

# Limits of Foliations in Quasi-Fuchsian Manifolds

by

Keaton Quinn  
B.S., Michigan State University, 2014

THESIS

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements  
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics  
in the Graduate College of the  
University of Illinois at Chicago, 2020

Chicago, Illinois

Defense Committee:  
David Dumas, Chair and Advisor  
Daniel Groves  
Julius Ross  
Laura Schaposnik  
Benson Farb, University of Chicago

Copyright by

Keaton Quinn

2020

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to start by thanking my advisor David Dumas. I thank him for suggesting this project, for indulging my preferred mathematical viewpoints, for his many comments and suggestions on drafts of my mathematical papers, and for his guidance.

I gratefully acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation. Specifically, my research was partially funded by DMS-1246844, RTG: Algebraic and Arithmetic Geometry, at the University of Illinois at Chicago and DMS-1709877, Character Varieties and Locally Homogeneous Geometric Structures. I also acknowledge support from U.S. National Science Foundation grants DMS 1107452, 1107263, 1107367 “RNMS: Geometric Structures and Representation Varieties” (the GEAR Network). The GEAR network funded my trip to the University of Luxembourg where I met with Jean-Marc Schlenker. I thank him for helpful conversations and research suggestions. I also thank his graduate students, including Filippo Mazzoli, for their hospitality.

I would also like to thank the mathematics graduate students for making the University of Illinois at Chicago an enjoyable place to learn. I am grateful for GGTDS, grateful to Sam Dodds for our many rambling mathematical musings, and thankful to Hunter Chase for commiserating with me. Special thanks go to Charles Alley, Jānis Lazovskis, and Nathan Lopez for their friendship and support, for our weekly research seminar, and for their inspiration. In particular, I thank Charles for keeping me grounded in reality, I thank Nathan for his companionship, and I thank Jānis for putting up with me as a roommate for five years. Thanks also go to the

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT (Continued)

administrative staff of the Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science for keeping everything running. This includes but is not limited to Maureen Madden, Lisa Jones, and Eloy Reyes.

I thank Hanni Nichols for inspiring me to pursue mathematics, for prodding me towards graduate school, for reassuring me, for believing in me, and for her friendship. I also thank my family, Keith Quinn, Sandra Quinn, Taylor Quinn and Román Rampinini, who have been nothing but supportive. And finally, thank you to Irving Daniel Sandoval Gonzalez, who has been so inspiring, motivating, supportive, and understanding, and who helped me make it to the end.

KPQ

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>CHAPTER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>PRELIMINARIES . . . . .</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	The geometry of surfaces . . . . .	6
2.2	The Teichmüller space of a surface . . . . .	10
2.3	Complex projective structures . . . . .	13
2.4	Schwarzian derivatives of conformal metrics . . . . .	16
2.5	Quasi-Fuchsian manifolds . . . . .	18
<b>3</b>	<b>EPSTEIN SURFACES . . . . .</b>	<b>21</b>
3.1	The visual metric construction . . . . .	21
3.2	The Epstein map . . . . .	22
3.3	Geometry of Epstein surfaces . . . . .	27
<b>4</b>	<b>ASYMPTOTICALLY POINCARÉ FAMILIES . . . . .</b>	<b>30</b>
4.1	Asymptotically Poincaré families . . . . .	34
4.2	Sobolev spaces on manifolds . . . . .	40
4.3	Main results . . . . .	42
<b>5</b>	<b>APPLICATIONS OF ASYMPTOTICALLY POINCARÉ FAMILIES . . . . .</b>	<b>47</b>
5.1	A conjecture of Labourie . . . . .	47
5.2	The $k$ -surface equation . . . . .	48
5.3	Solutions to the $k$ -surface equation . . . . .	50
5.4	Regularity of solutions . . . . .	52
5.5	Constant mean curvature surfaces . . . . .	56
<b>6</b>	<b>FUTURE DIRECTIONS . . . . .</b>	<b>60</b>
6.1	Generalizing asymptotically Poincaré families . . . . .	60
6.2	Extension to de Sitter space . . . . .	61
6.2.1	The Epstein-frame in higher dimensions . . . . .	62
6.2.2	Complex hyperbolic space . . . . .	64
	<b>APPENDIX . . . . .</b>	<b>65</b>
	<b>CITED LITERATURE . . . . .</b>	<b>66</b>

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
VITA . . . . .	69

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

CHAPTER

PAGE

## SUMMARY

We study the asymptotic behavior of certain foliations of ends of quasi-Fuchsian manifolds. We introduce a class of such foliations, which we call asymptotically Poincaré families as they are asymptotic to a family of surfaces determined naturally by the Poincaré metric on the Riemann surface at infinity. We prove the limiting behavior of any asymptotically Poincaré family is completely determined by the geometry of the quasi-Fuchsian manifold  $M$  and the conformal structure on the ideal boundary of  $M$ . Specifically, the conformal classes of the first and second fundamental forms  $[I_\epsilon]$  and  $[\mathcal{I}_\epsilon]$  of the surfaces in an asymptotically Poincaré family converge in Teichmüller space as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  to the conformal structure of the surface at infinity of the end of  $M$ . At  $\epsilon = 0$ , the tangent vectors satisfy  $[\dot{I}_\epsilon] = c\text{Re}(\phi)$  and  $[\dot{\mathcal{I}}_\epsilon] = 0$  where  $\phi$  is the holomorphic quadratic differential of the complex projective structure at infinity and  $c$  a constant that depends on the foliation.

As an application of these results we establish a conjecture of Labourie regarding the asymptotic behavior of the  $k$ -surface foliation he constructs in (1). We also show that the constant mean curvature foliation of Mazzeo and Pacard (2) forms an asymptotically Poincaré family and so we can describe its asymptotics as well.



## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

In (3) Epstein describes a way of taking geometric data on the ideal boundary of hyperbolic space and obtaining a strictly convex surface in hyperbolic space. In the case of dimension 3, these geometric data take the form of conformal metrics on  $\partial^\infty \mathbb{H}^3 = \mathbb{CP}^1$ , and given such a metric  $\sigma$  on a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$  he constructs a map  $\text{Ep}_\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$  whose image is called an Epstein surface. This Epstein map enjoys an equivariance property with respect to the action of  $\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$  which allows one to define Epstein surfaces on quotients by subgroups  $\Gamma < \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ . We are concerned with Epstein surfaces in quasi-Fuchsian manifolds, which are certain hyperbolic structures on  $S \times (0, 1)$  for  $S$  an oriented closed surface of genus at least 2.

Each end of a quasi-Fuchsian manifold is compactified by a copy of  $S$ , which is called the surface at infinity (for the end), and each such surface at infinity inherits a complex projective structure  $Z$  and hence a conformal structure  $X$ . Applying the Epstein construction in this setting, we obtain a map that takes a conformal metric  $\sigma$  on  $X$  and returns a surface in the quasi-Fuchsian manifold. When  $h$  is the unique hyperbolic metric on  $X$ , the corresponding Epstein surface we call the Poincaré surface of  $X$ . The Epstein surface for the multiple  $\rho_t = e^{2t}h$  may be obtained by parallel flowing the Poincaré surface in the normal direction a distance  $t$ . The Poincaré surface with its parallel copies form a family we call the Poincaré family and, after restricting to sufficiently large  $t$ , we obtain a foliation of the end of the quasi-Fuchsian manifold.

The Poincaré family has been used by several other others to study the geometry of projective structures and hyperbolic 3-manifolds. For example, in (4) Anderson used them when developing a bound on Thurston's projective metric in terms of the Kobayashi metric. Bromberg used this family in (5) when studying hyperbolic cone manifolds. And Krasnov and Schlenker gave an alternative definition of the renormalized volume using the Poincaré family in (6) for the case of convex co-compact hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

After re-parametrizing by  $\epsilon = e^{-2t}$ , the conformal metrics  $\rho_\epsilon$  inducing the Poincaré family have the property that  $\epsilon\rho_\epsilon \rightarrow h$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Analogously, in this thesis we study families of Epstein surfaces for conformal metrics  $\sigma(\epsilon)$  such that there is a scaling function  $f$  which satisfies  $f(\epsilon)\sigma(\epsilon) \rightarrow h$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  (see Definition 2 in Section 4.1 for the precise conditions). These families are, in a sense,  $C^\infty$ -asymptotic to the Poincaré family and so we name them *Asymptotically Poincaré Families*. These families also form foliations of the end of the quasi-Fuchsian manifold (Corollary 4.1.3) and the goal of this thesis is to study the behavior of these foliations as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , which corresponds to the surfaces leaving the end of the manifold.

The first fundamental form (i.e., the induced metric)  $I_\epsilon$  of the Epstein surface for  $\sigma(\epsilon)$  is a Riemannian metric on  $X \cong S$  and so its conformal class  $[I_\epsilon]$  may be considered as a point in  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ , the Teichmüller space of  $S$ . Since Epstein surfaces are strictly convex, the second fundamental form  $\mathbb{I}_\epsilon$  is negative definite (negative for the normal vector field that points towards  $X$ ), and so  $-\mathbb{I}_\epsilon$  is a Riemannian metric, giving another point  $[\mathbb{I}_\epsilon]$  in  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ . Our main theorem relates the infinitesimal behavior of  $[I_\epsilon]$  and  $[\mathbb{I}_\epsilon]$  to the projective structure on the surface at

infinity. Indeed, let  $\phi$  be the holomorphic quadratic differential on  $X$  that parametrizes the induced complex projective structure  $Z$ . Then we have the following result.

**Theorem A.** *In a quasi-Fuchsian manifold let  $S_\epsilon$ , for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , be an asymptotically Poincaré family in an end with Riemann surface at infinity  $X$ . If  $I_\epsilon$  and  $\mathbb{I}_\epsilon$  are the corresponding first and second fundamental forms then*

$$[I_\epsilon] \rightarrow [h] \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbb{I}_\epsilon] \rightarrow [h] \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

*and the tangent vector to  $[\mathbb{I}_\epsilon]$  at  $[h]$  vanishes while the tangent vector to  $[I_\epsilon]$  is determined by the holomorphic quadratic differential  $\phi$  that measures the difference between the induced projective structure and the Fuchsian projective structure on  $X$ . That is,*

$$[\dot{I}_\epsilon] = c \operatorname{Re}(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad [\dot{\mathbb{I}}_\epsilon] = 0$$

*for a constant  $c$  depending on the family.*

We apply Theorem A to foliations by constant curvature surfaces to characterize their behavior. Indeed, in the case of constant Gaussian curvature, Theorem A answers a conjecture of Labourie. In (7) Labourie proves that an end of a quasi-Fuchsian manifold admits a unique foliation by  $k$ -surfaces: surfaces  $S_k$ , for  $k$  in  $(-1, 0)$ , such that the Gaussian curvature of  $S_k$  is identically  $k$ . In (1), Labourie notes how  $k$ -surfaces may be interpreted as a path in Teichmüller space and he asks what the tangent vectors to the paths  $[I_k]$  and  $[\mathbb{I}_k]$  are at  $k = 0$ . He conjectures that they are related to the holomorphic quadratic differential at infinity  $\phi$ . We show in Section

5.4 that these  $S_k$  form an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces. Theorem A then proves his conjecture and gives the relationship explicitly. Hence we obtain:

**Theorem B.** *Let  $I_k$  and  $\mathbb{I}_k$  be the first and second fundamental forms of the  $k$ -surface  $S_k$ . Let  $\phi$  be the holomorphic quadratic differential at infinity. Then, as  $k \rightarrow 0$ , the tangent vectors to  $[I_k]$  and  $[\mathbb{I}_k]$  in Teichmüller space are given by*

$$[\dot{I}_k] = -\operatorname{Re}(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad [\dot{\mathbb{I}}_k] = 0.$$

Theorem A can also be applied to the work of Mazzeo and Pacard in the setting of constant mean curvature surfaces. In (2) they show that an end of a quasi-Fuchsian manifold admits a unique foliation by surfaces of constant mean curvature. We prove that this family of surfaces forms an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces by constructing, for each negative  $k$  near zero, an Epstein surface whose mean curvature is identically  $-\sqrt{1+k}$ . Since asymptotically Poincaré surfaces foliate an end of  $M$ , by the uniqueness result of (2) these surfaces are those shown to exist by Mazzeo and Pacard. Therefore, Theorem A describes the behavior of this family in Teichmüller space.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $I_k$  and  $\mathbb{I}_k$  be the first and second fundamental forms of the Epstein surface with constant mean curvature  $-\sqrt{1+k}$ . Let  $\phi$  be the holomorphic quadratic differential at infinity. Then, as  $k \rightarrow 0$ , the tangent vectors to  $[I_k]$  and  $[\mathbb{I}_k]$  in Teichmüller space are given by*

$$[\dot{I}_k] = -\operatorname{Re}(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad [\dot{\mathbb{I}}_k] = 0.$$

The rest of this thesis is organized as follows. Chapter 2 consists of the relevant preliminary material needed for these results. It constitutes a reminder on the Riemannian, complex, and complex projective geometry of surfaces and their relationship with quasi-Fuchsian manifolds, as well as sets the choice of definitions we will be using for these objects. Chapter 3 develops the theory of Epstein surfaces. It walks through their construction and highlights their useful properties. Chapter 4 defines our asymptotically Poincaré surfaces and gives the main results, including Theorem A. In Chapter 5 we apply these results to the  $k$ -surfaces of Labourie (Theorem B) and the constant mean curvature surfaces of Mazzeo and Pacard (Theorem C). And the final chapter consists of questions that are natural extensions of this work as well as what progress has been made.

## CHAPTER 2

### PRELIMINARIES

#### 2.1 The geometry of surfaces

Let  $S$  be a closed, oriented, smooth surface. Let  $g$  be a Riemannian metric on  $S$ . The non-degeneracy of  $g$  at each point allows us to identify  $TS$  with  $T^*S$  via the map that sends  $v \in T_p S$  to  $g_p(\cdot, v) \in T_p^* S$  and this extends to an identification of vector fields and 1-forms. We will abuse notation and write  $g : TS \rightarrow T^*S$  for this map and  $g^{-1} : T^*S \rightarrow TS$  for its inverse. The Riemannian metric  $g$  induces a (pointwise) norm on vector fields in the familiar way:  $|X| = g(X, X)^{1/2}$ . It induces metrics and norms on all tensor products of  $TS$  and  $T^*S$ . It also distinguishes a volume form  $d\text{Vol}(g)$  on  $S$  that evaluates to  $+1$  on all oriented orthonormal frames. The integral of a smooth function  $f$  is defined by  $\int_S f d\text{Vol}(g)$ .

The Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of  $g$  is the unique torsion-free, metric connection on  $TS$ . The connection  $\nabla$  also extends to connections on  $T^*S$  and all tensor products constructed from the tangent bundle. We will denote all of them by  $\nabla$ . If  $\omega$  is a  $q$ -form with values in  $TS$  then the exterior covariant derivative of  $\omega$  is

$$d^\nabla \omega = \text{Alt}(\nabla \omega).$$

The Riemann curvature endomorphism of  $\nabla$  is given by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z,$$

for vector fields  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$ , and is the obstruction to  $(S, g)$  being locally isometric to Euclidean space. The Riemann curvature tensor is

$$Rm(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W).$$

and the Gaussian curvature of  $S$  at a point  $p$  is the function  $K(g)$  defined by

$$K(g)(p) = \frac{Rm(v, w, w, v)}{|v|^2 |w|^2 - g(v, w)}$$

where  $v, w$  is any basis for  $T_p S$ . Suppose  $(M, \tilde{g})$  is a Riemannian manifold. The sectional curvature of  $\tilde{g}$  is a function on 2-planes in the tangent bundle, i.e., a map  $sec : \text{Gr}_2(TM) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  whose value on a plane  $\Pi \leq T_p M$  is the Gaussian curvature at  $p$  of the image of  $\Pi$  in  $M$  under the exponential map. It may be computed with the above formula with  $v$  and  $w$  now a basis for  $\Pi$ .

Suppose now that  $M$  is 3-dimensional. When  $f : S \rightarrow M$  is an immersion, the pullback tensor  $I = f^* \tilde{g}$  is a Riemannian metric on  $S$  called the first fundamental form. Moreover, since  $f$  is an immersion we have an embedding of  $TS$  in the pullback bundle  $f^* TM$  on  $S$ . Using the metric  $\tilde{g}$ , the normal bundle  $NS$  of  $S$  may be defined as the orthogonal complement of  $TS$  in

$f^*TM$ , and this induces a splitting  $f^*TM \cong TS \oplus NS$ . In our setting the normal bundle is a line bundle and a nowhere zero section is called a normal vector field on  $S$ . For the rest of this section we assume there is a smooth, unit normal vector field  $n$  on  $S$ .

Let  $\tilde{\nabla}$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $\tilde{g}$  on  $M$ . By extending vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $S$  to a neighborhood of  $S$  in  $M$ , we may take the covariant derivative  $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y$ . This resulting vector field is not necessarily tangent to  $S$  and so we may decompose it into its tangential part  $(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)^\top$  and its normal part  $(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)^\perp$ . The Gauss Formula tells us  $(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)^\top = \nabla_X Y$  and since the normal bundle is spanned by  $n$  we have  $(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)^\perp = \mathbb{I}(X, Y)n$  for a symmetric 2-tensor field  $\mathbb{I}$ . This  $\mathbb{I}$  is called the second fundamental form and depends on  $n$ .

The Gauss Equation relates the Gaussian curvature  $K$  of  $S$  to the sectional curvature of the ambient manifold  $M$  via the second fundamental form. Since  $\mathbb{I}$  is symmetric and since  $I$  is non-degenerate, we may form the shape operator  $I^{-1}\mathbb{I} : TM \rightarrow TM$ . The Gauss Equation then states

$$K(I) = \sec(TS) + \det(I^{-1}\mathbb{I}).$$

If  $M$  has constant sectional curvature, then the second fundamental form satisfies the Codazzi Equation  $d^\nabla(I^{-1}\mathbb{I}) = 0$ . Together the Gauss and Codazzi Equations form the integrability conditions for simply connected surfaces in constant curvature 3-manifolds:



**Theorem 2.1.1** (Bonnet, Fundamental Theorem of Surface Theory). *Suppose  $S$  is a simply connected surface,  $I$  a Riemannian metric on  $S$ , and  $\mathbb{I}$  a 2-tensor on  $S$  such that  $I$  and  $\mathbb{I}$  satisfy the Gauss-Codazzi equations*

$$\begin{aligned} K(I) &= \kappa + \det(I^{-1}\mathbb{I}) \\ d^\nabla(I^{-1}\mathbb{I}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Then there is an isometric immersion  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\kappa^3$  into the simply connected 3-manifold  $\mathbb{M}_\kappa^3$  of constant sectional curvature  $\kappa$ , such that the second fundamental form of the immersion is  $\mathbb{I}$ . And this immersion is unique up to composition with an isometry of  $\mathbb{M}_\kappa^3$ .*

Since our main interest is the hyperbolic setting  $\mathbb{M}_\kappa^3 = \mathbb{H}^3$ , we note that the Gauss Equation in this case reads

$$K(I) = -1 + \det(I^{-1}\mathbb{I}).$$

The Gauss-Bonnet Theorem implies that if  $S$  has genus at least 2 (so that  $\chi(S) < 0$ ), then there is no metric with curvature identically 0 or +1 on  $S$ . We also record here the definition of mean curvature. As opposed to the Gaussian curvature, this depends on the immersion  $f : S \rightarrow M$  and cannot be defined entirely in terms of  $I$ ; it is given by

$$H(f) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(I^{-1}\mathbb{I}).$$

## 2.2 The Teichmüller space of a surface

A complex structure on the topological surface  $S$  is a maximal atlas of charts to  $\mathbb{C}$  whose transition functions are holomorphic. The surface  $S$  with a complex structure is called a Riemann surface and is a 1-dimensional complex manifold. Two Riemann surfaces  $X$  and  $Y$  with the same underlying smooth manifold  $S$  will be called equivalent if there is an  $f \in \text{Diff}_0(S)$  that is a biholomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

The space of equivalence classes of Riemann surface structures on  $S$  is called the Teichmüller space of  $S$  and is denoted by  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ . This space is itself a complex manifold with complex dimension  $3 \cdot \text{genus}(S) - 3$ . The cotangent space  $T_{[X]}^* \mathcal{T}(S)$  is naturally identified with the space of all symmetric 2-tensors  $\phi$  on  $S$  which, in a holomorphic chart  $z$  on  $X$ , may be written as  $\phi = q dz^2$  for  $q$  a holomorphic function (on the domain of  $z$ ). Such tensors are called holomorphic quadratic differentials and we denote the space of them by  $Q(X)$ .

Given a Riemann surface  $X$ , there are distinguished Riemannian metrics on  $S$ —called conformal metrics—defined by the property that in complex chart  $z$  they may be written as  $\sigma = e^{2\eta} |dz|^2$ , for  $\eta$  a smooth function. We will denote the space of conformal metrics on  $X$  by  $\text{Conf}(X)$ . A Riemann surface that is simply connected and biholomorphic to a proper subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  has a unique hyperbolic metric, called the Poincaré metric of  $\Omega$ , that is conformal. The universal cover  $\tilde{X}$  of a Riemann surface  $X$  with genus at least 2 is such a Riemann surface. Its Poincaré metric is invariant under the action of  $\pi_1(X)$  (by uniqueness) and so induces a hyperbolic metric on  $X$ . Hence, for a fixed  $X$  of genus at least 2, there exists a unique hyperbolic

conformal metric which we will usually denote by  $h$ . We therefore assume from now on that the genus of  $S$  is greater than or equal to 2.

A definition of Teichmüller space may be given solely in terms of conformal metrics. Indeed, any other conformal metric can be written as  $\sigma = e^{2u}h$  for  $u$  a smooth function on  $X$ . This is to say, conformal metrics are those that are conformally equivalent to  $h$ . This leads us to consider the action of smooth positive functions on the set of Riemannian metrics on  $S$ , i.e.,  $P(S)$  acting on  $\text{Met}(S)$ . The action of  $\text{Diff}_0(S)$  on  $\text{Met}(S)$  may be packaged together with that of  $P(S)$  as the action of the semi-direct product  $\text{Diff}_0(S) \ltimes P(S)$ . As a set, the quotient space is another model of Teichmüller space

$$\text{Met}(S)/(\text{Diff}_0(S) \ltimes P(S)) = \mathcal{T}(S).$$

This set-theoretic model can be improved to a model of Teichmüller space as a quotient manifold. To do so it is necessary to impose some regularity hypothesis on the space of symmetric 2-tensors that makes them into a Hilbert space. While this is done in Section 4.2 (following (8)) here we will simply assume this regularity has been imposed.

The set  $\text{Met}(S)$  is an open cone in the vector space of symmetric 2-tensors on  $S$  and hence is a manifold (of infinite dimension). The tangent space to  $\text{Met}(S)$  at a metric  $g$  is then naturally identified with this vector space. Given two tensors  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  in  $T_g\text{Met}(S)$ , we may turn them

into endomorphisms  $g^{-1}\sigma_1$  and  $g^{-1}\sigma_2$  and take their Frobenius inner product  $\text{tr}(g^{-1}\sigma_1 \circ g^{-1}\sigma_2)$ , which is a function on  $S$ . Using integration we can define a Riemannian metric on  $\text{Met}(S)$  by

$$\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle_g = \int_S \text{tr}(g^{-1}\sigma_1 \circ g^{-1}\sigma_2) d\text{Vol}(g)$$

(this metric is related to the Weil-Petersson metric on  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ , see (8), but we will not use this).

By our regularity assumptions, for each  $g$  the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$  turns  $T_g\text{Met}(S)$  into a Hilbert space.

Using this metric we may decompose the tangent space to  $\text{Met}(S)$  at a point as the direct sum of the tangent space to the  $\text{Diff}_0(S) \ltimes P(S)$ -orbit and its orthogonal complement. We have

$$T_g\text{Met}(S) = \{\dot{g} \mid \text{tr}_g(\dot{g}) = 0 = \text{div}_g(\dot{g})\} \oplus \{\mathcal{L}_X g + fg \mid f \in C^\infty(S) \text{ and } X \in \Gamma(TS)\}.$$

Here  $\text{div}_g(\dot{g})$  is the divergence of  $\dot{g}$  given by  $\text{tr}_g(\nabla \dot{g})$ . The right summand is tangent to the group orbit of  $g$ . The left summand is its orthogonal complement and consists of trace-free and divergence-free symmetric 2-tensors, which are referred to as transverse-traceless tensors. Since they are orthogonal to the group orbit, they may be identified with the tangent space to the quotient

$$T_{[g]}\mathcal{T}(S) = T_g\text{Met}(S)/T_g(\text{Diff}_0(S) \ltimes P(S) \cdot g) \cong \{\dot{g} \mid \text{tr}_g(\dot{g}) = 0 = \text{div}_g(\dot{g})\},$$

and the derivative of the projection  $\pi : \text{Met}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$  at  $g$  is given by the orthogonal projection onto these transverse-traceless tensors.

There is an equivalent description of transverse-traceless tensors that, for us, will be more useful than their definition. It turns out (see (8)), the trace-free condition implies that they are the real part of quadratic differentials and the divergence-free condition says the quadratic differential is holomorphic (with respect to the complex structure for which  $g$  is conformal). We have an isomorphism described by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.1** ((8)). *Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface and  $g$  a conformal metric on  $X$ . If  $\phi$  is a holomorphic quadratic differential, then  $\text{Re}(\phi)$  is a  $g$ -transverse-traceless tensor. That is*

$$T_{[g]}\mathcal{T}(S) = \{\text{Re}(\phi) \mid \phi \in Q(X)\}.$$

### 2.3 Complex projective structures

A complex projective structure on a surface  $S$  is a geometric structure with group  $\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$  and topological space  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . That is, it is a maximal atlas of charts to  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  whose transition functions are the restrictions of Möbius transformations. The surface  $S$  together with a complex projective structure we will call a complex projective surface, or just a projective surface for short. In particular, a projective surface does not refer to a submanifold of  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ . Since Möbius transformations are holomorphic, a projective structure also induces a complex structure on the surface  $S$ . We now describe a parametrization of the set of all projective structures with the same underlying complex structure using the space of quadratic differentials on  $S$  that are

holomorphic with respect to the fixed underlying complex structure. See (9) and (10) for more details.

Suppose  $U$  is an open subset of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and suppose  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a locally injective holomorphic function. For each  $z \in U$ , there is a unique Möbius transformation  $M_f(z) \in \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$  that agrees with  $f$  at  $z$  to second order:

$$f(w) = M_f(z) \cdot w + o((w - z)^2).$$

This defines a map  $M_f : U \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$  called the osculating Möbius transformation of  $f$ . Intuitively, the derivative of  $M_f$  is a measure of how far  $f$  is from being Möbius.

More precisely, the differential  $dM_f : TU \rightarrow T\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$  takes values in the tangent bundle of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ , which is trivialized by left multiplication. By composing with left multiplication we can consider the Darboux derivative of  $M_f$ , a 1-form on  $U$  with values in  $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ . See (11) for details. An explicit computation gives

$$M_f(z)^{-1}d(M_f)_z = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2 \right) \begin{pmatrix} -z & z^2 \\ -1 & z \end{pmatrix} dz.$$

The coefficient of the matrix in the Darboux derivative transforms as a quadratic differential.

Hence, the Schwarzian Derivative of a locally injective holomorphic function is defined as

$$S(f)(z) = \left( \left( \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2 \right) dz^2.$$

We see from its appearance in the Darboux derivative that  $f$  is locally a Möbius transformation if and only if  $S(f) = 0$ . Furthermore, a computation shows the Schwarzian chain rule is given by

$$S(f \circ g) = g^*S(f) + S(g).$$

A complex projective structure on a surface allows us to extend the Schwarzian derivative to holomorphic functions defined on the surface. Indeed, suppose  $z : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a projective chart on  $S$ , we can define the Schwarzian of  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on  $U$  by  $z^*S(f \circ z^{-1})$ . When  $w$  is another projective chart overlapping with  $z$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} z^*S(f \circ z^{-1}) &= z^*S(f \circ w^{-1} \circ (w \circ z^{-1})) \\ &= w^*S(f \circ w^{-1}) + z^*S(w \circ z^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

And since  $z$  and  $w$  are two compatible projective charts, the second term on the right vanishes, and the local Schwarzian derivative of  $f$  patches together to a global holomorphic quadratic differential. For a map  $f : Z \rightarrow W$  from one projective surface to another, we may define its Schwarzian derivative in charts and show in the same manner that they patch together to a global object on  $Z$ .

So, the Schwarzian derivative of a map between projective surfaces is a holomorphic quadratic differential that we think of as measuring how far the map is from being a projective transformation between the surfaces. If we restrict ourselves to projective structures  $Z$  and  $W$  with the same underlying complex structure  $X$ , we can consider the identity map  $Id : Z \rightarrow W$  and

take its Schwarzian as a measure of how compatible the two atlases are. The the difference of the two projective structures is defined as this measure of compatability

$$Z - W := S(Id).$$

Indeed, if  $Z$  and  $W$  are the same projective structure, then the identity map is a projective transformation and its Schwarzian derivative is zero. By fixing a projective structure  $Z_0$  on  $X$ , we see the set of projective structures with the same underlying complex structure  $X$  is an affine space modeled on  $Q(X)$ , the map given by  $Z \mapsto Z - Z_0 \in Q(X)$ .

There is a convenient choice for  $Z_0$ . Since  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface of genus at least 2, there is a unique hyperbolic metric in its conformal class and hence we can present  $X$  as  $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_F$ , a quotient of the upper half plane by a Fuchsian group  $\Gamma_F$ . This hyperbolic structure is also a complex projective structure  $Z_F$  that is called the standard Fuchsian projective structure on  $X$ . Under this identification the identity map between  $Z$  and  $Z_F$  lifts to a Riemann map between  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\mathbb{H}$  and we may take its Schwarzian derivative to obtain a holomorphic quadratic differential  $\tilde{\phi}$  on  $\tilde{X}$ . This quadratic differential is  $\Gamma$  invariant since it is the Schwarzian of a lift of a map  $Z \rightarrow Z_F$ . The quadratic differential induced by this  $\tilde{\phi}$  is the desired

## 2.4 Schwarzian derivatives of conformal metrics

Osgood and Stowe in (12) define a tensor generalizing the Schwarzian derivative in the setting of conformal changes to Riemannian metrics. For two metrics related by  $\sigma_2 = e^{2u}\sigma_1$



on a manifold of dimension  $n$ , they define the Schwarzian tensor as the trace-free part of  $\text{Hess}(u) - du \otimes du$ , i.e., as

$$\text{Hess}(u) - du \otimes du - \frac{1}{n} (\Delta u - \|\nabla u\|^2) \sigma_1,$$

with all relevant quantities taken with respect to  $\sigma_1$ . Following (13), in the Riemann surface setting ( $n = 2$ ), we define the Schwarzian derivative of the conformal metric  $\sigma_2$  with respect to the conformal metric  $\sigma_1$ ,  $B(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , as the  $(2, 0)$  part of Osgood and Stowe's Schwarzian tensor:  $B(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (\text{Hess}(u) - du \otimes du)_{(2,0)}$ . In a coordinate chart  $z$  write  $\sigma_i = e^{2\eta_i} |dz|^2$ , then the Schwarzian derivative is the quadratic differential

$$B(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = ((\eta_2)_{zz} - (\eta_2)_z^2 - (\eta_1)_{zz} + (\eta_1)_z^2) dz^2.$$

As opposed to the Schwarzian derivative of a function, this need not be holomorphic. However, when  $f$  is locally injective and holomorphic we have

$$\mathcal{S}(f) = 2B(|dz|^2, f^*|dz|^2).$$

We also have a cocycle property  $B(\sigma_1, \sigma_3) = B(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) + B(\sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  and naturality  $f^*B(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = B(f^*\sigma_1, f^*\sigma_2)$  (again, for  $f$  holomorphic). When  $\sigma$  is a conformal metric on a domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $B(|dz|^2, \sigma) = 0$ , there exists a constant  $a > 0$  and  $A \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $aA^*\sigma$  is the restriction of either the hyperbolic metric on the unit disk, the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{C}$ , or the

spherical metric on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . Such metrics  $\sigma$  are called a Möbius flat metrics and the notation  $g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$  will denote any choice of Möbius flat metric, indicating the specific choice does not matter. Working in an affine coordinate chart  $z$  for  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ , the cocycle property gives us that the Schwarzian derivative of  $\sigma = e^{2\eta}|dz|^2$  relative to any Möbius flat metric may be computed by

$$B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma) = (\eta_{zz} - \eta_z^2)dz^2.$$

Suppose we have a complex projective surface  $Z$  whose underlying complex structure is  $X$ . Then we may compute the holomorphic quadratic differential  $\phi = Z - Z_F$  corresponding to  $Z$  using the Schwarzian derivative of conformal metrics (see (14)). Indeed, we know  $\phi$  is induced by  $\tilde{\phi} = S(f)$ , the quadratic differential on  $\tilde{X}$  that is the Schwarzian of the Riemann map  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ . Then, noting that the Poincaré metric  $h$  of  $\tilde{X}$  is the pullback of the standard Poincaré metric  $\rho$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  by  $f$ , we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi} = S(f) &= 2B(|dz|^2, f^*|dz|^2) = 2B(|dz|^2, f^*\rho) + 2B(f^*\rho, f^*|dz|^2) \\ &= 2B(|dz|^2, h) + 2f^*B(\rho, |dz|^2) = 2B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, h). \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\phi$  is induced by the Schwarzian derivative of the Poincaré metric of  $\tilde{X}$  relative to any Möbius flat metric  $g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$ .

## 2.5 Quasi-Fuchsian manifolds

A quasi-Fuchsian structure on  $S \times (0, 1)$  is a complete hyperbolic metric  $g$  such that there exists a non-empty, compact, geodesically convex subset. We will call  $S \times (0, 1)$  with a quasi-

Fuchsian metric  $g$  a quasi-Fuchsian manifold and say two quasi-Fuchsian manifolds  $M = (S \times (0, 1), g_1)$  and  $N = (S \times (0, 1), g_2)$  are equivalent if there exists an  $f \in \text{Diff}_0(S \times (0, 1))$  that is an isometry  $f : M \rightarrow N$ . The space of equivalence classes of quasi-Fuchsian structures on  $S \times (0, 1)$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{QF}(S)$

The smallest non-empty, compact, geodesically convex subset of  $M$  is called the convex core. After choosing an isometry  $\tilde{M} \cong \mathbb{H}^3$ , the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(S)$  considered as the group of deck transformations becomes a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma < \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$  acting properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . A group  $\Gamma$  obtained in this way is called a quasi-Fuchsian group. The action of  $\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$  on  $\mathbb{H}^3$  extends to an action on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  by Möbius transformation. The limit set  $\Lambda$  of a quasi-Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  is the smallest non-empty, closed,  $\Gamma$ -invariant subset of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  and, in this setting, is a Jordan Curve. The convex hull of  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  is also  $\Gamma$ -invariant and its quotient in  $M$  is the convex core. The complement of  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  is called the domain of discontinuity and consists of two domains  $\Omega_{\pm}$ , each  $\Gamma$ -invariant. The quotients  $\Omega_{\pm}/\Gamma$  are called the surfaces at infinity of  $M$  and we have  $(\mathbb{H}^3 \cup \Omega_+ \cup \Omega_-)/\Gamma$  is diffeomorphic to  $S \times [0, 1]$ .

The following description applies to both ends of  $M$  and so we focus on one, calling the corresponding component of the domain of discontinuity  $\Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  is a connected open subset of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ , it is a Riemann surface. Since  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\Omega$  by Möbius transformations, which are holomorphic, the quotient  $\Omega/\Gamma$  (i.e., the surface at infinity) inherits a Riemann surface structure. This Riemann surface can be considered as a point  $X \in \mathcal{T}(S)$  using any diffeomorphism  $\Omega/\Gamma \rightarrow S$  that induces the given isomorphism  $\pi_1(\Omega/\Gamma) = \Gamma \rightarrow \pi_1(S)$ . Any such diffeomorphism we will

say is in the preferred homotopy class. Hence, given a quasi-Fuchsian manifold, we obtain two Riemann surfaces as its surfaces at infinity. Bers showed that this procedure is invertible.

**Theorem 2.5.1** (Bers' Simultaneous Uniformization (15)). *Given two Riemann surfaces  $X$  and  $Y$  orientation-preserving diffeomorphic to  $S$ , there exists an isomorphism  $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$  is a quasi-Fuchsian manifold with surfaces at infinity  $\Omega_+/\Gamma = X$  and  $\Omega_-/\Gamma = \bar{Y}$ . Here  $\bar{Y}$  is the complex conjugate of  $Y$  and it has underlying oriented smooth surface is  $\bar{S}$ , i.e.,  $S$  with the opposite orientation.*

*Moreover, the space of equivalence classes of quasi-Fuchsian structures on  $S \times (0,1)$  is isomorphic to the product of two copies of Teichmüller space*

$$\mathcal{QF}(S) \cong \mathcal{T}(S) \times \mathcal{T}(\bar{S}).$$

(The presence of the complex conjugate is due to the surfaces at infinity having opposite orientation.)

The description of  $X$  as the quotient of an open set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  by a group of Möbius transformations gives it a complex projective structure. We denote this projective structure on  $X$  by  $Z_M$ . We therefore have a holomorphic quadratic differential  $\phi$  on  $X$  parametrizing this structure  $\phi = Z_M - Z_F$ . Recall that  $\phi$  is induced by the Schwarzian derivative of the Riemann map  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ . It may also be computed as  $2B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, h)$ , for  $h$  the Poincaré metric of  $\Omega$ , as described above. This  $\phi$  is called the holomorphic quadratic differential at infinity (for the given end of  $M$ ).

## CHAPTER 3

### EPSTEIN SURFACES

This chapter contains material originally published in (16).

#### 3.1 The visual metric construction

A natural trivialization of the unit tangent bundle of hyperbolic space  $U\mathbb{H}^3$  is given as

$$U\mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \quad \text{by} \quad (p, v) \mapsto (p, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp_p(tv)).$$

That is we map  $(p, v)$  to the ideal endpoint of the geodesic ray through  $p$  in the direction  $v$ . Restricting to a point  $p$  and using the diffeomorphism  $U_p\mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ , we can push forward to  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  the induced metric on  $U_p\mathbb{H}^3$  considered as a submanifold of  $T_p\mathbb{H}^3$  with metric given by the inner product  $g_{\mathbb{H}^3}(p)$ . The resulting metric  $V_p$  on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  is called the visual metric from  $p$ . As an example, the visual metric from the origin in the ball model  $V_0$  is just the spherical metric  $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$  on  $S^2$  (which is identified with  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  in this model). In general, if  $M \in \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$  is an isometry taking 0 to the point  $p$ , then  $V_p = M_*V_0$ .

As the spherical metric belongs to the conformal class of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ , we have that  $V_0$  is a conformal metric. Since Möbius transformations are biholomorphisms of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ , each  $V_p$  is also a conformal metric. If we work in the ball model of hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^3 \cong \mathbb{B}^3$  we can actually be explicit regarding the conformal factor between  $V_p$  and  $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$  using the affine parameter of a horosphere. If  $H$  is a horosphere, then its affine parameter is the signed hyperbolic distance from  $0 \in \mathbb{H}^3$  to  $H$ ,

positive if 0 is outside  $H$  and negative if inside. Then for  $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$  there is a unique horosphere based at  $z \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  that contains  $p$ . Denote by  $[p, z]$  the affine parameter of this horosphere. Then

$$V_p(z) = e^{2[p, z]} \sigma(z).$$

We now describe the Visual Metric Construction (see (4)). This is a process that, given a strictly convex surface  $S$  in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ , gives a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  and a conformal metric  $\sigma$  on  $\Omega$ . The idea is this: Given  $S$ , we have its image under the Gauss map on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . That is, given a unit normal vector field  $n$  on  $S$  for which  $S$  is strictly convex, define

$$\mathcal{G} : S \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \text{ by } \mathcal{G}(p) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp_p(tn(p)).$$

The strict convexity of  $S$  guarantees the map  $\mathcal{G}$  is a local homeomorphism. We assume now that it is actually a homeomorphism. The image surface also comes equipped with a metric  $\sigma$  by defining  $\sigma(\mathcal{G}(p)) = V_p(\mathcal{G}(p))$ . Since for each  $p$  the visual metric from  $p$  is a conformal metric on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ , we have  $\sigma$  itself is a conformal metric.

Epstein in (3) describes an inverse process to the visual metric construction, which we describe below.

### 3.2 The Epstein map

As an inverse to the visual metric construction above, the Epstein map takes a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  and a conformal metric  $\sigma$  on  $\Omega$  and describes a strictly convex surface  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ . This surface has the property that  $V_{f(z)}(z) = \sigma(z)$  and this property can be used to derive a formula

for the surface. Specifically, expanding upon this last condition and using the affine parameter discussed above, we see that

$$\sigma(z) = V_{f(z)}(z) = e^{2[f(z),z]} \circ \sigma(z),$$

or that  $f(z)$  lies on the horosphere based at  $z$  with affine parameter

$$[f(z), z] = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\sigma(z)}{\circ \sigma(z)} \right) =: \rho(z).$$

Hence, we know which horosphere based at  $z$  that  $f(z)$  must lie on.

There is a convenient choice of normal vector field. At  $f(z)$ , the geodesic in the direction  $n(f(z))$  must end at  $z$  in order for  $f$  to be an inverse to the visual metric construction. The normal vectors to a horosphere pointing to its base have this property, so we define  $n(f(z))$  to be the normal vector to the horosphere based at  $z$  with affine parameter  $\rho(z)$ . Since  $n$  must be normal to the image surface  $S = f(\Omega)$ , this identifies the tangent spaces to the sought-after  $S$  with the tangent spaces to the horospheres. And this identifies the surface  $S$  with the envelope of the family of horospheres

$$\mathcal{H}(\Omega, \sigma) = \{\text{Horosphere based at } z \text{ with parameter } \rho(z) \mid z \in \Omega\}.$$

In (3), Epstein derives an equation for such an envelope. Working in the ball model and taking  $z$  both as a point in  $\mathbb{CP}^1 \cong S^2$  as well as a unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , he shows the desired map is

$$\text{Ep}_\sigma(z) = \frac{|\overset{\circ}{\nabla}\rho(z)|^2 + e^{2\rho(z)} - 1}{|\overset{\circ}{\nabla}\rho(z)|^2 + (e^{\rho(z)} + 1)^2} z + \frac{2}{|\overset{\circ}{\nabla}\rho(z)|^2 + (e^{\rho(z)} + 1)^2} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}\rho(z),$$

where  $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}\rho$  is the gradient of  $\rho$  with respect to the spherical metric on  $S^2$ . This construction leads to the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.1** (Epstein (3)). *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  and  $\sigma$  a  $C^k$  conformal metric on  $\Omega$ , then there exists a unique  $C^{k-1}$  map  $\text{Ep}_\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ , called the Epstein map of  $\Omega$  for the metric  $\sigma$ , such that for all  $z \in \Omega$ ,*

$$V_{\text{Ep}_\sigma(z)}(z) = \sigma(z).$$

*Moreover, the image of a point  $z$  depends only on the 1-jet of  $\sigma$  at  $z$ .*

Epstein's original construction uses the ball model of hyperbolic space to define the Epstein map. In (13), Dumas gives a model independent definition of the map using an  $\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ -frame field. It proceeds as follows. Choose an affine coordinate chart  $z$  on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  that distinguishes a point  $0 \in \Omega$  and  $\infty \notin \Omega$ . Then, on the geodesic in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  with ideal endpoints  $0$  and  $\infty$ , there exists a unique point  $p$  such that the visual metric from  $p$  at  $0$  is the Euclidean metric of this affine chart,  $V_p(0) = |dz|^2$ . The Epstein map is an  $\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ -frame orbit of this point.



**Proposition 3.2.2** ((13)). *On a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  write  $\sigma = e^{2\eta}|dz|^2$ . Define the  $\mathrm{SL}_2, \mathbb{C}$ -frame field  $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ep}}_\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$  by*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ep}}_\sigma(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \eta_z & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\eta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\eta/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

*then the Epstein map is given by*

$$\mathrm{Ep}_\sigma(z) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Ep}}_\sigma(z) \cdot p.$$

Even though we call the image an Epstein surface, the Epstein map need not be an immersion. Indeed, if  $\sigma$  is itself a visual metric then the Epstein map for  $\sigma$  is constant. However, the lift of  $\mathrm{Ep}_\sigma$  from  $\Omega$  to the unit tangent bundle of hyperbolic space given by

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Ep}}_\sigma(z) = (\mathrm{Ep}_\sigma(z), z)$$

is an immersion (here we are using the trivialization  $U\mathbb{H}^3 \cong \mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$  defined above). This lift can be thought of as providing a unit “normal” vector field for the Epstein surface even when the Epstein map is not an immersion. Indeed, this lift agrees with a unit normal vector field when the surface is immersed and so we will simply refer to it as the normal field from now on.

Because the Epstein map is unique, it is natural with respect to the action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$  in the following sense. Suppose  $M \in \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ , then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Omega, \sigma) & \xrightarrow{M} & (M(\Omega), M_*\sigma) \\ \mathrm{Ep} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{Ep} \\ \mathbb{H}^3 & \xrightarrow{M} & \mathbb{H}^3 \end{array}$$

That is,  $\mathrm{Ep}_{M_*\sigma}(M(z)) = M(\mathrm{Ep}_\sigma(z))$ . This is because both  $M \circ \mathrm{Ep}_\sigma$  and  $\mathrm{Ep}_{M_*\sigma} \circ M$  as maps on  $\Omega$  satisfy the visual metric condition from Theorem 3.2.1 (see (4) for more details ).

This allows us to define Epstein maps on certain quotients. Suppose in general that  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$  acting freely and properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{H}^3 \cup \mathbb{CP}^1$  leaving a domain  $\Omega$  invariant. Then  $\Omega/\Gamma$  inherits a Riemann surface structure. Call this structure  $X$  and let  $\sigma$  be a conformal metric on  $X$ . Lift this to  $\tilde{\sigma}$  on  $\Omega$ , which is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Then  $\mathrm{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant and therefore descends to a map  $\mathrm{Ep}_\sigma : X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ . In particular, when  $\Gamma$  is a quasi-Fuchsian group and  $\Omega$  a component of the domain of discontinuity, each conformal metric  $\sigma$  on the surface at infinity  $X$  gives rise to a map from  $X$  into the quasi-Fuchsian manifold  $M$ .

Uniqueness also shows us that the surfaces parallel to an Epstein surface are themselves Epstein surfaces. More specifically, let  $g^t : U\mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$  denote the time- $t$  geodesic flow projected down to  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . Thus for a unit tangent vector  $v$  on  $\mathbb{H}^3$  we have  $g^t(v) = \exp_p(tv)$ . Using the lift of an Epstein surface to  $U\mathbb{H}^3$  described above, each Epstein surface gives rise to a family of surfaces by applying the geodesic flow (and projecting to  $\mathbb{H}^3$ ). That is, we have the flowed surfaces  $g^t \circ \widehat{\mathrm{Ep}}_\sigma(\Omega)$ . These surfaces are themselves Epstein surfaces corresponding to scalar multiples

of  $\sigma$ . Indeed, since the parallel flow of a horosphere is a horosphere, we know  $[g^t(\widehat{\text{Ep}}_\sigma(z)), z] = [\text{Ep}_\sigma(z), z] + t$ . This shows us

$$V_{g^t(\widehat{\text{Ep}}_\sigma(z))}(z) = e^{2[g^t(\widehat{\text{Ep}}_\sigma(z)), z]} \circ \sigma(z) = e^{2t} e^{2[\text{Ep}_\sigma(z), z]} \circ \sigma(z) = e^{2t} \sigma(z).$$

But the unique map that satisfies this equality is  $\text{Ep}_{e^{2t}\sigma}$ . In summary, we have the following lemma, attributed to Thurston (unpublished work) by Epstein in (3).

**Lemma 3.2.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  and  $\sigma$  a conformal metric on  $\Omega$ . Then*

$$g^t \circ \widehat{\text{Ep}}_\sigma = \text{Ep}_{e^{2t}\sigma}.$$

*That is, flowing the Epstein surface for  $\sigma$  for time  $t$  in the normal direction corresponds to taking the Epstein surface for the metric  $e^{2t}\sigma$ .*

### 3.3 Geometry of Epstein surfaces

The first fundamental form of the Epstein surface for the metric  $\sigma$  is given by  $I(\sigma) = \text{Ep}_\sigma^*(g_{\mathbb{H}^3})$  for  $g_{\mathbb{H}^3}$  the metric of  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . It is given by

$$I(\sigma) = 4|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2 \sigma^{-1} + \frac{1}{4}(1 - K(\sigma))^2 \sigma + 2(1 - K(\sigma))\text{Re}(B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)).$$

The second fundamental form (relative to the normal lift  $\widehat{\text{Ep}}_\sigma$ ) is

$$II(\sigma) = 4|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2 \sigma^{-1} - \frac{1}{4}(1 - K(\sigma)^2) \sigma - 2K(\sigma)\text{Re}(B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)).$$

These formulas are derived in (13, Eqns. 3.2-3.3). Here  $K(\sigma)$  is the Gaussian curvature of  $\sigma$  and  $B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)$  the Schwarzian derivative of  $\sigma$  with respect to a Möbius flat metric. We note that when the Epstein surface is embedded, its second fundamental form is negative definite due to our convenient choice of normal vector field. Writing  $\det(g)$  for the determinant of the matrix representation of  $g$  relative to some local frame for the tangent bundle, the metrics on  $\sigma$  and  $I(\sigma)$  on  $S$  have determinants related by

$$\det(I(\sigma)) = \left( (1 - K(\sigma))^2 - 16|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2 \sigma^{-2} \right)^2 \det(\sigma).$$

This equation is independent of the frame and has an intrinsic meaning, namely it describes the ratio of volume forms of these two metrics. We can compute the Gaussian curvature by  $K(I(\sigma)) = -1 + \det(I(\sigma)^{-1} \mathbb{I}(\sigma))$  and the mean curvature by  $H(\text{Ep}_\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(I(\sigma)^{-1} \mathbb{I}(\sigma))$ . We obtain

$$K(I(\sigma)) = \frac{4K(\sigma)}{(1 - K(\sigma))^2 - 16|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2 \sigma^{-2}}$$

and

$$H(\text{Ep}_\sigma) = \frac{K(\sigma)^2 - 1 - 16|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2 \sigma^{-2}}{(K(\sigma) - 1)^2 - 16|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2 \sigma^{-2}}.$$

In the quasi-Fuchsian setting, if  $\sigma$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant conformal metric on  $\Omega$  then each term in the above equations is also  $\Gamma$ -invariant. This may be less clear for the quadratic differential  $B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)$  since the Möbius flat metric  $g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$  is not itself  $\Gamma$ -invariant. However, we see that for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have  $\gamma^* B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma) = B(\gamma^* g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \gamma^* \sigma) = B(\gamma^* g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)$ , by naturality of

Schwarzian derivatives of conformal metrics. The metric  $\gamma^*g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$  is still a Möbius flat metric, and so  $B(\gamma^*g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma) = B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)$ , implying  $B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Therefore,  $B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)$  induces a quadratic differential on  $X$ , which we will denote by  $B(\sigma)$ .

In summary of the above, we have the following Gaussian and mean curvatures of the Epstein surfaces in  $M$ .

**Lemma 3.3.1.** *The Gaussian curvature for the Epstein surface  $\text{Ep}_\sigma : X \rightarrow M$  is given by*

$$K(I(\sigma)) = \frac{4K(\sigma)}{(1 - K(\sigma))^2 - 16|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2\sigma^{-2}},$$

*and the mean curvature by*

$$H(\text{Ep}_\sigma) = \frac{K(\sigma)^2 - 1 - 16|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2\sigma^{-2}}{(K(\sigma) - 1)^2 - 16|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2\sigma^{-2}}.$$

□

These are now equations on the compact Riemann surface  $X$ .

## CHAPTER 4

### ASYMPTOTICALLY POINCARÉ FAMILIES

This chapter contains material originally published in (16).

Previously, we have discussed Epstein surfaces for domains and for quotients. Generalizing this, we say a surface  $i : S \rightarrow M$  is an Epstein surface of  $X$  if there exists a  $\Gamma$ -invariant conformal metric  $\tilde{\sigma}$  on  $\Omega$  and a diffeomorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow S$  in the preferred homotopy class (see Section 2.5) such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega & \xrightarrow{\text{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}}} & \mathbb{H}^3 \\ \varphi \circ \pi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_M \\ S & \xrightarrow{i} & M \end{array}$$

commutes. Here the  $\pi_X$  and  $\pi_M$  are the respective quotient maps  $\Omega \rightarrow X$  and  $\mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow M$ . When  $S$  is an Epstein surface of  $X$ , the conformal metric  $\tilde{\sigma}$  induces a conformal metric  $\sigma$  on  $X$  that we call the conformal metric at infinity. We have  $\text{Ep}_{\sigma} = \varphi \circ i$ . The Epstein surface  $S$  will then refer to the embedded image of  $\text{Ep}_{\sigma} : X \rightarrow M$ .

Since  $X$  is a closed surface of genus at least 2, it possesses a unique hyperbolic conformal metric  $h$ . Hence, there is a distinguished Epstein surface in  $M$ .

**Definition 1.** *The Poincaré surface of  $X$  is the Epstein induced by the Poincaré metric of  $\Omega$ . Since the Poincaré metric induces the hyperbolic metric  $h$  on  $X$ , the Poincaré surface of  $X$  is the Epstein surface  $\text{Ep}_h : X \rightarrow M$ .*

Again, we call this a surface but it need not be immersed. In fact, we can identify cases when it is not. When  $\sigma = h$  we know  $K(h) = -1$  and  $B(h) = \frac{1}{2}\phi$ . Then using the formula for determinant of  $I(h)$  given in Section 3.3, we compute

$$\det(I(h)) = 16 \left(1 - \frac{|\phi|^2}{h^2}\right)^2 \det(h).$$

And so we see  $\text{Ep}_h$  is not an immersion at points in  $X$  where  $\frac{|\phi|}{h} = 1$ . However, by parallel flowing of the Poincaré surface we eventually obtain an immersed (embedded, actually) surface, which we now discuss.

The Poincaré surface together with its parallel copies forms a family of surfaces we call the Poincaré Family. Recall from Lemma 3.2.3 that the parallel copies are given as the Epstein surfaces  $\text{Ep}_{e^{2t}h} : X \rightarrow M$  for  $t \geq 0$ . This family of surfaces has been a useful tool for studying the geometry of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, see e.g., (4), (5), (6), and (17). The surfaces in the Poincaré family are eventually embedded as was shown by Anderson (4) who obtained a specific bound on  $t$  that implied embeddedness. We omit the proof here as we will show a more general result in Proposition 4.1.2. Although we will show a bound for  $t$  after which the Epstein surface for  $e^{2t}\sigma$  is immersed. Denote by  $\|B(\sigma)\|_\sigma$  and  $\|K(\sigma)\|$  the supremums over  $X$  of the functions  $|B(\sigma)|/\sigma$  and  $K(\sigma)$ , then we have the following.

**Lemma 4.0.1.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a conformal metric on  $X$ . If*

$$t > \log \sqrt{4\|B(\sigma)\|_\sigma + \|K(\sigma)\|},$$

then  $\text{Ep}_\sigma : X \rightarrow M$  is an immersion.

*Proof.* If  $t$  satisfies this bound then we have

$$e^{2t} > 4\|B(\sigma)\|_\sigma + \|K(\sigma)\| > 4\frac{|B(\sigma)|}{\sigma} + K(\sigma)$$

Rearranging and simplifying, this implies that

$$(e^{2t} - K(\sigma))^2 - 16\frac{|B(\sigma)|^2}{\sigma^2} > 0.$$

It then follows that  $\det(I(e^{2t}\sigma)) > 0$  so that  $I(e^{2t}\sigma)$  is positive definite.  $\square$

In the Poincaré case this becomes  $t > \log \sqrt{2\|\phi\|_h + 1}$ , which is the bound obtained by (4), although we do not get embeddedness from this lemma.

So, the Poincaré family is the family of Epstein surfaces for the conformal metrics at infinity  $\rho_t = e^{2t}h$  and for  $t$  sufficiently large these surfaces are embedded. We now discuss their asymptotic behavior. In order to consider derivatives more easily, let us reparametrize the Poincaré family using  $\epsilon = e^{-2t}$ , so that  $\rho_\epsilon = h/\epsilon$ . We are interested in the behavior as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Using the formula for the first fundamental form of an Epstein surface we can compute

$$I(\rho_\epsilon) = \frac{1}{4\epsilon}h + \frac{1}{2}h + \text{Re}(\phi) + \epsilon \left( \frac{1}{4}h + \text{Re}(\phi) + \frac{|\phi|^2}{h} \right).$$



We can therefore consider the Poincaré family as a path in the space of metrics  $\text{Met}(S)$ . The following arguments are formal; they will be made precise in the next section. We see the family of first fundamental forms diverges as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . However, rescaling gives

$$4\epsilon I(\rho_\epsilon) = h + 4\epsilon \left( \frac{1}{2}h + \text{Re}(\phi) \right) + O(\epsilon^2).$$

And so, if we project to the quotient, we can consider the Poincaré family as a path in Teichmüller space that converges:  $[I(\rho_\epsilon)] \rightarrow [h]$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, differentiating at 0 yields

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} 4\epsilon I(\rho_\epsilon) = 2h + 4\text{Re}(\phi).$$

Since  $2h$  is pure-trace (with respect to  $h$ ) and since  $\text{Re}(\phi)$  is an  $h$ -transverse-traceless tensor by Lemma 2.2.1, we see the tangent vector to this path in Teichmüller space at  $[h]$  is  $[I(\dot{\rho}_\epsilon)] = d\pi_h(2h + 4\text{Re}(\phi)) = 4\text{Re}(\phi)$ . Similar computations apply to  $II(\rho_\epsilon)$  and show that  $[II(\rho_\epsilon)] \rightarrow [h]$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  with tangent vector given by  $[II(\dot{\rho}_\epsilon)] = 0$ .

Summarizing: The Poincaré family has the property that the surfaces form a parallel family foliating the end of  $M$  such that the paths  $[I(\rho_\epsilon)] \rightarrow [h]$  and  $[II(\rho_\epsilon)] \rightarrow [h]$  in Teichmüller space as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and  $[I(\dot{\rho}_\epsilon)] = 4\text{Re}(\phi)$  and  $[II(\dot{\rho}_\epsilon)] = 0$ . It turns out the only thing needed about the the Poincaré family to obtain these results is that there is a function  $f$  so that  $f(\epsilon)\rho_\epsilon \rightarrow h$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The function here is the identity  $f(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ . In the next section we introduce a generalization of the Poincaré family and discuss the corresponding results that are true for this generalization.

#### 4.1 Asymptotically Poincaré families

The key property of the Poincaré family we wish to generalize is that  $f(\epsilon)\rho_\epsilon \rightarrow h$  for  $f(\epsilon) = \epsilon$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We consider, then, families of Epstein surfaces whose conformal metrics at infinity  $\sigma(\epsilon)$  satisfy  $f(\epsilon)\sigma(\epsilon) \rightarrow h$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  where now  $f$  is any positive smooth function with the same behavior to first order at 0, i.e., that  $f(0) = 0$ , and  $f'(0) \neq 0$ . As we will soon make arguments regarding the regularity of the tensors we consider, we will add regularity designations to our spaces, e.g., the space of smooth metrics on  $X$  will be denoted by  $\text{Met}^\infty(X)$  and the space of smooth conformal metrics will be  $\text{Conf}^\infty(X)$ .

**Definition 2.** *Let  $S_\epsilon$  for  $\epsilon$  in  $(0, 1)$  be a family of embedded Epstein surfaces with conformal metrics at infinity  $\sigma(\epsilon)$ . We call this family asymptotically Poincaré if*

1. *there exists a scaling function  $f : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  so that the path*

$$f\sigma : (0, 1) \rightarrow \text{Met}^\infty(X)$$

*is differentiable and converges to the hyperbolic metric on  $X$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , that is,  $f(\epsilon)\sigma(\epsilon) \rightarrow h$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,*

2. *the function  $f$  is smooth and has simple zero at 0, and*
3. *the continuous extension  $\gamma : [0, 1) \rightarrow \text{Met}^\infty(X)$  of  $f\sigma$  is differentiable.*

The surfaces in the Poincaré family are parallel to one another. The surfaces in an asymptotically Poincaré family are asymptotically parallel in the sense of the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.1.** *Suppose  $S_\epsilon$  is an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces. Let  $t > 0$ . If  $g^t$  is the geodesic flow operator defined above, then*

$$d_M \left( g^t(\widehat{\text{Ep}}_{\sigma(\epsilon)}(z)), \text{Ep}_{\sigma(e^{-2t}\epsilon)}(z) \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

*uniformly in  $z$ . That is, the distance between the surface  $S_\epsilon$  flowed for time  $t$  and the surface  $S_{e^{-2t}\epsilon}$  tends towards zero as  $\epsilon$  does.*

*Proof.* We work with the universal covers. In general, a straightforward computation using the  $\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ -frame definition of the Epstein map  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$  gives the distance between the image of  $z$  under the Epstein map for the metrics  $\sigma = e^{2\eta}|dz|^2$  and  $\tau = e^{2\lambda}|dz|^2$  as

$$d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\text{Ep}_\sigma(z), \text{Ep}_\tau(z)) = 2\text{arctanh} \left( \sqrt{\frac{(e^\eta - e^\lambda)^2 + 4|\eta_z - \lambda_z|^2}{(e^\eta + e^\lambda)^2 + 4|\eta_z - \lambda_z|^2}} \right)$$

where all functions are evaluated at  $z$ , which we have suppressed for brevity.

In our case, lift  $\sigma(\epsilon)$  to  $\tilde{\sigma}(\epsilon)$  and  $\gamma(\epsilon) = f(\epsilon)\sigma(\epsilon)$  to  $\tilde{\gamma}(\epsilon)$  on  $\Omega$ . Write  $\tilde{\sigma}(\epsilon) = e^{2\eta(\epsilon)}|dz|^2$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}(\epsilon) = e^{2\lambda(\epsilon)}|dz|^2$ , then  $\eta(\epsilon) = \lambda(\epsilon) - (1/2)\log(f(\epsilon))$ . Recall from Lemma 3.2.3 that  $g^t \circ \widehat{\text{Ep}}_{\tilde{\sigma}(\epsilon)} = \text{Ep}_{e^{2t}\tilde{\sigma}(\epsilon)}$ . For ease of notation, let  $c = e^{-2t}$ . Then we have the distance between  $\text{Ep}_{c^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}(\epsilon)}$  and  $\text{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}(c\epsilon)}$  can be simplified to

$$2\text{arctanh} \left( \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \sqrt{\frac{cf(\epsilon)}{f(c\epsilon)}}}e^{\lambda(c\epsilon)-\lambda(\epsilon)})^2 + 4cf(\epsilon)e^{-2\lambda(\epsilon)}|\lambda_z(c\epsilon) - \lambda_z(\epsilon)|^2}{(1 + \sqrt{\frac{cf(\epsilon)}{f(c\epsilon)}}}e^{\lambda(c\epsilon)-\lambda(\epsilon)})^2 + 4cf(\epsilon)e^{-2\lambda(\epsilon)}|\lambda_z(c\epsilon) - \lambda_z(\epsilon)|^2}} \right).$$

Since  $\tilde{\gamma}(\epsilon)$  converges in  $\text{Met}^\infty(X)$ , the function  $\lambda$  has a  $C^2$  limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, the argument of  $\text{arctanh}$  converges to zero uniformly in  $z$ .

Since the distance between the surfaces in the universal cover is converging to zero, and since the quotient map  $\mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow M$  is a local isometry, we get the Lemma.  $\square$

We have required that an asymptotically Poincaré family consist of embedded surfaces. The next proposition gives a useful condition for a family of conformal metrics to give rise to an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces.

**Proposition 4.1.2.** *Let  $\sigma : (0, 1) \rightarrow \text{Conf}^\infty(X)$  be a family of conformal metrics on  $X$ . Suppose there exists a smooth function  $f : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with simple zero at 0, such that  $f\sigma \rightarrow h$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and such that the extension  $\gamma : [0, 1) \rightarrow \text{Conf}^\infty(X)$  is differentiable. Then there exists an  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  so that for  $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$ , the Epstein map  $\text{Ep}_{\sigma(\epsilon)}$  is an embedding. Hence, the Epstein surfaces  $\text{Ep}_{\sigma(\epsilon)} : X \rightarrow M$ , for  $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$ , form an asymptotically Poincaré family.*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\sigma} : (0, 1) \rightarrow \text{Conf}^\infty(\Omega)$  be the lift of the family  $\sigma$ . Define the Epstein family map  $\text{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}} : \Omega \times (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$  by  $\text{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}}(z, \epsilon) = \text{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}(\epsilon)}(z)$ . It follows from the  $\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ -frame definition of the Epstein map that in the upper half space model  $\mathbb{H}^3 \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , the family map is given by

$$\text{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}}(z, \epsilon) = (z, 0) + \frac{2}{e^{2\eta} + 4|\eta_z|^2} (2\eta_{\bar{z}}, e^\eta).$$

Writing  $\tilde{\sigma}(\epsilon) = e^{2\eta(z,\epsilon)}|dz|^2$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}(\epsilon) = e^{2\lambda(z,\epsilon)}|dz|^2$ , the condition  $\tilde{\gamma}(\epsilon) = f(\epsilon)\tilde{\sigma}(\epsilon)$  becomes  $\eta(z, \epsilon) = \lambda(z, \epsilon) - (1/2)\log(f(\epsilon))$ . Note that  $\lambda(z, \epsilon) \rightarrow \rho(z)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $z$ , where  $\rho$  is the log density of the Poincaré metric of  $\Omega$ . Hence we can rewrite  $\text{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$  as

$$\text{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}}(z, \epsilon) = (z, 0) + \frac{2}{e^{2\lambda} + 4f(\epsilon)|\lambda_z|^2} \left( 2f(\epsilon)\lambda_{\bar{z}}, \sqrt{f(\epsilon)}e^{\lambda} \right)$$

and see that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}}(z, \epsilon) = (z, 0).$$

So we may extend  $\text{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$  to a map  $\Omega \times [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3 \sqcup \Omega$ , which is the identity on the boundary  $\Omega \times \{0\} \rightarrow \Omega$ .

While this map is not differentiable at  $\epsilon = 0$  (due to the  $\sqrt{f(\epsilon)}$ ), the map  $F : \Omega \times [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3 \sqcup \Omega$  given by  $F(z, \epsilon) = \text{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}}(z, \epsilon^2)$  satisfies  $F(z, 0) = (z, 0)$ , is differentiable at  $\epsilon = 0$ , and has derivative

$$dF_{(z,0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{f'(0)}e^{-\rho(z)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since this is invertible,  $F$  is a local  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism at the boundary of  $\Omega \times [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3 \sqcup \Omega$ .

Define  $\bar{M} = (\mathbb{H}^3 \sqcup \Omega)/\Gamma = M \sqcup X$ . Then  $\bar{M}$  is a smooth manifold with compact boundary  $X$ . By  $\Gamma$ -equivariance of  $\text{Ep}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ ,  $F$  descends to a map  $X \times [0, 1) \rightarrow \bar{M}$  that is the identity on the boundary  $\partial(X \times [0, 1)) \rightarrow \partial\bar{M} = X$  and that is a local diffeomorphism there. We now show

this implies the restriction of  $F$  to  $X \times [0, \delta)$ , for some small enough  $\delta$ , is a diffeomorphism onto a collar neighborhood of  $\partial \bar{M} = X$ .

Given  $(z, 0)$  there exists neighborhoods  $U_{(z,0)}$  and  $V_{(z,0)}$  such that  $F : U_{(z,0)} \rightarrow V_{(z,0)}$  is a diffeomorphism. By compactness of  $X$  we may take a finite number  $U_i$  and  $V_i$  such that  $X \times \{0\} \subset \cup U_i$ . Call  $\cup_i U_i = U$  and  $\cup_i V_i = V$ . Then  $F : U \rightarrow V$  is a local diffeomorphism and  $X \times \{0\} \subset U, V$ . In fact, we have

**Lemma.** *There exists  $\epsilon, \delta > 0$  such that  $X \times [0, \delta] \subset U$ , and  $X \times [0, \epsilon] \subset V$  and such that  $X \times [0, \epsilon] \subset F(X \times [0, \delta])$ .*

*Proof.* By construction of  $U$  there exists a  $\delta'$  such that  $X \times [0, \delta') \subset U$ . So choose  $\delta = \delta'/2$ . Then  $X \times [0, \delta] \subset U$ . We show that there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $X \times [0, \epsilon] \subset F(X \times [0, \delta])$ , as if this is true, we may replace  $\epsilon$  with  $\epsilon/2$  to get  $X \times [0, \epsilon] \subset V$ .

Now, suppose no such  $\epsilon$  exists. Take a sequence  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ . Then for each  $n$  there exists  $(w_n, t_n) \in X \times [0, \epsilon_n)$  such that  $(w_n, t_n) \notin F(X \times [0, \delta])$ . But  $t_n < \epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, by compactness of  $X$  there exists a subsequence (which we still call)  $w_n$  that converges to, say,  $w \in X$ . Then  $(w_n, t_n) \rightarrow (w, 0)$ . Since  $F$  is a local diffeomorphism, there is a neighborhood  $W$  of  $(w, 0)$ , which we may assume is a subset of  $X \times [0, \delta)$  by taking intersections if needed, that is diffeomorphic to a neighborhood  $F(W)$  of  $(w, 0)$ . Since  $(w_n, t_n) \rightarrow (w, 0)$ , for large enough  $n$  we get  $(w_n, t_n) \in F(W) \subset F(X \times [0, \delta])$ . This is a contradiction. Hence, we get an  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $X \times [0, \epsilon] \subset F(X \times [0, \delta])$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.**  $X \times [0, \epsilon] \subset F(X \times [0, \delta])$

**Lemma.**  $F : X \times [0, \delta] \rightarrow F(X \times [0, \delta])$  is a covering.

*Proof.*  $F$  is a local diffeomorphism since  $X \times [0, \delta] \subset U$  and  $X \times [0, \epsilon] \subset V$  and since  $F : U \rightarrow V$  is a local diffeomorphism. Since  $X \times [0, \delta]$  is compact,  $F$  is a proper mapping and proper local diffeomorphisms are coverings.  $\square$

**Lemma.** The covering is trivial.

*Proof.* Note that the cardinality of the fibers of  $F : X \times [0, \delta] \rightarrow F(X \times [0, \delta])$  are constant since everything is connected. Also note that  $F(X \times (0, \delta]) \subset X \times (0, 1)$  and  $F : X \times \{0\} \rightarrow X \times \{0\}$  is the identity, implying  $F^{-1}(\{(w, 0)\}) = \{(w, 0)\}$ . Hence,  $F : X \times [0, \delta] \rightarrow F(X \times [0, \delta])$  is injective.  $\square$

**Corollary.**  $F : X \times [0, \delta] \rightarrow F(X \times [0, \delta])$  is injective and contains a neighborhood of  $X \times \{0\}$ .

Unraveling, we get that  $\text{Ep}_\sigma$  is a diffeomorphism from a collar neighborhood  $X \times (0, \sqrt{\delta})$  to a neighborhood of infinity of  $M$ . In particular, each Epstein surface  $\text{Ep}_\sigma(\cdot, \epsilon) = \text{Ep}_{\sigma(\epsilon)}$ , for  $\epsilon < \sqrt{\delta}$ , is an immersion and injective with compact domain  $X$ . Hence each Epstein surface, for  $\epsilon < \sqrt{\delta}$ , is embedded. To complete the proof take  $\epsilon_0 = \sqrt{\delta}$ .  $\square$

The Poincaré family foliates the end of  $M$  since they are parallel. In the preceding proof, we have the map  $F : X \times [0, \delta] \rightarrow \bar{M}$  is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Hence we have the following result for asymptotically Poincaré families.

**Corollary 4.1.3.** *If  $S_\epsilon$  is an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces, then there exists an  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for  $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$  the surfaces  $S_\epsilon$  form a foliation of the end of  $M$  whose surface at infinity is  $X$ .*  $\square$

We soon turn to our main result, but first recall that the co-orientation on an Epstein surface we are using is that induced by the lift  $\widehat{\text{Ep}}_\sigma$ , which points towards the surface at infinity  $X$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{I}(\sigma)$  is negative definite (for small enough  $\epsilon$ ), and so  $-\mathcal{I}(\sigma)$  is a smooth Riemannian metric. Also recall we are using the Riemannian model of Teichmüller space. That is, we use

$$\mathcal{T}(X) = \text{Met}^\infty(X) / (\text{Diff}_0^\infty(X) \ltimes P^\infty(X)),$$

where  $P^\infty(X)$  is the set of smooth positive functions on  $X$  and  $\text{Diff}_0^\infty(X)$  is the group of smooth diffeomorphisms isotopic to the identity (see (8) for details). The smooth topology, however, is difficult to work with directly. So, we work in the Sobolev setting for tensors and functions.

## 4.2 Sobolev spaces on manifolds

The Sobolev setting is useful because they form Banach spaces, which enjoy the standard tools of analysis. Most notably, the familiar inverse and implicit function theorem are available in this setting.

To define the relevant Sobolev spaces on the closed surface  $S$  we need to fix a background Riemannian metric, the natural choice being the hyperbolic metric  $h$ . Let  $\nabla$  be its Levi-Civita connection. Both  $h$  and  $\nabla$  extend to metrics and connections on all the tensor bundles on  $S$  made from  $TS$  and  $T^*S$ . Hence all tensor bundles have a norm induced by  $h$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}^{(k,l)}(S)$  be



the space of smooth  $(k, l)$ -tensor fields on  $S$ . The space  $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{T}^{(k,l)}(S))$  will denote the space of Sobolev tensors. It is the completion of  $\mathcal{T}^{(k,l)}(S)$  under the norm

$$\|T\|_{s,p} = \left( \sum_{j=0}^s \int_S |\nabla^j T|^p dVol(h) \right)^{1/p}.$$

Here  $\nabla^j$  is the iterated covariant derivative ( $j$ -times).

Compactness of  $S$  is vital here as it guarantees this norm is finite. These Sobolev spaces are Banach spaces and when  $p = 2$  they are Hilbert spaces. We will denote them by  $H^s(\mathcal{T}^{(k,l)}(S))$  (note: this is not cohomology). The spaces in which we will be most interested are the Sobolev spaces of real-valued functions  $H^s(S, \mathbb{R})$ , metrics  $\text{Met}^s(S)$ , and conformal metrics  $\text{Conf}^s(X)$ .

Sobolev functions (or tensors) that satisfy an equation in the sense of distributions are called weak solutions to the equation. On  $S$ , where the dimension is 2, the Sobolev Embedding Theorem on smooth surfaces guarantees that weak solutions in  $H^s$  for  $s \geq 3$  are actually strong solutions, being  $C^{2,\alpha}$ -regular (18). We will therefore assume  $s \geq 3$  from now on. We also note that  $C^\infty = \bigcap_s H^s$ .

In this Sobolev setting the space of symmetric 2-tensors is a Hilbert space. Hence, the tangent space to  $\text{Met}^s(X)$  at  $g$  may be decomposed as the orthogonal sum

$$T_g \text{Met}^s(X) = \{\dot{g} \mid \text{tr}_g(\dot{g}) = 0 = \text{div}_g(\dot{g})\} \oplus \{\mathcal{L}_X g + fg \mid f \in H^s \text{ and } X \in \Gamma(TX)\},$$

where  $\text{div}_g(\dot{g})$  is the divergence of the 1-1 tensor  $g^{-1}\dot{g}$ . The second summand is tangent to the  $\text{Diff}_0^s(X) \rtimes P^s(X)$  orbit of  $g$  and the first summand is the transverse traceless tensors consisting

of elements that are smooth, trace-free, and divergence-free (see (19) for more details). Since the transverse traceless tensors are orthogonal to the group orbit they may be identified with the tangent space  $T_{[g]}(\text{Met}^s(X)/\text{Diff}_0^s(X) \rtimes P^s(X))$  to the quotient at  $[g]$ . Moreover transverse traceless tensors are a set of smooth tensors that are  $L^2$  orthogonal to the  $\text{Diff}_0^s(X) \rtimes P^s(X)$  orbit *for any*  $s$ . Consequently, they may be identified with the tangent space to the quotient  $\text{Met}^\infty(X)/(\text{Diff}_0^\infty(X) \rtimes P^\infty(X))$ . That is, they may be naturally identified with the tangent space to Teichmüller space at  $[g]$ :

$$T_{[g]}\mathcal{T}(X) = \{\dot{g} \mid \text{tr}_g(\dot{g}) = 0 = \text{div}_g(\dot{g})\}.$$

Under this identification, the action of the derivative of the projection  $\pi : \text{Met}^\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(X)$  at  $g$  is given by orthogonal projection onto the transverse traceless tensors  $T_g\text{Met}^\infty(X) \rightarrow \{\dot{g} \mid \text{tr}_g(\dot{g}) = 0 = \text{div}_g(\dot{g})\}$ .

### 4.3 Main results

So, we work in the Sobolev setting of functions  $H^s(X)$  and tensors  $\text{Met}^s(X)$  and  $\text{Conf}^s(X)$ . Since  $K$  and  $B$  are smooth functions of  $\sigma$  and its derivatives we have that they both extend to functions on Sobolev classes of metrics. Hence if  $\sigma \in \text{Conf}^s(X)$  then  $I(\sigma)$  and  $-II(\sigma)$  belongs to  $\text{Met}^{s-2}(X)$ . We will obtain results with these extensions and then argue our results are independent of the chosen  $s$ .

**Proposition 4.3.1.** *Suppose  $S_\epsilon$  is an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces. Let  $\gamma : [0, 1) \rightarrow \text{Met}^s(X)$  be the extension of  $f\sigma$  thought of as taking values in a class of Sobolev metrics*

for a fixed  $s > 3$ . Then the first and second fundamental forms  $I \circ \sigma : (0, 1) \rightarrow \text{Met}^{s-2}(X)$  and  $\mathbb{I} \circ \sigma : (0, 1) \rightarrow \text{Met}^{s-2}(X)$  satisfy

$$I_\epsilon := 4f'(0)\epsilon I(\sigma(\epsilon)) \rightarrow h \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{I}_\epsilon := -4f'(0)\epsilon \mathbb{I}(\sigma(\epsilon)) \rightarrow h \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover,  $I_\epsilon$  and  $\mathbb{I}_\epsilon$  are differentiable at  $\epsilon = 0$  and their tangent vectors are given by

$$\dot{I}_\epsilon = \dot{\gamma} + 2f'(0)h + 4f'(0)\text{Re}(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\mathbb{I}}_\epsilon = \dot{\gamma}$$

*Proof.* We have that  $\gamma : [0, 1) \rightarrow \text{Met}^\infty(X)$  is continuous and differentiable at  $\epsilon = 0$ . Therefore, as  $\text{Met}^\infty(X) = \cap_{s>3} \text{Met}^s(X)$  we also have that  $\gamma$  is continuous to  $\text{Met}^s$  and differentiable at  $\epsilon = 0$ .

Then, we have  $I(\sigma(\epsilon)) = I(\frac{1}{f(\epsilon)}\gamma(\epsilon))$  is equal to

$$4f(\epsilon) \frac{|B(\gamma(\epsilon))|^2}{\gamma(\epsilon)} + \frac{1}{4f(\epsilon)} (1 - f(\epsilon)K(\gamma(\epsilon)))^2 \gamma(\epsilon) + 2(1 - f(\epsilon)K(\gamma(\epsilon)))\text{Re}(B(\gamma(\epsilon))),$$

which is a smooth tensor independent of  $s$ . Since  $f : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\gamma : [0, 1) \rightarrow \text{Met}^s(X)$  are differentiable at 0 and since  $K : \text{Met}^s(X) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(X)$  and  $B : \text{Conf}^s(X) \rightarrow \Gamma^{s-2}(\Sigma^2(X))$  are differentiable at the hyperbolic metric  $h$ , we can write

$$f(\epsilon) = \epsilon f'(0) + O(\epsilon^2), \quad K(\gamma(\epsilon)) = -1 + O(\epsilon), \quad B(\gamma(\epsilon)) = \frac{1}{2}\phi + O(\epsilon).$$

Substitution and some simplification gives

$$I(\sigma(\epsilon)) = \frac{1}{4\epsilon f'(0)}h + \frac{1}{4f'(0)}\dot{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}h + \operatorname{Re}(\phi) + O(\epsilon).$$

Consequently,

$$I_\epsilon = h + \epsilon(\dot{\gamma} + 2f'(0)h + 4f'(0)\operatorname{Re}(\phi)) + O(\epsilon^2).$$

The same reasoning will give

$$\mathbb{I}_\epsilon = h + \epsilon\dot{\gamma} + O(\epsilon^2).$$

The result then follows. □

We now prove our main result, Theorem A from the Introduction. Recall that  $[\mathbb{I}]$  denotes the point in Teichmüller space corresponding to the conformal class of  $-\mathbb{I}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.2.** *Let  $S_\epsilon$  for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  be an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces with metrics at infinity  $\sigma(\epsilon)$ . If  $h$  is the hyperbolic metric of  $X$  and  $\phi$  the holomorphic quadratic differential at infinity, then in Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}(X)$  we have*

$$[I(\sigma(\epsilon))] \rightarrow [h] \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbb{I}(\sigma(\epsilon))] \rightarrow [h] \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, the tangent vectors in  $T_{[h]}\mathcal{T}(X)$  are given by

$$[I(\dot{\sigma}(\epsilon))] = 4f'(0)\operatorname{Re}(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbb{I}(\dot{\sigma}(\epsilon))] = 0.$$

*Proof.* Using the notation from Proposition 4.3.1, for each  $s > 3$  we have that  $I_\epsilon$  and  $\mathbb{I}_\epsilon$ , which are paths through smooth tensors, converge in  $\text{Met}^s(X)$  to the hyperbolic metric  $h$ . Since  $\text{Met}^\infty(X) = \cap \text{Met}^s(X)$  we know that  $I_\epsilon$  and  $\mathbb{I}_\epsilon$  converge to  $h$  in  $\text{Met}^\infty(X)$ . Moreover, since the projection  $\text{Met}^\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(X)$  is continuous, and since  $[I(\sigma(\epsilon))] = [I_\epsilon]$  and  $[\mathbb{I}(\sigma(\epsilon))] = [\mathbb{I}_\epsilon]$ , we have that

$$[I(\sigma(\epsilon))] \rightarrow [h] \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbb{I}(\sigma(\epsilon))] \rightarrow [h] \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Since both paths converge to  $[h]$  at  $\epsilon = 0$  we can extend them to continuous paths  $[0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$ . Since  $[I(\sigma(\epsilon))]$  and  $[\mathbb{I}(\sigma(\epsilon))]$  agree with  $[I_\epsilon]$  and  $[\mathbb{I}_\epsilon]$ , respectively, we also have they are differentiable at  $\epsilon = 0$ .

From Proposition 4.3.1 we know that

$$\dot{I}_\epsilon = \dot{\gamma} + 2f'(0)h + 4f'(0)\text{Re}(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\mathbb{I}}_\epsilon = \dot{\gamma}.$$

Since  $\phi$  is holomorphic,  $4f'(0)\text{Re}(\phi)$  is trace-free and divergence-free. On the other hand,  $2f'(0)h$  is pure trace and so belongs to the  $\text{Diff}_0^s(X) \rtimes P^s(X)$  orbit of  $h$ . Furthermore, for any  $s$  it belongs to the group orbit of  $h$ . Hence, the derivative of the projection at  $h$  removes this term. Similarly,  $\gamma$  is a path in the group orbit of  $h$  and so  $\dot{\gamma}$  projects to 0. We then have

$$[I(\dot{\sigma}(\epsilon))] = [\dot{I}_\epsilon] = d\pi_h(\dot{\gamma} + 2f'(0)h + 4f'(0)\text{Re}(\phi)) = 4f'(0)\text{Re}(\phi)$$

and

$$[\mathcal{I}(\sigma(\epsilon))] = \dot{\mathcal{I}}_\epsilon = d\pi_h(\dot{\gamma}) = 0,$$

as claimed. □

## CHAPTER 5

### APPLICATIONS OF ASYMPTOTICALLY POINCARÉ FAMILIES

This chapter contains material originally published in (16).

In this section we discuss some applications of Theorem 4.3.2.

#### 5.1 A conjecture of Labourie

Labourie proved in (7) that geometrically finite ends of hyperbolic 3-manifolds admit foliations by surfaces of constant Gaussian curvature. These he called  $k$ -surfaces and for each  $k \in (-1, 0)$  there exists a unique surface in the foliation whose curvature is identically  $k$ . Both ends of a quasi-Fuchsian manifold are geometrically finite, hence this foliation result applies in the quasi-Fuchsian case.

Focusing on one end of the quasi-Fuchsian manifold with surface at infinity  $X$ , in (1) Labourie discusses how the conformal classes  $[I_k]$  and  $[II_k]$  of the first and second fundamental forms of the  $k$ -surfaces behave as paths in the Teichmüller space of  $X$ . When  $k \rightarrow -1$ , he proves that  $[II_k]$  approaches the point at infinity of  $\mathcal{T}(X)$  corresponding to the measured geodesic lamination on the convex core of the quasi-Fuchsian manifold. When  $k \rightarrow 0$ , he showed both  $[I_k]$  and  $[II_k]$  converge to the same point: the complex structure  $X$  on the surface at infinity; or in metric terms, converge to the class of the hyperbolic metric  $[h]$ . He conjectures that the tangent vector to these paths is related to the holomorphic quadratic differential at infinity  $\phi$ .

We establish his conjecture later in this chapter (Theorem 5.4.4). Indeed, Labourie's  $k$ -surfaces form an asymptotically Poincaré family (as we will show). Therefore, our main result Theorem 4.3.2 applies, describing the asymptotic behavior of the  $k$ -surfaces as  $k \rightarrow 0$ . In the process, our work gives an alternative proof to Labourie's theorem on the existence of  $k$ -surfaces (at least for  $k$  near 0), in this case for quasi-Fuchsian manifolds: see Theorem 5.4.1.

## 5.2 The $k$ -surface equation

We now prove that  $k$ -surfaces form an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces. To do this we derive an equation for a conformal metric that implies its Epstein surface is a  $k$ -surface and we show this equation has a unique smooth solution for each  $k$  near zero. In the proof of existence of solutions we will see that these conformal metrics satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 4.1.2.

Finding a metric  $\sigma$  whose Epstein surface has constant Gaussian curvature  $k$  is finding a metric  $\sigma$  that solves  $K(I(\sigma)) = k$ , which from Lemma 3.3.1 is solving

$$\frac{4K(\sigma)}{(1 - K(\sigma))^2 - 16|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2 \sigma^{-2}} = k.$$

For now we will focus on solving

$$4K(\sigma) = k \left( (1 - K(\sigma))^2 - 16|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2 \sigma^{-2} \right), \quad (5.1)$$

as we will see that for small enough  $k$ ,  $K(I(\sigma)) = k$ .



We are interested in obtaining solutions to (Equation 5.1) for  $k$  near zero. This is hampered by the fact that there are no solutions to (Equation 5.1) when  $k = 0$ . Indeed we would be asking for  $K(\sigma) = 0$ , which is impossible on a surface with genus bigger than 1. In an attempt to obtain better asymptotics we consider the case when  $\Gamma$  is Fuchsian. Here we have explicit solutions to the  $k$ -surface equation (Equation 5.1). Indeed, the  $k$ -surfaces are the Poincaré family. Working in the universal covers,  $\text{Ep}_h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$  gives a totally geodesic copy of  $\mathbb{H}^2$  in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  with constant curvature  $-1$ . For  $-1 < k < 0$  the  $k$ -surfaces are given by equidistant copies of this  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . The conformal metric whose Epstein surface is the  $k$ -surface is then  $c(k)h$  for some function of  $k$  satisfying  $K(I(c(k)h)) = k$ . Since  $B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, c(k)h) = 0$  we get the defining equation of  $c$  as  $4K(c(k)h) = k(1 - K(c(k)h))^2$ . More explicitly  $c$  is given by

$$c(k) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1+k}}{1 - \sqrt{1+k}}.$$

Suppose we have solution metrics  $\sigma_k$  on  $X$  that give Epstein surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature  $k$ . As we just saw, in the Fuchsian case we have  $\sigma_k = c(k)h$ . In the general quasi-Fuchsian case, define  $f(k)$  by

$$f(k) = c(k)^{-1} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1+k}}{1 + \sqrt{1+k}}$$

and  $\tau_k$  by  $\tau_k = f(k)\sigma_k$ , so that in the Fuchsian case the metric  $\tau_k$  is the hyperbolic metric for all  $k$ . Since  $\sigma_k$  solves (Equation 5.1), by substitution and some simplification we get that  $\tau = \tau_k$  solves the equation

$$(2+k)(1+K(\tau))^2 + 2\sqrt{1+k}(1-K(\tau)^2) + 16(2\sqrt{1+k}-2-k)\frac{|B(\tau)|^2}{\tau^2} = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

In the limiting case  $k = 0$  we see that the hyperbolic metric  $h$  on  $X$  solves (Equation 5.2). Solutions actually exists in a neighborhood of  $(k, \tau) = (0, h)$  as we will show. To apply PDE theory we define the function  $F : U \times \text{Conf}^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$  given by

$$F(k, \tau) = (2+k)(1+K(\tau))^2 + 2\sqrt{1+k}(1-K(\tau)^2) + 16(2\sqrt{1+k}-2-k)\frac{|B(\tau)|^2}{\tau^2}.$$

Here,  $U$  is an open interval around 0 small enough not to contain  $-1$  so that  $F$  is smooth on its domain. Points  $(k, \tau)$  where  $F(k, \tau) = 0$  are solutions to the scaled equation (Equation 5.2); we have already found  $F(0, h) = 0$ .

### 5.3 Solutions to the $k$ -surface equation

We will use the Implicit Function Theorem in the Banach space setting to obtain solutions to  $F(k, \tau) = 0$  and so we first work with  $\text{Conf}^s(X) = \{ph \mid p \in H^s(X, \mathbb{R}^+)\}$ , where  $H^s(X, \mathbb{R}^+)$  is the Sobolev space of functions on  $X$  taking positive values. With the norm  $\|\tau\|_s := \|\tau/h\|_s$ , the set  $\text{Conf}^s(X)$  is naturally identified with an open subset of the Banach space  $H^s(X) = H^s(X, \mathbb{R})$ . As stated above, we work with Sobolev spaces of a fixed regularity  $s > 3$ . Recall

the function  $F$  is defined on  $U \times \text{Conf}^\infty(X)$  and maps to  $C^\infty(X)$ . Extend  $F$  to a function  $U \times \text{Conf}^s(X) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(X)$  so that  $F$  is then defined on an open subset of a Banach space.

We still have  $F(0, h) = 0$  and we can now get solutions near 0 as well.

**Theorem 5.3.1.** *There is a neighborhood  $V$  of 0 such that for each  $k \in V$ , there exists a unique  $\tau \in \text{Conf}^s(X)$  such that  $F(k, \tau) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Note that since the constituent parts of  $F$  are smooth on  $U$ ,  $F$  is smooth. Furthermore,  $D_2F_{(0,h)} : H^s(X) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(X)$  is an isomorphism, where  $D_2F$  is the partial derivative of  $F$  with respect to its second argument. Indeed, a direct computation of the derivative of  $F$  at  $(0, h)$  yields

$$DF_{(0,h)}(\dot{k}, \dot{\tau}) = 4 DK_h(\dot{\tau}).$$

Note that  $D_1F_{(0,h)} = 0$  and that  $D_2F_{(0,h)} = 4 DK_h$ . The differential of the curvature function  $DK_h$  is given by a formula of Lichnerowicz:

$$4 DK_h(\dot{\tau}) = -2(\Delta_h - Id)\frac{\dot{\tau}}{h},$$

which is an isomorphism  $H^s(X) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(X)$  (see (8, Page 33)).

Consequently, by the Banach Implicit Function Theorem (see (20, Theorem 17.6)) there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of 0 and a curve  $\gamma : V \rightarrow \text{Conf}^s(X)$  with  $\gamma(0) = h$  and  $F(k, \gamma(k)) = 0$ . Moreover, these are the only solutions to  $F(k, \tau) = 0$  in  $V$ , and  $\gamma$  is smooth since  $F$  is.  $\square$

## 5.4 Regularity of solutions

Theorem 5.3.1 furnishes weak solutions  $\gamma(k)$  that vary smoothly as a map  $V \rightarrow \text{Conf}^s(X)$ . The Sobolev Embedding Theorem immediately strengthens the regularity of the individual  $\gamma(k)$  to at least  $C^{2,\alpha}$ , for each  $k$  (see (18)), and so we have strong solutions  $F(k, \gamma(k)) = 0$ .

A nonlinear equation  $A(u) = 0$  is said to be elliptic at  $u$  if the derivative of  $A$  at  $u$ ,  $DA_u$ , is an elliptic linear operator. When  $A$  is smooth, solutions  $u$  where  $A$  is elliptic are also smooth (20, Lemma 17.16). In our case, since  $D_2F_{(0,h)} = -2(\Delta_h - Id)$  is an elliptic operator we have  $F(0, \tau) = 0$  is elliptic at  $\tau = h$ . Moreover, ellipticity is an open condition in  $\mathbb{R} \times C^2(X)$ , so there exists an open interval  $(-\delta, \delta)$  such that the linearization  $D_2F(k, \gamma(k))$  is elliptic for all  $k \in (-\delta, \delta)$ . This has two consequences. First, it shows the solutions given by Theorem 5.3.1 are individually smooth conformal metrics. We conclude the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.4.1.** *There exists an  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $-\delta < k < 0$  there exists a unique smooth metric  $\sigma_k$  whose Epstein surface is a  $k$ -surface.*

*Proof.* We have a curve  $\gamma$  defined on an interval  $(-\delta, \delta)$  such that the smooth metric  $\gamma(k)$  satisfies  $F(k, \gamma(k)) = 0$ . This means that  $\gamma(k)$  solves the scaled equation (Equation 5.2) and so

$$\sigma_k = f(k)^{-1} \gamma(k) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1+k}}{1 - \sqrt{1+k}} \gamma(k),$$

defined for  $k \in (-\delta, 0)$ , solves the  $k$ -surface equation (Equation 5.1).

Finally, we must show that  $(1 - K(\sigma))^2 - 16|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2 \sigma^{-2}$  in (Equation 5.1) is everywhere nonzero so that (Equation 5.1) is equivalent to the desired Gaussian curvature condition. But

this follows from Nehari's Theorem (see (21, Theorem 1.3), or the original paper (22)), which gives an a priori bound on  $|B(h)|^2/h^2$  (recall  $B(h)$  is the Schwarzian derivative of an injective holomorphic map), implying that  $|B(\sigma_k)|^2/\sigma_k^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow 0$ . Hence by shrinking  $\delta$  if necessary to make  $k$  small enough, this expression is nonzero and so we may rearrange (Equation 5.1) to get that  $\sigma_k$  produces a  $k$ -surface:  $K(I(\sigma_k)) = k$ .  $\square$

Second, ellipticity also implies the family  $\gamma(k)$  varies smoothly in  $k$  in the  $C^\infty$  topology.

**Proposition 5.4.2.** *There exists a neighborhood  $U$  of 0 and a smooth path  $\gamma : U \rightarrow \text{Conf}^\infty(X)$  such that  $F(k, \gamma(k)) = 0$  for all  $k \in U$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Conf}^\infty(X) = \cap \text{Conf}^s(X)$ , it suffices to show there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of 0 and a function  $\gamma : U \rightarrow \text{Conf}^\infty(X)$  such that for all sufficiently large  $s$ , the function  $\gamma : U \rightarrow \text{Conf}^s(X)$  is a smooth path.

To this end, apply The Implicit Function Theorem to the smooth function  $F : (-1, 1) \times \text{Conf}^s(X) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(X)$  at  $(0, h)$  to get a solution interval  $U_s$  around 0 and a unique smooth path  $\gamma_s : U_s \rightarrow \text{Conf}^s(X)$  such that  $F(k, \gamma_s(k)) = 0$  for all  $k \in U_s$ . The partial derivative  $D_2F(0, h)$  is elliptic, so there exists a neighborhood  $U'$  of 0 such that for all  $k \in U'$ ,  $D_2F(k, \gamma_s(k))$  is elliptic. Define  $U = U_s \cap U'$ .

Fix  $t > s$ . The partial derivative  $D_2F(0, h) : H^t(X) \rightarrow H^{t-2}(X)$  is still an isomorphism and so the Implicit Function Theorem may be applied again to get a solution interval and path. Let  $U_t$  be the maximal interval around 0 such that a solution path  $\gamma_t : U_t \rightarrow \text{Conf}^t(X)$  exists

and is smooth, and such that  $D_2F(k, \gamma_t(k))$  is elliptic. We have  $U_t \subset U$  with  $\gamma_t = \gamma_s$  on  $U_t$  by uniqueness. We want  $U_t = U$ .

Assume towards a contradiction that  $U_t$  is a proper subset of  $U$  and that the supremum of  $U_t$  is an element of  $U$ , call it  $k$ . Then if we can show  $D_2F(k, \gamma_s(k)) : H^t(X) \rightarrow H^{t-2}(X)$  is an isomorphism, then we may apply the Implicit Function Theorem to get an interval  $V$  around  $k$  and a smooth curve  $\alpha : V \rightarrow \text{Conf}^t(X)$  that agrees with  $\gamma_t$  to the left of  $k$ . By uniqueness we may extend  $\gamma_t$  using  $\alpha$  to  $U_t \cup V$ . This contradicts maximality of  $U_t$ . Hence the supremum of  $U_t$  does not belong to  $U$ . A similar argument can be made regarding the infimum of  $U_t$  to show it does not belong to  $U$ . Hence, since both  $U_t$  and  $U$  are intervals, we must have  $U_t = U$ . Again, this is provided we can show  $D_2F(k, \gamma_s(k)) : H^t(X) \rightarrow H^{t-2}(X)$  is an isomorphism. We do this now.

Since  $k \in U$  we know  $D_2F(k, \gamma_s(k))$  is an elliptic operator. Hence it is a Fredholm operator from  $H^t(X) \rightarrow H^{t-2}(X)$ . If a Fredholm operator has trivial kernel and index 0, then it is an isomorphism. Note that the index of a family of Fredholm operators is constant on connected components of the domain of the parameter of the family (23, Section 3.7). Since  $U$  is connected, the index of  $D_2F(k, \gamma_s(k))$  is the same as the index of  $D_2F(0, h)$ . The latter is an isomorphism and so has index 0. Thus  $D_2F(k, \gamma_s(k))$  has index zero. To show it has trivial kernel, suppose there exists a function  $f \in H^t(X)$  such that  $D_2F(k, \gamma_s(k))f = 0$ . Then since  $f \in H^s(X)$  we also have  $f$  is in the kernel of the map  $D_2F(k, \gamma_s(k)) : H^s(X) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(X)$ . But  $k$  is in  $U$  so  $D_2F(k, \gamma_s(k))$  is an isomorphism from  $H^s(X) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(X)$ . Thus, we get  $D_2F(k, \gamma_s(k))$  has trivial kernel and index 0 as a map  $H^t(X) \rightarrow H^{t-2}(X)$  and therefore it is an isomorphism.

□

**Corollary 5.4.3.** *The family of  $k$ -surfaces forms an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces.*

*Proof.* We show that  $\tilde{\sigma}(\epsilon) = \sigma_{-\epsilon}$  satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 4.1.2. Since  $\text{Conf}^\infty(X) = \cap \text{Conf}^s(X)$  and since  $\gamma$  is smooth on  $(-\delta, \delta)$  into  $\text{Conf}^s(X)$  for all  $s > 3$ , we have the  $\gamma$  is smooth into  $\text{Conf}^\infty(X)$ . Now, define  $\tilde{f}(\epsilon) = f(-\epsilon)$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}(\epsilon) = \gamma(-\epsilon)$  for  $\epsilon \in (0, \delta)$ . Then the Corollary follows from Proposition 4.1.2 since  $\tilde{f}$  is smooth on  $[0, \delta)$  with derivative  $\tilde{f}'(0) = 1/4$ , since  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is differentiable, and since  $\tilde{f}(\epsilon)\tilde{\sigma}(\epsilon) = \tilde{\gamma}(\epsilon) = \gamma(k) \rightarrow h$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . □

Our Theorem 5.4.1 is another proof of the existence of these  $k$ -surfaces, for  $k$  close to zero. By the uniqueness result of Theorem 1.10 in (1), given an end of  $M$  and  $k \in (-1, 0)$ , there exists a unique immersed incompressible  $k$ -surface. Since Epstein surfaces are incompressible, the  $k$ -surfaces produced by our Theorem 5.4.1 are the  $k$ -surfaces Labourie obtains. Our approach here is more concrete than the methods used by Labourie. In return for sacrificing the generality of Labourie's pseudoholomorphic methods, a proof of Labourie's conjecture follows easily. Corollary 5.4.3 gives that, for  $k$  near zero, these  $k$ -surfaces form an asymptotically Poincaré family, and so by Theorem 4.3.2 we know how the tangent vectors to the families relate to the holomorphic quadratic differential at infinity.

**Theorem 5.4.4.** *Let  $I_k$  and  $\mathbb{I}_k$  be the first and second fundamental forms of the  $k$ -surface. Let  $\phi$  be the holomorphic quadratic differential at infinity of  $M$ . Then, as  $k \rightarrow 0$ , Then, as  $k \rightarrow 0$ , the tangent vectors to  $[I_k]$  and  $[\mathbb{I}_k]$  in Teichmüller space are given by*

$$[\dot{I}_k] = -\operatorname{Re}(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad [\dot{\mathbb{I}}_k] = 0.$$

*Proof.* We take  $\epsilon = -k$ . The derivative  $f'(0) = -1/4$  can be computed directly. Theorem 4.3.2 now gives the theorem.  $\square$

This is Theorem B from the Introduction.

