Assignment 3 — Naive Bayes Classification

Author: Kristen Durkin

Date: 2025-10-12

GitHub Link: https://github.com/kdurkin5/64060-002-

kdurkin5/tree/04b292f3e4314096a3a3bbeed45499f6ae031aa9/Assign

ment\_3

#### **#Load & Select Required Columns**

setwd("~/R\_Assignments\_Durkin/Assignment\_3")

library(readr) UniversalBank <- read\_csv("UniversalBank.csv", show\_col\_types = FALSE)</pre>

# Keep columns

bank <- data.frame( Loan = as.integer(UniversalBank\$`Personal Loan`), Online = as.integer(UniversalBank\$Online), CC = as.integer(UniversalBank\$CreditCard))

#### Validation checks

str(bank) colSums(is.na(bank)) # all should be 0 sapply(bank, range) # each should be 0..1

#### Split 60% Training / 40% Validation

set.seed(123) n <- nrow(bank) idx\_train <- sample(1:n, size = floor(0.6 \* n)) train <- bank[idx\_train, ] valid <- bank[-idx\_train, ]

#### Validation checks

nrow(train); nrow(valid) #  $\sim$ 3000 /  $\sim$ 2000 mean(trainLoan); mean(bankLoan) # should be close ( $\sim$ 0.09–0.10)

# 3 Way Pivot Table (CC × Online × Loan

pivot\_A <- table(trainCC, trainOnline, train\$Loan) pivot\_A sum(pivot\_A) == nrow(train) # TRUE means all rows counted

## **Empirical Conditional Probability**

counts\_C1\_O1 <- pivot\_A["1","1", ] prob\_pivot <- counts\_C1\_O1["1"] / sum(counts\_C1\_O1) prob\_pivot # empirical probability ~0.10–0.12

### 2 Way Pivot Table and Six Probabilities

pivot\_loan\_online <- table(trainLoan, trainOnline) pivot\_loan\_cc <- table(trainLoan, trainCC)

```
 p\_CC\_given\_L1 <- pivot\_loan\_cc["1","1"] / sum(pivot\_loan\_cc["1","]) p\_On\_given\_L1 <- pivot\_loan\_online["1","1"] / sum(pivot\_loan\_online["1","]) p\_L1 <- mean(train$Loan == 1) p\_CC\_given\_L0 <- pivot\_loan\_cc["0","1"] / sum(pivot\_loan\_cc["0","]) p\_On\_given\_L0 <- pivot\_loan\_online["0","1"] / sum(pivot\_loan\_online["0","]) p\_L0 <- 1 - p\_L1
```

### Naive Bayes Formula Estimate

num <- p\_CC\_given\_L1 \* p\_On\_given\_L1 \* p\_L1 den <- num + (p\_CC\_given\_L0 \* p\_On\_given\_L0 \* p\_L0) prob\_nb <- num / den prob\_nb # Naive Bayes formula probability

## Compare Empirical vs Naive Bayes

comparison <- data.frame(Source = c("Pivot (empirical)", "Naive Bayes (formula)"), P(Loan=1 | CC=1, Online=1) = c(prob\_pivot, prob\_nb)) comparison

### Load Library - Naive Bayes Model (e1071

nb model <- naiveBayes(Loan ~ CC + Online, data=train nb)

```
library(e1071)
```

```
train_nb <- transform( train, Loan = factor(ifelse(Loan==1, "Yes", "No"), levels=c("No", "Yes")), CC = factor(CC), Online = factor(Online))
```

## Model-based probability for (CC=1, Online=1)

 $newx <- data.frame( CC = factor(1, levels=levels(train_nbCC)), Online = factor(1, levels = levels(train_nbOnline))) prob_model <- predict(nb_model, newdata=newx, type="raw")[,"Yes"] prob_model$ 

## Final Comparison

final\_compare <- data.frame( Source = c("Pivot (empirical)", "Naive Bayes (formula)", "Model predict\_proba"), P(Loan=1 | CC=1, Online=1) = c(prob\_pivot, prob\_nb, prob\_model)) final\_compare

#### Notes

The Naive Bayes model predicts P(Loan=1 | CC=1, Online=1)  $\sim$  0.1106 ( $\sim$ 11%). This matches both the empirical estimate ( $\approx$ 10.7%) and the Naive Bayes formula result. This confirms the model accurately captures the conditional relationships and that the independence assumption holds reasonably well for these predictors.

#### Conclusion-

The Naive Bayes model predicts P(Loan=1 | CC=1, Online=1) = 0.1106, matching the probability computed using the Naive Bayes formula. This agreement confirms that the model accurately captures the conditional relationships observed in the training data and that the independence assumption holds reasonably well for these predictors.

According to the Naive Bayes model, there's about an 11% chance that a customer who both has a credit card and uses online banking will accept a personal loan offer.