

Unsupervised Sentiment Analysis with Lexicon Models

We talked about unsupervised learning methods in the past, which refer to specific modeling methods that can be applied directly to data features without the presence of labeled data. One of the major challenges in any organization is getting labeled datasets due the lack of time as well as resources to do this tedious task. Unsupervised methods are very useful in this scenario and we look at some of these methods in this section. Even though we have labeled data, this section should give you a good idea of how lexicon based models work and you can apply them to your own datasets when you do not have labeled data.

Unsupervised sentiment analysis models use well curated knowledgebases, ontologies, lexicons, and databases, which have detailed information pertaining to subjective words, phrases including sentiment, mood, polarity, objectivity, subjectivity, and so on.

A lexicon model typically uses a lexicon, also known as a dictionary or vocabulary of words specifically aligned to sentiment analysis. These lexicons contain a list of words associated with positive and negative sentiment, polarity (magnitude of negative or positive score), parts of speech (POS) tags, subjectivity classifiers (strong, weak, neutral), mood, modality, and so on.

You can use these lexicons and compute the sentiment of a text document by matching the presence of specific words from the lexicon and then looking at other factors like presence of negation parameters, surrounding words, overall context, phrases, and aggregate overall sentiment polarity scores to decide the final sentiment score.

There are several popular lexicon models used for sentiment analysis. Some of them are as follows:

- · Bing Liu's lexicon
- · MPQA subjectivity lexicon
- Pattern lexicon
- TextBlob lexicon
- AFINN lexicon
- SentiWordNet lexicon
- VADER lexicon

This is not an exhaustive list of lexicon models but these are definitely among the most popular ones available today.

Install Dependencies

!pip install textblob

!pip install textsearch

!pip install contractions

```
!pip install afinn
import nltk
nltk.download('punkt')
nltk.download('stopwords')
nltk.download('vader lexicon')
     Requirement already satisfied: textblob in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (0.15.3)
     Requirement already satisfied: nltk>=3.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (from textblob) (3.2.5)
     Requirement already satisfied: six in /usr/local/lib/nython3.7/dist-packages (from nltk>=3.1->texthloh) (1.15.0)
     Requirement already satisfied: textsearch in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (0.0.21)
     Requirement already satisfied: pyahocorasick in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (from textsearch) (1.4.4)
     Requirement already satisfied: anyascii in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (from textsearch) (0.3.0)
     Requirement already satisfied: contractions in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (0.1.66)
     Requirement already satisfied: textsearch>=0.0.21 in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (from contractions) (0.0.21)
     Requirement already satisfied: pyahocorasick in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (from textsearch>=0.0.21->contractions) (1.4.4)
     Requirement already satisfied: anyascii in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (from textsearch>=0.0.21->contractions) (0.3.0)
     Requirement already satisfied: afinn in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (0.1)
     [nltk data] Downloading package punkt to /root/nltk data...
     Inltk datal Package punkt is already up-to-date!
     Inltk datal Downloading package stopwords to /root/nltk data...
     [nltk data]
                  Package stopwords is already up-to-date!
     [nltk data] Downloading package vader lexicon to /root/nltk data...
     [nltk data] Package vader lexicon is already up-to-date!
     True
```

→ Load Dependencies

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import nltk
import textblob
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix, classification_report
np.set printoptions(precision=2, linewidth=80)
```

▼ Load Dataset

```
# adapted code to download files from storage
from google.colab import files

uploaded = files.upload()
```

→ Sentiment Analysis with TextBlob

The lexicon that TextBlob uses is the same one as pattern and is available in their source code on GitHub (https://github.com/sloria/TextBlob/blob/dev/textblob/en/en-sentiment.xml). Some sample examples are shown from the lexicon as follows.

