String Alignment (EDIT DISTANCE)

Given: two strings x,y over some alphabet Z

operations op,,..., opk costs cost, ..., cost k

Goal: Find the min-cost sequence of operations transforming x may.

For today: our operations will be deletion

e.g. "go" > "so" cost 1

cost 1

cost I

e =9.

X=THEIR y=THERE

THEIR cost 2 1135 THERE THEIR @ not part of alphabet 1 1 d i cost 2 THETRE

optimal substructore property

overlapping sub-problems property

Proposed Subproblems (i,j) align X[1..i] ~/ y[I., j].

cost (i, j) = nin cost alignment & x[1-i] w/ y[1-i].

= min $\left(cost(i-1,j-1) + cost(subs) \right)$ cost(i,j-1) + cost(ins) cost(i-1,j) + cost(del)

(n) (i,j-1) (i,j)

(ost (o,o) = 0 (ox base case) (Note: cost (0,j) = cost to align "" w/ y[[...j].

cost(i,0)=i. Also works to say cost (0,j)=j

```
align (x,y):
    tablera m=len(x)
    table (n+1) x (m+1) array
     au X
     // Base cases
     for i= 0 to n
          table (\bar{i}, 6) = i
          aux (i,0) = (i-1,0) (if i≥1) i=n.
      tor j=0 to m
            table (0, 1) = 1
            aux(0,j)=(0\xi,j-1) (if j\geq 1)
      for ;= 1 to n
           for j=1 to m
               thatter poss = table (i-1, i-1) +1
                                              // Subs
                poss 2 = table (i,j-1)+1
                                                 /lins
                poss3 = table (i-1, j)+1
                                                11 del
                table (iii) = min (poss1, poss2, poss3)
                aux (i,j) = if past was min then (i-1,j-i)

" pass?

" pass?

" (i-1,j)
      return table(n,m), (alignment)
                   overall cost
```

Claim: Recurrence relation correctly computes min (5)
cost.

Proof: By induction on i and j.

Base case: (i,j)=(0,0). In this case, we're aligning

hase case: (i,j)=(0,0). In this case, we're aligning then empty string of itself, which has cost 0, and are boxe case of the recurrence correctly Says cost(0,0)=0.

IH: cost (i',j') is correct whenever i' < i or j'<j.

Inductive step: Goal isto show cost(ij) is correct (assuming IH).

Consider the last op in an optimal alignment of X[1.i] ~/ y[1.j]

Case 1: Last op is a subs.

In this case, the cost of the alignment is $c(subs) + cost of aligning \times [1...i-1] & y[1...j-1].$

by IH = cost(i-1,j-1). (applies b/c i-1<i)

A = cost(i-1,j-1) + c(subs)

Hardens Because this was an opt alignment,

this must be the min in the recurrence,

so the recurrence relation correctly assigns

cost (1,j) = cost (i-1,j-i) - c(subs).

		(d)