# HARDWARE ACCELERATED EQUILIBRIUM PROPAGATION

**HEQPROP** 

# Table of Contents

1	Proj	ject Summary	2
2	Part	ticipants	3
3	Bud	get Breakdown	4
4	Woı	rkplan	5
	4.1	List of Work Packages (WP)	5
	4.2	List of Deliverables	5
	4.3	Work Package Description	6
	4.4	List of Milestones	23
	4.5	Project Reviews	23
	4.6	Project Efforts	
5	Proj	ject Description	
	5.1	Scientific and Technical Quality	25
	5.1.	1 Concept and Objectives	25
	5.1.	2 Progress Beyond the State-of-the-Art	25
	5.1.	3 Success Criteria and Research Indicators	25
	5.1.	4 S/T Methodology	25
	5.2	Implementation	25
	5.2.	1 Management Structure and Procedures	25
	5.2.	2 Beneficiaries	25
	5.2.	3 Consortium as a Whole	25
	5.2.	4 Resources to be committed	25
	5.3	Impact	25
	5.3.	1 Strategic Impact	25
	5.3	2 Plans for the Use and Dissemination of Foreground Knowledge	26

# 1 Project Summary

Project Acronym	HEQPROP
Project Title	Hardware Accelerated Equilibrium Propagation
Starting Date	2023-01-01
Duration	36

#### **Abstract**

Main goal of the project is to create a novel circuit which would implement the previously proposed Equilibrium Propagation aided NEAT algorithm which we proposed and already achieved impressive results with. Due to this approach we managed to create a fully hardware implementable algorithm which matches the performance of the state of the art in most cases even in software implemented forms. Our simulations showed that after hardware implementations the speed difference between our method and its contemporaries will be enormous. Emboldened by these simulations we are planning to team up with experts in hardware design from Budapesti Műszaki és Gazdaságtudományi Egyetem and hardware production experts from [Some hardware company] to make a prototype which could be made commercially available in the future. During the development multiple prototypes will be made and extensively tested in order to determine a cost-effective easy to make product which also offers great performance. We expect that during the hardware implementations we will encounter certain design / manufacturing limitations which will force us to redesign part of the proposed algorithm. These potential obstacles are planned for, and we made sure to have enough manpower and time to solve any potential issues.

The end product will be a prototype which can be mass manufactured if there is a demand for it and will provide significant performance benefits in a multitude of machine learning applications.

# 2 Participants

No.	Name	Short name	Country	Project entry month	Project exit month
1	Eötvös Loránd University	ELTE	Hungary	1	36
2	Budapesti Műszaki és Gazdaságtudományi Egyetem	вме	Hungary	6	36
3	[Some hardware company]	SHC		12	36
			9		
			)		

List of participating organizations. Add or remove rows if necessary.

# 3 Budget Breakdown

	Participant	Estimated eligible costs								Requested
No	Short name	F(( , , /D, c))		Subcon-	Direct costs (€)			Indirect	Total costs	contribution
No.		Effort (PM)	cost (€) t	tracting (€)	Travel	Equipment	Other	costs (€)	(€)	(€)
1	ELTE	114	228,000	0	10,000	0	15,000	63,250	316,250	221,375
2	BME	84	168,000	0	10,000	20,000	15,000	53,250	266,250	186,375
3	SHC	120	240,000	0	10,000	50,000	15,000	78,750	393,750	275,625

Budget breakdown for each participant, including RTD, DEM, MGT, OTHER costs. Add or remove rows if necessary.

#### Notes:

- Effort: total person months according to the Workplan.
- Indirect costs: apply 25% flat-rate, i.e. the indirect costs must be the 25% of the personnel and direct costs together.
- Total costs: sum of all costs, including personnel, subcontracting, direct, and indirect costs.
- Requested contribution: the reimbursement rate is 70%, i.e. the requested contribution must not exceed the 70% of the total eligible costs.

# 4 Workplan

# 4.1 List of Work Packages (WP)

No.	Title	Type of activity	Lead beneficiary short name	Person- months	Start month	End month
WP11	Project Management	MGT	ELTE	15	1	36
WP12	Scientific Coordination	RTD	ELTE	15	1	36
WP21	Hardware Design	RTD	BME	48	6	18
WP22	Hardware Development	RTD	SHC	108	12	36
WP23	Hardware Testing	RTD	BME	24	24	36
WP24	Software Development & Implementation	RTD	ELTE	36	1	18
WP25	Software optimizations	RTD	ELTE	12	19	22
WP26	Hardware optimizations	RTD	SHC	12	30	36
WP27	Explore distributed version (RNEAT)	RTD	ELTE	18	23	30
WP31	Demonstration: Common benchmarks	DEM	ELTE	18	31	36
WP32	Demonstration: Walking robot	DEM	ВМЕ	12	30	36
			Total	318		·

List of work packages including all activities. Add or remove rows if necessary. Sum up the total person-months in the last row.

#### Notes:

- No.: number work packages as WP1, WP2, ..., WPn.
- Type of activity: RTD (Research, Technological Development), MGT (Management), DEM (Demonstration), OTHER (Other activities).

# 4.2 List of Deliverables

No.	Title	WP No.	Lead beneficiary short name	Person- month	Туре	Dissemi- nation Level	Delivery date
D1	FPMA prototype	WP21	SHC	108	Р	PU	2025-01-01
D2	FPMA testing report	WP22	ВМЕ	12	R	PU	2025-10-01
D3	EqNEAT implementation	WP23	ELTE	36	Р	PU	2023-03-01
D4	Paper on distributed EqNEAT	WP25	ELTE	18	0	PU	2025-06-01
D5	Paper on test evaluations	WP31	ELTE	18	0	PU	2025-12-01

D6	Walking robot	WP32	ВМЕ	12	D	PU	2025-12-01
		Total	192			_	

List of essential deliverables for project monitoring. Add or remove rows if necessary. Sum up the total personmonths in the last row.

#### Notes:

- No.: number deliverables as D1, D2, ..., Dn, or as D1.1, D1.2, ..., Dn.m. Order by delivery date.
- Type: R (Report), P (Prototype), D (Demonstrator), O (Other).
- Dissemination level: PU (Public), CO (Confidential).
- Delivery date: month in which the deliverable will be available.

# 4.3 Work Package Description

Include a detailed description for each work package, preferably on separate pages.

WP No.	WP11
WP Title	Project Management

## **Objectives**

- Coordinating efforts of different teams
- Managing budget for the entire project
- Managing travels of the different teams at different locations
- Communicating with outside entities
- Making sure deadlines will be met

## **Description of Work**

Project management will be the task of one person from the ELTE team and it is only going to be a partial job for that person. They will make sure that communications and purchasing of materials and / or services is going smoothly and none of the other team members have to concern themselves with these sorts of tasks. As research requires a focused mindset as many of the mondain tasks have to be carried out by a single person as possible to make sure others can work to maximum effect.

WP No.	WP12
WP Title	Scientific Coordination

# **Objectives**

- Making sure that the teams are following the same technical direction
- Making decisions if there is an internal disagreement in the teams
- Handling the technical communications with outside entities
- Choosing the right technical path for the teams to follow

#### **Description of Work**

The task of the scientific coordinator will be very important as they will decide whether the teams follow a specific technical direction or another. During disagreement of technical nature they will decide what will be the right decision and they are responsible for making sure that as little time as possible is wasted on internal technical conflicts. Scientific coordination is going to be the task of the most experienced member of the ELTE team and we are counting on their expertise. An experienced leader will streamline the whole process and will provide good learning opportunity to the new researchers.

WP No.	WP21
WP Title	Hardware Design

#### **Objectives**

- Designing the optimal hardware to implement the proposed method
- Strongly cooperating with the ELTE teams to reach optimal solutions from both hardware implementation and algorithm side.
- Making sure that the proposed method is implementable in a cost-effective and easy to manufacture manner.

#### **Description of Work**

Experts from BME will be aiding the design team at ELTE to make sure that our proposed method will be implementable in hardware form in a manner which is not just performant but sensible as well. The main goal is to create a commercially available hardware which could be bought by normal users at a reasonable price to speed up their AI operations. This will require a more carefully designed architecture to make sure it's not just a one-off prototype but a viable field ready hardware. After the development reached a stable state we will contact an other team to manage production a further developing to optimize it to the production technologies and make it cost effective. In the meantime experts at BME will be working with the aforementioned team to further refine the design in parallel with the production team which will constantly create prototype. These prototypes will be tested to make sure they are improvement compared to the previous ones.

WP No.	WP22
WP Title	Hardware Development
Objectives	
Description of Wo	ork
	, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.

