DIGITAL LAB NOTEBOOK OF KEVIN MURRAY

Honours Project, 2013

Jointly supervised by Justin Borevitz and Barry Pogson

Mon 2012-12-03

Initial Harvest of Keng's RIX lines

Aim

Harvest lines before the 1 week repeated HL stress experiment.

Method

- Tissue was harvested into a 96 well tray of 8-strip \approx 1mL tubes
- Single leaves were placed into the tubes, and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Results

The following table details the collection, including the plate layout

Well	Line	Comments
A1	78	
B1	80	
C1	85	Possible cross contamination from D1
D1	74	
E1	73	Leaf shatered, lost a lot of tissue
F1	76	
G1	83	
H1	81	Had to crush leaf while warm to fit it into tube
A2	89	Had to crush leaf while warm to fit it into tube
B2	90	
C2	91	
D2	87	
E2	108	
F2	92	
G2	osb2	
H2	93	
A3	94	
В3	4	
C3	99	
D3	16	
E3	17	
F3	18	may be 17, hard to read label
G3	18	
Н3	21	
A4	23	
B4	25	
C4	57	

Well	Line	Comments
D4	28	Comments
E4	29	
F4	30	
G4	31	
H4	$\frac{32}{62}$	
A5	63	1 07 1 1 1
B5	98	may be 97, hard to read
C5	98	
D5	20	
E5	67	
F5	99	
G5	99	
H5	100	
A6	65	
B6	72	
C6	1	
D6	1	a second fully shaded leaf from same plant as C6
E6	2	
F6	13	
G6	5	
H6	6	
A7	7	
B7	8	
C7	9	
D7	10	
E7	11	
F7 G7	33 26	
H7	36 39	
A8 B8	38 39	
C8	40	
D8	41	
E8	43	
F8	42	
G8	45	
H8	45	second leaf from unlabeled plant broke leaf while handling minutes before sampling
A9	71	second four from amosered plane stone four winte handling immutes before sampling
В9	46	
C9	47	
D9	99	
E9	50	
F9	51	
G9	52	
H9	52	
A10	54	
B10	55	
C10	56	
D10	58	
E10	59	
F10	71	

Well	Line
G10	61
H10	21
A11	68
B11	
C11	
D11	
E11	
F11	
G11	
H11	
A12	
B12	
C12	
D12	
E12	
F12	
G12	
H12	

Attachments:

 $\bullet \ ./2012 - 12/20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 888a60 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 88460 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 88460 dcd 2226feb 874feb 20121203 - \texttt{harvest-pictures.tar.bz2} \ MD5SUM: 2843946f8 cae 88460 dc$

Comments

Mon 2012-12-10

Final Harvest of Keng's RIX lines

Aim

Harvest lines after 1 week of HL stress.

Method

- An Eppendorf 1.2mL deep well plate was placed on dry ice for ≈ 10 minutes before sampling to allow to cool.
- Whole leaves were excised and placed into 1.2mL Eppendorf 96 deep well plate.
- Where possible, the largest mature leaf was taken. In some cases, this was hard to determine, so the youngest of the fully-expanded leaves was taken (as this was generally also the largest leaf). Some plants were very small, and had only juvenile leaves, in which case the largest juvenile leaf was taken.

Results

The following table describes the plate layout.

Well	Line	Comments
A1	87	
B1	100	
C1	92	
D1	OSB2	
E1	93	
F1	94	
G1	1*cvi	
H1	99	
A2	63	
B2	98	
C2	98	2nd plant with orange label
D2	70	
E2	67	
F2	99	
G2	66	
H2	100	
A3	65	
В3	72	
C3	12	
D3	11	
E3	10	
F3	12	

Well	Line	Comments
G3	9	
НЗ	8	
A4	7	
B4	6	
C4	5	
D4	13	
E4	2	
F4	1*cvi	
G4	49	
H4	47	
A5	46	
B5	42	
C5	45	
D5	71	
E5	39	
F5	40	
G5	41	
H5	43	
A6	38	
B6	39	
C6	36	
D6	33	
E6	61	
F6	62	
G6	68	
H6	58	
A7	65 71	
B7	71	
C7	53	
D7	55 56	
E7	56 50	
F7 G7	50 51	
H7	52	
A8	78	NPQ4
B8	80	111 64
C8	85	
D8	74	
E8	73	
F8	76	
G8	83	
Н8	81	
A9	89	
B9	90	
C9	91	
D9	16	
E9	17	
F9	18	
G9	21	
H9	23	
A10	25	

Well	Line	Comments
B10	57	
C10	28	
D10	29	
E10	30	
F10	31	
G10		
H10		
A11		
B11		
C11		
D11		
E11		
F11		
G11		
H11		
A12		
B12		
C12		
D12		
E12		
F12		
G12		
H12		

Attachments:

Mon 2013-01-14

MAKE: Washed Ball Bearings

Method

- Aliquot approx 15mL of 3mm diameter steel ball bearings into 50mL falcon tube
- Add clean 100% ethanol
- Vortex for ≈ 5 minutes
- Remove ethanol, wash beads with milliQ or sterile water
- Dry in fume cupboard overnight

TissueLyser grinding of practice samples

Aims

To grind tissue from the excess tissue of Keng's RIX lines collected on 3/12/12.

