Solutions for ST340 Lab 6

2019-20

Validation

The dataset SmokeCancer.csv shows lung cancer rates by U.S. state in 2010, with a number of covariates such as Federal Year 2010 cigarette sales per 100,000.

(a) Read the data file on lung cancer and create a data frame with variables of interest.

(b) Fit a linear model for LungCancerRate (?1m for a reminder about 1m):

```
summary(lm(LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigYouthRate+CigAdultRate,data=LungCancer))
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = LungCancerRate ~ CigSalesRate + CigYouthRate + CigAdultRate,
##
       data = LungCancer)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                  1Q
                       Median
                                    3Q
                                            Max
                       0.9165
                                         9.9253
##
  -12.8367 -3.1471
                                3.4358
##
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept)
                 15.5139
                             5.1039
                                      3.040 0.00386 **
## CigSalesRate
                  1.2641
                             0.5241
                                      2.412 0.01983 *
## CigYouthRate
                -0.3191
                             0.2831
                                     -1.127
                                            0.26545
## CigAdultRate
                  1.9013
                             0.3575
                                      5.318 2.85e-06 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 5.323 on 47 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.6883, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6684
## F-statistic: 34.59 on 3 and 47 DF, p-value: 5.907e-12
```

- (c) Write a function that takes a formula and does LOOCV (leave one out cross validation) with respect to the squared error of the linear model for the given formula. Use it to find a good linear model for LungCancerRate in terms of CigSalesRate, CigYouthRate and CigAdultRate. You could also try using transformations of the covariates by adding terms such as I(CigSalesRate^2) and I(CigSalesRate*CigAdultRate) to your formulae.
 - (By good, we mean that it is the optimal, in terms of cross-validation error, linear model using some or all of these covariates.)

```
loocv<-function(formula) {
    s=0
    for (i in 1:dim(LungCancer)[1]) {
        l=lm(formula,LungCancer[-i,])
        s=s+(predict(1,LungCancer[i,])-LungCancer$LungCancerRate[i])^2</pre>
```

```
s/dim(LungCancer)[1]
}
loocv("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+
                                                  CigAdultRate")
##
         AL
## 30.43823
loocv("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigAdultRate
                                                              +I(CigSalesRate^2)")
##
         AL
## 31.92415
loocv("LungCancerRate~
                                    CigAdultRate
##
         AL
## 32.19693
loocv("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigYouthRate+CigAdultRate")
##
         AL
## 32.24044
loocv("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigAdultRate
                                                              +I(CigSalesRate*CigAdultRate)")
##
        AL
## 32.9402
loocv("LungCancerRate~
                                    CigYouthRate+CigAdultRate")
##
         AL
## 34.33167
loocv("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigAdultRate
                                                              +I(CigAdultRate^2)")
         AL
## 36.71572
loocv("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate
##
         AL
## 46.12886
loocv("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigYouthRate
##
        AL
## 50.2469
```

- (d) The Akaike Information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian Information criterion (BIC) are analytic approximations to the validation step. They are (different) ways of quantifying the trade-off between model complexity (in terms of, e.g. the number of parameters) and the fit to the training data (in terms of likelihood), defined as follows:
 - Akaike Information criterion (AIC) = $(2 \times \#parameters 2 \times log(likelihood))$, and
 - Bayesian information criterion (BIC) = (log(amount of data) × #parameters 2 × log(likelihood))
 Write a function that takes a formula and then calculates AIC and BIC. Use your function to find a good linear model for LungCancerRate, as in (b).

```
aic<-function(formula) {</pre>
  AIC(lm(formula,data=LungCancer))
# #Equivalent to
# l=lm(formula,data=LungCancer)
  p=length(l$coefficients)+1
   logLik(l)
  2*p-2*logLik(l) # or:
   2*p-2*sum(log(dnorm(l$residuals,sd=summary(l)$sigma)))
}
bic<-function(formula) {</pre>
  BIC(lm(formula,data=LungCancer))
}
                                                           ")
aic("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigAdultRate
## [1] 320.4682
aic("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigYouthRate+CigAdultRate")
## [1] 321.1082
aic("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigAdultRate
                                                           +I(CigAdultRate^2)")
## [1] 321.5652
aic("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigAdultRate
                                                           +I(CigSalesRate^2)")
## [1] 322.3432
aic("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigAdultRate
                                                           +I(CigSalesRate*CigAdultRate)")
## [1] 322.2933
aic("LungCancerRate~
                                  CigAdultRate
## [1] 323.2598
aic("LungCancerRate~
                                  CigYouthRate+CigAdultRate")
## [1] 325.0596
aic("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate
## [1] 341.5566
aic("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigYouthRate
                                                           ")
## [1] 343.1325
bic("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+
                                               CigAdultRate")
## [1] 328.1955
bic("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigYouthRate+CigAdultRate")
## [1] 330.7673
                                  CigYouthRate+CigAdultRate")
bic("LungCancerRate~
## [1] 332.7869
```

```
bic("LungCancerRate~ CigAdultRate ")
## [1] 329.0553
bic("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate ")
## [1] 347.3521
bic("LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigYouthRate ")
## [1] 350.8598
```

The curse of dimensionality

Suppose N points are chosen uniformly at random in the D-dimensional hypercube $[0,1]^D$. Consider a smaller hypercube $H = [0,r]^D$ in the "corner" of $[0,1]^D$.

- (a) How big does r have to be for there to be approximately one of the N points lying in H? $(1/N)^{1/D}$.
- (b) How big does r have to be for there to be approximately 10 of the N points lying in H? $(10/N)^{1/D}$.
- (c) How big does r have to be for there to be approximately $\frac{N}{2}$ of the N points lying in H? $(1/2)^{1/D}$ which is approximately 1 for large D.

Check each of your answers by simulation.

```
a1 = vector(); a2 = vector(); a3 = vector()
N = 10000
for (D in 1:10) {
  p = matrix(runif(N*D), nrow = N, ncol = D)
  r1 = (1/N)^{(1/D)}
  r2 = (10/N)^{(1/D)}
  r3 = (1/2)^(1/D)
  a1[D] = sum(rowSums(p < r1) == D) # Should average 1
  a2[D] = sum(rowSums(p < r2) == D) # Should average 10
  a3[D] = sum(rowSums(p < r3) == D) # Should average N/2
}
a1
    [1] 3 3 2 1 0 1 0 1 2 1
##
a2
    [1] 9 12 18 12 6 17 4 8 9 11
##
a3
##
    [1] 4977 4934 5033 5038 4925 5017 5016 4993 4925 5003
```

Distance functions

(a) Write a function to calculate the ℓ_1 distances between pairs of row vectors in two matrices:

```
distances.l1 <- function(x,y) {
  apply(y,1,function(p) apply(x,1,function(q) sum(abs(p-q))))
}</pre>
```

(b) Write a similar function to calculate a matrix of pairwise ℓ_2 distances:

```
distances.12 <- function(x,y)
apply(y,1,function(p) apply(x,1,function(q) sqrt(sum((p-q)^2))))</pre>
```

(c) Write a similar function to calculate the Mahalanobis distance between the row vectors, given a $D \times D$ covariance matrix S:

```
distances.maha <-function(x,y) {
   C=cov(x)
   C.inv=solve(C)
   apply(y,1,function(p) apply(x,1,function(q) sqrt( (p-q) %*% C.inv %*% (p-q) )))
}</pre>
```