

Environmental conditions are not constant in time

We saw in the section on unstructured population models, environmental stochasticity can act to change the rate of population increase.

Similarly, we may expect impacts of temporal variation in environmental conditions on structured populations.

 e.g., good years may increase reproductive rate, bad years might decrease survivorship or somatic growth rates

In this case our population size and structure at time t+1 will depend on a time varying projection matrix, A(t), as:

$$n(t+1) = A(t)n(t) = A(t)A(t-1)...A(0)n_0$$

Simplest method of including temporal environmental stochasticity

- simplest method to add environmental variation is to use actual projection matrices measured from a number of different time periods
- can then randomly select a matrix to use for each projected time interval, multiply by the population vector and repeat the process many times
- process is analogous to randomly selecting a per capita growth rate for each time interval in an unstructured model
- preserves any correlations between life history components

Including environmental cycles or autocorrelation

- this simple process can accommodate autocorrelation or regular cycles in environmental factors
- for example we could randomly sample from matrices appropriate to each part of a cycle
- or estimate the correlation between different environmental conditions and ensure our sampling routine takes this correlation into account

Methods of incorporating temporal stochasticity

- assume a limited # of discrete environmental states, and randomly draw a matrix that corresponds to the frequency and autocorrelation of those environmental states (see Morris and Doak 2002). Probably only feasible for a small number of states (e.g. wet years vs dry years))
- 2. if we know how vital rates depend on environmental variable (e.g., thru thermal performance curves), we can generate random environmental conditions with appropriate autocorrelation or cycles, calculate life history rates from those conditions, and then construct a projection matrix for that year. Requires lots of data!
- form matrices by drawing vital rates from appropriate statistical distributions (Caswell 2001, Morris and Doak 2002, Kaye and Pyke 2003).

the stochastic population growth rate

Caswell (2019)

$$ln\lambda_S = \lim_{t o \infty} rac{1}{t} log || oldsymbol{A}(t-1) \dots oldsymbol{A}(0) oldsymbol{n}_0 ||$$

-what is the specific norm here?

estimate the stochastic population growth rate (λ_S) : Simulation

- given a stochastic matrix model, we will want to estimate relevant quantities, the population growth is probably the most important of these
- ▶ to estimate via simulation: 1. project population size for many years using random matrices 2. calculate the arithmetic mean of $ln(N_{t+1}/N_t)$ to approximate λ_S as:

$$log \lambda_S \approx \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} log \left[\frac{N(t)}{N(0)} \right]$$

 Need tens of thousands of growth increments for reasonable estimates

estimate the stochastic population growth rate (λ_S): Tuljapurkar's approximation

- can use an analytical technique for estimate if we assume that variation among the matrices for each time internal is not large, and that the matrix elements are uncorrelated from one time interval to the next, and
- approximate using Tuljapurkar's (1982) method

$${\it ln}\lambda_{\it S} pprox {\it ln}ar{\lambda}_{1} - rac{1}{2}\left(rac{ au^{2}}{ar{\lambda}_{1}^{2}}
ight),$$

where $\bar{\lambda}_1$ is the dominant eigenvalue of the mean matrix \bar{A} which we would obtain by averaging each element of our time interval specific matrices, where the we weight this mean by the frequency with which they are expected to occur.

The quantity τ^2 is given as: $\tau^2 = \sum_{i=1}^S \sum_{j=1}^S \sum_{k=1}^S \sum_{l=1}^S Cov(a_{ij}, a_{k,l}) \bar{S}_{ij} \bar{S}_{lk}.$

more variance = lower population growth

- ▶ The term $\left(\frac{\tau^2}{\lambda_1^2}\right)$ approximates the temporal variance of the log population growth rate caused by environmental stochasticity, and is the equivalent of σ^2 for unstructured populations.
- we can see from the equation ${\it In}\lambda_S\approx {\it In}\bar{\lambda}_1-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\tau^2}{\bar{\lambda}_1^2}\right)$, that an increase in variance, as measured by $\left(\frac{\tau^2}{\bar{\lambda}_1^2}\right)$ will decrease the population growth rate
- lacksquare $ar{S}_{ij}$ is the sensitivity of $ar{\lambda}_1$ to changes in $ar{a}_{ij}$
- ightharpoonup so, we expect variation in a given matrix element a_{ij} will contribute to variation in the growth rate only to the extent that the population growth responds, or is sensitive, to that matrix element, and the variation is relatively large