```
<word form="abhorrent" wordnet_id="a-1625063" pos="JJ" sense="offensive
to the mind" polarity="-0.7" subjectivity="0.8" intensity="1.0"
reliability="0.9" />
<word form="able" cornetto_synset_id="n_a-534450" wordnet_id="a-01017439"
pos="JJ" sense="having a strong healthy body" polarity="0.5"
subjectivity="1.0" intensity="1.0" confidence="0.9" />
```

Typically, specific adjectives have a polarity score (negative/positive, -1.0 to +1.0) and a subjectivity score (objective/subjective, +0.0 to +1.0).

The reliability score specifies if an adjective was hand-tagged (1.0) or inferred (0.7). Words are tagged per sense, e.g., ridiculous (pitiful) = negative, ridiculous (humorous) = positive.

The Cornetto id (lexical unit id) and Cornetto synset id refer to the Cornetto lexical database for Dutch. The WordNet id refers to the WordNet3 lexical database for English. The part-of-speech tags (POS) use the Penn Treebank convention. Let's look at how we can use TextBlob for sentiment analysis.

→ Predict sentiment for sample reviews

```
for review, sentiment in zip(test reviews[sample review ids], test sentiments[sample review ids]):
   print('REVIEW:', review)
   print('Actual Sentiment:', sentiment)
   print('Predicted Sentiment polarity:', textblob.TextBlob(review).sentiment.polarity)
   print('-'*60)
    REVIEW: @Emberet @terra money Yea man! Pick it up at 1 Luna right now, it increases in price every 8 days
    Actual Sentiment: positive
    Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.2857142857142857
    _____
    REVIEW: @terra money @WalletConnect please someone help? terra wallet will not connect to bridge on ios. My UST is stuck in the terra wallet
    Actual Sentiment: negative
    Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.0
    REVIEW: @TheRyanLion @terra money @astroport fi @coingecko @CoinMarketCap @WhiteWhaleTerra Love these updates thank vou!
    Actual Sentiment: positive
    Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.625
    _____
    REVIEW: @krakenfx @terra money Good job but wen $UST
    Actual Sentiment: positive
    Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.7
    REVIEW: @krakenfx @terra money you like $LUNA?? you're gonna love $UST
    Actual Sentiment: positive
    Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.5
    REVIEW: @Kanin VC @DesmosNetwork @terra money True, early signs of issues. Considering it's meant to be social media, it needs simplicity to become wic
    Actual Sentiment: negative
```

→ Predict sentiment for test dataset

```
sentiment_polarity = [textblob.TextBlob(review).sentiment.polarity for review in test_reviews]
# adapted codo to get positive score above 0, instead of score >= 0.1
predicted sentiments = ['positive' if score > 0 else 'negative' for score in sentiment polarity]
```

▼ Evaluate model performance

```
labels = ['negative', 'positive']
print(classification report(test sentiments, predicted sentiments))
pd.DataFrame(confusion matrix(test sentiments, predicted sentiments), index=labels, columns=labels)
                   precision
                                recall f1-score support
         negative
                        0.65
                                  0.70
                                            0.67
                                                        46
         positive
                        0.87
                                  0.84
                                            0.86
                                                       109
                                                       155
         accuracy
                                            0.80
        macro avg
                        0.76
                                  0.77
                                            0.76
                                                       155
     weighted avg
                        0.80
                                            0.80
                                                       155
                                  0.80
               negative positive
                     32
      negative
                               14
                     17
                               92
      positive
```

→ Sentiment Analysis with AFINN

The AFINN lexicon is perhaps one of the simplest and most popular lexicons and can be used extensively for sentiment analysis. Developed and curated by Finn Årup Nielsen, you can find more details on this lexicon in the paper by Finn Årup Nielsen, entitled "A New ANEW: Evaluation of a Word List for Sentiment Analysis in Microblogs," from the proceedings of the ESWC2011 workshop.

The current version of the lexicon is AFINN-en-165. txt and it contains over 3,300 words with a polarity score associated with each word. You can find this lexicon at the author's official GitHub repository along with previous versions of this lexicon including AFINN-111 at https://github.com/fnielsen/afinn/blob/master/afinn/data/.

The author has also created a nice wrapper library on top of this in Python called afinn, which we will be using for our analysis needs

```
from afinn import Afinn
afn = Afinn(emoticons=True)
```

→ Predict sentiment for sample reviews

```
for review, sentiment in zip(test_reviews[sample_review_ids], test_sentiments[sample_review_ids]):
    print('REVIEW:', review)
    print('Actual Sentiment:', sentiment)
    print('Predicted Sentiment polarity:', afn.score(review))
    print('-'*60)
```

