


Can Web Technologies Help R Generate Print Quality Graphics?

Les nombres d'hommes



Les nombres d'hommes présents sont représentés par les largeurs des zones colorées à raison d'un millimètre pour dix mille hommes; ils sont de plus écrits en travers des zones. Le gris désigne les hommes qui entrent en Russie, le noir ceux qui en sortent. Les renseignements qui ont servi à dresser la carte ont été puisés dans les ouvrages de MM. Thiers, de Ségur, de Fezensac, de Cham-

bray et le journal inédit de Jacob, pharmacien de l'armée depuis le 28 octobre. Pour mieux faire juger à l'oeil la diminution de l'armée, j'ai supposé que les corps du prince Jérôme et du Maréchal Davoust qui avaient été détachés sur Minsk et Mobilow et ont rejoint vers Orscha et Witebsk, avaient toujours marché avec l'armée

NOTE: Image to be updated with better example

CompSci 791: Research Paper [Working version]

Kane Cullimore

October 26, 2020

Abstract

Abstract paragraph to cover the following main topics:

- ▶ Introduction and problem description
- ▶ layoutEngine and its limitations
- ▶ RSelenium backend
- ▶ NodeJS backend
- ▶ Future suggestions

Document Structure

This report is organized in the following way:

- ▶ A problem definition is given to explain the core functionality the layoutEngine library is provided
- ▶ A brief explanation of how the layoutEngine is intended to be used along with its current shortcomings is covered
- ▶ The RSelenium layoutEngine back-end is introduced
- ▶ The NodeJS layoutEngine back-end is introduced
- ▶ A comparison of each back-end is given to identify areas of advancement
- ▶ Finally, a review of the overall layoutEngine approach is given and compared to some existing R extensions

Temporary Notes

The planned size of document:

- ▶ Background = 10 pages
- ▶ RSelenium Backend = 10 pages
- ▶ NodeJS Backend = 10 pages
- ▶ Comparisons and Wrap-up = 10 pages

Presentation:

- ▶ Time 10 mins with 4 mins Qs
- ▶ Slide: Background and What problem it solves
- ▶ Quick demo (with backup slide)
- ▶ Slide: Diagram of IE system
- ▶ Slide: Identification of IE limitations
- ▶ Slide: New development
- ▶ Demo of latest and greatest examples
- ▶ Slide: Qs

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Problem Description	4
3	The layoutEngine R Library	5
3.1	The Standard R Approach	6
3.2	The layoutEngine Approach	6
3.3	Solution Design	7
3.4	Benefits	8
3.5	Limitations	9
4	layoutEngine Development	11
4.1	Objectives	11
4.2	Minimal Requirements	11
4.3	Enhancements	11
5	RSelenium Backend	13
5.1	Solution Design	13
5.2	Benefits	13
5.3	Limitations	13
6	NodeJS Backend	14
6.1	Solution Design	14
6.2	Benefits	14
6.3	Limitations	14
7	Comparison	15
8	Recommendations	16
A	Appendix	17
A.1	Development Environment	17
A.2	Org-mode examples	20
B	References	24

1 Introduction

The **R programming language** is a popular open-source statistical analysis tool. The language has many libraries that support sophisticated statistical techniques. Many of these rely on graphical output to communicate results. A strong appeal of this programming language is the ease at which its **core graphics system** (TODO: add glossary item) generates graphical output that is both accurate and effective at communicating this type of information.

Few open-source alternatives offer an equivalent set of sophisticated statistics married to a flexible and powerful graphics system like R. The Python programming language is a strong competitor. However, its focus is more general purpose with fewer specialized statistics libraries that generate these types of graphics (although it is catching up(?)).

As the R programming language has grown in popularity so to has the number of specialized applications. One such use-case is the incorporation of the statistics based graphics within published articles. While the raw dots and dashes used to generate these graphics is of sufficient digital quality there are several fundamental publishing requirements which are not supported.


2 Problem Description

The publishing industry has a long history dating back to the 15th century when movable type printing was first invented. As the industry evolved within digital platforms it has brought with it a system of long-standing expectations for content. As a result, digital publications often have a myriad of requirements for graphics which are referred to in this report as **print quality graphics**. These requirements include **(1)** writing system and font specifications, **(2)** document layout and typesetting, **(3)** sophisticated content rendering, and **(4)** control over output resolution and file format.

R users have a powerful tool for generating statistics based graphics but they will struggle to support many of these requirements. Examples include (TODO: provide plot examples):

- ▶ Using multiple font types in the same graphic (see Appendix XXX)
- ▶ Embedded tables and text-boxes with wrapped text (see Appendix XXX)
- ▶ Complex layouts of text and graph components (see Appendix XXX)
- ▶ TODO: add to or modify list

One existing solution to produce print quality graphics is to modify the R graphical output with external tools such as \LaTeX or *Adobe Illustrator*. The user must either be proficient in both environments or have a specialist available to help.



Produce Print Quality Graphics Using External Applications

Another solution would rely on several existing R libraries. Such libraries offer bits of this functionality ad hoc. The user would remain within the R ecosystem but might need several libraries depending on the publishing requirements. This modular feature-set composition is the *standard R approach* to extend its functionality.

This research reviews an alternative approach where the print quality graphic is generated from within the R ecosystem with a single general purpose solution. This approach is central to the **layoutEngine** R library which incorporates **web technologies** to extend the functionality of the R graphics system. It is based on the understanding that web technologies and modern browsers have long supported the special needs of the publishing industry. Therefore, if the layoutEngine can successfully utilize the browsers graphics system, it can bring a full-suite of industry leading functionality to R users.

This research does *not* address the relative performance and functionality difference between the layoutEngine approach and the *standard R approach*. Rather, it *first* explores the efficacy of this *layoutEngine approach*, and *second*, attempts to improve upon the implementation of the existing layoutEngine library to address several existing limitations.

3 The layoutEngine R Library


The intent of the **layoutEngine**[TODO: Add to References] R library is to extend R's graphical system by adopting functionality available in web technologies. To achieve this, its core functionality is to act as a high-level interface between R and a web browser and thereby tap into the rich feature set. While the library is available to review via the layoutEngine repository, it is still in development and not yet available in **CRAN**.

3.1 The Standard R Approach

The *standard R approach* to extending its functionality has been paramount to the success of open-source programming languages. Available libraries are loaded into the scope of an environment to gain functionality. If a larger feature set is need then several libraries are loaded as a type of modular system. There are many advantages to this approach and it is a key reason why these languages and user communities have thrived.

Several **available CRAN packages** offer functionality which meet some publishing requirements. Many of these libraries are well executed and perform admirably. The *ggtext* package enables multiple font types to be specified in the same graphic. The *patchwork* package offers a similar functionality specifically for arranging several ggplots with claims of increased simplicity and flexibility. In addition, the base package *grid* has a `layout` function which creates a Grid layout object that enables plots from different systems to be arranged together. Many other libraries extend R towards the realm of print quality graphics but no general purpose solution exists at this point in time.[TODO: add all to References] Together they establish that a need does exists for this type of extended functionality for R users.

NOTE: Also references Paul's list per HTML Rendering article



Produce Print Quality Graphics Using Multiple R Libraries


This approach might eventually succeed in offering a general purpose solution to generate print quality graphics. However, several difficulties exist would first need to be overcome. First, the publishing requirements represent an extensive set of functionality. In addition, the variety of graphical output this must operate with is also large. As a result, the task of coordinating a suite of purpose-built libraries that is flexible enough to cover all scenarios would be significant. This is both difficult from both a developer and user perspective due to the large number of functions and objects to handle.

3.2 The layoutEngine Approach

The *layoutEngine approach* differs with the way it extends the functionality of R. It acts as a portal between the graphics system in R and a modern web browser so as to avoid reinventing the wheel. Instead it aims to adopt from an industry that has a long history supporting publishing requirements. This approach bypasses the need to build a complex system from the ground up.

This approach aims to take advantage of preexisting technologies to generate print quality graphics. First, a user can rely on existing R libraries to generate HTML from R data objects which removes the need

to translate between languages. Second, it adopts the sophistication of web technologies and modern web browsers that already support much of publishing industry requirements. In addition, since the R user will have unbridled access to these web technologies, the functionality of the R graphics system would be greatly extended.



Produce Print Quality Graphics Using the layoutEngine

The figure above demonstrates the process of a general use-case. In summary, it starts with a graphic partially defined in R. This is converted to HTML where some additional definition could be added. The browser readable definition is transferred and loaded into a web browser where its layout and rendering engine generate the desired graphic in the browser window. A JavaScript function is then executed to calculate the position of each component on the page. This data is then sent back to R in CSV format where the layoutEngine will convert it to a R readable graphic object. This can then be displayed in the R graphics window or sent directly to an image file.


