

Phys 3810, Spring 2013
Problem Set #3, Hint-o-licious Hints

1. Griffiths, 2.26 Following the hint (and assuming that Plancherel's theorem is good for *anything* we want to stick into it), show that the Fourier transform of $\delta(x)$ is

$$F(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

that is, a constant function. If we now merrily transform *back* to x space with the other transform formula, we get the desired (highly flaky) result.

2. Griffiths, 2.29 Actually, this one isn't so bad. You just need to go through the finite-well derivation in the book and make the appropriate changes for the asymmetric state.

The main results you should get to are the results of applying the boundary conditions:

$$-\kappa = \ell \cot(\ell a)$$

and, using the same definitions of z and z_0 , the new condition for finding the energies (graphically, perhaps)

$$-\cot(z) = \sqrt{(z_0/z)^2 - 1}$$

which is *not* guaranteed to have a root since the right side is positive and the left side starts off being negative for small z .

3. Griffiths, 2.34 For the case $E < V_0$ (insufficient energy to travel to positive x) show that the Schrödinger equation can be written as

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2}\psi = \kappa^2\psi \quad \text{where} \quad \kappa \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2m(V_0 - E)}}{\hbar}$$

The general solution for $x > 0$ is a linear combination of $e^{+\kappa x}$ and $e^{-\kappa x}$ but one of these terms is illegal for a wave function! For this case, matching at the boundary gives

$$\frac{B}{A} = \frac{(ik + \kappa)}{(ik - \kappa)}$$

(show this!) which has absolute value 1 (why?). That show that $R = 1$. What does this mean?

For the other case $E > V_0$ we now want to define

$$k' \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2m(E - V_0)}}{\hbar}$$

so that for $x > 0$ the Schrödinger equation is

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2}\psi = -k'^2\psi$$

Now with the boundary condition you can show

$$R \equiv \left| \frac{B}{A} \right| = \frac{k - k'}{k + k'}$$

But T requires more care. As you'll show in (c) T gets a slightly different expression, and you'll show

$$T = \frac{k'}{k} \left| \frac{F}{A} \right| = \frac{k'}{k} \left(\frac{2k}{k + k'} \right)$$

Do we get $T + R = 1$?

4. Griffiths, 2.45 Follow the given hints and integrate to show that for any ψ_1 and ψ_2 we have

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left(\psi_2 \frac{d\psi_1}{dx} - \psi_1 \frac{d\psi_2}{dx} \right) dx = 0$$

Integration by parts of both terms leads to a cancellation and the result that

$$\psi_2 \frac{d\psi_1}{dx} - \psi_1 \frac{d\psi_2}{dx}$$

is constant (the *same* for any x). Since it is clearly zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$ it is zero everywhere.

But we're still not done! Considering $\frac{d}{dx}(\psi_1/\psi_2)$ will give the result that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are not independent.