## Spring '24

# Math 185 Final Review

Keshav Balwant Deoskar kdeoskar@berkeley.edu

## Contents

1	January	3
2	February	3
3	March	3
4	April	3
	4.1 April 1: Riemann Sphere	3
	4.2 April 3:	6

- 1 January
- 2 February
- 3 March
- 4 April

#### 4.1 April 1: Riemann Sphere

• We want to talk about functions that are holomorphic/meromorphic/have a pole "at"  $\infty$ . We do this by extending the complex plane and functions on it.

#### One point compactification

#### Real case

Consider the map  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$

This function is *almost* smooth. The only issue is that in some sense we have  $f(0) = \infty \notin \mathbb{R}$ . But what if we just add an " $\infty$ " point to  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

Denote this space  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  as the one-point compactification of  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ . Now, f can be continued to a map from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\hat{R}$  with the same formula.

We can further define

$$\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$$

to continue the function to a smooth map from  $\hat{\mathbb{R}} \to \hat{\mathbb{R}}!$ 

 $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  is called the *extended complex plane*.

#### Complex case

Similarly, we can define the *extended complex plane*  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  by adding a point at infinity.  $\hat{C} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Instead of  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , this space is topologically equivalent to  $\mathbb{S}^2$  i.e. a sphere.

INSERT FIGURE.

The function  $z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}$ ,  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  gets extended continuously to a function with the same formula

defined on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  under the convention

$$z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}$$
$$0 \mapsto \infty$$
$$\infty \mapsto 0$$

Under this map, a neighborhood of the 0 gets mapped into a neighborhood of  $\infty$ . More precisely, this map takes a chart  $\mathbb{C} \subseteq U \ni 0$  and maps it to a chart  $0 \in U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  to a chart  $\infty \in V \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  and the two charts are equivalent in that we can go back and forth.

**Def:** We call a function  $f:U\subseteq\mathbb{C}\to V\subseteq$  a **biholomorphism** if

- bijective
- holomorphic
- $f^{-1}$  is also holomorphic

This is the natural notion of isomorphism in complex geometry. As such, a number of properties follow:

• Given a chain

$$U \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{C}$$

with f holomorphic, we have g holomorphic if and only if  $g \circ f$  is holomorphic.

- g has a removable singularity/pole/etc. at  $z_0 \in V$  if and only if f has the same at  $f^{-1}(z_0)$ .
- $\operatorname{Res}_{z_0}(g) = \operatorname{Res}_{f^{-1}(z_0)}(f)$

We want to force  $inv(z), z \mapsto 1/z$  to be a biholomorphism. [write more later]

#### Meromorphic functions

**Def:** If f(z) is holomorphic on  $U \setminus \{z_0\}$ , then f(z) is said to be **meromorphic** if and only if it extends to holomorphic  $\hat{f}: U \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

The above is equivalent to saying f meromorphic if and only if

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = \infty$$

A group homomorphism

$$ho G o \operatorname{Aut}(V)$$

is called a **representation** of G in V.

given a chain

$$U \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{g} W \xrightarrow{h} X \xrightarrow{i} Y$$

### 4.2 April 3: