending her a letter of cooperation. She understood and requested an extension to September 23rd since she was leaving on a ten day vacation the following day. I agreed to the extension and told her that I would send the letter out today. I prepared and mailed a letter of cooperation to the respondent. I completed the declaration of mailing. Evidence pages 204-205.

On September 2, 2009, I received a call from the respondent regarding the letter of cooperation. We discussed the allegations and her evaluation. She explained that she had been assigned by the court to conduct a parenting evaluation in the marriage dissolution matter of the complainant 2009-137218RC / Jennifer L. Keilin

Page 5 of 9 pages

and his wife. They have a six year old daughter which required the there to be a parenting evaluation to assist the court in determining custody. The respondent told me that she had completed an extensive evaluation and could provide copies of documents on disc. I explained to her that this was preferred if possible. The respondent is happy to have her process and supporting documentation stand on its own and is confident in her final evaluation.

On September 23, 2009, I received the respondent's three page reply. I placed the reply in the file along with a copy of her resume/ CV previously obtained. The respondent provides that she has been in private practice for approximately six years and performs approximately two parenting evaluations per month and has no previous complaints. She also advised that her attorney is providing a copy of her complete file which is being placed onto CD due to the extensive size. The respondent provides responses to each of the allegations as well as documentation of her process, interviews, and questionnaires completed by the complainant and his estranged wife and billing information. The respondent states that there was no evidence of child abuse, or neglect found by her during the process which included interviews with the child's medical provider, teacher and psychologist. She explains that she follows a structured process in her evaluations and accounts for all time spent interviewing and conducting home observations. She contacted Twelve (12) collateral sources (all documentation attached). All sources had opportunity to review their interviews with exception of two. No collaterals asked to retract their statements. Evidence pages 206-208, 209-212.

I received by mail from respondent's attorney a compact disc containing more than elevenhundred pages of documentation including, emails exchanged with all parties, completed questionnaires, client notifications and agreements, billing information and completed evaluations. I have reviewed the files and they appear to be complete. I placed the CD in an envelope and placed it under the left cover of the primary report cover. Evidence pages 213-214.

Additional emails exchanged with respondent were placed in the file. Evidence pages 215-218.

On November 3, 2010, I received an email from the complainant advising he had "Critical information" about the respondent. This was in email form and starts out that they had been unsuccessful in mediation and are preparing for trial. The email then goes into further claims, based on unsubstantiated perceptions regarding the respondent's demeanor and his opinion as to why this was occurring. I added this to the file and located it adjacent to the previous correspondence from the complainant. Evidence pages 22-23.

On January 6-7, 2010, I reviewed the electronic files on disc and the organized the case files. I placed the compact disc in an evidence envelope on the left side of the primary file.

On January 11, 2010 I placed a courtesy call to the attorney representing the complainant's wife (Allen Glass, atty.) He explained there is finally a trial date set for February. He is not aware of any sustained allegations of abuse against his client, even though her husband has previously alleged. Glass told me that the complainant is now on what he believes to be his fourth attorney in this case. I asked if he or his client had any additional information to provide. He explained that the evaluator (respondent) was appointed by the court after agreement of both he and the complainant's attorney. He states that he has had the respondent as an evaluator on several cases

2009-137218RC / Jennifer L. Keilin

and has found her work to be very good. Mr. Glass states that the respondent is a highly recommended evaluator in her field and was totally satisfied with her work in this case.

APPENDIX D EVIDENCE/EXHIBITS

Page #'s	EVIDENCE/EXHIBITS Description
1	Cover/ RCW
2-4	Complaint
5	Respondent notification letter
6-7	Whistleblower notification
8	Release of Confidentiality Waiver
9, 10-13	Additional summary of complainant (8-12-09)
14, 15-21	Additional information of complainant (8-19-09)
22-25	Emails from/ to complainant
26-32	Additional copies by mail of previous summaries received from complainant
33-173	Copies provided by complainant of communications and reports from complainant
174-178	Additional exhibits provided by complainant
179-203	Completed parenting evaluation, provided by complainant
204-205	Letter of cooperation to respondent
206-208	Respondents reply to allegations
209-212	Respondents Curriculum Vitae
213	Cover letter of respondent's attorney
214	Respondent's complete working file/product placed on Disc by her attorney. Disc contains agreements, notifications, evaluations and interviews including notes, email correspondence, billing records, documents provided by the complainant and his estranged wife and other collateral contacts made by respondent in the course of her evaluation. This disc contains over elevenhundred pages of documentation by the respondent. The disc has been placed under the left cover of this file folder.
215-218	Email correspondence with respondent.

