

## PSET 09, Due November 15

Lecturer: Chien-I Chiang

Keshav Deoskar

**Disclaimer:** *LaTeX template courtesy of the UC Berkeley EECS Department.***Problem 1:**

We have a Quantum Harmonic Oscillator in the state

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = c_1 e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} |1\rangle + c_2 e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar} |2\rangle$$

and we know that the expectation value of energy is

$$\langle \Psi(t) | \hat{H} | \Psi(t) \rangle = 2\hbar\omega$$

1. First, we want to find the coefficients  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . To do this, let's think about  $\langle \Psi(t) | \hat{H} | \Psi(t) \rangle = 2\hbar\omega$ .

This quantity is just the expectation value of the energy of the state, which can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{H} | \Psi(t) \rangle &= \mathbb{P}(E_1)E_1 + \mathbb{P}(E_2)E_2 \\ &= |c_1|^2 E_1 + |c_2|^2 E_2 \end{aligned}$$

The energy of a state  $|n\rangle$  in a QHO is given by

$$E_n = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2})$$

So,

$$E_1 = \frac{3}{2}\hbar\omega \text{ and } E_2 = \frac{5}{2}\hbar\omega$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{H} | \Psi(t) \rangle &= \mathbb{P}(E_1)E_1 + \mathbb{P}(E_2)E_2 \\ \implies 2\hbar\omega &= |c_1|^2 \frac{3}{2}\hbar\omega + |c_2|^2 \frac{5}{2}\hbar\omega \\ \implies 4 &= 3|c_1|^2 + 5|c_2|^2 \end{aligned}$$

But, we also know at

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi(t) | \Psi(t) \rangle &= 1 \\ \implies |c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have a system of linear equations for  $|c_1|^2$  and  $|c_2|^2$ . Solving the system of linear equations, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |c_1|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } |c_2|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \\ \implies c_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ and } c_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where, by convention, we assume  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Therefore, the state is

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\frac{3}{2}\omega t} |1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\frac{5}{2}\omega t} |2\rangle$$

2. Now, for  $\langle \hat{X} \rangle = \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{X} | \Psi(t) \rangle$ , we want to show that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{X} \rangle = -\omega^2 \langle X \rangle$$

Let's start by getting a more computationally useful expression for  $\langle \hat{X} \rangle$ .

In a QHO, we have

$$\hat{X} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger)$$

where  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{a}^\dagger$  are the lowering and raising operators respectively.

To find  $\langle \hat{X} \rangle = \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{X} | \Psi(t) \rangle$ , we must first find  $\langle X(0) \rangle = \langle \Psi(0) | \hat{X} | \Psi(0) \rangle$

This is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X(0) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle 1 | + \langle 2 |) | \hat{X} | (| 1 \rangle + | 2 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle 1 | + \langle 2 |) \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) (| 1 \rangle + | 2 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\langle 1 | + \langle 2 |) (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) (| 1 \rangle + | 2 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\langle 1 | + \langle 2 |) (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) (| 1 \rangle + | 2 \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

Raising  $| 1 \rangle$  to  $| 2 \rangle$  but taking its inner product with  $| 1 \rangle$  will just return zero, and vice versa. So, writing only the non-zero terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X(0) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \left( \langle 1 | \hat{a} | 2 \rangle + \langle 2 | \hat{a}^\dagger | 1 \rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \left( 2 \cdot \langle 1 | 1 \rangle + 2 \cdot \langle 2 | 2 \rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (2 + 2) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\langle X(0) \rangle = 2\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}}$$

Now,

$$\langle X(t) \rangle = 2\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \cdot \left( \langle 1 | e^{i\frac{3}{2}\omega t} + \langle 2 | e^{i\frac{5}{2}\omega t} \right) |(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger)| \left( e^{-i\frac{3}{2}\omega t} | 1 \rangle + e^{-i\frac{5}{2}\omega t} | 2 \rangle \right)$$

Again, the only terms which are non-zero are those where the ket is raised/lowered to match the bra. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X(t) \rangle &= 2\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \left( \langle 1 | e^{i\frac{3}{2}\omega t} | \hat{a} | e^{-i\frac{5}{2}\omega t} | 2 \rangle + \langle 2 | e^{i\frac{5}{2}\omega t} | \hat{a}^\dagger | e^{-i\frac{3}{2}\omega t} | 1 \rangle \right) \\ &= 2\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \cdot 2 \left( e^{-i\omega t} + e^{i\omega t} \right) \\ &= 8\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \cos(\omega t) \end{aligned}$$

