

# Unsupervised Sentiment Analysis with Lexicon Models

We talked about unsupervised learning methods in the past, which refer to specific modeling methods that can be applied directly to data features without the presence of labeled data. One of the major challenges in any organization is getting labeled datasets due the lack of time as well as resources to do this tedious task. Unsupervised methods are very useful in this scenario and we look at some of these methods in this section. Even though we have labeled data, this section should give you a good idea of how lexicon based models work and you can apply them to your own datasets when you do not have labeled data.

Unsupervised sentiment analysis models use well curated knowledgebases, ontologies, lexicons, and databases, which have detailed information pertaining to subjective words, phrases including sentiment, mood, polarity, objectivity, subjectivity, and so on.

A lexicon model typically uses a lexicon, also known as a dictionary or vocabulary of words specifically aligned to sentiment analysis. These lexicons contain a list of words associated with positive and negative sentiment, polarity (magnitude of negative or positive score), parts of speech (POS) tags, subjectivity classifiers (strong, weak, neutral), mood, modality, and so on.

You can use these lexicons and compute the sentiment of a text document by matching the presence of specific words from the lexicon and then looking at other factors like presence of negation parameters, surrounding words, overall context, phrases, and aggregate overall sentiment polarity scores to decide the final sentiment score.

There are several popular lexicon models used for sentiment analysis. Some of them are as follows:

- Bing Liu's lexicon
- · MPQA subjectivity lexicon
- Pattern lexicon
- TextBlob lexicon
- AFINN lexicon
- SentiWordNet lexicon
- VADER lexicon

This is not an exhaustive list of lexicon models but these are definitely among the most popular ones available today.

# Install Dependencies

!pip install textblob

!pip install textsearch

!pip install contractions

```
!pip install afinn
import nltk
nltk.download('punkt')
nltk.download('stopwords')
nltk.download('vader lexicon')
    Requirement already satisfied: textblob in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (0.15.3)
     Requirement already satisfied: nltk>=3.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (from textblob) (3.2.5)
     Requirement already satisfied: six in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (from nltk>=3.1->textblob) (1.15.0)
     Collecting textsearch
       Downloading textsearch-0.0.21-pv2.pv3-none-anv.whl (7.5 kB)
     Collecting anvascii
       Downloading anyascii-0.3.0-py3-none-any.whl (284 kB)
                                           l 284 kB 5.2 MB/s
     Collecting pyahocorasick
       Downloading pyahocorasick-1.4.4-cp37-cp37m-manylinux 2 17 x86 64.manylinux2014 x86 64.whl (106 kB)
                                           106 kB 58.9 MB/s
     Installing collected packages: pvahocorasick, anvascii, textsearch
     Successfully installed anyascii-0.3.0 pyahocorasick-1.4.4 textsearch-0.0.21
     Collecting contractions
       Downloading contractions-0.1.66-py2.py3-none-any.whl (8.0 kB)
     Requirement already satisfied: textsearch>=0.0.21 in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (from contractions) (0.0.21)
     Requirement already satisfied: pyahocorasick in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (from textsearch>=0.0.21->contractions) (1.4.4)
     Requirement already satisfied: anyascii in /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages (from textsearch>=0.0.21->contractions) (0.3.0)
     Installing collected packages: contractions
     Successfully installed contractions-0.1.66
     Collecting afinn
       Downloading afinn-0.1.tar.gz (52 kB)
                                           | 52 kB 851 kB/s
     Building wheels for collected packages: afinn
       Building wheel for afinn (setup.py) ... done
       Created wheel for afinn: filename=afinn-0.1-py3-none-any.whl size=53447 sha256=4edd5686733a7dbb2b5bc120236456847e4ff0bc5e068159162431936e906c11
       Stored in directory: /root/.cache/pip/wheels/9d/16/3a/9f0953027434eab5dadf3f33ab3298fa95afa8292fcf7aba75
     Successfully built afinn
     Installing collected packages: afinn
     Successfully installed afinn-0.1
     [nltk data] Downloading package punkt to /root/nltk data...
     [nltk data] Unzipping tokenizers/punkt.zip.
     [nltk data] Downloading package stopwords to /root/nltk data...
     [nltk data] Unzipping corpora/stopwords.zip.
     [nltk_data] Downloading package vader lexicon to /root/nltk_data...
     True
```

### Load Dependencies

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import nltk
import textblob
```

```
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix, classification_report
np.set printoptions(precision=2, linewidth=80)
```

#### ▼ Load Dataset

```
# adapted code to download files from storage
from google.colab import files
uploaded = files.upload()
     Choose Files noneutral or...ct2021.xlsx
     • noneutral orange avalanche 15oct2021.xlsx(application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.spreadsheetml.sheet) -
     46403 bytes, last modified: 2/18/2022 - 100% done
     Saving noneutral orange avalanche 15oct2021 xlsv to noneutral orange avalanche 15oct2021 (1) xlsv
# adapted code to read xlsx files
import pandas as pd
import io
dataset = pd.read excel(io.BytesIO(uploaded['noneutral orange avalanche 15oct2021.xlsx']))
#reviews = np.array(dataset['review'])
reviews = np.array(dataset['text']) # the dataset field is called 'text' on orange xlsx results
sentiments = np.array(dataset['sentiment'])
# extract data for model evaluation
test reviews = reviews[1:]
test sentiments = sentiments[1:]
sample review ids = [2,5,10,50,100,150]
```

# → Sentiment Analysis with TextBlob

The lexicon that TextBlob uses is the same one as pattern and is available in their source code on GitHub (<a href="https://github.com/sloria/TextBlob/blob/dev/textblob/en/en-sentiment.xml">https://github.com/sloria/TextBlob/blob/dev/textblob/en/en-sentiment.xml</a>). Some sample examples are shown from the lexicon as follows.

```
<word form="abhorrent" wordnet_id="a-1625063" pos="JJ" sense="offensive
to the mind" polarity="-0.7" subjectivity="0.8" intensity="1.0"</pre>
```

Typically, specific adjectives have a polarity score (negative/positive, -1.0 to +1.0) and a subjectivity score (objective/subjective, +0.0 to +1.0).

The reliability score specifies if an adjective was hand-tagged (1.0) or inferred (0.7). Words are tagged per sense, e.g., ridiculous (pitiful) = negative, ridiculous (humorous) = positive.

The Cornetto id (lexical unit id) and Cornetto synset id refer to the Cornetto lexical database for Dutch. The WordNet id refers to the WordNet3 lexical database for English. The part-of-speech tags (POS) use the Penn Treebank convention. Let's look at how we can use TextBlob for sentiment analysis.

#### Predict sentiment for sample reviews

```
for review, sentiment in zip(test reviews[sample review ids], test sentiments[sample review ids]):
   print('REVIEW:', review)
   print('Actual Sentiment:', sentiment)
   print('Predicted Sentiment polarity:', textblob.TextBlob(review).sentiment.polarity)
   print('-'*60)
     REVIEW: @coin98 exchange @yayprotocol @avalancheavax Nice project
     Actual Sentiment: positive
     Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.6
     REVIEW: @coin98 exchange @coin98 exchange @yayprotocol @avalancheavax
     Nice project and congratulations to the team for their efforts and dedication and highly appreciated the visionary thought of the projector and it will
     Actual Sentiment: positive
     Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.4
     REVIEW: @Shyboyalt @ManuelDalB2 @SunnyAggregator $GB on @avalancheavax . They Airdrop gb token which worth around 2k USD to user who bridge from Ethere
     Actual Sentiment: negative
     Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.3
     REVIEW: @coin98 exchange @yayprotocol @avalancheavax A good project I hope everyone will support and join it I am joining it and I support it I hope or
     Actual Sentiment: positive
     Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.7
     REVIEW: @ ViralChallenge @0x fxnction @avalancheavax That's right in February. Problems were solved and it's been smooth since. Hope $SOL ends up fixing
     Actual Sentiment: negative
     Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.36190476190476195
     REVIEW: @yesil @avalancheavax Looks like I missed that
     Actual Sentiment: positive
     Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.0
     _____
```

#### ▼ Predict sentiment for test dataset

```
sentiment_polarity = [textblob.TextBlob(review).sentiment.polarity for review in test_reviews]
# adapted codo to get positive score above 0, instead of score >= 0.1
predicted sentiments = ['positive' if score > 0 else 'negative' for score in sentiment polarity]
```

### ▼ Evaluate model performance

```
labels = ['negative', 'positive']
print(classification_report(test_sentiments, predicted_sentiments))
pd.DataFrame(confusion matrix(test sentiments, predicted sentiments), index=labels, columns=labels)
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
negative	0.55	0.48	0.51	46
positive	0.88	0.90	0.89	187
accuracy			0.82	233
macro avg	0.71	0.69	0.70	233
weighted avg	0.81	0.82	0.81	233
0 0				

	negative	positive	1
negative	22	24	
positive	18	169	

# Sentiment Analysis with AFINN

The AFINN lexicon is perhaps one of the simplest and most popular lexicons and can be used extensively for sentiment analysis. Developed and curated by Finn Årup Nielsen, you can find more details on this lexicon in the paper by Finn Årup Nielsen, entitled "A New ANEW: Evaluation of a Word List for Sentiment Analysis in Microblogs," from the proceedings of the ESWC2011 workshop.

The current version of the lexicon is AFINN-en-165. txt and it contains over 3,300 words with a polarity score associated with each word. You can find this lexicon at the author's official GitHub repository along with previous versions of this lexicon including AFINN-111 at <a href="https://github.com/">https://github.com/</a> fnielsen/afinn/blob/master/afinn/data/.

The author has also created a nice wrapper library on top of this in Python called afinn, which we will be using for our analysis needs

```
from afinn import Afinn
afn = Afinn(emoticons=True)
```

#### → Predict sentiment for sample reviews

```
for review, sentiment in zip(test reviews[sample review ids], test sentiments[sample review ids]):
    print('REVIEW:', review)
    print('Actual Sentiment:', sentiment)
    print('Predicted Sentiment polarity:', afn.score(review))
    print('-'*60)
     REVIEW: @coin98 exchange @yayprotocol @avalancheavax Nice project
     Actual Sentiment: positive
     Predicted Sentiment polarity: 3.0
     REVIEW: @coin98 exchange @coin98 exchange @yayprotocol @avalancheavax
     Nice project and congratulations to the team for their efforts and dedication and highly appreciated the visionary thought of the projector and it will
     Actual Sentiment: positive
     Predicted Sentiment polarity: 12.0
     REVIEW: @Shyboyalt @ManuelDalB2 @SunnyAggregator $GB on @avalancheavax . They Airdrop gb token which worth around 2k USD to user who bridge from Ethere
     Actual Sentiment: negative
     Predicted Sentiment polarity: 2.0
     REVIEW: @coin98 exchange @yayprotocol @avalancheavax A good project I hope everyone will support and join it I am joining it and I support it I hope or
     Actual Sentiment: positive
     Predicted Sentiment polarity: 18.0
     REVIEW: @ ViralChallenge @0x fxnction @avalancheavax That's right in February. Problems were solved and it's been smooth since. Hope $SOL ends up fixing
     Actual Sentiment: negative
     Predicted Sentiment polarity: 1.0
     REVIEW: @yesil @avalancheavax Looks like I missed that
     Actual Sentiment: positive
     Predicted Sentiment polarity: 0.0
```

#### → Predict sentiment for test dataset

```
sentiment_polarity = [afn.score(review) for review in test_reviews]
```

233

```
# adapted codo to get positive score above 0, instead of score >= 1.0
predicted sentiments = ['positive' if score > 0 else 'negative' for score in sentiment polarity]
```

# ▼ Evaluate model performance

```
labels = ['negative', 'positive']
print(classification_report(test_sentiments, predicted_sentiments))
pd.DataFrame(confusion_matrix(test_sentiments, predicted_sentiments), index=labels, columns=labels)
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
negative	0.69	0.54	0.61	46
positive	0.89	0.94	0.92	187
accuracy			0.86	233
macro avg	0.79	0.74	0.76	233
weighted avg	0.85	0.86	0.86	233

	negative	positive	1
negative	25	21	
positive	11	176	

```
import nltk
import re
import numpy as np
import contractions

stop_words = nltk.corpus.stopwords.words('english')

def normalize_document(doc):
    # lower case and remove special characters\whitespaces
    doc = re.sub(r'[^a-zA-Z0-9\s]', '', doc, re.I|re.A)
    doc = doc.strip()
    doc = contractions.fix(doc)
    return doc

normalize_corpus = np.vectorize(normalize_document)

norm_corpus = normalize_corpus(test_reviews)
len(norm_corpus)
```

# → Sentiment Analysis with VADER

The VADER lexicon, developed by C.J. Hutto, is based on a rule-based sentiment analysis framework, specifically tuned to analyze sentiments in social media. VADER stands for Valence Aware Dictionary and sEntiment Reasoner. Details about this framework can be read in the original paper by Hutto, C.J., and Gilbert, E.E. (2014), entitled "VADER: A Parsimonious Rule-based Model for Sentiment Analysis of Social Media Text," from the proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Weblogs and Social Media (ICWSM-14). You can use the library based on NLTK's interface under the nltk, sentiment vader module.

You can also download the actual lexicon or install the framework from https:// github.com/cjhutto/vaderSentiment, which also contains detailed information about VADER. This lexicon, present in the file titled vader\_lexicon.txt, contains necessary sentiment scores associated with words, emoticons, and slangs (like wtf, lol, nah, and so on).

There were a total of over 9,000 lexical features from which over 7,500 curated lexical features were finally selected in the lexicon with proper validated valence scores.

Each feature was rated on a scale from "[-4] Extremely Negative" to "[4] Extremely Positive", with allowance for "[0] Neutral (or Neither, N/A)".

The process of selecting lexical features was done by keeping all features that had a non-zero mean rating and whose standard deviation was less than 2.5, which was determined by the aggregate of ten independent raters. We depict a sample from the VADER lexicon as follows:

```
:( -1.9 1.13578 [-2, -3, -2, 0, -1, -1, -2, -3, -1, -4]
:) 2.0 1.18322 [2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 3, 4, 1]
...
terrorizing -3.0 1.0 [-3, -1, -4, -4, -4, -3, -2, -3, -2, -4]
thankful 2.7 0.78102 [4, 2, 2, 3, 2, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2]
```

Each line in the preceding lexicon sample depicts a unique term, which can either be an emoticon or a word. The first token indicates the word/emoticon, the second token indicates the mean sentiment polarity score, the third token indicates the standard deviation, and the final token indicates a list of scores given by 10 independent scorers.

```
agg score = scores['compound']
final sentiment = 'positive' if agg score >= threshold\
                               else 'negative'
if verhose:
   # display detailed sentiment statistics
   positive = str(round(scores['pos'], 2)*100)+'%'
   final = round(agg score, 2)
   negative = str(round(scores['neg'], 2)*100)+'%'
   neutral = str(round(scores['neu'], 2)*100)+'%'
   sentiment frame = pd.DataFrame([[final sentiment, final, positive,
                                    negative, neutral]],
                                    columns=pd.MultiIndex(levels=[['SENTIMENT STATS:'],
                                                                  ['Predicted Sentiment', 'Polarity Score',
                                                                   'Positive', 'Negative', 'Neutral']],
                                                          codes=[[0,0,0,0,0],[0,1,2,3,4]]))
   print(sentiment frame)
return final sentiment
```

#### → Predict sentiment for sample reviews

```
for review, sentiment in zip(norm corpus[sample review ids], test sentiments[sample review ids]):
   print('REVIEW:', review)
   print('Actual Sentiment:', sentiment)
   pred = analyze sentiment vader lexicon(review, threshold=0.4, verbose=True)
   print('-'*60)
     REVIEW: coin98exchange yayprotocol avalancheavax Nice project
     Actual Sentiment: positive
         SENTIMENT STATS:
      Predicted Sentiment Polarity Score Positive Negative Neutral
                 positive 0.42 41.0% 0.0% 59.0%
     REVIEW: coin98exchange coin98exchange vavprotocol avalancheavax
     Nice project and congratulations to the team for their efforts and dedication and highly appreciated the visionary thought of the projector and it will
     Actual Sentiment: positive
          SENTIMENT STATS:
      Predicted Sentiment Polarity Score Positive Negative Neutral
                                   0.94 32.0% 0.0% 68.0%
     REVIEW: Shyboyalt ManuelDalB2 SunnyAggregator GB on avalancheavax They Airdrop gb token which worth around 2k USD to user who bridge from Ethereum to
     Actual Sentiment: negative
         SENTIMENT STATS:
      Predicted Sentiment Polarity Score Positive Negative Neutral
                                                     0.0% 92.0%
     REVIEW: coin98exchange yayprotocol avalancheavax A good project I hope everyone will support and join it I am joining it and I support it I hope one da
     Actual Sentiment: positive
```

```
SENTIMENT STATS:
Predicted Sentiment Polarity Score Positive Negative Neutral

0 positive 0.95 41.0% 0.0% 59.0%

EVIEW: ViralChallenge Oxfxnction avalancheavax That Is right in February Problems were solved and its been smooth since Hope SOL ends up fixing the is Actual Sentiment: negative

SENTIMENT STATS:
Predicted Sentiment Polarity Score Positive Negative Neutral

0 negative 0.32 15.0% 8.0% 77.0%

REVIEW: yesil avalancheavax Looks like I missed that Actual Sentiment: positive

SENTIMENT STATS:
Predicted Sentiment Polarity Score
Positive Negative Neutral

0 negative 0.08 28.999999999996% 25.0% 46.0%
```

#### ▼ Predict sentiment for test dataset

predicted sentiments = [analyze sentiment vader lexicon(review, threshold=0.4, verbose=False) for review in test reviews]

### ▼ Evaluate model performance

```
labels = ['negative', 'positive']
print(classification_report(test_sentiments, predicted_sentiments))
pd.DataFrame(confusion matrix(test sentiments, predicted sentiments), index=labels, columns=labels)
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
negative positive	0.57 0.93	0.72 0.87	0.63 0.90	46 187
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.75 0.86	0.79 0.84	0.84 0.76 0.84	233 233 233

	negative	positive	1
negative	33	13	
positive	25	162	

✓ 0s completed at 11:29 AM

• ×