3.3 Solution Design

The layoutEngine solution is designed as a two-component system. The layoutEngine **primary library** is configured to interface with one of several available layoutEngine **backend libraries**[TODO: Add to References]. The primary library acts as the interface for R users while the backend library is the interface between R and the web browser. The solution design is separated into these components as much of the complexity exists in the backend library. This abstracts much of the complexity away from the user and allows improvements to be made to backend library with little impact to the user.

The primary library is a relatively simple and robust interface. It provides several helper functions to pass graphics-based data between the R user and layoutEngine backend. [TODO: extend description]

The backend library has many complexities to manage which present the primary challenge of this solution. The key mechanisms and complexities the backend library must contend with include:

- ▶ Variability in Host Machine
 - ▷ Cross-platform system calls (macOS, Windows and Linux)
 - ▷ System and R dependencies
- ▶ Functionality
 - ▷ Locate and manage a modern web browser session
 - ▷ Send and receive data between a R session and web browser
 - ▷ Query and modify the web-page DOM [TODO: Add glossary term]



layoutEngine Solution Design

There are three layoutEngine backends available for use with the layoutEngine at the time of this research. These backends successfully demonstrate the viability of this approach.

3.4 Benefits

3.4.1 General

- ▶ Integration of the image within other content that is accessible programmatically
- ▶ Not just an embedded graphic
- ▶ HTML knows about the interior of the R graphic and is NOT just a dumb blob
- ▶ Access to a huge amount of functionality of the web technology stack
- ▶ Web Tech is a vibrant community
- ▶ Browsers are extremely sophisticated and competitively being enhanced each year
- ▶ Take advantage of the large variety of packages and methods that currently generate HTML
 - ▷ Knitr (markdown?)
 - ▷ xtable
 - ▷ htmltools (RStudio <https://www.stat.auckland.ac.nz/~paul/Reports/HTML/layoutengine/layoutengine.html#pkg:htmltools>)
 - ▷ Others?
- ▶ layoutEngine Backends

3.4.2 DOM Backend

- ▶ [TODO]
- ▶ Based on Paul's DOM package
- ▶ Live visual feedback for debugging, reviewing output
- ▶ Access to latest web browser and therefore latest HTML, CSS and JS specs

3.4.3 PhantomJS Backend

- ▶ [TODO]
- ▶ Simple, lightweight, few dependencies

- ▶ Per Ref
 - ▷ Based on WebKit browser engine (Apple from Chrome)
 - ▷ Does not require a GUI and performs layout off-screen

3.4.4 CSSBox Backend

- ▶ Per Ref
 - ▷ Based on CSSBox Java library
 - ▷ Generates HTML layout information directly (i.e. standalone HTML layout engine)
 - ▷ Generates information for every line of text after layout which is better than most web browsers
- ▶ [TODO: get some feedback on this from Paul]

3.5 Limitations

3.5.1 General

- ▶ Have to learn and write in HTML/CSS/JS
- ▶ Security layer around using a browser
- ▶ System dependencies across all OS is trouble
- ▶ Font managing software customized per OS
- ▶ Per Ref
 - ▷ Cairo-based R graphics devices (and pdf & postscript)
 - ▷ Matching or converting X11 fonts for the X11 device to fonts the layout backends can use would be hard
 - ▷ Support for native Windows and MacOS graphics devices
 - ▷ Smallish list of CSS that is support in layoutEngine currently do nothing when pushed back to R from the backend
 - ▷ Issues with hyphens in CSS (as string variables in R)
 - ▷ Pixel resolution compatibility (resolution of graphics device should be set to 96 dpi)
 - ▷ Not a fast process (rendering HTML) => speed cost for expanded functionality

3.5.2 DOM Backend

- ▶ [TODO]
- ▶ Default browser opens every call
- ▶ Per Ref
 - ▷ See article about managing font types

3.5.3 PhantomJS Backend

- ▶ [TODO]
- ▶ Lack of visual feedback
- ▶ No longer developed so will eventually lose support
- ▶ Based on older WebKit engine so behind on HTML and CSS specs

3.5.4 CSSBox Backend

- ▶ Per Ref
 - ▷ Have to keep track of levels of accuracy based on what device HTML will be rendered on
 - ▷ Lags browsers support of web standards (modern CSS specifically)
- ▶ [TODO]

3.5.5 Summary

The following list summarizes the desired requirements for a layoutEngine backend:

- ▶ **Cross Platform:** Compatibility with all major operating systems (Linux, MacOS and Windows)
- ▶ **Simple Dependencies:** Simple installation with few dependencies that are consistent across platforms
- ▶ **Industry Support:** Robust industry support of any incorporated tools, technologies and standards
- ▶ **Modern Web Standards:** Support for modern web standards including HTML, CSS and JavaScript
- ▶ **Visual Feedback:** Ability to view graphics within live browser

Limitation	DOM	PhantomJS	CSSBox
Cross Platform	Low	Low	Low
Simple Dependencies	Low	Low	Low
Industry Support	Low	None	Low
Modern Web Standards	Med	Low	Low
Visual Feedback	High	None	?

4 layoutEngine Development

4.1 Objectives

The viability of the layoutEngine approach is still being explored and it is the layoutEngine backend where the majority of the limitations reside. There are several existing backends however each has certain limitations that must be rectified before community adoption is possible.

This report introduces two newly developed layoutEngine backends which attempt to address the limitations of the existing designs. One relies on a **Selenium** server hosted within a **Docker container** container. The second is a custom **NodeJS** server also hosted within a Docker container.

4.2 Minimal Requirements

The backend must support a browser compatible communication protocol. Data must be transferred between R and the browser in both directions. The backend must first send the raw HTML based data to the browser. It must

4.3 Enhancements

4.3.1 Cross Platform

An emphasis is placed on new layoutEngine backends to support all three major platforms (Linux, MacOS and Windows). While the existing backends prove the viability of the layoutEngine functionality it is deemed absolutely necessary for the package to be easily used on all platforms. There is little chance the package would be found useful across the industry if it were only available on Linux platforms. A primary reason for this being that a majority of users are on either Windows or MacOS.

4.3.2 Simple Dependencies

Secondary to cross platform support, the backend must also have relatively simple installation requirements for all platforms. The intention here is to improve the user experience by making the installation as easy as possible. In addition, with fewer requirements there is less opportunities for future incompatibilities to arise.

4.3.3 Industry Support

It is critical that any technologies that are incorporated into the layoutEngine backend have development support into the future. The more common and widely used such technologies are the less likely there will be technical issues as other parts of the ecosystem advance.

4.3.4 Modern Web Standards

It is preferred the backend design is able to support modern web standards for **Web Design and Applications** as defined by W3C. If the latest and greatest standards are not fully supported then an acceptable lag of 1 to 2 years from the most recent release. This feature should be considered as relatively important as many users will be turned off from too much lag between what is seen as industry standard versus cutting edge.

4.3.5 Visual Feedback

It should be considered valuable to have access to a live browser for several reasons. Although headless browsers might be considered more easily implemented there is significant value in being able to see the graphical output within the browser. For example, the user can see quickly identify any discrepancies between in supported web technologies between the browser and R graphics display.


5 RSelenium Backend

The RSelenium backend has been developed with the use of two preexisting technologies. The first is the **Selenium WebDriver** which is a robust browser automation tool. The second is the **RSelenium R library** which provides an interface to the Selenium WebDriver from within R.

The primary interest in using Selenium is that it is a powerful and popular tool that offers a more robust platform to control a web browser. The fact the RSelenium library allowed for easy use of this tool off-the-shelf further increased its appeal. While the benefits for this solution design are significant there are also several limitations (or downsides) to its use.

This section first presents the solution design of the layoutEngine backend using Selenium. This is then followed by a review of the benefits and limitations of the design.

5.1 Solution Design




RSelenium Backend Design

5.2 Benefits

5.3 Limitations

6 NodeJS Backend

6.1 Solution Design



NodeJS Backend Design

6.2 Benefits

6.3 Limitations

7 Comparison

1. Summary of solution features, benefits and limitations
2. How do they rank with the existing **backends**?

8 Recommendations

1. Overview of layoutEngine as a solution to generating print quality graphics
2. Do the new backends improve its performance?
3. Where should future development work concentrate?

A Appendix

A.1 Development Environment

A single Docker container is used to perform research, experimentation, R package development and documentation. This environment was chosen to easily share the development content with others for collaboration and feedback. It will also ensure that any future return to this research can be resurrected with a working code-base independent of software changes.