Method

- Remove pre-frozen TissueLyser blocks from -80 freezer.
- Add one cleaned bead to each Eppendorf tube (beads were not pre-cooled)
- \bullet Pour LN₂ into the TissueLyser block
- Add Eppys with beads and sample, and run for 3x 1min runs at 29Hz
- Replace samples in -80

Mon 2013-01-21

Quantification of RNA samples

Aim

• Determine qty of RNA in previously extracted samples

Method

- Nanodropped RNA extraction from 15/1/13??
- Standard protocol, used sterile milliQ water as blank.

Result

• Of the 14 samples, 10 had reasonable amounts of RNA, and 260/280 ratios were above 1.8 in all but one case. (see ./2013-01/20130121-PracticeRNASamples.ods)

Attachments

- ./2013-01/20130121-PracticeRNAExtractionSamples.csv
- ./2013-01/20130121-PracticeRNAExtractionSamples.ndv
- ./2013-01/20130121-PracticeRNASamples.ods

MADE: 10x MOPS Solution

Method

- Add 41.8g RNA only MOPS to beaker
- Add 450mL DEPC H2O, mix w/ stirrer bar on mag stirrer
- Add 26.6mL 3M Sodium Acetate (0.22um Filtered before use)
- Add 10mL RNA only 0.5M EDTA
- pH to 7 with 5M NaOH
- Top up to 500 mL with DEPC H2O
- Use 10ml per 100mL MOPS gel

MADE: RNA Denaturing Gel (MOPS)

Method

- Melt 1g RNAase-free Agarose in 72ml DEPC H2O
- Add 10mL 10x MOPS
- Add 18mL 37% Formaldehyde
- Pour in RNA-only gel tank, previously washed with 0.5% SDS and RNAase-zap

Tue 2013-01-22

Denature RNA for RNA gels

Method

- Dilute RNA to 100ng/μL
- Add RNA gel loading buffer (Obtained from Pete Crisp)
- Incubate at 65 degrees for 10 minutes. The samples were incubated for 10 minutes on the evening of 2013-01-21, but the gels were not run until 2013-01-22, so they were denatured for a further 2 minutes at 65 degrees

TBE Gel

Aim

• To compare TBE and denaturing/MOPS gels for RNA

Method

- Dissolve 1g RNAase-free Agarose in 90mL DEPC water
- Add 10mL RNAase-free TBE (prepared using DEPC Water, obtained from Pete Crisp)
- Pour in RNA-only gel tank, previously washed with 0.5% SDS or RNAase-zap
- Then, load denatured samples, and run in RNAase-free 1x TBE
- Run at $\approx 80 \text{V}$, $\approx 40\text{-}50 \text{mA}$ for $\approx 1.75 \text{h}$
- Stain gel in 0.5ug/ml Ethidium Br in DEPC water?? for 10 min on orbital shaker, and photograph.

Result

See Figure 1 below.

Gel indicates some degradation of RNA, however most samples are OK. Sample order is (left to right) A2, A3, A5, A6, A7, B3, B5, B7. A7 appears to have no RNA, although this is probably a mis-loading error. Overall, the TBE gel appears to be of more use than the MOPS gel.

MOPS gel

Aim

• Determine quality of RNA and Compare MOPS with TBE for RNA gels




Figure 1: TBE Gel of Practice RNA samples, 2013-01-22


Method


- Load samples after denaturing as above. Sample order is (left to right) A2, A3, A5, A6, A7, B3, B5, B7.
- Run gel in RNAase free 1x MOPS at $\approx 80 \text{V}$, $\approx 100 \text{mA}$ for $\approx 1.75 \text{h}$ as per TBE gel above.
- Stain gel in 0.5ug/ml Ethidium Br in DEPC water?? for 10 min on orbital shaker.
- De-stain on orbital shaker gel in 1x MOPS, and photograph. Gel disintegrated whilst destaining.

Results

See Figures 2 and 3

Mops gel confirms that the RNA was of reasonable quality. The MOPS gel appears to be of less use than the TBE gel.





Tue 2013-01-29

Seed Stock Levels

The stocks of Joost's RIX set were checked. Seed lines were classified as having either plenty (+), limited(?) or no (-) seed. The levels of each line are shown in the table below.

Line	\mathbf{Desc}	Count
1	Col-0_1915	not in box
2	Col-0_4936	not in box
3	Cvi x Cvi	0
4	$1 \times Cvi$	not in box
5	1×146	0
6	10×26	0
7	101×176	0
8		not in box
9		not in box
10		not in box
11		not in box
12		not in box
	110×32	?
14		0
	113 x 141	0
	114 x 3	?
17		0
	115 x 126	0
19		?
20		0
21		0
22		0
	12 x 142	0
24	122 x 42	?
25		0
26	128 x 6	0
	132 x 129	not in box
	133 x 35	0
	134 x 29 135 x 10	0
30 31		0 ?
31 32	136 x 140 136 x 102	0
32 33	165×102	not in box
34	139×162	0
	139 x 102 139 x 36	0
36		0
	14 x 4 146 x 64	not in box
91	110 7 01	HOU III DOX

