Spring '24

Math 185 Final Review

Keshav Balwant Deoskar kdeoskar@berkeley.edu

Contents

1	January	3
2	February	3
3	March	3
4	April	3
	4.1 April 1: Riemann Sphere	3
	4.2 April 3:	5

- 1 January
- 2 February
- 3 March
- 4 April

4.1 April 1: Riemann Sphere

• We want to talk about functions that are holomorphic/meromorphic/have a pole "at" ∞ . We do this by extending the complex plane and functions on it.

One point compactification

Real case

Consider the map $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$

This function is *almost* smooth. The only issue is that in some sense we have $f(0) = \infty \notin \mathbb{R}$. But what if we just add an " ∞ " point to \mathbb{R} ?

Denote this space $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ as the one-point compactification of \mathbb{R} is $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$. Now, f can be continued to a map from \mathbb{R} to \hat{R} with the same formula.

We can further define

$$\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$$

to continue the function to a smooth map from $\hat{\mathbb{R}} \to \hat{\mathbb{R}}!$

 $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ is called the *extended complex plane*.

Complex case

Similarly, we can define the *extended complex plane* $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ by adding a point at infinity. $\hat{C} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Instead of \mathbb{S}^1 , this space is topologically equivalent to \mathbb{S}^2 i.e. a sphere.

INSERT FIGURE.

The function $z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}$, $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ gets extended continuously to a function with the same formula

defined on $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ under the convention

$$z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}$$
$$0 \mapsto \infty$$
$$\infty \mapsto 0$$

Under this map, a neighborhood of the 0 gets mapped into a neighborhood of ∞ . More precisely, this map takes a chart $\mathbb{C} \subseteq U \ni 0$ and maps it to a chart $0 \in U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ to a chart $\infty \in V \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and the two charts are equivalent in that we can go back and forth.

Def: We call a function $f:U\subseteq\mathbb{C}\to V\subseteq$ a **biholomorphism** if

- bijective
- holomorphic
- f^{-1} is also holomorphic

This is the natural notion of isomorphism in complex geometry. As such, a number of properties follow:

• Given a chain

$$U \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{C}$$

with f holomorphic, we have g holomorphic if and only if $g \circ f$ is holomorphic.

- g has a removable singularity/pole/etc. at $z_0 \in V$ if and only if f has the same at $f^{-1}(z_0)$.
- $\bullet \operatorname{Res}_{z_0}(g) = \operatorname{Res}_{f^{-1}(z_0)}(f)$

We want to force $inv(z), z \mapsto 1/z$ to be a biholomorphism. [write more later]

Meromorphic functions

Def: If f(z) is holomorphic on $U \setminus \{z_0\}$, then f(z) is said to be **meromorphic** if and only if it extends to holomorphic $\hat{f}: U \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

The above is equivalent to saying f meromorphic if and only if

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = \infty$$

4.2 April 3: