• MVEA Discussant

- Results for employment
 - * Might have used total employment, rather than change in employment.

• SEA Discussant

- Agrawal, "Local Fiscal Competition: An Application to Sales Taxation with Multiple Federations," JUE (forthcoming)
- Estimates for MSA's
- Estimates for Urban/Rural areas
- Estimates for each Region
- Estimates for Recipricol Agreements
- some clarifications (taxes, assignment procedure

• Georgeanne Edits

- COMPLETED: Lit Review, Theory, Data, Conclusions
- TODO: empirical design, results, introduction

2

Table 1: Regression Discontinuity Models for Total Firm Births

	Dependent variable:					
	births ratio				births_ratio	
	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	FE	FE
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Property Tax Difference	-0.206	-0.371**	-0.136	-0.297**	0.025	0.027
	(0.151)	(0.147)	(0.148)	(0.150)	(0.119)	(0.122)
Income Tax Difference	-0.093***	-0.085***	-0.088***	-0.075***	-0.011	-0.009
	(0.027)	(0.026)	(0.028)	(0.026)	(0.034)	(0.035)
Capital Gains Tax Difference	0.016	0.008	0.028	0.020	-0.001	-0.002
	(0.023)	(0.023)	(0.024)	(0.024)	(0.012)	(0.012)
Sales Tax Difference	-0.112***	-0.101***	-0.110***	-0.087***	0.002	0.001
	(0.029)	(0.030)	(0.029)	(0.032)	(0.040)	(0.041)
Corp Tax Difference	0.023	0.018	0.015	0.011	-0.013	-0.012
	(0.020)	(0.018)	(0.020)	(0.019)	(0.026)	(0.026)
Workers Comp Tax Difference	0.001	0.090	-0.007	0.051	0.040	0.044
	(0.111)	(0.108)	(0.096)	(0.105)	(0.069)	(0.070)
Unemp. Tax Difference	0.008	0.012	-0.002	-0.006	-0.002	-0.002
	(0.040)	(0.036)	(0.042)	(0.038)	(0.017)	(0.017)
Educ Spending Per Cap Diff	-0.0002	-0.0003	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0002
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
Highway Spending Per Cap Diff	0.0004	0.0004	0.0002	0.0003	0.0001	0.0001
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
Welfare Spending Per Cap Diff	0.001**	0.001**	0.001**	0.0004^{*}	-0.00005	-0.00005
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Constant	-0.045	-0.055	-0.037	-0.046	,	,
	(0.084)	(0.086)	(0.088)	(0.087)		
controls	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
amenities	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No

1 MVEA

Table 2: Regression Discontinuity Models for Total New Employment

	Dependent variable:				
	total employment ratio		lntotem	p_ratio	
	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Property Tax Difference	0.019	-0.064	0.037	0.036	
	(0.103)	(0.102)	(0.114)	(0.114)	
Income Tax Difference	-0.009	-0.014	-0.040	-0.040	
	(0.027)	(0.030)	(0.033)	(0.033)	
Capital Gains Tax Difference	0.007	0.005	-0.012	-0.012	
	(0.023)	(0.024)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
Sales Tax Difference	-0.013	-0.009	-0.018	-0.018	
	(0.019)	(0.017)	(0.040)	(0.040)	
Corp Tax Difference	-0.013	-0.014	-0.046^{*}	-0.046*	
	(0.017)	(0.016)	(0.025)	(0.025)	
Workers Comp Tax Difference	0.048	0.106	-0.067	-0.068	
	(0.085)	(0.075)	(0.066)	(0.066)	
Unemp. Tax Difference	0.085**	0.089***	0.015	0.015	
	(0.035)	(0.034)	(0.017)	(0.017)	
Educ Spending Per Cap Diff	0.0003	0.0003	0.0001	0.0001	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Highway Spending Per Cap Diff	-0.0003	-0.0003	-0.001***	-0.001***	
	(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Welfare Spending Per Cap Diff	0.00002	0.0001	-0.0002	-0.0002	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Constant	0.070	0.062			
	(0.055)	(0.054)			
controls	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
amenities	Yes	No	Yes	No	

2 SEA

Table 3: Regional Estates for Total Firm Births

	Dependent variable: births ratio				
	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Property Tax Difference	0.069	0.008	-0.200	-1.324***	
	(0.202)	(0.186)	(0.280)	(0.397)	
Income Tax Difference	0.103**	-0.016	-0.125****	-0.222**	
	(0.051)	(0.037)	(0.039)	(0.104)	
Capital Gains Tax Difference	-0.149****	0.010	0.076*	$0.035^{'}$	
	(0.050)	(0.029)	(0.040)	(0.039)	
Sales Tax Difference	-0.110^*	-0.334****	-0.166^*	-0.090^*	
	(0.060)	(0.095)	(0.086)	(0.052)	
Corp Tax Difference	0.256***	-0.010	-0.038	0.225***	
_	(0.063)	(0.024)	(0.041)	(0.068)	
Workers Comp Tax Difference	-0.183	0.219^{*}	0.276	0.008	
	(0.202)	(0.114)	(0.202)	(0.240)	
Unemp. Tax Difference	-0.094	0.041	-0.018	-0.119	
	(0.092)	(0.059)	(0.046)	(0.086)	
Educ Spending Per Cap Diff	-0.001**	0.0003	-0.001	-0.001	
	(0.0005)	(0.0004)	(0.0005)	(0.001)	
Highway Spending Per Cap Diff	0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.0004	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
Welfare Spending Per Cap Diff	0.001***	0.001^{*}	0.0004	0.00002	
	(0.0002)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Constant	0.502***	0.040	-0.119	-0.680	
	(0.104)	(0.091)	(0.147)	(0.437)	

Table 4: MSA Estates for Total Firm Births

	Dependent variable: births ratio				
	In a MSA	Same MSA	Jointly Urban	Jointly Rural	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Property Tax Difference	-0.339	-0.153	-0.205	-0.390**	
- *	(0.418)	(0.614)	(0.215)	(0.174)	
Income Tax Difference	-0.183****	-0.309^{***}	-0.124****	-0.041	
	(0.068)	(0.097)	(0.042)	(0.039)	
Capital Gains Tax Difference	0.117*	0.228***	0.074*	-0.019	
	(0.063)	(0.077)	(0.039)	(0.026)	
Sales Tax Difference	-0.132	-0.253***	-0.125***	-0.069	
	(0.086)	(0.086)	(0.048)	(0.053)	
Corp Tax Difference	0.020	0.031	-0.037	0.058**	
	(0.048)	(0.073)	(0.028)	(0.026)	
Workers Comp Tax Difference	0.425^{**}	0.438	0.149	-0.109	
	(0.182)	(0.293)	(0.131)	(0.163)	
Unemp. Tax Difference	0.098*	0.084	0.031	-0.070	
	(0.060)	(0.062)	(0.048)	(0.054)	
Educ Spending Per Cap Diff	-0.001	-0.0004	-0.0001	-0.001*	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Highway Spending Per Cap Diff	-0.002*	-0.001	-0.00002	0.001**	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
Welfare Spending Per Cap Diff	0.0001	-0.0001	0.0002	0.001*	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.0003)	(0.0004)	
Constant	-0.248	-0.507^*	-0.329***	0.381***	
	(0.214)	(0.261)	(0.113)	(0.101)	

Table 5: Counties with Income Tax Agreements for Total Firm Births

	Dependent variable:			
	births ratio		births	-ratio
	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Property Tax Difference	0.272	0.283	0.105	0.100
	(0.297)	(0.314)	(0.278)	(0.286)
Income Tax Difference	-0.116	-0.193**	0.012	0.017
	(0.081)	(0.076)	(0.126)	(0.129)
Capital Gains Tax Difference	0.071^*	0.147**	0.002	-0.003
	(0.037)	(0.068)	(0.072)	(0.074)
Sales Tax Difference	-0.014	-0.090	0.043	0.044
	(0.064)	(0.088)	(0.076)	(0.078)
Corp Tax Difference	0.080^{*}	$0.059^{'}$	-0.008	-0.007
	(0.043)	(0.036)	(0.040)	(0.041)
Workers Comp Tax Difference	0.392***	$0.050^{'}$	$0.075^{'}$	$0.071^{'}$
	(0.126)	(0.185)	(0.166)	(0.171)
Unemp. Tax Difference	-0.083	-0.016	$0.023^{'}$	0.021
_	(0.071)	(0.088)	(0.049)	(0.050)
Educ Spending Per Cap Diff	0.0004	0.00003	-0.0001	-0.0001
	(0.0005)	(0.001)	(0.0004)	(0.0005)
Highway Spending Per Cap Diff	-0.001	-0.001	-0.0001	-0.0001
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.0005)	(0.001)
Welfare Spending Per Cap Diff	0.001**	0.0003	-0.00003	-0.00003
	(0.0003)	(0.0005)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Constant	-0.086	-0.221	,	,
	(0.226)	(0.169)		
controls	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
amenities	Yes	No	Yes	No

3 Georgeanne Edits

4 Resampling Procedure

First, let i = 1, ..., N be all of our matched county pairs, and t = 1, ..., T be each time period. We can then write our model as,

 $y_{it} = X_{it}\beta + e_{it}$ Where y_{it} is the difference in logged firm start up rates, and X_{it} is our differenced independent variables.

Then, our model becomes the usual POLS estimator.

$$\hat{\beta} = (\frac{1}{TN} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x'_{it} x_{it})^{-1} (\frac{1}{TN} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x'_{it} y_{it})$$

Let us assume for simplicitly we only have state level independent variables (which is true for most of our estimated models). Then, let g = 1, ..., G be the number of state-pairs in our sample, and $k=1,...,N_g$ be the state-pair specific number of matched county pairs. Letting $\bar{G}=\sum_{g=1}^G N_g/G$ be the average number of state-pair matched county pairs, note that $N=\sum_{g=1}^G N_g$. Therefore, $N = \frac{\sum_{g=1}^G N_g}{G}G = \bar{G}G$ we can rewrite our mode ol to be, $y_{kgt} = X_{gt}\beta + e_{igt}$, and our estimator to be

$$\hat{\beta} = (\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} \frac{N_g}{\bar{G}} x'_{gt} x_{gt})^{-1} (\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} x'_{gt} \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^{N_g} y_{kgt})}{\bar{G}})$$

Now, we can plug back in our original model.

$$\hat{\beta} = \left(\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} \frac{N_g}{\bar{G}} x'_{gt} x_{gt}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} x'_{gt} \frac{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N_g} x_{gt} \beta + e_{kgt}\right)}{\bar{G}}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} \frac{N_g}{\bar{G}} x'_{gt} x_{gt}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} \frac{N_g}{\bar{G}} x'_{gt} x_{gt} \beta + x'_{gt} \frac{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N_g} e_{kgt}\right)}{\bar{G}}\right)$$

$$= \beta + \left(\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} \frac{N_g}{\bar{G}} x'_{gt} x_{gt}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} x'_{gt} \frac{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N_g} e_{kgt}\right)}{\bar{G}}\right)$$

The result of this is that we see that our estimator appears to be a weighted estimate of the data in our sample. In particular, counties that have more than \bar{G} worth of observations get underweighted compared to their mean, and counties that have less than \bar{G} worth of matched county pairs get overweighted compared to their mean.

An example of this would be, imagine we only had 4 states in our sample, Texas-Oklahoma, and Maryland-Delaware. TX-OK has 35 matched county pairs per year, and MD-DL has 2. As a result, the mean is 17.5. Then, when we go to compute our estimate, the estimator over emphasizes interactions along TX-OK's border, and underweights interactions along MD-DL's border. (We can see this when I went to do the Rural v Urban estimates, and how property tax appeared. Many of the states with higher observation counts appear in more rural counties.

As a result, I (starting last spring) was also calculating a Donald and Lang (2007) two-stage estimator. The first stage is to simply take averages along each border, and then take the difference. This leads to the alternative estimator,

Now note that $E(y_{tq})$ is the average for each side of the border. By construction, this is equal to,

$$E(y_{tg}) = x_{tg}\beta + E(e_{tg})$$

Thus this model requires the same assumptions we make for our first model. We can then compute the estimator as follows.

$$\hat{\beta}' = \left(\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} x'_{tg} x_{tg}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} x'_{tg} E(y_{tg})\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} x'_{tg} x_{tg}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} x'_{tg} x_{tg} \beta + x'_{tg} E(e_{tg})\right)$$

$$= \beta + \left(\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} x'_{tg} x_{tg}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{TG} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{g=1}^{G} x'_{tg} E(e_{tg})\right)$$