Line	Desc	Count
38		0
39	147×69	0
40		0
41	150×37	0
42		0
43		0
44	153×20	?
45		0
46	156 x 166	0
47		0
48		0
49	164×7	not in box
50		0
51	168×22	0
52		not in box
53		0
54	170×24	0
55		0
56	174 x 34	0
57	-	?
58	183 x 118	0
59	186×27	0
60	187×190	0
61	187 ± 69	not in box
62	189×133	0
63	19×173	0
64	19×67	0
65	190×176	0
66	191×31	not in box
67	192×189	0
68	20×138	0
69		0
70		0
71	25 x 9	0
72		0
73	33 x 58	not in box
74	35×120	0
75 76		0
76 77	39×27 40×74	not in box 0
78	npq4	not in box
79		not in box
80	44 x 50	0
81	45 x 23	0
82		0
83	48 x 160	0
84		0
	5×172	not in box
	5 x 188	not in box
87	51 x 111	0
88	51×18	0

Line	\mathbf{Desc}	Count
89	54×183	0
90	55×18	0
91	59×116	0
92	6×131	0
93	61×162	0
94	63×151	not in box
95	7×46	0
96	8 x 61	not in box
97	Ler x Ler	0
98	Ler self	0
99	Cvi x Ler	0
100	Ler x Cvi	0

Thu 2013-02-07

Prepare Trays for Planting

Trays were filled with steamed seed raising mix, with 1 mL/L Osmocote® added and mixed before dispensing. Trays were filled by pouring potting mix over tall 5cm square pots, and compacting it with hands. 41 trays of 24 and one tray of 20 pots were made.

Once trays were made, they were watered with $\approx 1.5 L$ RO water, containing $\approx 1 mL/L$ AzaMaxTM, covered with cling film and stored at 4 degrees C.

Fri 2013-02-08

Planting of RIX lines

Aim:

Plant (ideally) 9 plants of each of the RIX lines, for the experiment Keng and I will conduct

Method:

Seeds were planted in pre-prepared trays, by shaking from a piece of paper. Either 6 or 12 plants of each line, and some mutants, were planted in contiguous blocks. Once planted, trays were sprayed with a small amount of water and labelled by row, i.e. each row of plants consisted of one genotype, and only one pot was labelled per row. Plants were not randomised at this point. If the tray was dry, approx 0.5-1L of RO water was added.

The following table describes the lines which were planted. 12 plants of each line were planted, unless otherwise stated in the "Qty" columns below. From now on, lines will be referred to by their number in the following table.

Line Number	Line Name	Quantity
1	Col-0	
2	cvi self	
3	1×146	
4	10×26	
5	101×176	
6	LL-1	
7	145×105	
8	107×121	
9	18×109	
10	109×48	
11	114×3	6
12	110×32	6
13	112×30	
14	115×12	
15	118×108	
16	118×164	
17	12×142	
18	122×42	
19	125×117	
20	128×6	
21	129×132	
22	133×35	
23	134×29	
24	135×10	

Line Number Line Name Quantity 25 136×102 26 165×137 27 139×36 28 14×4 29 146 x 64 147×50 30 31 147×69 32 149×165 33 150×37 152 ± 42 34 35 153×108 36 $153 \ge 20$ 6 37 156×106 119×60 6 38 39 16×4 40 16×66 $16 \ge 7$ 41 42 166×25 43 168×22 44 17×21 45 171×143 46 174×34 47 180×157 48 186×27 49 187×69 50 19×173 $19 \ge 67$ 51 52 190×176 53 191 x 31 54 192×189 55 $20 \ge 138$ 6 24×171 56 15×9 57 26×74 58 33×58 59 60 38×35 39×27 61 62 44×50 45×23 63 64 46×29 65 48×160 66 49×158 67 5×172 68 5×188 51×111 69 70 51×18 54×183 71 72 $55 \ge 18$ 73 59×116 746 x 131 75 61 x 162

Line Number Line Name Quantity

- $76\quad 63\ge 151$
- 77 8 x 61
- 78 ler self
- 79 ler
- 80 cvi x ler
- 81 ler x cvi
- 82 npq1
- 83 npq4
- 84 pgr5
- 85 Stn8-1
- 86 Cvi-1
- 87 135 x 142

6

Thu 2013-02-14

Sun and Shade Spectra

Aim

Measure specta from natural sun and natural shade at midday

Method

- John Evan's spectroradiometer was used
- Measure every nm from 400 to 800
- Measurements taken at approx 12:30-1pm
- Measure clear, unobstructed sun with no clouds in quadrangle between forrestry, geography and Robertson buildings, ANU.
- Measure shade under elm tree in same location
- Calculations made by Pip Wilson, yielded umol photon per square meter per second per nanometer measures of intesnity. (see attached xls spreadsheet).

Results

Overall PAR integrations were 38.0 and 1809.5 uE for shade and sun respectively. Spectra detailed in:

- 20130214-shade and sun spectra.xlsx MD5SUM db67505144fbd20ecc317a494f80ecde
- 20130214-SunShadeSpectra.csv
 MD5SUM 56003985e19111288384dcd5f4dc51f1

Fri 2013-03-01

Creation of Solarcalc files

Aim:

Generate the solar calc files which will be used to control the conviron growth cabinents and heliospectra lights for the duration of the latter part of the experiment.