The report and R development have been performed within Emacs and ESS environment inside of the Docker container. The report is written within the Emacs org-mode markdown language which abstracts some \LaTeX syntax while also providing literate programming options which are more flexible than generic markdown or Rmarkdown.

Some basic Docker and Emacs commands are provided to walk the user through some of aspects of the build and editing processes.

A.1.1 Docker container description

Overview: The Docker container is publicly available on Docker Hub with the following image name **kcull\layoutengine-research**. The container is built from the Ubuntu 18.04 image and has R 3.6.3 and Emacs 27.1 installed. The container has been configured to run Emacs in its GUI environment on the host machine.

User and Home Directory: The user is logged in as a sudo-user with `/home/user/` as the `$HOME` directory. The sudo password is "password." The working directory is `/project/` which both the shell and Emacs will initialize into.

Directory Organization: The project also has the primary layoutEngine repositories cloned in the `\opt` directory.

Directory Hierarchy:

```
# Emacs configuration files
/home/user/.emacs.d/

# Github repository for research paper
/project/

# Github repository for layoutEngine
/opt/layoutengine

# Github repository for layoutEngineDOM
/opt/layoutenginedom

# Experimental code for layoutEngineRSelenium
/opt/layoutenginerselenium

# Experimental code for layoutEngineNodeJS
/opt/layoutenginenodejs
```

A.1.2 Host setup and Docker run instructions

The following instructions are provided to recreate the development environment. This has only been tested from within a host machine running Ubuntu 18.04 but is assumed to be compatible with other Debian derivatives.

- ▶ GitHub Repository: kcullimore/layoutengine-research
- ▶ Docker Image: kcull\layoutengine-research

1 - Download the docker image:

```
$ docker pull kcull/layoutengine-research:latest
```

2 - Create a working directory on the host machine and clone the github repository:

```
$ mkdir /home/$USER/layoutengine-research
$ git clone git@github.com:kcullimore/layoutengine-research.git /home/$USER/layoutengine-research
```

3 - Grant local access to your X server to allow Emacs to run in a local window and then run the docker container (setting is reset upon reboot): **Warning: this exposes your computer. Read more here.**

```
$ xhost +local:
```

4 - Run the docker container:

```
$ docker run --rm -it \
  --network host \
  --privileged=true \
  --env DISPLAY=unix$DISPLAY \
  --volume /tmp/.X11-unix:/tmp/.X11-unix \
  --volume /var/run/docker.sock:/var/run/docker.sock \
  --mount type=bind,source=/home/$USER/layoutengine-research/,target=/project/ \
  --name layoutengine-research \
  kcull/layoutengine-research:latest
```

5 - Once the docker container is up and running verify folder structure has correctly mapped the host directories.

6 - Open Emacs in the container's terminal: `$ Emacs`. The host should launch Emacs in its GUI form (i.e. not within the shell). If this doesn't occur verify steps 4 were followed thoroughly (NOTE: After reboot the display device will have to be provided access again with the `{\xhost + local : command}`).

7 - From within Emacs perform the following operations to open and recreate the current report

- ▶ Opens Treemacs with `M-0`
- ▶ Open folder structure to `/project/paper/` with Tab-Enter or Mouse
- ▶ Open org-mode markdown file `layoutengine-research-paper.org` with Enter or Mouse double-click
- ▶ Make some edits to the file and save with `C-x C-s`
- ▶ Launch Export Dispatcher menu with `C-c C-e`
- ▶ Create new PDF file with `C-1 C-o`

8 - The PDF should have opened automatically which you can scroll through with arrow keys or the mouse scroll wheel. Use `q` key to minimize the PDF buffer.

9 - Close Emacs with `C-x C-c` and exit the container by typing `exit` at the terminal.

10 - Navigate to the project directory on the host machine and verify the new PDF and edited org-mode file were correctly saved.

11 - If the above worked the project appears to be correctly established on the host machine.

A.1.3 Emacs within Docker Container

Emacs Terminology

- ▶ **buffer:** 'Screen' or 'window' user operates within
- ▶ **marking:** Highlighting region of window

Often used commands can be found at <https://www.gnu.org/software/emacs/refcards/pdf/refcard.pdf>.

