

Professor: Alexander Givental

# Math 215A: Algebraic Topology

Homework 10  
kdeoskar@berkeley.edu

**Question 1:** Prove that the cap-product between  $H_{m+n}(X, A \cup B; R)$  and  $H^m(X, B; R)$  is well-defined to take values in  $H_n(X, A; R)$  and the cup-product between  $H^m(X, A; R)$  and  $H^n(X, B; R)$  is well-defined to take values in  $H^{m+n}(X, A \cup B; R)$  *provided that*  $A$  and  $B$  are deformational retracts of their neighborhoods  $U_A$  and  $U_B$  inside  $A \cup B$ .

**Solution:** (I read through Allen Hatcher's Algebraic Topology Sections 2.2, 3.3 for this question)

We consider spaces  $A, B$  and  $U_A, U_B \subseteq A \cup B$  so that  $U_A, U_B$  deformation retract onto  $A, B$  respectively. There are two things we want to prove:

- (a) The Cap-Product  $H_{m+n}(X, A \cup B; R) \frown H^m(X, B; \mathbb{R})$  is well-defined to take values in  $H_n(X, A; R)$ , and
- (b) The Cup-Product  $H^m(X, A; R) \smile H^n(X, B; R)$  is well-defined to take values in  $H^{m+n}(X, A \cup B; R)$

I'm going to swap the order.

- (a) **Cup-product:**

Let's first recall the definitions of Relative Cohomology groups. To define  $H^n(X, A; G)$  for a pair  $(X, A)$  we take the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_n(A) \rightarrow C_n(X) \rightarrow C_n(X, A) \rightarrow 0$$

and dualize it by applying  $\text{Hom}(-, G)$  to get

$$0 \leftarrow C^n(A; G) \xleftarrow{i^*} C^n(X; G) \xleftarrow{j^*} C^n(X, A; G) \leftarrow 0$$

where  $C^n(X, A; G) := \text{Hom}(C_n(X, A), G)$ . This sequence is exact for the following reasons:

The map  $i^*$  restricts cochains on  $X$  to cochains on  $A$ . So for a function from the singular  $n$ -simplices on  $X$  to  $G$ , the image of the function under  $i^*$  is exactly the same function - just with its domain restricted to  $A$  rather than  $X$ . Any functions

from singular  $n$ -simplices on  $A$  to  $G$  can be extended to a function from the singular  $n$ -simplices on  $X$  to  $G$  - eg. just by assigning value 0 to those simplices not in  $A$ . Thus,  $i^*$  is surjective. So the composition of  $i^*$  with the zero map sending  $C^n(A; G) \mapsto 0$  is exact.

Now, the kernel of  $i^*$  is all those cochains on  $X$  which take value 0 on singular  $n$ -simplices in  $A$ . Thus, such cochains can be thought of as homomorphisms

$$C_n(X, A) = C_n(X)/C_n(A) \rightarrow G$$

so the kernel is exactly  $C^n(X, A; G) = \text{Hom}(C_n(X, A), G)$ , giving us exactness of the entire sequence.

The main thing to remember from this discuss is that  **$C^n(X, A; G)$  can be viewed as the functions from singular  $n$ -simplices on  $X$  which vanish on simplices in  $A$ .**

Let's also quickly recall the absolute versions:

The absolute cup-product on cochains  $\varphi \in C^m(X; G)$  and  $\psi \in C^n(X; G)$  is defined to be the cochain  $\varphi \smile \psi \in C^{m+n}(X; G)$  which acts on  $\sigma : \Delta^{k+l} \rightarrow X$  as

$$(\varphi \smile \psi)(\sigma) = \varphi([v_0, \dots, v_k]) \psi([v_{k+1}, \dots, v_{k+l}])$$

The coboundary map  $\delta$  acts on the cup-product as

$$\delta(\varphi \smile \psi) = (\delta\varphi) \smile \psi + (-1)^k \varphi \smile (\delta\psi)$$

From this formula, we can tell that

- the cup-product of two cocycles is again a cocycle.
- the cup-product a cocycle and a coboundary (in either order) is again a coboundary.

Thus, there is an induced Cup-Product on the Cohomology groups

$$H^k(X; R) \times H^l(X; R) \xrightarrow{\smile} H^{k+l}(X; R)$$

The relative cup product is obtained by noticing that the absolute cup-product on the cochains

$$C^m(X; R) \times C^n(X; R) \xrightarrow{\smile} C^{m+n}(X; R)$$

restricts to a cup-product

$$C^m(X, A; R) \times C^n(X, B; R) \xrightarrow{\smile} C^{m+n}(X, A+B; R)$$

where  $C^{m+n}(X, A + B; R)$  is the subgroup of  $C^{m+n}(X; R)$  consisting of cochains vanishing on sums of chains in  $A$  and  $B$ . The inclusions  $C^n(X, A \cup B; R) \hookrightarrow C^n(X, A + B; R)$  induce isomorphisms on the cohomology via the Five-lemma and the fact that the restriction maps  $C^n(A \cup B; R) \hookrightarrow C^n(A + B; R)$  induce isomorphismss on Cohomology via excision.

Therefore the cup-product

$$C^m(X, A; R) \times C^n(X, B; R) \xrightarrow{\sim} C^{m+n}(X, A + B; R)$$

induces the relative cup-product of the cohomology groups

$$H^m(X, A; R) \times H^n(X, B; R) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{m+n}(X, A \cup B; R)$$

- (b) **Cap-Product:** Again, let's recall that the cap-product for absolute chains and cochains is defined as follows: For Arbitrary space  $X$  and coefficient ring  $R$ , we have

$$\frown : C_k(X; R) \times C^l(X; R) \rightarrow C_{k-l}(X; R)$$

where  $k \geq l$  defined as

$$\sigma \frown \varphi = \varphi \left( \sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_l]} \right) \sigma|_{[v_l, \dots, v_k]}$$

form  $\sigma : \Delta^k \rightarrow X$  and  $\varphi \in C^l(X; R)$

This induces a cap-product in Homology and Cohomolgy due to the formula

$$\partial(\sigma \frown \varphi) = (-1)^l (\partial\sigma \frown \varphi - \sigma \frown \delta\varphi)$$

in the sense that this formula tells us

- The cap-product of a cycle  $\sigma$  and a cocycle  $\varphi$  is a cycle.
- The cap-product of a cycle and a coboundary is a coboundary.
- The cap-product of a boundary and a cocycle is a boundary.

These facts induce the cap-product

$$H_k(X; R) \times H^l(X; R) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_l(X; R)$$

which is  $R$ -linear in each variable.

The Relative Cap-Product

$$H_k(X, A \cup B; R) \times H^l(X, B; R) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_l(X, A; R)$$

is defined when  $A, B$  are open subsets of  $X$  and using the fact that  $H_k(X, A \cup B; R)$  can be computed using the chain groups

$$C_n(X, A + B; R) = C_n(X; R) / C_n(A + B; R)$$

Our question is slightly different, however. We have  $A$  and  $B$  not necessarily being open subsets, but we have open neighborhoods  $U_A, U_B \subseteq U$  which deformation retract onto  $A, B$

respectively.

What we've described above works perfectly well for  $U_A, U_B$ . So, we need to show isomorphism between the relative homology groups using  $U_A, U_B$  and the relative homology groups using  $A, B$ .

The quotient map

$$C_\bullet(X)/[C_\bullet(A + B)] \rightarrow C_\bullet(X)/C_\bullet(A \cup B)$$

induces isomorphism between the homology groups.

---

**Question 2:** Call a degree- $n$  integer homology class  $[M]$  of a closed oriented  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  the ***fundamental class*** if for every point  $x \in m$  the projection of this class from  $H_n(M)$  to  $H_n(M, M - \{x\}) = H_n(\mathbb{S}^n) = \mathbb{Z}$  equals 1. Embed  $M$  into an oriented sphere  $\mathbb{S}^N$  and let  $U$  be a tubular neighborhood of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{S}^N$  considered as a disk bundle over  $M$ . Show that the composition of the natural map from  $H_N(\mathbb{S}^N) = \mathbb{Z}$  to  $H_N(\mathbb{S}^N, \mathbb{S}^N - U)$  ( $= H_N(U, \partial U)$  by excision) while the Thom Isomorphism between  $H_N(U, \partial U)$  and  $H_n(M)$  maps  $[\mathbb{S}^N]$  to  $[M]$  (thus proving the existence of the latter).

**Solution:**

text

---

**Question 3:** Use Morse Theory to show that a Morse function on  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  has at least  $n + 1$  critical points. Give an example of a Morse function on  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  with exactly  $n + 1$  critical points, and find critical values and Morse indices of the critical points in your example.

**Solution:** (Inspired by [these Morse Theory notes](#) ; Missed lecture this week but I heard the Weak Morse Inequality was covered in class)

Recall that for a smooth manifold  $M$ , a smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a *Morse Function* if it has no degenerate critical points on  $M$ .

**Theorem 0.1. (Weak Morse Inequalities:)** Let  $f$  be a Morse function on a manifold  $M$ . Let  $N_k$  denote the number of index  $k$  critical points of  $f$ . Then,

$$N_k \geq b_k(M)$$

where  $b_k(M)$  is the  $k^{\text{th}}$  Betti Number.

**Theorem 0.2. (Corollary of the Weak Morse Inequality):** Let  $f$  be a Morse function on  $M$ . Then,  $f$  has at least as many critical points as the sum of the ranks of the homology groups on  $M$  (i.e. the betti numbers).

Recall that the homology groups of projective spaces are slightly different for  $n$  odd and  $n$  even:

$n$  odd:

$$H_i(\mathbb{RP}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & i = 0, n \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & i \text{ odd}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$n$  even:

$$H_i(\mathbb{RP}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & i = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & i \text{ odd}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Namely, the top-homology group vanishes when  $n$  is even, so the sum of the ranks of the homology groups for  $n$  is less than that of  $n$  odd.

Now, for  $n$  odd, we have the betti numbers of the homology groups

$$b_i = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq i \leq n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus, any Morse function on  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  has at least

$$\sum_i b_i = n + 1$$

critical points.

A Morse function  $f : \mathbb{RP}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with exactly  $n + 1$  critical points is

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i \cdot |x|^2$$

---