Comparing Machine Learning Algorithms for Predicting Cancellation of Hotel Bookings

Kevin de Haan Edmonton, Canada Email: kdehaan@ualberta.ca

Abstract—Hotels around the world have dealt with cancellations since their inception. Reservations require that a space be set aside, and cancellations mean that even the most consistently popular vacation destinations are often floating unused rooms and wasted space. Being able to predict whether a customer is likely to cancel ahead of time allows establishments to operate on significantly tighter occupancy margins, improving their efficiency and freeing up more space for customers who will follow through on their plans. The nature of this binary classification problem is well suited to simple machine learning strategies; however, more advanced techniques still have the opportunity to clearly demonstrate their ability.

Index Terms—Data Science; Hospitality Industry; Machine Learning; K-nearest Neighbors; Linear SGD; Multi-layer Perceptron; Scikit-learn

I. INTRODUCTION

In the hospitality industry, it is paramount to maximize occupancy rates. Cancellations can have a significant impact on revenue, and to compensate many hotels often sell above their capacity [1]. While this practice is often worthwhile, it can have significant costs in relocation, compensation and reputation. Having the ability to predict the likelihood of cancellation on a case-by-case basis has significant impact on reducing the risk and improving the margins involved with overbooking a hotel or resort [2]. One might expect that the factors leading to cancelling a hotel reservation would extremely complicated, akin to predicting the weather; however, the majority of cancelled bookings are in fact predictable given a relatively small subset of information. Using the hotel booking data set created by Antonio, de Almeida and Nunes [3] we will investigate the utility of three off-the-shelf machine learning algorithms for predicting the outcome of a hotel reservation.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Simply put, the goal of each of the machine learning models used is to take in a set of parameters that can be known before the date of arrival, and make a binary prediction about whether the reservation is going to be cancelled.

A. The Data

The data set used is adapted from one created by Antonio, de Almeida and Nunes [3] that has been cleaned and formatted by Mock and Bichat [4]. It includes bookings from two locations - a city hotel and a resort hotel. Data columns include the lead time between booking and the date of stay, the date of

arrival, the duration of the stay, the volume of adults, children and babies, if the guest is a repeat customer, the number of previous cancellations and amount of special requests made, among others.

B. Input and Output

III. APPROACHES AND BASELINES

For the purposes of this experiment, three machine learning approaches will be evaluated:

- k-nearest Neighbors (KNN)
- A Linear Classifier trained using Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)
- A Multi-layer Perceptron (MLP)

In addition to the machine learning techniques employed, a baseline must be established. As this is a *k*-category classification problem, each approach will be compared to two naive baseline predictors:

- Majority Guess will always predict that a booking will not be cancelled.
- Uniform Random Guess will predict each possible classification category (i.e, cancelled and not cancelled) at an equal rate.

IV. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

Because of the significant class imbalance ratio, evaluating the performance of the different predictors will be performed using the *Area Under the Precision-Recall Curve* (AUPRC)

V. RESULTS

VI. CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- R. Mehrotra and J. Ruttley, Revenue management. American Hotel and Lodging Association, 2006.
- [2] D. Romero Morales and J. Wang, "Forecasting cancellation rates for services booking revenue management using data mining," *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 202, pp. 554–562, 04 2010.
- [3] N. Antonio, A. de Almeida, and L. Nunes, "Hotel booking demand datasets," *Data in Brief*, vol. 22, pp. 41–49, Feb. 2019. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.11.126
- [4] A. B. Thomas Mock, "#tidytuesday: Hotels," feb 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.kaggle.com/jessemostipak/hotel-booking-demand
- [5] F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and E. Duchesnay, "Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 12, pp. 2825–2830, 2011.