2009-137218RC / Jennifer L. Keilin

APPENDIX E

CONTACT LIST

Complainant

Respondent

Kirsten Wirt Opsata (Complainant's estranged wife)

Allen Glass, Attorney for Kirsten Wirth Opsata 1711 West Nickerson Street, Suite A Seattle, WA 98119 (206) 217-0600

Mike Gleason, Investigator Investigation and Inspection Office 20435 72nd Ave. S., Suite 200 Kent, WA. 98032 (253) 395-6711

APPENDIX F

ACTIVITY REPORT

07/27/2009	Investigative - Case Activity	Extensive phone call with complainant who will be providing additional documentation.
07/27/2009	•	Received files and reviewed. Initial on-line searches.
0.72772007	Investigation	Reserved files and reviewed: minds on this searches.
07/31/2009	Investigative - Case Activity	E-mail and telephone call with Allen Glass attorney for complainant's estranged wife regarding case and effect on court hearings. Will send e-mail confirmation on 8/3/09.
08/12/2009	Investigative - Case Activity	TC with complainant who is emailing addendum to his complaint. He will be forwarding addl. information.
08/19/2009	Investigative - Case Activity	Received seven page summary of complainant and reviewed.
	Activity	Received addl docs from complainant via email on 8/19. Prepared and mailed LOC today and began case set up. Left TM for respondent to call.
08/21/2009	Investigative -Case	Received addl. Copies via mail from complainant.
09/02/2009	Investigative - Case	TC from Respondent.
09/18/2009	Investigative - Case Activity	Reviewed extensive documents provided previously by complainant. Placed TC to respondent left msg.
09/23/2009	Investigative Correspondence - General	Respondent reply received via pdf format. Placed copy in file. Requested records (on disc) will be forwarded by respondent's attorney. I've reviewed the response today and it appears to respond to the allegations of the complainant. I emailed confirmation of receipt to respondent.
09/24/2009	Investigative - Case Activity	Received respondent's files on disc. Reviewing extensive electronically saved documents.
01/06/2010	Investigative - Case Activity	Reviewed all electronic files (over 1100 pages) provided by respondent on disc. Organized and marked extensive document evidence submitted by complainant and respondent's reply. Case report preparation.
	Case Report Prep	TC with Allen Glass, attorney for complainant's estranged wife. Case report preparation.
01/13/2010	Case Report Prep	Complete and submit report.

Respondent Notification Letter NHA Notification Letter Malpractice Settlement Letter Special Letter (see comments below) Compliance (Docket Number)	Complainant Notification Letter Whistleblower Criminal History Letter WHPS Letter Follow Up
Date received: 06-25-09	Investigator:
Date assigned:	Priority: A B C D E
Comments:	

RECEIVED-KENT

JUL 0 8 2009

Department of Health Investigation Service Unit



CUSTOMER SERVICE COMPLAINT INTAKE SUMMARY WORKSHEET

RESPONDENT INFORMATION

NAME &	JENNIFER LEE KEILIN		CASE#	2009-137219LW	
ADDRESS	216 1ST AVE S STE 333	ALLEGATION	UNPROFESSIONA	L CONDUCT	
	SEATTLE, WA 98104-2534		LICENSE #	SWI.LW.60064	1966
			ISSUED		
			EXPIRES		
PHONE #			STATUS	PENDING	
Legal	YES NO Compliance	YES NO	Cases	Open:	Closed:
Action:			1	0	0
NAME & ADDRESS	COMPLA	INANT INFO			
ABBRESS	2 - Name - Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or H				
PHONE #		E-Mail			
	SUMMA	RY OF COM	IPLAINT		
	as retained to do a parenting evalua and interviewees, misappropriation				
COMPANION	N CASE: KEILIN, JENNIFER L: 20	09-137218RC			

Date Summary Created 6/22/09

FC	ORM 1-2-02A				
	Λ.	Assessme	ent Worksheet		
Re	espondent: <u>Jun</u> uf	u Keilin c	ase-Number: 2009- /3	7219	
	<u>6/25/2009</u> Bo	ard/Commission/Profession:	W Staff present: ☑ Tamm	y Kelley 🗌 Bob Nicoloff 🛛 Don Painte	r
Prese	ented by: Tammy Kelley			Moe ⊠ Leann Yount ☐ Erin Obenland	j
	Conference Call	Board/Commission/CMT me	eting	Billie	Bal
A.	FILE CLOSED:			, ,	
	BT- No Jurisdiction	BT- No violation at the time the event occurred	BT- Advertising that is a technical violation	BT- Communication and personality issues	
COI	BT- Aged or outdated mplaints	BT- Risk minimal, not likely to reoccur	☐ BT- Lack of complaint credibility	BT- Complainant withdrew	
clie	BT- No complainant's or ent/patient's name and no egations of significant harm potential harm	BT- Billing and fee disputes except as designated by disciplining authority	BT- Practice on an expired credential for a period of time accepted by the disciplining authority	BT – Insufficient information	
thr	BT- Profession-specific eshold. plain:	BT- Issues which have been otherwise resolved. Explain resolution:	BT- If allegations are true, no violation of law occurred	□ BT- Referral to another program or agency. □ BT- Incident reported by	
a) b)	Violating confidentiality inappropriate delegation to unlicensed person that does not involve invasive procedures or piercing of skin (e.g., RN instructs NA to apply skin cream)	·		facility	
c) d)	Failure to supervise resulting in no harm or minor harm to a patient Isolated incidents which suggest little or no patient	(detail corrective action: practitioner is already revoked; ongoing monitoring, etc.)			

Further explanation (if any):

harm, not likely to

reoccur

8	INVEST	7/^ A 7		A 1 17		ימשלנו
В.	INVESI	IGAI	IUN	AUI	nuk	IZCU:

B. <u>INVESTIGATION</u>	<u> AUTHC</u>) <u>RIZED</u> :	
Recommended priority:		<u>Professions</u>	<u>Facilities</u>
	Α	(risk of immediate danger)	(# of days)
	В	(serious risk)	(# of days)
	10	(moderate risk)	(# of days)
	(G)	(minor risk)	(# of days)
	` E	(technical violations)	(# of days)
	E	(minor risk)	(# of da

C. **SEXUAL MISCONDUCT CASES**

For Board and Commission cases, panel should refer sexual misconduct cases to the Secretary when the case does not involve clinical expertise or standard of care Issues. (Note: any pre-investigation referral should still include a panel authorization for investigation.)

> Panel finds there are clinical issues, do not refer. No clinical issues, refer case to Secretary

Notes:	
Initiate investigation and obtain records, including patient records.	
Authorized by Panel Chair/CMgr: Yammy Kelley	
Print Name of Panel Chair/CMgr:	
per Program Staff (initials) 7 Reviewing Commission Member	•
(if applicable) (if applicable)	
Date investigation authorized: 6-25-09	

Case View Screen

Case Status	2009-137219 (PUBLIC) Assessment	Date Created Date Received	06/23/2009 06/18/2009	Audit Entry Items
Respondent ID Respondent Credential	653258 Jennifer Lee Keilin SWI.LW.60064966 Jennifer Lee Keilin	Receiving Board Receiving Profession Receiving Department Received By	SECRETARY Social Worker Independent Clinical License Case Intake Jenny T Yeam	Notes Master Cases Participants Add Master Case Timeline History
Complainant ID Complainant	917912 2 - Name - Whistleblower	Alleged Issues Unprofessional Condu Case Nature Standard of Care/Serv		

Comments:

- Priority History
- Other Participants
- Resolution
- HIPDB Reports
- Action Items

Date	Priority	Priority Reason	Decision Maker	Decision Date	Comment	COR	User

Other Participants

No additional participants found

Resolution

Department: Case Management Found Issues Worker: Kirby B Putscher none Date Closed: Resolution none

Resolution Notes:

Current HIPDB Reports

Submission Date Status DCN Case ID

No HIPDB Reports found for this credential.