WP No.	WP23
WP Title	Hardware Testing
Objectives	
Description of Wo	ork
	, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.


WP No.	WP24
WP Title	Software Development & Implementation
Objectives	
Description of Wo	ork
Describ II C 1	
	, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.

WP No.	WP25
WP Title	Software Optimizations
Objectives	
Description of Wo	ork
	, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.

WP No.	WP26
WP Title	Hardware Optimizations
Objectives	
Description of Wo	ork

Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.

WP No.	WP27
WP Title	Explore distributed version (RNEAT)
Objectives	



WP No.	WP31
WP Title	Demonstration: Common Benchmarks
Objectives	
Description of Wo	ork
	, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.

WP No.	WP32
WP Title	Demonstrations: Walking Robot
Objectives	
Description of Wo	ork
	, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.

WP No.	WP11
WP Title	Project Management
Objectives	
Description of Wo	ork
	, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.

WP No.	WP11
WP Title	Project Management
Objectives	
Description of Wo	ork
Description ( )	
	, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.

WP No.	WP11
WP Title	Project Management
Objectives	
Description of Wo	ork
	broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.

WP No.	WP11
WP Title	Project Management
Objectives	
Description of Wo	ork
	, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.

#### 4.4 List of Milestones

No.	Name	Related WP(s)	Delivery date	Comments
MS1				

List and schedule of milestones. Add or remove rows if necessary.

#### Notes:

- No.: number milestones as MS1, MS2, ..., MSn. Order by delivery date.
- Related WP(s): one or more related Work Packages.
- Delivery date: month in which the milestone will be achieved.
- Comments: description, verification, indicators, validation, etc. (if applicable).

## 4.5 Project Reviews

No.	Tentative timing	Planned venue of review	Comments, if any
RV1			
		6	

Tentative schedule of project reviews. Add or remove rows if necessary.

#### Notes:

• No.: number reviews as RV1, RV2, ..., RVn. Order by timing.

## 4.6 Project Efforts

,										
Participant WP	ELTE					Total				
Research, tecl	hnological deve	lopment (RTD	) activities							
WP1										
Total RTD										
Demonstratio	n (DEM) activit	ies								
Total DEM										
Management (MGT) activities										
		4								
Total MGT										
Other activities	es									
Total OTHER										
Total										

Project efforts by activity type per beneficiary, in person-months. Include each participant (columns) and each work package (rows), grouped by activity types. Add or remove rows or columns, or rotate the page, if necessary. Sum up the total person-months for each WP (rows), for each participant (columns) by activity types, and for the whole project.

# 5 Project Description

## 5.1 Scientific and Technical Quality

#### 5.1.1 Concept and Objectives

General description: challenges, visions, aims. Detailed description of WP objectives.

#### 5.1.2 Progress Beyond the State-of-the-Art

List and detailed description of results beyond the state-of-the-art.

#### 5.1.3 Success Criteria and Research Indicators

List of success criteria related to objectives (working software tools, benchmarks, validation methods, etc.), achieved by milestones and reported in deliverables. List of target research indicators (publications, patents, conferences, workshops, new collaborations, training activities).

#### 5.1.4 S/T Methodology

Scientific and technological methodology: structure and dependencies of work packages, description of objectives and the corresponding work packages, timing and dependencies of WPs and tasks (including Gantt chart(s)). Risks and contingency plans, critical path analysis.

#### 5.2 Implementation

#### 5.2.1 Management Structure and Procedures

Description of the management structure: steering committee, advisory board, work package team leaders, project coordinator, administration. Roles, management tasks, and responsibilities of participants.

#### 5.2.2 Beneficiaries

Description of individual participants: expertise, tasks, Principal Investigators (PI).

#### 5.2.3 Consortium as a Whole

Description of the consortium structure: collaborations, roles and beneficiary expertise of partners.

#### 5.2.4 Resources to be committed

Explanation and justification of budget allocation.

#### 5.3 Impact

## 5.3.1 Strategic Impact

List of expected impacts, and explanation on how the impacts will be achieved.

# 5.3.2 Plans for the Use and Dissemination of Foreground Knowledge

Description of dissemination strategies (via education, user communities, conferences, journals, etc.). List of expected publications. Communication plan for science communication. Business strategies: innovation, exploitation, business plan, competitor analysis. Management of intellectual properties (IP): rights for background and foreground knowledge. List of expected foreground IPs, plans for legal protection (e.g. patents).