Method

 - Solar Calc version 2013 Feb
 C (zip file MD5SUM: 0b2b456771eb44ed1fa8ed1a087bfbd0) was used.

Location: Temora
Min Temp: 5 C
Max Humid.: 80
Start Date: 1/9/12
End Date: 31/12/12

• Shading: 0

• LED Ratios: 7.74 6.16 5.98 5.64 7.35 1.00 5.45

• 2010 weather

Results

• Solarcalc output:

./2013-03/20130301-KMTemora2012Sep01_A_LED-Normalised.csv MD5sum b1dee0ba373a1e74956dd5c8d3ccce38

• Solarcalc preferences file:

./2013-03/20130301-KMTemora2012Sep01_A_LED-Normalised_prefs.srp $MD5SUM\ d01fcc5ae9ad8fba07bd9b9512c82857$

Tue 2013-03-05

Creation of Better Normalised Shaded Solarcalc files

Aim:

Generate the solar calc files which will be used to control the conviron growth cabinents and heliospectra lights for the duration of the latter part of the experiment.

Method

 - Solar Calc version 2013 Feb
 C (zip file MD5SUM: 0b2b456771eb44ed1fa8ed1a087bfbd0) was used.

Location: Temora
Min Temp: 10 C
Max Humid.: 70
Start Date: 1/9/12
End Date: 31/12/12
Shading: 0 and 45%

• LED Ratios: 1.29 1.03 .94 1.22 0.17 .91

• 2010 weather

Results

Solarcalc outputs:

- $\bullet \ ./2013-03/20130305-Temora2012Sep01_45 shade_2010 weather_LED-BetterNormalised.csv \\ ddff182b78d1fe10e662fb827a179804$
- $\bullet ./2013-03/20130305-Temora 2012 Sep 01_0 shade_2010 weather_LED-Better Normalised.csv \\ d41 d8cd 98f 00b 204e 980099 8ecf 8427e$

Solarcalc preferences files:

- ./2013-03/20130305-Temora2012Sep01_0shade_2010weather_LED-BetterNormalised.srp 9e800ba2b31a5346b37bc17127515d38
- ./2013-03/20130305-Temora2012Sep01_45shade_2010weather_LED-BetterNormalised.srp $\rm d40480b773fdaad8ecf9bd14a5d445ed$

Thu 2013-03-07

Creation of Brighter Normalised Shaded Solarcalc files

Aim:

Generate the solar calc files which will be used to control the conviron growth cabinents and heliospectra lights for the duration of the latter part of the experiment.

These files are to increase the brightness of the high light treatment.

Method

• SolarCalc version 2013 Feb C (zip file MD5SUM: 0b2b456771eb44ed1fa8ed1a087bfbd0) was used.

Location: Temora
Min Temp: 10 C
Max Humid.: 70
Start Date: 1/9/12
End Date: 31/12/12

Shading: 0LED Ratios:

2x: 2.6 2.06 2 1.96 2.44 0.34 1.82 4x: 5.2 4.12 4 3.92 4.88 0.68 3.64

• 2010 weather

Results

Solarcalc outputs:

- ./2013-03/20130307-KMTemora2012Sep01_0shade_2010weather_LED-2xBetterNormalised.csv 59 fcd4 a acdf20 eeb750c22b18ba4f118
- ./2013-03/20130307-KMTemora2012Sep01_0shade_2010weather_LED-4xBetterNormalised.csv 3d79e511b4367d1c5807b247fffa3b48

Solarcalc preferences files:

- ./2013-03/20130307-KMTemora2012Sep01_0shade_2010weather_LED-2xBetterNormalised.srp bf276b2cf5658f00fbd02bce55f186f7
- $\bullet ./2013-03/20130307-\texttt{KMTemora}2012 Sep01_0 shade_2010 weather_LED-4x BetterNormalised.srp. 3 f124559 d1553 f68384218509196992 d$

Sun 2013-03-10

Creation of Intermittent Bright/Shaded Solarcalc files

Aim:

Generate the solar calc files which will be used to control the conviron growth cabinents and heliospectra lights for the duration of the latter part of the experiment.

These files are to increase the brightness of the high light treatment.

Method

• SolarCalc version 2013 Feb C (zip file MD5SUM: 0b2b456771eb44ed1fa8ed1a087bfbd0) was used.