Covariance between matrix elements

- -reasonable to expect both positive and negative covariance between matrix elements
 - e.g., good years for reproduction in age 3 individuals also likely to be good for 4-year-olds
 - good environmental conditions may be good for both reproduction and survival, or reproduction may come at a cost for somatic growth

Covariance between matrix elements

- ▶ in our equation the quantity $Cov(a_{ij}a_{kl})$ is the covariance between matrix elements a_{ij} and a_{kl} .
- If $i \neq k$ and $j \neq l$ we have two different matrix elements and $Cov(a_{ij}a_{kl})$ is a measure of the tendency of the two elements to change in synchrony across time intervals. If i = k and j = l, then we have the same element, and this is just a measure of variance over different time intervals
- -positive correlation between two matrix elements mean that either both will be high or low will tend to cause larger variation in the population growth rate
- -negative correlation will cause a tendency for the impacts of variation to to "cancel out" with reduced variation on population growth rates

Sensitivity analysis for stochastic matrix models

- Caswell (2001) argued that deterministic sensitivity values for a mean matrix are generally good approximations for stochastic matrix models
- probably reasonable for long-lived organisms that are reasonably buffered from environmental stochasticity
- less likely to be true for short-lived organisms highly influenced by environmental conditions
- more complicated to complete sensitivity analysis because need to incorporate mean rates, variances, covariances, and characterisitics of the environmental temporal variation

transient dynamics?

- **stochastic transient population growth rate** r_s . Use many simulations (e.g., 10,000) independent sample paths of t = a short interval (e.g., 5 years).
- ▶ damping ratio $\rho = |\lambda_{subdom}|/\lambda_{dom}$ of the mean matrix **A**, which is the ratio of the subdominant (λ_{subdom}) and dominant (λ_{dom}) eigenvalues (Haridas and Tuljapurkar, 2007). The damping ratio is a metric of convergence to the stable stage equilibrium: ρ close to 0 indicates that the population is far from equilibrium and a ρ close to 1 suggests a population that will converge to long-term dynamics relatively rapidly.
- ▶ transient elasticity captures the instantaneous influence of a single time step change in vital rates e1ij and the long-term influence of perturbations in the stage structure e2ij (Haridas and Tuljapurkar, 2007; Haridas and Gerber, 2010).

Transient sensitivity and elasticity

Stochastic transient elasticity with respect to perturbations in the variance captures the effects of temporal variability in matrix elements (i.e., plant vital rates) and the effects of the initial stage structure (Ellis and Crone, 2013).

Example: reintroduction of critically endangered plant

Delissea waianaeensis (Campanulaceae) is a critically endangered long-lived shrub endemic to the island of Oʻahu https://powo.science.kew.org/taxon/urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77066986-1



Example: reintroduction of critically endangered plant

Bialic-Murphy, L., Knight, T. M., Kawelo, K., & Gaoue, O. G. (2022). The Disconnect Between Short-and Long-Term Population Projections for Plant Reintroductions. *Frontiers in Conservation Science*, *2*, 124.

- -"stochastic transient projections are more appropriate than asymptotic projections to characterize the near-term population growth rate because it explicitly incorporates the effects of the initial stage structure and captures more realistic environmental variation based on current field conditions.
- -"We also illustrate that the near-term population projections of plant reintroductions established with mature individuals can be overly optimistic of long-term outcomes.

References

Bialic-Murphy, L., Knight, T. M., Kawelo, K., & Gaoue, O. G. (2022). The Disconnect Between Short-and Long-Term Population Projections for Plant Reintroductions. *Frontiers in Conservation Science*, *2*, 124.

Caswell, H. (2001). Matrix population models: construction, analysis, and interpretation. 2nd edn Sinauer Associates. *Inc., Sunderland, MA*.

Kaye, T. N., & Pyke, D. A. (2003). The effect of stochastic technique on estimates of population viability from transition matrix models. *Ecology*, 84(6), 1464-1476.

Morris, W. F., & Doak, D. F. (2002). Quantitative conservation biology. *Sinauer, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA*.

Tuljapurkar, S. D. (1982). Population dynamics in variable environments. II. Correlated environments, sensitivity analysis and dynamics. *Theoretical Population Biology*, *21*(1), 114-140.

Tulianurkar S & Haridas C V (2006) Temporal autocorrelation