Now that we've found  $\langle X(t) \rangle$  we can simply differentiate twice and verify that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{X} \rangle = -\omega^2 \langle X \rangle$$

### **Problem 2:**

In this problem, we consider several properties of the quantum harmonic oscillator:

1. The annihilation and creation operators,  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{a}^\dagger$  are defined as

$$\hat{a} = \left( \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} \right)^{1/2} \hat{X} + i \left( \frac{1}{2m\omega\hbar} \right)^{1/2} \hat{P}$$

and

$$\hat{a}^\dagger = \left( \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} \right)^{1/2} \hat{X} - i \left( \frac{1}{2m\omega\hbar} \right)^{1/2} \hat{P}$$

So, we can express the position and momentum operators in terms of the annihilation and creation operators as

$$\hat{X} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{m\omega}{\hbar} \right)^{-1/2} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger)$$

and

$$\hat{P} = \frac{1}{2i} \left( \frac{1}{2m\omega\hbar} \right)^{-1/2} (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger) = -i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger)$$

2. Now we want to find the expectation values  $\langle \hat{X} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \hat{X}^2 \rangle$ , and  $\langle \hat{V} \rangle$  for the  $n^{\text{th}}$  energy eigenstate  $|n\rangle$ , where  $V(\hat{X}) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 \hat{X}^2$  is the potential energy.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle X \rangle &= \langle n | \hat{X} | n \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \langle n | (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) | n \rangle \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \left[ \langle n | \hat{a} | n \rangle + \langle n | \hat{a}^\dagger | n \rangle \right] \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \left[ \sqrt{n} \langle n | n-1 \rangle + \sqrt{n+1} \langle n | n+1 \rangle \right] \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} [\sqrt{n}\delta_{n,n-1} + \sqrt{n+1}\delta_{n,n+1}] \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\boxed{\langle \hat{X} \rangle = 0}$$

For  $\langle \hat{X}^2 \rangle$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{X}^2 &= \hat{X} \cdot \hat{X} \\
 &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} [\hat{a}\hat{a} + \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger]
 \end{aligned}$$

So, the expected value is calculated as

$$\langle \hat{X}^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \left[ \langle n | \hat{a}\hat{a} | n \rangle + \langle n | \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger | n \rangle + \langle n | \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} | n \rangle + \langle n | \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger | n \rangle \right]$$

But we notice that applying  $\hat{a}\hat{a}$  on  $|n\rangle$  will give us  $\sqrt{n \cdot (n-1)} |n-2\rangle$  and due to the orthogonality of the different energy states, we have  $\langle n | n-2 \rangle = 0$  so the entire term is zero.

The same argument applies for  $\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger$ , since that gives us  $\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)} |n+2\rangle$ .

So, the only non-zero terms are the cross terms.

Now,

$$\langle n | \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger | n \rangle = \langle \hat{a}^\dagger n | \hat{a}^\dagger n \rangle = (\sqrt{n})^* (\sqrt{n}) \cdot \langle n-1 | n-1 \rangle = n$$

and similarly,

$$\langle n | \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} | n \rangle = \langle \hat{a}n | \hat{a}n \rangle = (\sqrt{n+1})^* (\sqrt{n+1}) \cdot \langle n+1 | n+1 \rangle = n+1$$

So, plugging these in,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \hat{X}^2 \rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} [n + n + 1] \\
 &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \cdot (2n + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\boxed{\langle \hat{X}^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} \cdot \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}$$

Lastly, we want to find the expectation value  $\langle V \rangle$  where  $V(\hat{X}) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2\hat{X}^2$ . Since we are just multiplying  $\hat{X}^2$  by a constant, we can immediately find the mean value to be

$$\boxed{\langle V \rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \cdot \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}$$

3. In this part, we want to find the expectation values of  $\langle \hat{P} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \hat{P}^2 \rangle$ , and  $\langle T \rangle$  where  $T = \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2m}$  is the kinetic energy, for the  $n^{th}$  energy eigenstates.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{P} \rangle &= \langle n | \hat{P} | n \rangle = i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} \langle n | \hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a} | n \rangle \\ &= i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} [\sqrt{n+1}\delta_{n,n+1} - \sqrt{n}\delta_{n,n-1}] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\boxed{\langle \hat{P} \rangle = 0}$$

We can express  $\hat{P}^2$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}^2 &= \hat{P} \cdot \hat{P} \\ &= \left(i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}}(\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a})\right) \cdot \left(i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}}(\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a})\right) \\ &= (-1) \cdot \left(\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}\right) [\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} - \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}\hat{a}] \end{aligned}$$

So, the expectation value is

$$\langle \hat{P}^2 \rangle = \frac{-m\omega\hbar}{2} \left[ \langle n | \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger | n \rangle - \langle n | \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} | n \rangle - \langle n | \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger | n \rangle + \langle n | \hat{a}\hat{a} | n \rangle \right]$$

Once again, the only contributing terms are the cross terms, so we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{P}^2 \rangle &= \frac{-m\omega\hbar}{2} \cdot (-(n+1) - n) \\ &= \frac{m\omega\hbar}{2} \cdot (2n+1) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\langle \hat{P}^2 \rangle = m\omega\hbar \cdot \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

And to obtain the kinetic Energy, we just divide by  $2m$ , so again, we can directly find  $\langle K \rangle$  to be

$$\langle K \rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \cdot \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

So, the relation between the expected Kinetic Energy and Potential is

$$\langle \hat{K} \rangle = \langle \hat{V} \rangle$$

The expected values for Kinetic and Potential Energy are the same!

4. Our state is a generic combination of the  $0^{th}$  and  $1^{st}$  states:

$$| \psi \rangle = a | 0 \rangle + b e^{i\phi} | 1 \rangle$$

where  $a, b, \phi$  are real and  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ .

Using the results from parts (b) and (c) of this question, the expected values of kinetic and potential energy are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K \rangle &= |c_0|^2 K_0 + |c_1|^2 K_1 \\ &= a^2 \cdot \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left( 0 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + |b \cdot e^{-i\phi}|^2 \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left( \frac{a^2}{2} + \frac{3b^2}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V \rangle &= \langle K \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( \frac{a^2}{2} + \frac{3b^2}{2} \right) \\ \implies \langle V \rangle &= \langle K \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{4} (a^2 + 3b^2) \end{aligned}$$

No, the result will not change if we consider the time evolution of the state because the coefficients  $c'_0 = c_0 e^{-iEt/\hbar}$  and  $c'_1 = c_1 e^{-iEt/\hbar}$  will still have the same squared magnitudes

$$|c'_0|^2 = |c_0|^2 \text{ and } |c'_1|^2 = |c_1|^2$$

because the complex exponential  $e^{-iEt/\hbar}$  has modulus one.

### **Problem 3:**

In this problem, we find the relation between  $\langle V \rangle$  and  $\langle T \rangle$  using another method:

1. Recalling that the hamiltonian for a quantum harmonic oscillator reads as

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2\hat{X}^2$$

Let us first calculate the commutator  $[\hat{H}, \hat{P}\hat{X}]$ .

We can express each of these operators in terms of the annihilation and creation operators:

$$\hat{X} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a})$$

$$\hat{P} = i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a})$$

$$\hat{H} = \hbar\omega \left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

Now, the product  $\hat{P}\hat{X}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}\hat{X} &= i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) \\ &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}) (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) \\ &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} - \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a} \hat{a}) \end{aligned}$$

But recall that  $[\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}] = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} - \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger = 1$ , So

$$\hat{P}\hat{X} = \frac{i\hbar}{2} (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a} \hat{a} + 1)$$

We can now calculate the commutator as

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{P}\hat{X}] = \hat{H} (\hat{P}\hat{X}) - (\hat{P}\hat{X}) \hat{H}$$

Another way we can calculate the commutator is

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{P}\hat{X}] = [\hat{H}, \hat{P}] \hat{X} + \hat{P} [\hat{H}, \hat{X}]$$

We'll not try to evaluate each of the commutators on the RHS, using the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{H}] &= [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{H}] \\
&= \left[ \hat{a}^\dagger, \hbar\omega \left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \\
&= [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hbar\omega (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a})] \\
&= \hbar\omega [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}] \\
&= \hbar\omega ([\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}^\dagger] \hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}]) \\
&= \hbar\omega (0 \cdot \hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger]) \\
&= -\hbar\omega \hat{a}^\dagger
\end{aligned}$$

So, in conclusion,

$$\boxed{[\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{H}] = -\hbar\omega \hat{a}^\dagger}$$

Doing a similar calculation for  $[\hat{a}, \hat{H}]$ , we find that

$$\boxed{[\hat{a}, \hat{H}] = \hbar\omega \hat{a}}$$

- (a) Okay now let's actually compute the commutators. First, the one with momentum and the Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{H}, \hat{P}] &= -[\hat{P}, \hat{H}] \\
&= -\left[ i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}), \hat{H} \right] \\
&= -i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} [\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}, \hat{H}] \\
&= -i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} ([\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{H}] - [\hat{a}, \hat{H}]) \\
&= -i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} (-\hbar\omega \hat{a}^\dagger - \hbar\omega \hat{a}) \\
&= (i\hbar\omega)\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2m\omega}{\hbar}} \cdot (i\hbar\omega)\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} \\
&= \left( \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) \right) \cdot i\hbar\omega m \\
&= i\hbar\omega m \hat{X}
\end{aligned}$$



So,

$$\boxed{[\hat{H}, \hat{P}] = i\hbar m^2 \omega^2 \hat{X}}$$

(b) Next, the commutator between the position operator and the Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{H}, \hat{X}] &= -[\hat{X}, \hat{H}] \\ &= -\left[\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}), \hat{H}\right] \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} [\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}, \hat{H}] \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} ([\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{H}] + [\hat{a}, \hat{H}]) \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (-\hbar\omega\hat{a}^\dagger + \hbar\omega\hat{a}) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \cdot \hbar\omega (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}) \\ &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \cdot \hbar\omega\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{i}\sqrt{\frac{2}{m\omega\hbar}}\right) \cdot \left(i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}}\right) (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}) \\ &= -i\frac{\hbar\omega}{m\omega} \hat{P} \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\boxed{[\hat{H}, \hat{X}] = -i\frac{\hbar}{m} \hat{P}}$$

So, finally, let's tackle the original commutator we were trying to evaluate:  $[\hat{H}, \hat{P}\hat{X}]$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{H}, \hat{P}\hat{X}] &= [\hat{H}, \hat{P}] \hat{X} + \hat{P} [\hat{H}, \hat{X}] \\ &= (i\hbar\omega m \hat{X}) \hat{X} + \hat{P} \left(\frac{-i\hbar}{m} \hat{P}\right) \\ &= i\hbar\omega m \hat{X}^2 - \frac{i\hbar}{m} \hat{P}^2 \\ &= \frac{2i\hbar}{\omega} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 \hat{X}^2 - \frac{1}{2m} \hat{P}^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{[\hat{H}, \hat{P}\hat{X}] = \frac{2i\hbar}{\omega} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 \hat{X}^2 - \frac{1}{2m} \hat{P}^2\right)}$$

2. We found one expression for the commutator  $[\hat{H}, \hat{P}\hat{X}]$  in the previous section, but another way to find it is as

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{P}\hat{X}] = \hat{H}(\hat{P}\hat{X}) - (\hat{P}\hat{X})\hat{H}$$

and we found earlier that  $\hat{P}\hat{X} = \frac{i\hbar}{2}(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}\hat{a} + 1)$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{H}, \hat{P}\hat{X}] &= \left[ \hat{H}, \frac{i\hbar}{2}(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}\hat{a} + 1) \right] \\ &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} [\hat{H}, (\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}\hat{a} + 1)] \\ &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} [\hat{H}, (\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}\hat{a})] \\ &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} [\hat{H}, \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger] + \frac{i\hbar}{2} [\hat{H}, \hat{a}\hat{a}] \end{aligned}$$

Let's look at each of these commutators separately:

(a) For the first one,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{H}, \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger] &= [\hat{H}, \hat{a}^\dagger]\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}^\dagger[\hat{H}, \hat{a}^\dagger] \\ &= -[\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{H}]\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}^\dagger[\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{H}] \\ &= (\hbar\omega\hat{a}^\dagger)\hat{a}^\dagger - (\hat{a}^\dagger(\hbar\omega\hat{a}^\dagger)) \\ &= \hbar\omega\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger - \hbar\omega\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

(b) For the second one,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{H}, \hat{a}\hat{a}] &= [\hat{H}, \hat{a}]\hat{a} + \hat{a}[\hat{H}, \hat{a}] \\ &= -[\hat{a}, \hat{H}]\hat{a} - \hat{a}[\hat{a}, \hat{H}] \\ &= -(\hbar\omega\hat{a})\hat{a} - (-\hat{a}(\hbar\omega\hat{a})) \\ &= -\hbar\omega\hat{a}\hat{a} + \hbar\omega\hat{a}\hat{a} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So, we find that  $[\hat{H}, \hat{P}\hat{X}] = 0!$

This combined with the result from the first part of the question tells us that, for a quantum harmonic oscillator, we have

$$\frac{2i\hbar}{\omega} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2\hat{X}^2 - \frac{1}{2m}\hat{P}^2 \right) = 0$$

Which means,

$$\left( \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2\hat{X}^2 - \frac{1}{2m}\hat{P}^2 \right) = 0$$

So,

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{2m}\hat{P}^2 = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2\hat{X}^2}$$

Thus, we expect the Kinetic and Potential Energies to be the same!