Location: Temora
Min Temp: 10 C
Max Humid.: 70
Start Date: 1/9/12
End Date: 31/12/12

- Shading: High Light file: 0, Low Light files: 45

- LED Ratios:

1x: 1.29 1.03 .94 1.22 0.17 .91 2x: 2.6 2.06 2 1.96 2.44 0.34 1.82

-2010 weather

• Then, the spliceSolarCalc.py script was used to splice between them, using the command line:

```
python spliceSolarCalc.py -o KMTemora2012Sep01_Intermittent2hLL1hHL.csv \
    -f 2013-03/20130305-Temora2012Sep01_45shade_2010weather_LED-BetterNormalised.csv \
    -F 2013-03/20130307-KMTemora2012Sep01_0shade_2010weather_LED-2xBetterNormalised.csv \
    -1 120 -2 60 # 2h Low, 1h High
```

Results

Solarcalc inputs:

- $\bullet ./2013-03/20130305-Temora2012Sep01_45 shade_2010 weather_LED-BetterNormalised.csv \\ ddff182b78d1fe10e662fb827a179804$
- ./2013-03/20130307-KMTemora2012Sep01_0shade_2010weather_LED-2xBetterNormalised.csv 59 fcd4 aacdf20 eeb750c22b18ba4f118

Solarcalc preferences files:

• ./2013-03/20130305-Temora2012Sep01_45shade_2010weather_LED-BetterNormalised.srp d40480b773fdaad8ecf9bd14a5d445ed

• ./2013-03/20130307-KMTemora2012Sep01_0shade_2010weather_LED-2xBetterNormalised.srp bf276b2cf5658f00fbd02bce55f186f7

Solarcalc Output:

• 2013-03/20130310-KMTemora 2012Sep01_Intermittent2hLL1hHL.csv c415ed3654b071ad6fbcbd044b60f732

Solarcalc Splice Script:

./2013-03/20130310-spliceSolarCalc.py $6{\rm cd8ea}9895{\rm d}19{\rm b}7851{\rm c}41{\rm c}086535f318$

Fri 2013-03-19

Enter plants in trayscan database

Aim

Allow trayscan to see and process out plants

Method

Using the tray registrator, the attached csv was registered in the database. The registration may have failed, PSI will fix the database to reflect it.

Results

./2013-03/20130320-TrayscanImportSheet.csv MD5SUM: 69b97ec5cd3f30c10c0d7b21da59a35b

Mon 2013-03-25

Harvesting of practice tissue

Aim

Harvest unimportant tissue from extra plants to use for pracice RNA preps.

Method

- Plants had been grown since germination in convirons 8 & 9 under standard growth conditions
- Leaves were harvested from each plant into a 96-well 1.2mL plate on dry ice
- \bullet the plant number as per ./2013-02/20130208-KMPlanted Lines.csv was recorded

Results

- The plate was labelled "Harvest Tissue Plate", and stored in -80.
- The samples are laid out as described below:

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Α	62	50	48 med lf	46	54	46						
В	62	50	48 lrg lf	46	54	46						
\mathbf{C}	23	50	19	21	46	46						
D	48	???	61	61	46	46						
\mathbf{E}	48	27	61	61	46	46						
\mathbf{F}	48	23 caul	61	25	46	46						
G	48	23 caul	61	25	46	46						
Η	48	48 sml	61	16	46	46						

Tue 2013-03-26

Harvesing the First Replicate across each chamber

Aim

Harvest the actual experimental samples. Today, the first replicate will be harvested.

Method

- Leaves were taken from plants grown under experiment conditions (recorded by Keng, to be summarised later)
- Leaves were excised and genly rolled (where possible) between fingers, without breaking or squashing them. This allowed them to unroll to fill the edges of the wells in most cases, for faster freezing.
- Approx 1.5x1.5cm sections were taken when leaves exceeded this size. Tip end of leaves were taken if a leaf was torn. Leaves were torn once rolled by quckly plucking them with tweezers, using tweezer blades to cut the leaf.
- Harvesting started at 12:00. Detailed in the table below.

Time	Chamber	Condtion
12:00:00	2	0h
12:40:00	5	0h
13:00:00	4	0h
13:40:00	2 tray 1	1h HL (1h05 in HL)
13:55:00	5 trays 2 and 3	1h HL (30m in HL)
14:05:00	2 tray 2 and 3	1h HL (1h25m in HL)
14:15:00	5 tray 1	1h HL (1h15m in HL)
14:30:00	2	0h
14:45:00	4	0h
15:00:00	5	0h
15:40:00	2	1h HL (1h10m in HL)
16:00:00	4	1h HL (1h15m in HL)
16:15:00	5	1h HL (1h15m in HL)

