

Date 4 Mar 2020

Value Driving Story →

• Po's ~~project~~ backlog

Order of backlog / refinement
→ can include bugs

Ken 44-042 . Wildfire

→ fire control

→ pre-suppression

→ wildfire behavior specialist

← { → Prometheus → "good w/ existing conditions"

→ Lidar & AUI / slope / aspect

→ computing horsepower limits

→ can layer on scenarios

→ Prometheus → Time of year

→ Leaf on?

→ Frozen?

time probability { → Vegetation Type

→ spread rates

→ how hot each type of vegetation

→ slope / aspect

→ like

* Send note to Derek

Date 5 Mar 2020

08:50 -

⑥ Gary Mandrusiak

403 844-1034

- ~~Exper~~ • About me / project
- Experience in modeling fire growth
 - Pain points
 - Accuracy of prediction
 - End use → fire fighting
→ risk to

• Operational Modeling for Fire :

↳ fire planning

→ only model CW →

⇒ 2001-2002 Prometheus
↓
steering committee

→ reconstructions after the fact

→ planning → community protection plans
→ risks / scenarios

→ for Firebag + Suncorv planning
fire

⇒ → using historical
→ risks for future

⇒ comparison after the fact

→ calibrate afterwards

→ reconstruction → works better

→ Operational model → not as well

→ planning → Fire weather indices
95% ile.

◦ prediction vs. actual

↳ 100% unmitigated

→ wind spd + direction very ~~sceptable~~
sensitive.

→ higher level winds in future.

◦ AFS ⇒ AAF ⇒ name.

→ wildfire
behavioural
specialist. }

→

403 685 4520 x222

Date 5 Mar 2020

→ operational → limited to accuracy of weather forecast
→ need more detailed → improves results.
→ took 'best' of available 6 forecasts for

~~Atta~~

→ McMurray Fire → ~50%^{time} model accurate.
w/in #1-2 km for spread.

→ Wildland Fuel Types → v. important

→ Fire Behavior model.

- Can FF danger rating system other than weather
- Heavily weighted on fuel types
- heavily dependent on vegetation inventory → not always accurate
- v. large influence on model accuracy
- what is the real condition.

1 of the Suncor planning exercises →

~~Fire~~ Fire weather index.

→ Fire danger rating / FWI graphics.

- Research ~~on~~ 17 fuel types → weather
→ fire growth uses equations to predict based on inputs. 100m x 100m grid
→ what is fuel type, weather conditions, topography → predict speed + direction;

Date 5 Mar 2020

◦ propagation

→ Stepping out.

◦ Accuracy of 'fuel grid'

◦ ^{Other} Challenges:

Ignition
Point

- start fire from 'somewhere'
 - accurate start coordinates.
(even 1 km off could be different fuel type)
- coordinates from aircraft → ^{can be} inaccurate.

Fire
Perimeter

- Once fire growing; growing from fire perimeter.
- existing fire perimeter is not necessarily accurate.
- fire perimeter is really challenging resorted to (McMurray: satellite hotspot data).
- ~~Satellite~~ Satellite → op'l error in converting time from UTC
- timing of satellite pass.
- fire doesn't change as much at night.

U of A → developing satellite work to observe w/ more accuracy

Modis → 500m x 500m not that accurate

Date 5 Mar 2020

McMillan fire → 30 km run in middle of night

→ weather + fire models did not call for this

→ none of the models predicted that

Why? → another dimension

→ possibly higher level winds

(been getting upper air soundings to get upper level ~~spot~~)

⇒ people starting to research that

→ pyrocumulus → affects weather

Growth Model → doesn't account for

~~spot~~ spot fires
(embers blown/jump)

→ trying to account for in model with adding new ignition points based on on-the-ground observations

→ Fire Behavior calculator attempted to predict spotting distance.

