



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA  
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA  
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

# COS301 MINI PROJECT

## SOFTWARE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFICATION

Group 5B

Keagan Thompson *u13023782*

Matthew Russell *u12047822*

Maret Stoffberg *u11071762*

Patience Mtsweni *u11116774*

John-Latham van der Walt *u13222580*

Abrie van Aardt *u13178840*

Name(s) Surname *u\*\*\*\*\**

Name(s) Surname *u\*\*\*\*\**

Github Repository

[https://github.com/keagsthom/COS301\\_Group5B\\_Phase2](https://github.com/keagsthom/COS301_Group5B_Phase2)

# 1 History

Date	Version	Description
04-03-2015	Version 0.1	Document Template created - Keagan
07-03-2015	Version 0.2	Added section 3.1.1 - Matthew

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>History</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Purpose . . . . .	4
2.2	Background . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Architecture Requirements</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Access Channel Requirements . . . . .	4
3.1.1	Human access channels . . . . .	4
3.1.2	System access channels . . . . .	4
3.1.3	Integration channels . . . . .	4
3.2	Quality Requirements . . . . .	5
3.3	Integration Requirements . . . . .	6
3.4	Quality Requirements . . . . .	6
3.5	Architecture Constraints . . . . .	6
<b>4</b>	<b>Architectural patterns or styles</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	Layering Architectural Pattern . . . . .	7
4.2	MVC Architectural Pattern . . . . .	7
4.3	REST Architectural Pattern . . . . .	8
<b>5</b>	<b>Architectural tactics or strategies</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Use of reference architectures and frameworks</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Access and integration channels</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Technologies</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>8</b>

## List of Figures

## **2 Introduction**

### **2.1 Purpose**

### **2.2 Background**

## **3 Architecture Requirements**

### **3.1 Access Channel Requirements**

#### **3.1.1 Human access channels**

Human users should be able to access the system from all major devices and software. These include Windows, Linux, Mac OS, Windows Mobile, Android, and iOS devices. Human users will be able to access the system via thick desktop applications, as well as thin web clients.

However it is expected most access will be via thin web clients. The system may be accessed via all major browsers, namely Internet Explorer, Mozilla Firefox, Google Chrome, Opera and Safari. The system will comply with the basic W3C requirements to accomplish this goal.

The REST architecture is the primary candidate to implement communication between users and the system, with a backup choice of the SOAP architecture.

#### **3.1.2 System access channels**

In the scope of this project, no systems will require access to Buzz. However, when Buzz is integrated with Hamster, it should provide the necessary API (over HTTP) to interface with the Hamster Marking System.

#### **3.1.3 Integration channels**

The system must be able to integrate with the University's CS-LDAP (Light-Weight Directory Access Protocol) server. As well as the server which will store the uploaded media, such as images, videos, PDFs and the like. The system will therefor need to handle the LDAP, HTTP, SMTP (or other email protocols) and SMS protocols.

## 3.2 Quality Requirements

**Scalability:** The software system must be able to handle the registration and data of all the users registered on the LDAP server. If several users attempt to do the same action simultaneously, like commenting in a thread, the actions must appear to happen one at a time. The system must not have a maximum or minimum amount of users that may use the system at a time

**Performance requirement:** The system must have a fast response time. The system must be able to handle all the users and not become slower.

**Maintainability:** The system must be easy to update and maintain.

**Reliability and Availability:** The system must be usable on any (modern) web browser and operating system.

**Security:** All the users information must be secure and only be visible or editable for users with the required permissions. All the data must be unaccessible for non-users.

**Monitorability and Auditability:** A log of all user activity must be available for the administration. The information that must be available for auditability are: users and their details, posts, deleted messages, and all activities.

**Testability:**

**Usability:** The Buzz system must be easy to use. It can make use of UI metaphors like a having a "post-it" symbol for the post operation or an envelope for the messages link. The most common used operations must perform very quickly. The system must be easy to learn so new users can adapt to it without help. The validation and error messages must be clear and understandable.

**Integrability:** The system must be integrated with the LDAP server. All the data on the server like names, photos registered modules, etc are used in the buzz system.

### 3.3 Integration Requirements

The system must be integrated with the LDAP server to obtain user information.

### 3.4 Quality Requirements

- Security The integration interface must be secure, i.e. any information obtained from the LDAP server about any user should be used in the Buzz system only .

### 3.5 Architecture Constraints

The architecture constraints were indicated in the briefing document and the following is a list of technologies we are going to use in the project:

- Linux (Operating System): Linux is more stable than windows on servers. It is free and does not need added costs. Thus it makes a good OS to use. There is a lot of free software that runs on it, but there is also software that only runs on Windows.
- Git (Version Control System): Git is good for group projects and information share. It can show the progress and the groups efforts in completing the project. It is not always easy to use, sometimes it can break and work need to be restored from a previous version.
- JavaEE (Java Platform Enterprise Edition): For server programming Java EE would suffice, it is reliable and easier to use. Even though it is a bit slower and is resource intensive. It makes a good framework that incorporates JPA, JPQL and JSF.
- JPA (Java Persistence AP): JPA uses JPQL so it would be better to use this, it operates against entity object rather than with database tables. Most of the developers have not yet practised with this technology which leads to inexperience with this.
- JPQL (Java Persistence query language): Is used to make queries against entities stored in a relational database and additionally retrieve objects from the database on the server. It would be used to retrieve user

data and etc. Most of the developers have not yet practised with this technology which leads to inexperience with this.

- JSF ( Java Server Faces): Is a Java specification for building component-based UI for web applications and exposing them as server side Polyfills. This works with AJAX. Most of the developers have not yet practised with this technology which leads to inexperience with this.
- HTML (Hypertext Mark-up Language): This is going to be code that has to be generated for the website where the user can navigate through, the browser takes this code, interprets it and give a UI for the user.
- AJAX (Asynchronous JavaScript and XML): It is easier to use, rather than having to make our own function for everything. This is client side processing so that we dont hassle the servers with little tests.
- CSS (Cascading Style-sheet): This is the coding platform we are going to use to make the website more attractive and to fill the emptiness of the website.

## **4 Architectural patterns or styles**

### **4.1 Layering Architectural Pattern**

### **4.2 MVC Architectural Pattern**

Model-view-controller (MVC) is a software architectural pattern. When the MVC pattern is applied, an application is divided into three logical, interconnected parts. These parts are meant to isolate the presentation, business logic and data access aspects of the application. Adhering to this pattern results in implementations that are highly scalable and robust. Individual, M-V-C, components of the system can be physically disjoined and can account for less maintenance and housekeeping at each of their servers. This will aid in the separation of concerns: Back- and front-end modules can be neatly separated and this translates into a better, more parallel, development environment.



### **4.3 REST Architectural Pattern**

Since the user base of the Buzz system can be expected to grow to quite a large number, a RESTful approach would constitute less network hogging. This would also lead to a much simpler design since the server will only keep little session information about the client.

## **5 Architectural tactics or strategies**

## **6 Use of reference architectures and frameworks**

## **7 Access and integration channels**

## **8 Technologies**

## **9 Conclusion**

## **10 References**