

Overall notes:

- For the final paper, I think you should remove the section titles, not sure if you were going to keep them or not.
- Most of this just sounds like you are moving from one checkbox to the next to satisfy all the requirements on the paper, which you should touch on everything, however, I think you need to make it flow a little more. Add some transition sentences between each paragraph.
- Work on solidifying your thesis. I didn't really understand what you were arguing until the very end of the paper. So just redo your thesis at the beginning or just use the one you have at the end.
- Some of the examples weren't the best and I think you can come up with more compelling and real examples of terrorist attacks and what people did in certain situations or even an actual case where torturing someone did prevent a terrorist attack.
- Compare with the PDF to where I noted each number on the paper.

(1) - I believe that you can come up with a more eye-grabbing intro.

- Maybe talk about the recent Easter bombings that happened in Sri Lanka where 350 people died from suicide bombs. Although it is not quite on par with your example I feel that adding a recent serious event like this can get the reader engaged better and show how relevant this argument is.

(2) - I like that you provided an outline for the paper, however, it feels very dry and a bit choppy. Try to make it flow better by removing repetitive words and swapping out a few 'bland' words with more descriptive/interesting synonyms.

(3) - You say conclusion/conclude twice here. Maybe just switch the first one to "then conclude my argument..." or just remove the last sentence, it seems unnecessary.

(4) - A nice example I like how short and simple it is and it works well for your argument.

(5) - This is an awkward transition, state something along the lines like: "From this example, we can already see how important extracting the location of the bomb is. But how far is Charlie permitted to go to find the truth? Act Consequentialist think that torture is not permissible even in this case, but to help understand why we need to understand the theory of act consequences.

(6) - This was good for you to define what an agent is

(7) - The actual outcomes from the decided action

(8) - Add "some person named", it lets the reader know this is a new temporary character name and not one you are referring above, it could confuse the reader and make them stop and double check it was not mentioned before.

(9) - There is no neutral ground? That sounds odd maybe just stick with good or bad

(10) - This sentence sounds wierd. To me, it seems like you are saying that if something does not provide the best maximum possible consequence then it is bad. I don't believe you meant to exclude all the semi-good consequences that are good just not as good as the max. Try re-wording this.

- (11) - Include "the starving lions are fed" so that all the benefits and costs are shown to the reader.
- (12) - I don't know if this is a good example because I think a world with even less endangered rhinos is worse than a world with fewer lions. Maybe say they are on the brink of becoming endangered or add something to the suffering of the lions to make it more weighty.
- (13) - Define what Normative and Motivation reasons are! You will get docked for this!
- (14) - Normative actions is just a name not a decision process. Just say "be" here.
- (15) - State again what the Act consequentialist would do
- (16) - Add a transitioning/concluding sentence to the paragraph above. Also, spice up the intro to this section it's a bit bland. Maybe just ask the reader "how this would apply to the original hidden bomb case?"
- (17) - This is a run on sentence, change a few commas to periods
- (18) - I feel that this sentence can be reduced into a few sentences, and I feel like you already made most of these points before. If you are going to make this a separate paragraph makes sure to either include new ideas or just condense what you have. Maybe just elaborate this more when you first made these points.
- (19) - Again I don't think you need to go into another section talking about this. Some of the same thoughts are repeated again and these don't need to be here.
- (20) - You could also the terrorist gives up his right to not be tortured if he is endangering innocent people's lives. The people he is going to kill never wished to harm
- (21) - I can argue that people can still look for the bomb if the torturing keeps going on.
- (22) - I think you meant to say it is better to have no information than information that is misleading because then you are at least be closer to the truth.
- (23) - I don't think you can argue a change of mind as proof from a fictional character. It is just as easy for me to say a person Fox followed orders, tortured Alpha, and stayed quiet. This kind of argument only means anything if it is an actual quote from an actual person.
- (24) - Include this in your thesis! I thought you were arguing for it this whole time!