```
REVIEW: @Emberet @terra monev Yea man! Pick it up at 1 Luna right now, it increases in price every 8 days
Actual Sentiment: positive
Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.0
_____
REVIEW: @terra money @WalletConnect please someone help? terra wallet will not connect to bridge on ios. My UST is stuck in the terra wallet
Actual Sentiment: negative
Predicted Sentiment polarity: 1.0
_____
REVIEW: @TheRyanLion @terra money @astroport fi @coingecko @CoinMarketCap @WhiteWhaleTerra Love these updates thank vou!
Actual Sentiment: positive
Predicted Sentiment polarity: 5.0
REVIEW: @krakenfx @terra money Good job but wen $UST
Actual Sentiment: positive
Predicted Sentiment polarity: 3.0
_____
REVIEW: @krakenfx @terra money you like $LUNA?? you're gonna love $UST
Actual Sentiment: positive
Predicted Sentiment polarity: 5.0
_____
REVIEW: @Kanin VC @DesmosNetwork @terra money True, early signs of issues. Considering it's meant to be social media, it needs simplicity to become wic
Actual Sentiment: negative
Predicted Sentiment polarity: 3.0
_____
```

▼ Predict sentiment for test dataset

```
sentiment_polarity = [afn.score(review) for review in test_reviews]
# adapted codo to get positive score above 0, instead of score >= 1.0
predicted_sentiments = ['positive' if score > 0 else 'negative' for score in sentiment_polarity]
```

▼ Evaluate model performance

```
labels = ['negative', 'positive']
print(classification_report(test_sentiments, predicted_sentiments))
pd.DataFrame(confusion matrix(test sentiments, predicted sentiments), index=labels, columns=labels)
```

```
precision
                                recall f1-score
                                                   support
                                  0.70
                                            0.65
         negative
                        0.60
                                                         46
         nositive
                        0.86
                                  0.81
                                            0.83
                                                       109
         accuracy
                                            0.77
                                                       155
        macro avg
                        0.73
                                  0.75
                                            0.74
                                                       155
     weighted avg
                        0.79
                                  0.77
                                            0.78
                                                       155
import nltk
import re
import numpy as np
import contractions
stop words = nltk.corpus.stopwords.words('english')
def normalize document(doc):
    # lower case and remove special characters\whitespaces
    doc = re.sub(r'[^a-zA-Z0-9\s]', '', doc, re.I[re.A)
    doc = doc.strip()
    doc = contractions.fix(doc)
    return doc
normalize corpus = np.vectorize(normalize document)
norm corpus = normalize corpus(test reviews)
len(norm corpus)
     155
```

→ Sentiment Analysis with VADER

The VADER lexicon, developed by C.J. Hutto, is based on a rule-based sentiment analysis framework, specifically tuned to analyze sentiments in social media. VADER stands for Valence Aware Dictionary and sEntiment Reasoner. Details about this framework can be read in the original paper by Hutto, C.J., and Gilbert, E.E. (2014), entitled "VADER: A Parsimonious Rule-based Model for Sentiment Analysis of Social Media Text," from the proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Weblogs and Social Media (ICWSM-14). You can use the library based on NLTK's interface under the nltk. sentiment vader module.

You can also download the actual lexicon or install the framework from https:// github.com/cjhutto/vaderSentiment, which also contains detailed information about VADER. This lexicon, present in the file titled vader_lexicon.txt, contains necessary sentiment scores associated with words, emoticons, and slangs (like wtf, lol, nah, and so on).

There were a total of over 9,000 lexical features from which over 7,500 curated lexical features were finally selected in the lexicon with proper validated valence scores.

Each feature was rated on a scale from "[-4] Extremely Negative" to "[4] Extremely Positive", with allowance for "[0] Neutral (or Neither, N/A)".

The process of selecting lexical features was done by keeping all features that had a non-zero mean rating and whose standard deviation was less than 2.5, which was determined by the aggregate of ten independent raters. We depict a sample from the VADER lexicon as follows:

```
:( -1.9 1.13578 [-2, -3, -2, 0, -1, -1, -2, -3, -1, -4]

:) 2.0 1.18322 [2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 3, 4, 1]

...

terrorizing -3.0 1.0 [-3, -1, -4, -4, -4, -3, -2, -3, -2, -4]

thankful 2.7 0.78102 [4, 2, 2, 3, 2, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2]
```

Each line in the preceding lexicon sample depicts a unique term, which can either be an emoticon or a word. The first token indicates the word/emoticon, the second token indicates the mean sentiment polarity score, the third token indicates the standard deviation, and the final token indicates a list of scores given by 10 independent scorers.

