

# Extracting Shortest Path using TRANSIT and CPDs in Video Game Maps

Leonid Antsfeld, Daniel Harabor, Adi Botea, and Toby Walsh

UNSW, Sydney NSW 2052 Australia  
ANU, Canberra, ACT, 0200 Australia  
NICTA, Kensington NSW 2052 Australia  
IBM, Dublin, Ireland

`{leonid.antsfeld,daniel.harabor,toby.walsh}@nicta.com.au,adibotea@yahoo.com`

## 1 Introduction

We present a new algorithm for fast extraction of the shortest path in a grid video game maps, represented as grid networks. The presented algorithm combines strength of two well known algorithms TRANSIT [1] and CPD [3]. The first one is a well established technique used for very fast shortest path distance (or travel time) queries in road networks. The later is very efficient algorithm for fast path extraction in grid networks.


## 2 TRANSIT routing

The TRANSIT algorithm is based on a very simple intuition inspired from real-life navigation: when traveling between two locations that are “far away” one must inevitably use some small set of edges that are common to many shortest paths (highways are a natural example). The endpoints of such edges constitute a set of so-called “transit nodes” for which the algorithm is named. TRANSIT proceeds in two phases: (i) an offline precomputation phase and (ii) an online query phase.

### 2.1 Offline Precomputation Phase

TRANSIT’s offline precomputation phase consist of two main steps. In the first step we identify the aforesaid transit nodes and in the second step we build a database of exact distances between every node and its associated transit nodes, as well as between all transit nodes. We will describe each step in turn.

**Identifying Transit Nodes** TRANSIT starts by dividing an input map into a grid of equal-sized cells<sup>1</sup>. To achieve this TRANSIT computes a bounding box for the entire map and divides this box into  $g \times g$  equal-size cells. Let  $C$



**Fig. 1.** Example of the TRANSIT grid; also cells and inner and outer squares.

denote such a cell. Further, let  $I$  (Inner) and  $O$  (Outer) be squares having  $C$  in the center, as depicted in Fig. 1.

The size of the squares  $C$ ,  $I$  and  $O$  can be arbitrary without compromising correctness. Their exact values however will directly impact factors such as TRANSIT's preprocessing time, storage requirements and online query times. In [2] we discuss how those parameters can be tuned and the tradeoff between precomputation time, memory requirements and finally the query time.

In what follows we will compute shortest paths between nodes that resides on border of  $C$  and  $O$  and choose as transit nodes one of the endpoints of the edges that cross the border of  $I$ . More precisely, let  $V_C$  be set of nodes as follows: for every link that has one of its endpoints inside  $C$  and the other outside  $C$ ,  $V_C$  will contain the endpoint inside  $C$ . Similarly, define  $V_I$  and  $V_O$  by considering links that cross  $I$  and  $O$  accordingly. Now, the set of transit nodes for the cell  $C$  is the set of nodes  $v \in V_I$  with the property that there exists a shortest path from some node in  $V_C$  to some node in  $V_O$  which passes through  $v$ . We associate every node inside  $C$  with the set of transit nodes of  $C$ . Next, we iterate over all cells and similarly identify transit nodes for every other cell.

**Computation and Storage of Distances** Once we have identified all transit nodes, we store for every node on the map, the shortest distance from this node to all its associated transit nodes. Recall from the previous section that every such node  $v \in V$  is associated with the set of transit nodes that were found for its cell. In addition we also compute and store the shortest distance from each transit node to every other transit node. In an undirected map it is enough to compute and store costs only in one direction.

---

<sup>1</sup> This grid is distinct from the one representing the input map.

## 2.2 Local Search Radius

TRANSIT distinguishes between two types of queries: local and global. Two nodes for which horizontal or vertical distance (as measured in number of cells) is greater than some *local search radius* are considered to be "far away" and the query between them is global. We define local search radius to be equal to the size of the inner square  $I$  plus the distance from  $I$  to the outer square  $O$ . This definition guarantees that for each global query two important conditions are satisfied: (i) the start node  $src$  and destination node  $dst$  are not inside the outer squares of each other (ii) their corresponding inner squares do not overlap. Both conditions are necessary to ensure the TRANSIT algorithm is correct and optimal.

## 2.3 Online Query Phase

For every global query from  $src$  to  $dst$  we fetch the transit nodes associated with cells containing  $src$  and  $dst$  and choose those two that will give us a minimal cost of the combined three subpaths:  $src \rightsquigarrow T_{src}$ ,  $T_{src} \rightsquigarrow T_{dst}$ ,  $T_{dst} \rightsquigarrow dst$ . For all local queries the inventors of TRANSIT suggested to apply any efficient search algorithm; A\* for example [1]. In what follows we will present a new, more efficient technique, using CPDs for dealing with local queries.

## 2.4 Shortest Path Extraction

Until now, not much work have been done on efficient path extraction using precomputed databases. The intuitive and somewhat naive way would be performing a series of repeated distance queries of TRANSIT. In the original paper the authors suggested apply TRANSIT iteratively by finding the next adjacent nodes to the source. An immediate improvement of this approach is that we can store the first link (or the immediate next node) of every precomputed shortest path. Than we apply similar technique, but without need to search to the next adjacent node. More sophisticated improvement can be achieved by observing that actually the transit nodes associated with destination is not changed for all the sequential queries. Therefore we can exploit this fact and use the to find the next

In section 4 we will present in details a novel more efficient approach for the shortest path path extraction.

## 3 CPD

CPD (aka Compressed Path Databases) precomputes and efficiently compress all pairs shortest paths as follows. Daniel can you please add something here ?

## 4 TRANSIT with CPD

We start with invoking basic TRANSIT query. This query  
The pseudocode of the described algorithm as follows:

**Algorithm 1** Pseudo code for extracting the shortest path between *src* and *dst*


---

```

transit->getShortestDistance(src, dst);
path1 = cpd->GetPath(src, T1);
while (true)
{
    if (isLocalQuery(T1, T2) == true)

        cpd->getPath(T1, T2);
        break;

    transit->getShortestDistance(T1, T2);
    T1 = tempPath->T1;
    T2 = tempPath->T2;
}
cpd->getShortestPath(T2, dst);

```

---

**5 Experimental setup****6 Results****7 Discussion****8 Conclusions**

bla bla [1]

**References**

1. Holger Bast, Stefan Funke, and Domagoj Matijevic. Transit ultrafast shortest-path queries with linear-time preprocessing. In *In 9th DIMACS Implementation Challenge*, 2006.
2. Philip Kilby Toby Walsh Leonid Antsfeld, Daniel Harabor. Transit routing on video game maps. In *AIIDE*, 2012.
3. Jagan Sankaranarayanan, Houman Alborzi, and Hanan Samet. Efficient query processing on spatial networks. In *GIS*, pages 200–209, 2005.