Action Items [add] [add group]

Туре	Assigned To	,	Activity	Due	Effective	Completed	Orger Signed	Created ▼	User
Forward for	Case Management, Putso	her, Kirby B			06/23/2009			06/23/2009	Yeam, Jenny T
Assessment									
Target:	Jennifer Lee Keilin, SWI.	LW.60064966							
Case Status:	Status Changed To:	Assessment							
Comments:	Respondent was retained clients and interviewees,	to do a parentin misappropriation	g evaluat of client	tion as money	part of a lega , failure execu	l proceeding. F ute her job fully	Responder and profe	it is alleged of essional misco	lying to both nduct.
🕦 Intake	Case Intake, Yeam, Jenny	/ T			06/23/2009	06/23/2009		06/23/2009	Yeam, Jenny T
Target:	Jennifer Lee Keilin								
Warning:	Warning Type:	CASE PENDIN	G						
	Warning Effective Date:	06/23/2009							
	Suppress License Print:	NO							
	Warning:	2009-137219L\	N						
Case Status:	Status Changed To:	Intake							
Action Info:	Complaint Source	Family Member	r						
	Possible Imminent Danger?	No					ı		
	Companion Case (1)	KEILIN. JENNI	FER L: 20	009-13	7218RC				

Credential View Screen [update]				
Jennifer Lee Keilin Address: Public O Mail O Renewal Mail [change public address] Jennifer Lee Keilin 216 1st Ave S Ste 333 Seattle, WA 98104-2534	Warnings SSN/FEIN Contact Standing Contact Type Birth Date Public File Mailing List	653258 CASE PENDING 1 - DOH Licensee Living INDIVIDUAL 02/06/1968 YES	Exams Experi- Notes School Superv Superv Legacy Libraria	Cases Edu ents By/Key Mgmt ence Is ises isedBy
Comments:			Applica Other S	Rion State License
Social Worker Independent Clinical License Credential # SWI.LW.60064966 Application Date 11/17/2008 Effective Date Expiration Date First Issuance Date Last Date Of Contact 11/19/2008 CE Due Date	[update] [form let	PENDING (11/20/ INITIAL APPLICA PROCESS \$225.00 rity 11/22/2008 12:35 Goldschmidt, Lisa	TION IN :45 PM	Audit Documents Workflow Key Mgmt Fees Notes Print Docs Comp. Audit Renewal
Comments:	<u>.</u>			Reliewal
Supervises User Defined License Data 1	egacy HIPDB	····		
[update]				
		E	lackgrour	nd Check Processed
			'ئ	in 26 2009
				PDB/HIPDB
			Dep Invest	artment of Health igation Service Unit

Contact View Screen [update]			
Jennifer Lee Keilin Address: Public O Mail Jennifer Lee Keilin 216 1st Ave S Ste 333 Seattle, WA 98104-2534	ID Warnings SSN/FEIN Contact Standing Contact Type Criminal History Public File Mailing List	653258 CASE PENDING 1 - DOH License Living INDIVIDUAL NO YES	Audit Public Cases Cont. Edu Documents Owned By/Key Mgm Exams Experience Notes Schools Supervises SupervisedBy Legacy Librarian
Comments:			Application Other State License

Addresses Personal Inf	onnacion	Credential List	Legacy				
Credential RC.RC.00021187 Jennifer Lee Keilin 216 1st Ave S Ste 333	Sub	License Type Counselor Registration		icense Issue 10/2009	Expiration Date 02/06/2010	Status	Reason ACTIVE
Seattle, WA 98104-2534 SWI.LW.60064966 Jennifer Lee Keilin 216 1st Ave S Ste 333 Seattle, WA 98104-2534		Social Worker Independent Clinic License	cal			PENDING	INITIAL APPLICATIO IN PROCESS

Public Cases for Keilin, Jennifer Lee

Public Case(s)

Case 2009-137218 2009-137219 Board SECRETARY SECRETARY Current Owner
Case Management
Case Management

Public Master Case(s)

Master Case

Board

Current Owner

No Public master case(s) associated with this contact.

Public Examinations(s)

Examinations

Board

Current Owner

No Public examinations(s) associated with this contact.



STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

January 25, 2010

JENNIFER LEE KEILIN 5999 MONT BLANC PLACE NW ISSAQUAH, WA 98027

Subject: Case No: 2009-137218 & 2009-137219

Dear Ms. Keilin:

The Secretary of Health, Registered Counselor Program and Social Worker Program has completed its investigation and review of these cases.