```
from nltk.sentiment.vader import SentimentIntensitvAnalvzer
def analyze sentiment vader lexicon(review,
                                    threshold=0.1.
                                    verbose=False):
    # analyze the sentiment for review
    analyzer = SentimentIntensityAnalyzer()
    scores = analyzer.polarity scores(review)
    # get aggregate scores and final sentiment
    agg score = scores['compound']
    final sentiment = 'positive' if agg score >= threshold\
                                   else 'negative'
    if verbose:
        # display detailed sentiment statistics
        positive = str(round(scores['pos'], 2)*100)+'%'
        final = round(agg score, 2)
        negative = str(round(scores['neg'], 2)*100)+'%'
        neutral = str(round(scores['neu'], 2)*100)+'%'
        sentiment frame = pd.DataFrame([[final sentiment, final, positive,
                                        negative, neutral]],
                                        columns=pd.MultiIndex(levels=[['SENTIMENT STATS:'],
                                                                      ['Predicted Sentiment', 'Polarity Score',
                                                                        'Positive', 'Negative', 'Neutral']],
                                                               codes=[[0,0,0,0,0],[0,1,2,3,4]]))
        print(sentiment frame)
    return final_sentiment
```

→ Predict sentiment for sample reviews

```
for review, sentiment in zip(norm corpus[sample review ids], test sentiments[sample review ids]):
   print('REVIEW:', review)
   print('Actual Sentiment:', sentiment)
   pred = analyze sentiment vader lexicon(review, threshold=0.4, verbose=True)
   print('-'*60)
    REVIEW: Emberet terramoney Yea man Pick it up at 1 Luna right now it increases in price every 8 days
    Actual Sentiment: positive
       SENTIMENT STATS:
     Predicted Sentiment Polarity Score Positive Negative Neutral
      negative 0.0 0.0% 0.0% 100.0%
    REVIEW: terramoney WalletConnect please someone help terra wallet will not connect to bridge on ios My UST is stuck in the terra wallet
    Actual Sentiment: negative
       SENTIMENT STATS:
     Predicted Sentiment Polarity Score Positive Negative Neutral
     positive 0.46 19.0% 8.0% 73.0%
    _____
    REVIEW: TheRvanLion terramonev astroportfi coingecko CoinMarketCap WhiteWhaleTerra Love these updates thank you
    Actual Sentiment: positive
       SENTIMENT STATS:
     Predicted Sentiment Polarity Score Positive Negative
      _____
    REVIEW: krakenfx terramoney Good job but wen UST
    Actual Sentiment: positive
       SENTIMENT STATS:
     Predicted Sentiment Polarity Score Positive Negative Neutral
      negative 0.24 24.0% 0.0% 76.0%
    _____
    REVIEW: krakenfx terramoney you like LUNA you are going to love UST
    Actual Sentiment: positive
       SENTIMENT STATS:
     Predicted Sentiment Polarity Score Positive Negative
      REVIEW: KaninVC DesmosNetwork terramoney True early signs of issues Considering its meant to be social media it needs simplicity to become widely adopt
    Actual Sentiment: negative
       SENTIMENT STATS:
     Predicted Sentiment Polarity Score Positive Negative Neutral
      positive 0.42 12.0% 0.0% 88.0%
```

Predict sentiment for test dataset

predicted sentiments = [analyze sentiment vader lexicon(review, threshold=0.4, verbose=False) for review in test reviews]

▼ Evaluate model performance

```
labels = ['negative', 'positive']
print(classification_report(test_sentiments, predicted_sentiments))
pd.DataFrame(confusion_matrix(test_sentiments, predicted_sentiments), index=labels, columns=labels)
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
negative positive	0.47 0.84	0.70 0.67	0.56 0.74	46 109
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.65 0.73	0.68 0.68	0.68 0.65 0.69	155 155 155

	negative	positive	1
negative	32	14	
positive	36	73	