

Study	Treatment 1		Treatment 2						Rep. <i>s1</i>	Rep. <i>s1+s2</i>
	Market Belief <i>s1*</i>	Survey Belief <i>s1*</i>	Market Belief <i>s1*</i>	Survey Belief <i>s1*</i>	Market Belief <i>s2†</i>	Survey Belief <i>s2†</i>	Market Belief <i>s1+s2</i>	Survey Belief <i>s1+s2</i>		
Ackerman et al. (2010), Science	0.23	0.19	0.15	0.13	0.08	0.15	0.23	0.28	yes	no
Aviezer et al. (2012), Science	0.66	0.50	0.49	0.43	0.31	0.25	0.80	0.68	yes	.
Balafoutas and Sutter (2012), Science	0.75	0.56	0.75	0.43	0.13	0.27	0.88	0.70	yes	.
Derex et al. (2013), Nature	0.63	0.65	0.51	0.50	0.14	0.27	0.65	0.77	yes	.
Duncan et al. (2012), Science	0.72	0.50	0.56	0.39	0.18	0.27	0.74	0.65	no	yes
Gervais and Norenzayan (2012), Science	0.21	0.29	0.17	0.20	0.21	0.18	0.38	0.38	no	no
Gneezy et al. (2014), Science	0.78	0.71	0.83	0.54	0.10	0.26	0.94	0.80	yes	.
Hauser et al. (2014), Nature	0.80	0.70	0.83	0.52	0.13	0.27	0.96	0.80	yes	.
Janssen et al. (2010), Science	0.79	0.68	0.69	0.54	0.21	0.28	0.90	0.82	yes	.
Karpicke and Blunt (2011), Science	0.73	0.64	0.49	0.48	0.23	0.30	0.72	0.78	yes	.
Kidd and Castano (2013), Science	0.39	0.37	0.28	0.22	0.06	0.24	0.34	0.46	no	no
Kovacs et al. (2010), Science	0.47	0.40	0.39	0.29	0.23	0.24	0.63	0.53	yes	.
Lee and Schwarz (2010), Science	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.15	0.09	0.17	0.33	0.32	no	no
Morewedge et al. (2010), Science	0.50	0.41	0.28	0.30	0.31	0.24	0.59	0.55	yes	.
Nishi et al. (2015), Nature	0.71	0.61	0.56	0.49	0.22	0.26	0.78	0.75	yes	.
Pyc and Rawson (2010), Science	0.74	0.45	0.58	0.34	0.23	0.26	0.82	0.60	no	yes
Ramirez and Beilock (2011), Science	0.56	0.42	0.26	0.31	0.26	0.24	0.52	0.54	no	no
Rand et al. (2012), Nature	0.40	0.51	0.34	0.33	0.19	0.22	0.53	0.55	no	no
Shah et al. (2012), Science	0.38	0.36	0.28	0.23	0.20	0.19	0.49	0.41	no	no
Sparrow et al. (2011), Science	0.51	0.44	0.40	0.33	0.11	0.24	0.51	0.57	no	no
Wilson et al. (2014), Science	0.75	0.65	0.46	0.52	0.11	0.26	0.57	0.78	yes	.

\* Belief about the probability of replicating in stage 1 (90% power to detect 75% of the original effect size).

† Predicted added probability of replicating in stage 2 (90% power to detect 50% of the original effect size) compared to stage 1.