Results

		12 4 2d1 4 2d1 ½L 4 2d3 ½L sq 4 2d4 ½L sq 4 3a1 ½L 4 3d1 4 3c1 sq 4 3c1 sq 4 3c1 sq		
				12
12 4 3e1 M 4 2d3 4 2d3 4 2d4 4 3a1 4 3a1 sq 4 3c4 sq 4 3c4 sq 4 3c1 sq		11 4 2a3 4 3b1 sq ½L 4 2b2 4 2b4 4 2c1 4 2c1 4 2c3	11 12 9d2 9d3 9d4 2C 10B1 2S 9e2 9e3 9e4	11 5 9d2 5 9d3 5 9d4 C 5 10d1 2S sq 5 9e2 5 9e3 2C 5 9e4
11 4 3b1 4 2b2 sq 4 2b3 sq 4 2b4 2S 4 2c1 4 2c1 4 2c3 4 2c3 a1 ½ 4 2c4		10 4 1c1 sq 4 1d1 4 1d2 ½L sq 4 1d4 ½L 4 1e2 ½L 4 1e3 4 1e4 4 2a1	10 9a3 2S 5 9a4 ½L 5 10b1 2S 5 10e1 2S 5 9b4 5 10c1 5 9c3 5	10 5 9a3 5 9a4 5 10b1 a1 5 10e1 2S 5 9b4 5 10c1 5 9c3 5 9c3
10 4 1d1 4 1d2 4 1d4 L 4 1d4 L 4 1e1 L 4 1e3 4 1e4 4 2a1 L 4 2a3 basal ½		9 5 1e2 5 1e3 4 1a1 4 1a2 CL sq 4 1a3 4 1b1 3S 4 1b2 2S 4 1b4 ½L	9 5 8d1 5 8d2 5 8e2 5 8e3 5 9a1	9 5 8d1 5 8d2 5 8d2 5 8e2 ½ 5 8e3 5 8e4 2S 5 9a1 sq
9 5 2e4 4 1a1 4 1a2 CL 4 1a3 q 4 1b1 4 1b2 CL 4 1b4 4 1b2 CL 4 1b4		8 5 1b4 5 1c1 5 1c3 2S sq 5 1c4 5 1d3 5 1d4 sq 5 1 e1 CL 5 1e1 CL	8 4 963 C 5 8a3 ½ 5 8b1 sq 5 8 8b2 5 8b3 1 5 8b4 sq 5 8c4	8 4 9e3 5 8a3 5 8b1 5 5 8b2 % 5 8b3 C 5 8b3 C 5 8b4 % 5 8c4 2S
8 5 3c1 5 2c4 5 2d1 5 2d3 5 2d4 sq 5 2e1 5 3e1		%F	7 4 9a3 C 4 9b4 ½L 4 10a1 28 4 9c3 4 9c3 4 9d4 4 9d4	7 4 9a3 4 9b4 4 10a1 2S 4 9c3 ½ 4 9c4 25 4 9d1 2S 4 9d4
7 5 2a2 Pur 5 3a3 8 5 2a4 L 1 5 2b1 5 2b2 5 3b1 5 3b4			6 4 8b3 4 8b4 4 8c1 4 8c4 2S 4 8c4 2S 4 8c4 2S 4 8c1 4 8c1 4 8c1 4 9c1 4 9c1	6 4 8b3 2S 4 8b4 4 8c1 4 8c4 C 4 8c4 C 4 8c1 2S 4 8c1 4 10e1 ½ sq 4 9a2 3S
5 1a1 5 1c3 5 1b1 5 1c4 5 1a3 5 1d4 5 1a4 5 1a2 5 1c1 5 1b2 5 1c1 5 1b4 5 1c2 5 1c1 5 1c3 5 1c1 5 2c1 5 2c1		6 2 2b4 2 2 2c1 2 2c3 2 3d1 2 2d2 2 3c1 2 2c1 2 2c2 2 2c2	5 2 9e1 2 9e2 2 9e3 sq 2 9e4 4 8a1 sq 4 8b1	5 2 9e1 C 2 9e2 2 9e3 C 2 9e4 4 8a1 ½ 4 8b1 sq 4 8b1 sq 4 8b2
4 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 4 1 2 2 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		5 3e1 5 3e1 5 3d1 ½ 5 2e4 2 2a3 2 2a4 2 2b2 1 2 2b3	4 2 9c1 ½ 2 9c3 ½ sq 2 9c4 2S 2 9d1 sq 2 9d2 ½ 2 9d3 2 9d4 sq	4 2 9b4 2 9c1 ½ 2 9c3 ½ 2 9c4 2S 2 9d1 2 9d2 2 9d3 sq 2 9d3 sq
3 2 164 2 283 2 283 2 284 2 282 2 283 2 284 2 284		4 5 2b4 5 2c1 CL 5 3c1L 5 2c4 5 2d1 5 2d3 5 2d3 5 2d3	3 2 9a1 2 9a2 ½L 2 10c1 2 10b1 sq 2 9b1 2 10a1 ½L 2 10d1 ½L 2 9b4 ½L	3 2 9A1 2 9a2 2 10c1 2 10b1 2 9b1 2 10a1 2 10d1 ½ 2 9b4 ½
2 2 1c1 S ??? 2 1c3 2 1d3 L 2 1d2 L 2 1d3 L 2 1e1 2 1e2 2 1e2 2 1e3		3 2 1e4L CL 5 2a1 5 2a2 2S 5 3a1 3S 5 2a4 5 2b1 CL 5 2b2 CL 5 3b1	2 8c2 2 8c3 ½L 2 8c4 2 8c4 2 8c4 2 8c4 2 8c4 2 8c4 2 8c4 2 8c4	2 2 8c2 2 8c3 ½L 2 8c4 2S sq 2 8d1 2S 2 8d2 ½L 2 8d4 2 8d4 2 8e1 ½ 2 8e3 2S
1 A 2 1a1 B 2 1b1 C 2 1a3 sen D 2 1b4 E 2 1b2 L F 2 1b3 S G 2 1c4 L H 2 1c1		2 1c2 sq 2 1c3 2S 2 1a4L CL sq 2 1d2 3S 2 1d3 M 2 1d1 M 2 1e1 M 2 1e2 sq 2 1e3	1 A 2 8a1 B 2 8a2 sq C 2 8a3 sq D 2 8a4 E 2 8b1 F 2 8b2 G 2 8b4 H 2 8c1	2 8a.1 2 8a.2 2 8a.3 2 8a.3 2 8a.3 2 8b.4 2 8b.1 sq. 3S 2 8b.4 2S 2 8b.4 2S
		1 2 1a1 2 1a3 2 1b4 2 1b1 2 1b2 2 1b2 2 1b3 2 1b4 2S		HCFEDCBA
		HGFEDCBA		
	Plate 2:		Plate 3:	Plate 4:

Wed 2013-03-27

Harvesing the Second Replicate across each chamber

Aim

Harvest the actual experimental samples. Today, the second replicate will be harvested.

Method

- Leaves were taken from plants grown under experiment conditions (recorded by Keng, to be summarised later)
- Procedure was as per yesterday
- Leaves were excised and genly rolled (where possible) between fingers, without breaking or squashing them. This allowed them to unroll to fill the edges of the wells in most cases, for faster freezing.
- Approx 1.5x1.5cm sections were taken when leaves exceeded this size. Tip end of leaves were taken if a leaf was torn. Leaves were torn once rolled by quckly plucking them with tweezers, using tweezer blades to cut the leaf.
- Harvesting started at 11:30. Detailed in the table below.

\mathbf{Time}	Chamber	Condtion
11:30:00	4 part 1	0h
11:45:00	5 p1	0h
12:00:00	2 p1	0h
12:15:00	4 p2	0h
12:30:00	5 p2	0h
12:45:00	2 p2	0h
13:25:00	4 p1	$1 \mathrm{hHL}$
13:40:00	5 p1	$1 \mathrm{hHL}$
14:00:00	2 p1	$1 \mathrm{hHL}$
14:15:00	4 p2	$1 \mathrm{hHL}$
14:30:00	5 p2	$1 \mathrm{hHL}$
14:45:00	2 p2	$1 \mathrm{hHL}$

Results

The plate layout is described below. Abbreviations are: S, small, 2S, 2x small (and so on), C, cauline leaves, sq, squashed leaf while harvesting, sen, some senescence on harvested leaf (only a small amount of senescence was allowed), P, purple/anthocyanin accumulation.

Plate 5:

12 2 5c1 ½ 2 5c2 2 5d1 2 5d2 2 5e1 2 5e2 2v.sml	12 d1 d2 e1 e2	12 2 5a2 2 5a2 2 5c1 2 5c1 2 5c2 2 5c2 haded 2 5d1 anded 2 5d2 2 5e1 2 5e2	11 12 2 12c2 1/3 2 12d1 2 12d2 2 12e2 1/3 sq
11 2 4d2 2 4d3 2 4e3 2 4e3 sq 2 4e4 ½ 2 5a1 2 5a1 2 5a2 2 5a2	10 11 2 11d4 2 12d1 2 11e2 2 12d2 2 11e3 2 12d2 2 12a2 2 12e2 2 12b1 2 12b2 2 12c2 2 12c2	q 2 3b4 2S q 2 3c2 ½ sen 2 3c4 2S 2 3d3 ½ 2 3d4 ½shaded q ½ 2 3e2 wounded 2 3e4	10 2 10d4 caul 2 15 2 10e2 ½ 2 15 2 10e3 ½ 2 15 2 10e4 ½ 2 15 2 12a2 ½ 2 15 2 12b1 2 12b2 2 12c1
10 2 4a2 2S 2 4a3 2 4a4 sq 2 4b1 ½ 2 4c1 2 4c1 2 4c3 2 4c4 2 4c4 2 4c4	8 9 10c2 211a2 10c3 211a3 10c4 211b1 10d3 211b2 10e2 211c3 10e3 211d1 10e4 211d3	10 2S 2 4d2 sq 2S 2 4d3 sq 2S 2 4e1 4½ 2 4e3 5 2 4e4 2 3b2 sq ½ 2 3b3 4½ 2 3a4	9 2 10a2 ½ 2 2 10a3 1½ 2 2 10a4 1½ 2 2 10b4 2 10c2 sq 2 10c3 sq 2 2 10c3 2 10c4 2 10c4 2 2 10c4 2 10
9 2 354 C 2 3c2 2 3c4 2 3d3 % 2 3d4 2 3e2 sq 2 3e4 2 2 4a1	7 8 5 12c1 ½ 2 10c2 5 12c2 2 10c3 5 12d2 2 10c3 5 12d2 2 10d3 2 10a2 2 10d4 2 10a3 2 10e2 2 10a4 2 10e3 2 10b4 2 10e4	8 9 4e4 2S 2 4a2 2S 5a1 2 4a3 2S 5c1 2 4a4 2S 5c2 ½ 2 4b1 ½ 5d1 2 4b3 5d2 ½ 2 4c1 5e2 ½ 2 4c1 5e2 ½ 2 4c1	8 2 11b2 2 11c1 % 2 2 11c1 % 2 2 11c3 % 2 2 11d3 % 2 2 11d4 % 2 2 11c2 % 2 2 11c3 % 2
8 5 4c1 5 4c2 ½ 5 4d2 ½ 5 4d2 ½ 5 4d2 ½ 5 4d2 ½ 2 3a3 2 3a4 ½ 2 3a4 ½	sq sq sq	S ½ 3S sq 55 P ? Sq 55 55 57 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58	7 5 12b2 5 12c1 5 12c2 5 12d1 5 12d2 2 11a2 ½ 2 11a3 ½ 2 11b1 sen
7 5 4d1 ½ 5 4d2 5 4d2 5 4d3 P 5 4d4 P 8q 5 4e2 P P 5 4e3 P ½ 8q 5 4e4 P ½ 8q 5 4e4 P ½ 8q 5 55a1 ½	5 6 5 10d4 5 11c4 5 10e2 5 11d3 5 10e3 5 11d4 5 11a1 5 11e1 5 11a2 5 11e2 5 11b2 sq 5 12b1 5 11b4 5 12b2	6 4a1 P 4a2 4a3 ½ 4b1 4b2 4b4 4c1 P	6 5 11c4 ½ 5 11d4 % 5 11d4 S sen 5 11d4 5 11e1 sq 5 12a2 5 12a2
6 5 4a2 5 4a3 5 4b3 5 4b1 5 4b2 sen 5 4b4 8 sq 5 4c1 5 4c4	4 5 10a3 P sen 5 1 5 10b2 ½ 5 10b2 ½ 5 10b3 5 10b3 5 10c2 5 10c2 5 10c3 5 10c3 5 10c3 5 10c3 5 10c3 5 10c3	5 3b3 5 3b4 P 5 3c4 P 5 3c2 P 5 3d2 2S 5 3d4 sq 5 3e2 ½ 5 3e3 ½ sq 5 3e4 2S	5 10d4 ½ 10e2 ½ 10e3 11a1 sq 11a2 sq 11b1 ½ 11b4 ½ sq
4 5 3e3 S 5 3b4 P 3e4 5 3c3 P 5e1 sq 5 3d2 ½ 5e2 5 3d4 ½ 3a2 5 3e2 ½ sen 3a3 5 3e3 1/3 sq 3b2 5 3e4	be defined the second of the s	4 3e3 2S 5e1 sq 5e2 ½ 3a2 sq 3a3 sq sen 3a3 2S	4 5 10a3 2S 5 10a4 P 5 10b2 5 10b3 5 10c2 ½ 5 10c3 ½
3 4 4 3b1 4 3e3 S 4 4 3b4 4 3b4 4 5b1 sq 4 5c2 4 5c2 6 3a3 4 4 5c2 5 3a3 4 5c4 5c4 5c2 5 3a3 4 5c4 5c2 5 3b3 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	2 3 4 10d3 sen 4 11c2 sq 4 10d4 C 4 11c2 ½ 4 10e2 ½ 4 11e4 ½ 4 11e3 4 12b1 4 11a1 4 12d1 4 11a3 C 4 12e1 ½ 4 11b1 2S 5 10a2 ½ 4 11b1 2S 5 10a3 C	3 3b3 5e1 2S sen 5b1 5b2 3c4 5c2 5d1	3 4 10c2 ½ 4 10d2 sq 4 10d2 sen 4 10d2 caul 4 10d4 dam 4 10e2 4 10e3 5 10a2 ½
4 4c4 4 4e1 4 4e3 4 3c2 4 3a3 4 3a3 4 5a1 sq 4 5a1 sq 4 5a2 sq	ъs	2 4b4 4e1 4e2 3c2 3a3 3a4 sq 5a1 5a2 ½ sq	2 4 12d1 ½ 4 12e1 ½ 4 10a2 4 10a3 4 10a3 4 10b2 6 10b3 7 10b3 8 10b3 8 10b3 8 10b3 8 10b3
1 B 4 4a1 C 4 4a3 SQ D 4 4a4 E 4 4b3 F 4 4b4 G 4 3b2 H 4 4c3	1 A 410a2 B 410a3 C 410a4 sq D 410b2 E 410b3 F 410b4 ½ G 410c2 ½ H 410d2	1 4 4a1 4 4a2 sen 4 4 4a3 sq 4 4 4a4 4 4b3 sq 4 4 4b3 sq 4 4 4b3 sq 4 4 4b3 sq 4 4 4b5	1 4 11a1 4 11a3 C 4 11a4 ½ 4 11b1 2S 4 11c2 ½ 4 11c2 ½ 4 11e4 4 11e4
4 H O I H H O H		ABOUHFDH	ч ш С С ш т С ш
	Plate 6:	Plate 7:	Plate 8:

Fri 2013-04-26

Trayscan Test

Aim

Test the tray registrator function of the trayscan, as instructed by Petr Ent.

Method

A test tray was made, and placed in the trayscan for PSI to test. The tray registration was as per the attached file: ./2013-04/20130426-TrayscanTest.csv MD5SUM: b6716d2b3c2b63adc36ef6d6a1a11104

Corrected Trayscan Import File

Aim

Fix difference between the file which we imported into trayscan and the tray labels by which the phenotypic data was recorded.

Method

The format of the tray identifiers was changed to reflect the label format. No other changes were made. File attachement: ./2013-04/20130426-CorrectedTrayscanImportSheet.csv

MD5SUM: ba68cc9c73d63b648899dac35129ace5