We have closed these cases without disciplinary action because the evidence does not support a violation.

We may reconsider this decision if we receive more relevant information or identify a pattern of similar complaints. Since the registered counselor profession is abolished as of July 1, 2010, we may consider this case if you apply for any other credential or if future complaints arise.

The person who filed this complaint has been notified of this decision. Under state law, you may submit a written statement. The statement will be included in the file.

You have the right to request any information contained in the file. If you would like a summary of the case or other materials in the file, please submit a written request for a copy to the Department of Health, Public Disclosure & Records Center, PO Box 47865, Olympia, WA 98504-7865 or fax to (360) 586-2171.

If you have questions, contact us at (360) 236-2620 or email at hsqacomplaintintake@doh.wa.gov.

Sincerely.

Kristi Cholski Office of Customer Service Complaint Intake Unit

Cc: Pamela M. Andrews, Atty.

January 25, 2010

2 - Name - Whistleblower Regarding Health Car..

Subject: Case No: 2009-137218 & 2009-137219

Dear 2 - Name - Whistle...

Thank you for submitting your complaint about Jennifer Keilin.

Based on the information provided and our investigation, the Secretary of Health, Registered Counselor Program and Social Worker Program could not identify any violations under the laws that we enforce. Therefore, your complaint has been closed because the evidence does not support a violation.

We carefully reviewed this complaint based on the facts and state law. State law defines the disciplinary process and unprofessional conduct (Chapter 18.130 RCW). We cannot consider issues outside its authority.

To take action against a practitioner's credential, the courts require us to have clear and convincing evidence. This is often a tough test to meet.

This decision may be revisited if we receive more relevant information or identify a pattern of similar complaints.

You have the right to request any information contained in the file. If you would like a summary of the case or other materials in the file, please submit a written request for a copy to the Department of Health, Public Disclosure & Records Center, PO Box 47865, Olympia, WA 98504-7865 or fax to (360) 586-2171.

If you have questions, please contact us at (360) 236-2620 or email us at hsgacomplaintintake@doh.wa.gov.

Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention.

Sincerely,

Kristi Cholski Office of Customer Service Complaint Intake Unit

Notice

WAC 246-15-030, procedures for filing, investigation, and resolution of whistleblower complaints.

- (1)(b) Instructs that staff will affix a permanent cover to the letter of complaint or other form of notice in the complaint file, noting the statutory citation concerning protecting the identity of the complainant.
- (3)(c) Ensure upon case closure, that the permanent cover affixed in subsection (1)(c) of this section will remain.

RCW 43.70 provides that the identity of a whistleblower who complains in good faith to the Department of Health about the improper quality of care by a health care provider as defined in RCW 43.72.010 shall remain confidential.

Pursuant to the above RCW and WAC it is staff's duty to see that the complainant's name or any information which may identify the complainant is not disclosed.

Notice



Complaint Form

Today's Date: 6/18/2009

1	Y	our	Info	rma	ation

Name: 2 - Name - Whistleblower Regarding H...

Address: 2 - Name - Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider ...

City: 2 - Name - Whi... State 2 - Nam... Zip: 2 - Name - ...

Phone: Work 2 - Name - Whistleblower Regard... Home 2 - Name - Whistleblower Regardi...

2. Information about the Facility or Health Care Professional

Type of facility or profession: Clinical Social Work

Name of facility or professional: Jennifer Lee Keilin, RC00021187

Address: 216 First Avenue South, Suite 333

City: Seattle State: WA Zip: 98104

3. Resident/Guest/Patient Information

Full Name (if different than above) 2 - Name - Whistleblower Regarding H...

Date of Birth (of patient, if complaint involves a patient) 2 - Name - Whisti...

Date of incident: 6/1/2009

4. Please describe your complaint in the space below. Include the name, title and phone number of other patients, witnesses or staff members involved in the incident. Email completed form to the Customer Service Center at HSQAComplaintIntake@doh.wa.gov, or fax to 360.236.4818, or mail to:

Washington State Department of Health P.O. Box 47857 Olympia WA 98504-7857.

Please attach any supporting documentation and additional sheets if necessary.

Ms. Keilin was retained to do a Parenting Evaluation as part of a legal proceeding. As part of this process I have quite a few ethical and legal

concerns about the lies to both clients and interviewees, misappropriation of client money, failure execute her job fully and professional misconduct. It is very clear from the results of Ms. Keilin's work and the parts of the process that she specifically avoided that Ms. Keilin reached a conclusion within only a few hours of starting her work and executed the balance of her work only in those areas that would support her early conclusion. Ms. Keilin specifically avoided any topic or interview that could possibly contradict her early conclusion. The results of those few interviews that she could not avoid that contradicted her earlier conclusion were simply ignored.

Beyond the issues noted above, Ms. Keilin was made aware of child molestation and several cases of child endangerment. She failed to report these violations to authorities. I have been told by Child Protective Services that it is and was Ms. Keilin's legal obligation to immediately report those incidents to them, with no exceptions. At this point, in light of Ms. Keilin's failure to fulfill her legal obligations, the matter of the child's safety is being referred directly to Child Protective Services.

In the matter of the ethical concerns, regarding the lies that Ms. Keilin told both clients and interviewees, this is very troubling. Ms. Keilin made a great many very specific statements regarding use of information, process, procedures and expectations to the clients - the invoices that she has provided directly contradict the specific statements she has made. Since the conclusion of Ms. Keilin's work, it has come to my attention that Ms. Keilin directly lied to several interviewees in order to extract derogatory information. One of these people has lost her position with her employer as a result. Another has outline why she worked with Ms. Keilin, what Ms. Keilin represented in order for her to speak and this individual went so far as to apologize for falling victim to Ms. Keilin's lies.

Regarding the mishandling of client funds - Ms. Keilin continued to demand cash up front and then not proceed for an extended period. She would then stop her work, demand more cash and imply that she was not proceeding with her work until more cash was paid. When she issued a May invoice, it was discovered that not only was she proceeding pending payment, she was proceeding only with the pursuit of derogatory information to support her early conclusion. I have spoken with my bank they informed me that the first payment to Ms. Keilin was not deposited in a trust account and the second \$2,000.00 payment to Ms. Keilin was cashed at the bank counter, by her. I have been told that it is a requirement for these funds to be placed in a trust account in these types of proceedings and only paid out when supporting invoices are prepared. This is clearly not what Ms. Keilin did.

In her failure to execute her job fully, Ms. Keilin reached a conclusion very early in the process, perhaps even before meeting the parties and then only pursued topics, conversations and interviews that would support her earlier conclusion. Ms. Keilin was given a great many sources that would directly contradict most if not all of her negative conclusions and findings. However, she did not pursue these contacts. Quite simply, Ms. Keilin failed to execute the job she was paid to do and only pursued information that would support her position.

These are very significant issues related to Ms. Keilin's professional conduct and failure to meet legal obligations regarding the reporting of child abuse to CPS. This report of complaint is fully supported by the information Ms. Keilin has provided while doing her work.

Short of me filing a Malpractice Law Suit against Ms. Keilin, it would seem reasonable, in light of her egregious misconduct and legal violations, that she immediately withdraws her work product from consideration, refer the matter back to legal counsel for the clients and refund 100% of fees paid to her. Professional sanctions against her are not within my realm of understanding and I will leave that to those who have that responsibility.

If you required further information, please contact me at	2 - Name - Whistleblower
Rest Regards	

2 - Name - Whistleblower Rega...



July 8, 2009

2 - Name - Whistleblower Regarding...

RE: Jennifer L. Keilin

Dear 2 - Name - Whistle..

Your recent complaint regarding Jennifer L. Keilin, has been referred to the Investigation and Inspection Office of the Department of Health, for investigation. The investigator that has been assigned to your complaint is:

Michael Gleason, Health Care Investigator Investigation & Inspection Office 20435 72nd Avenue South, Suite 200 Kent, WA 98032 Phone: (253) 395-6711 FAX: (253) 395-6365

Email: Michael.Gleason@doh.wa.gov

Due to recently enacted legislation, RCW 43.70.075, regarding confidentiality, we ask that you read, sign and return the enclosed "Whistleblower Release Form" within fourteen (14) days after your receipt of this letter. A postage paid envelope has been included for your convenience. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Respectfully.

Tina Crawford Secretary Senior

Enclosure: Whistleblower Release Form

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE COMPLAINANT'S NAME (DOH WHISTLEBLOWER STATUTE)

RCW 43.70.075 provides in part: "The identity of a whistleblower who complains, in good faith, to the Department of Health about the improper quality of care by a health care provider, or in a health care facility, as defined in RCW 43.72.010 shall remain confidential..."

I understand that my identity is confidential pursuant to RCW 43.70.075 (DOH Whistleblower Statute). By signing this document, I waive my right to confidentiality and authorize the Department of Health to release my identity to **Jennifer L. Keilin**, Respondent, to other persons who are reasonably necessary to the investigation, and for use in any subsequent administrative proceeding regarding my complaint. I understand that my identity will not be released for any other purpose.

APPROVAL OF CONFIDENTIALITY WAIVER

For the sole purpose of investigating my complaint and pursuing disciplinary/adverse action

understand this denial may impair the Department of Health's ability to pursue

Signature:______
Date:_____
Home Phone:_____
Day Phone:_____

disciplinary/adverse actions.

CASE #: 2009-137219LW RESPONDENT: Jennifer L. Keilin

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE COMPLAINANT'S NAME (DOH WHISTLEBLOWER STATUTE)

RCW 43.70.075 provides in part: "The identity of a whistleblower who complains, in good faith, to the Department of Health about the improper quality of care by a health care provider, or in a health care facility, as defined in RCW 43.72.010 shall remain confidential..."

I understand that my identity is confidential pursuant to RCW 43.70.075 (DOH Whistleblower Statute). By signing this document, I waive my right to confidentiality and authorize the Department of Health to release my identity to **Jennifer L. Keilin**, Respondent, to other persons who are reasonably necessary to the investigation, and for use in any subsequent administrative proceeding regarding my complaint. I understand that my identity will not be released for any other purpose.

APPROVAL OF CONFIDENTIALITY WAIVER

Signature:	2 - Name - Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Care Facility - RCW 43.70.0
Date: Home Phone: Day Phone:	July [1. 400)
	2 - Name - Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Ca
haushu danu see	DENIAL OF CONFIDENTIALITY WAIVER
ınderstand this der	raiver of confidentiality and deny consent to release of my identity. I had may impair the Department of Health's ability to pursue
understand this der disciplinary/adverse	raiver of confidentiality and deny consent to release of my identity. I had may impair the Department of Health's ability to pursue
understand this der disciplinary/adverse Signature: Date:	raiver of confidentiality and deny consent to release of my identity. I have impair the Department of Health's ability to pursue actions.

CASE #: 2009-137219LW

RESPONDENT: Jennifer L. Keilin

Case File LW 2009-137219 pdf-r.pdf redacted on: 9/13/2017 08:18

Redaction Summary (25 redactions)

2 Privilege / Exemption reasons used:

- 1 -- "DOH Licensee Social Security Number RCW 42.56.350(1)" (2 instances)
- 2 -- "Name Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Care Facility RCW 43.70.075(1), RCW 42.56.070(1)" (23 instances)

Redacted pages:

- Page 6, Name Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Care Facility RCW 43.70.075(1), RCW 42.56.070(1), 2 instances
- Page 7, Name Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Care Facility RCW 43.70.075(1), RCW 42.56.070(1), 1 instance
- Page 8, Name Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Care Facility RCW 43.70.075(1), RCW 42.56.070(1), 1 instance
- Page 14, Name Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Care Facility RCW 43.70.075(1), RCW 42.56.070(1), 1 instance
- Page 16, Name Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Care Facility RCW 43.70.075(1), RCW 42.56.070(1), 1 instance
- Page 17, DOH Licensee Social Security Number RCW 42.56.350(1), 1 instance
- Page 18, DOH Licensee Social Security Number RCW 42.56.350(1), 1 instance
- Page 21, Name Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Care Facility RCW 43.70.075(1), RCW 42.56.070(1), 2 instances
- Page 23, Name Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Care Facility RCW 43.70.075(1), RCW 42.56.070(1), 9 instances
- Page 25, Name Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Care Facility RCW 43.70.075(1), RCW 42.56.070(1), 2 instances
- Page 26, Name Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Care Facility RCW 43.70.075(1), RCW 42.56.070(1), 2 instances
- Page 28, Name Whistleblower Regarding Health Care Provider or Health Care Facility RCW 43.70.075(1), RCW 42.56.070(1), 2 instances