Customized keybindings

- ▶ Open emacs configuration file with `C-c e` (Emacs must be restarted for changes)
- ▶ Expand all nested/hidden text within *.org file with `Shift-Tab Shift-Tab Shift-Tab`

- ▶ Copy, cut and paste with standard keybindings per **Cua Mode**
- ▶ Switch visual line wrap with M-9
- ▶ Switch to truncate long-line view with M-8
- ▶ Enter/Exit rectangle edit mode with c-^
- ▶ Enter/Exit multi-edit mode by highlighting word and then c-u
- ▶ Auto-indent R script (via ESS) by highlighting buffer with c-x h and then C-M-}

Document Export

When a PDF version of the document is produced a standard T_EX file (*.tex) is also produced after transpilation. This T_EX file can be edited and used with a standard L^AT_EX command: `latex report.tex`.

To be continued...

A.2 Org-mode examples

A.2.1 Font definitions

Using \LaTeX fontspec package [1]

Sans

Internet based applications are an increasingly popular way to communicate and interact with complex data.

Sans italic

Internet based applications are an increasingly popular way to communicate and interact wtih complex data.

Sans italic bold

This might include a business application that assist employees unversstand the current state of the market.

Serif

It might also include a news website communicating techincal details from a story such census data.

Serif italic

It might also include a news website communicating techincal details from a story such census data.

Serif italic bold

It might also include a news website communicating techincal details from a story such census data.

Mono type

It might also include a news website communicating techincal details from a story such census data.

Mono Bold type

The quick brown fox 012456789

A.2.2 Sample R code highlighting

```
#####10#####20#####30#####40#####50#####60#####70#####80
## Problem 2: START => Optical Illusion Example
#####10#####20#####30#####40#####50#####60#####70#####80
## Generate pdf file of plot (capture ends with dev.off() below)
pdf("prob-02.pdf", width = 3, height = 6)
## Create theta values for each line segments (i.e. 180 degs / 4 = 45 segments)
## Remove elements in the center of vector (i.e. 80-100 degree section)
theta <- seq(0, pi, length = 45)[- (20:26)]
## Set parameters to be used in plot() (R = dummy radius, B = slope of lines)
R <- 1
B <- sin(theta) / cos(theta)
## Setup plot space and define coordinate axes (also remove 'edge buffer')
plot.new()
par(mar = c(0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1))
plot.window(xlim = c(-R, R), ylim = c(-R, R), asp = 1)
## Create the black line segments
for (i in 1:length(B)) abline(a = 0, b = B[i], lwd = 2)
## Create the 2 red vertical lines
abline(v = c(-R/2, R/2), col = "red", lwd = 4)
## Stop image capture
invisible(dev.off())
#####10#####20#####30#####40#####50#####60#####70#####80
## Problem 2: END
#####10#####20#####30#####40#####50#####60#####70#####80
```

A.2.3 Sample HTML code highlighting

```
<!DOCTYPE html>
<html lang="en">
  <head>
    <meta charset="utf-8" />
    <meta
      name="viewport"
      content="width=device-width, initial-scale=1,
        maximum-scale=1.0, user-scalable=0"
    />
    <!-- favicon -->
  </head>
  <body>
    <title>DOM - Testing Application</title>
    <div id="AppDiv" class="app-div"></div>
  </body>
</html>
```

A.2.4 Sample CSS code highlighting

```
.iah-text-Raleway {
  font-family: 'Raleway', sans-serif;
  font-weight: 500;
}

.iah-text-black {
  font-family: 'Roboto Mono', monospace;
  font-weight: 500;
  font-size: 2em;
  overflow-wrap: break-word;
  margin: 10px;
  color: var(--iah-grey-dark);
}
```

A.2.5 Sample JavaScript code highlighting

```
var args = []; // Empty array, at first.  
for (var i = 0; i < arguments.length; i++) {  
    args.push(arguments[i])  
} // Now 'args' is an array that holds your arguments.  
  
// ES6 arrow function  
var multiply = (x, y) => { return x * y; };  
  
// Or even simpler  
var multiply = (x, y) => x * y;
```

B References

Murrell, P. (2018). “Rendering HTML Content in R Graphics” Technical Report 2018-13, Department of Statistics, The University of Auckland.

References

- [1] package used to manage fonts within xelatex (or luatex) fontspec: <http://ctan.math.washington.edu/tex-archive/macros/latex/contrib/fontspec/fontspec.pdf>
- [2] docker socket solution <https://jpetazzo.github.io/2015/09/03/do-not-use-docker-in-docker-for-ci/>