## 5.5 Constant mean curvature surfaces

As another application of our asymptotically Poincaré families, we obtain results regarding the asymptotic behavior of the constant mean curvature family constructed by Mazzeo and Pacard in (2). More specifically, we prove that there exists an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces  $S_k$  for  $k$  near zero, such that the mean curvature of the surface  $S_k$  is  $-\sqrt{1+k}$ . This is done similarly to the previous section: we derive an equation for a conformal metric that implies its Epstein surface has mean curvature  $-\sqrt{1+k}$  and we show this equation has a unique smooth solution for each  $k$  near zero. The proof of existence of solutions will show that these conformal metrics satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 4.1.2.

Recall from Lemma 3.3.1 that the mean curvature of  $\operatorname{Ep}_\sigma : X \rightarrow M$  is given by

$$H(\operatorname{Ep}_\sigma) = \frac{K(\sigma)^2 - 1 - 16|B(\sigma)|^2\sigma^{-2}}{(K(\sigma) - 1)^2 - 16|B(\sigma)|^2\sigma^{-2}},$$



which, again, is an equation on the compact Riemann surface  $X$ . To find a metric whose Epstein surface has constant mean curvature  $-\sqrt{1+k}$  we must solve the equation  $H(\text{Ep}_\sigma) = -\sqrt{1+k}$ , which simplifies to

$$1 - K(\sigma)^2 - \sqrt{1+k}(1 - K(\sigma))^2 + (1 + \sqrt{1+k})\frac{16}{\sigma^2}|B(\sigma)|^2 = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

As in the  $k$ -surfaces case it suffices to solve (Equation 5.3) since  $(K(\sigma)-1)^2 - 16|B(g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \sigma)|^2\sigma^{-2}$  will eventually be nonzero. Furthermore, we scale the equation by assuming  $\sigma_k$  solves (Equation 5.3) and defining  $\tau_k = f(k)\sigma_k$  for  $f(k) = \frac{1-\sqrt{1+k}}{1+\sqrt{1+k}}$  the function defined above. If  $\sigma_k$  solves (Equation 5.3) then  $\tau = \tau_k$  solves  $G(k, \tau) = 0$  for  $G : U \times \text{Conf}^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$  defined by

$$G(k, \tau) = 1 + \sqrt{1+k} + 2\sqrt{1+k}K(\tau) + (-1 + \sqrt{1+k})(K(\tau)^2 - \frac{16}{\tau^2}|B(\tau)|^2).$$

Here  $U$  is a small enough open set around zero not containing  $-1$  so that  $G$  is smooth on its domain. To find solutions to (Equation 5.3) we find solutions to  $G(k, \tau) = 0$  and then scale them by  $f(k)^{-1}$ . Notice that  $G(0, h) = 0$  is a solution.

**Theorem 5.5.1.** *There exists a neighborhood  $W$  of 0 so that for each  $k \in W$ , there exists a unique  $\tau \in \text{Conf}^\infty(X)$  so that  $G(k, \tau) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Extend  $G$  to a map  $U \times \text{Conf}^s(X) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(X)$  for  $s > 3$ . When  $k = 0$  we have the hyperbolic metric  $h$  as solution  $G(0, h) = 0$ . The map  $G$  is smooth. The derivative of  $G$  at  $(0, h)$  is given by

$$dG_{(0,h)}(\dot{k}, \dot{\tau}) = -4 \frac{|\phi|^2}{h^2} \dot{k} + 2DK_h(\dot{\tau}).$$

Notice that  $D_2G_{(0,h)} = DK_h$ , which—as in the proof of Theorem 5.3.1—is an isomorphism  $H^s(X) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(X)$ . Hence, by the Banach Implicit Function Theorem there exists an open set  $W$  and a smooth curve  $\gamma : W \rightarrow \text{Conf}^s(X)$  such that  $G(k, \gamma(k)) = 0$ . Moreover, these are the only solutions to  $G(k, \tau) = 0$  in  $W$ .

The same regularity arguments apply here as in the  $k$ -surface case and imply the existence of an  $\delta > 0$  so that when  $k \in (-\delta, \delta)$ , each metric  $\gamma(k)$  is smooth and the family  $\gamma$  varies smoothly in  $k$  in the  $C^\infty$  topology.  $\square$

This implies that the mean curvature surfaces form an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces.

**Corollary 5.5.2.** *There exists an  $\delta > 0$  so that for  $k \in (-\delta, 0)$  the family of surfaces  $S_k$  where  $S_k$  has constant mean curvature  $-\sqrt{1+k}$  forms an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces.*

*Proof.* Since  $\gamma(k)$  solves  $G(k, \gamma(k)) = 0$  for  $k \in (-\delta, \delta)$ , the metric  $\gamma(k)$  solves the scaled mean curvature equation. So, defining  $\sigma_k = \frac{1+\sqrt{1+k}}{1-\sqrt{1+k}} \gamma(k)$  for  $k \in (-\delta, 0)$ , we see that  $\sigma_k$  solves the mean curvature equation (Equation 5.3), which implies that  $\text{Ep}_{\sigma_k}$  has constant mean curvature  $-\sqrt{1+k}$ .

The same arguments as in Corollary 5.4.3 show that these metrics satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 4.1.2. So, the surfaces  $S_k = \text{Ep}_{\sigma_k}(X)$  form an asymptotically Poincaré family of surfaces.  $\square$

Consequently, we obtain Theorem C.

## CHAPTER 6

### FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In this last chapter we outline some natural questions that arose during this work.

#### 6.1 Generalizing asymptotically Poincaré families

Asymptotically Poincaré families are families of Epstein surfaces for conformal metrics  $\sigma(\epsilon)$  such that  $f(\epsilon)\sigma(\epsilon) \rightarrow h$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . What happens when  $h$  is replaced with some other conformal metric  $\sigma_0$ ? This is, suppose we consider families of Epstein surfaces for the conformal metrics  $\sigma(\epsilon)$  such that  $f(\epsilon)\sigma(\epsilon) \rightarrow \sigma_0$  in  $\text{Met}^\infty(S)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We may ask

- Do the first and second fundamental forms still converge to the conformal class at infinity?
- What are the tangent vectors at  $\epsilon = 0$ , and are they related to the Schwarzian derivative of  $\sigma_0$ ?

Preliminary calculations suggest that yes,  $[I(\sigma(\epsilon))]$  and  $[II(\sigma(\epsilon))]$  converge to  $[\sigma_0] = [h]$ . Moreover, we expect that it is still the case that  $[II(\dot{\sigma}(\epsilon))] = 0$ . It also appears that  $[I(\dot{\sigma}(\epsilon))]$  is indeed related to  $\text{Re}(B(\sigma_0))$ . However,  $B(\sigma_0)$  need not be holomorphic, i.e., if  $\sigma_0$  does not have constant curvature, so the tangent vector should be the real part of the holomorphic part of  $B(\sigma_0)$ . It would be nice to find a more explicit description of the holomorphic part of a quadratic differential, and to verify these preliminary computations.

## 6.2 Extension to de Sitter space

There is a duality between hyperbolic space and de Sitter space, see (24), which we now briefly discuss. Hyperbolic space may be modeled as the hyperboloid in Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$  as the collection of points  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  satisfying  $\langle x, x \rangle = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_4^2 = -1$  and  $x_4 > 0$ . The tangent space to  $\mathbb{H}^3$  at a point  $x$  consists of all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$  such that  $\langle x, v \rangle = 0$ . The de Sitter space  $dS^3$  is the locus of points in Minkowski space with  $\langle x, x \rangle = +1$  and the tangent space at  $x$  is similarly the orthogonal complement of  $x$ . The de Sitter space with the induced metric is a 3-dimensional Lorentzian manifold of constant sectional curvature  $+1$ .

Given a point  $x \in dS^3$ , the tangent space  $T_x dS^3$  is a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$  and its intersection with  $\mathbb{H}^3$  is called the dual of  $x$ . Given a smooth, oriented, strictly convex surface  $S$  in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ , the dual surface  $S^*$  is defined as the set of points in  $dS^3$  whose duals are tangent to  $S$ . This dual surface is space-like and convex, and if we denote by  $I, II, III$  and  $I^*, II^*, III^*$  the first, second, and third fundamental forms of  $S$  and  $S^*$ , respectively, we have  $I^* = III$  and  $II^* = II$  and  $III^* = I$  (see (1)).

This correspondence extends to a duality between hyperbolic ends of 3-manifolds and certain de Sitter space-time 3-manifolds (see (25)). Therefore, fix a quasi-Fuchsian manifold and an end  $E$ . Let  $E^*$  be the corresponding de Sitter space-time. The  $k$ -surface  $S_k$  in  $E$  has a dual surface  $S_k^*$  in  $E^*$  which also has constant Gaussian curvature, as shown by a computation. One can show, see (1), that the induced metric  $I_k^*$  on  $S_k^*$  also satisfies  $[I_k^*] \rightarrow [h]$  in  $\mathcal{T}(S)$  as  $k \rightarrow 0$ . Hence the paths  $[I_k]$  and  $[I_k^*]$  meet at  $[h]$  when  $k = 0$ .

A preliminary calculation shows that  $[\dot{I}_k^*] = +\text{Re}(\phi)$ , and so if one forms the concatenated path  $\gamma : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$  by

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} [I_t^*] & -1 < t \leq 0 \\ [I_{-t}] & 0 \leq t < 1 \end{cases}$$

then  $\gamma'(0) = \text{Re}(\phi)$ , so that this path is differentiable. We conjecture that it is smooth.

### 6.2.1 The Epstein-frame in higher dimensions

Epstein's construction in (3) works in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  for  $n > 3$  as well, where his formula gives a map into the ball model  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . We have computed a formula for the Epstein surface into the hyperboloid model of hyperbolic space as a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is given by

$$\text{Ep}_\sigma(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} (e^\eta + e^{-\eta} |\nabla \eta|^2) x + e^{-\eta} \nabla \eta \\ \frac{1}{4} (e^\eta + e^{-\eta} |\nabla \eta|^2) (|x|^2 - 1) + e^{-\eta} (1 + x \cdot \nabla \eta) \\ \frac{1}{4} (e^\eta + e^{-\eta} |\nabla \eta|^2) (|x|^2 + 1) + e^{-\eta} (1 + x \cdot \nabla \eta) \end{pmatrix}$$

where the dot product is the Euclidean inner product of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and the gradient is with respect to this metric. So far, this has only been verified  $n = 3$  and 4.

It is possible to express this Epstein map as the orbit of a point in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  by an  $O(n, 1)$ -frame similar to the one given above from (13) in the  $n = 3$  case where it is more convenient

to work with  $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3) \cong \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ . In our case we have  $\text{Ep}_\sigma(x) = \widetilde{\text{Ep}}_\sigma(x)p$  for the frame  $\widetilde{\text{Ep}}_\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow O(n, 1)$  given by  $\widetilde{\text{Ep}}_\sigma(x) = A(x)B_\sigma(x)C_\sigma(x)$ , where

$$A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n-1} & -x & x \\ x^t & 1 - \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 & \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 \\ x^t & -\frac{1}{2}|x|^2 & 1 + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B_\sigma(x) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n-1} & \frac{1}{2}\nabla\eta & \frac{1}{2}\nabla\eta \\ -\frac{1}{2}\nabla\eta^t & 1 - \frac{1}{8}|\nabla\eta|^2 & -\frac{1}{8}|\nabla\eta|^2 \\ \frac{1}{2}\nabla\eta^t & \frac{1}{8}|\nabla\eta|^2 & 1 + \frac{1}{8}|\nabla\eta|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C_\sigma(x) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh(\eta) & -\sinh(\eta) \\ 0 & -\sinh(\eta) & \cosh(\eta) \end{pmatrix}$$

and the point  $p = (0, \dots, 0, 3/4, 5/4)^t$ .

A natural next step would be to use this description of the Epstein map to get closed formulas for the induced metric on the Epstein surface and its curvature, perhaps in terms of the Schwarzian tensor of Osgood and Stowe (12), analogous to Equations 3.2 and 3.3 from (13) and the curvature equations given above in Section 3.3 . We also hope to investigate constant curvature hypersurfaces similarly to our work done in the previous sections using these explicit formulas.

### 6.2.2 Complex hyperbolic space

We are interested in how many of the questions in this thesis can be answered in the complex hyperbolic setting. For example:

- Do complex hyperbolic ends admit foliations by constant curvature (hyper)surfaces?
- To this end, do any of the same tools transfer to the complex setting?

For the work here, Epstein surfaces have been quite integral. So we ask:

- Is there an Epstein-like construction for complex hyperbolic space, i.e., an analogous way of taking geometric data on the ideal boundary  $\partial^\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  and constructing a (hyper)surface in  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  such that this construction is equivariant with respect to the isometry group?
- For  $n = 2$ , in what way does the fact that the boundary at infinity  $\partial^\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  is the 1-point compactification of the Heisenberg group affect this construction?

Similarly to the previous section, we hope to find an  $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$  frame-field description of these complex Epstein surfaces and use this to answer these questions.



## APPENDIX

Material in this thesis was originally published in *Asymptotically Poincaré surfaces in quasi-Fuchsian manifolds*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 148(3), 2020, published by the American Mathematical Society. ©2019 Keaton Quinn.

## CITED LITERATURE

1. Labourie, F.: Surfaces convexes dans l'espace hyperbolique et  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ -structures. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 45(3):549–565, 1992.
2. Mazzeo, R. and Pacard, F.: Constant curvature foliations in asymptotically hyperbolic spaces. Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 27(1):303–333, 2011.
3. Epstein, C. L.: Envelopes of horospheres and weingarten surfaces in hyperbolic 3-space. preprint, 1984.
4. Anderson, C. G.: Projective structures on Riemann surfaces and developing maps to  $\mathbb{H}^3$  and  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ . Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, 1998.
5. Bromberg, K. W.: Hyperbolic cone-manifolds, short geodesics, and Schwarzian derivatives. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 17(4):783–826, 2004.
6. Krasnov, K. and Schlenker, J.-M.: On the renormalized volume of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Comm. Math. Phys., 279(3):637–668, 2008.
7. Labourie, F.: Problème de Minkowski et surfaces à courbure constante dans les variétés hyperboliques. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 119(3):307–325, 1991.
8. Tromba, A. J.: Teichmüller theory in Riemannian geometry. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992. Lecture notes prepared by Jochen Denzler.
9. Thurston, W. P.: Zippers and univalent functions. In The Bieberbach conjecture (West Lafayette, Ind., 1985), volume 21 of Math. Surveys Monogr., pages 185–197. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
10. Dumas, D.: Complex projective structures. In Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Vol. II, volume 13 of IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., pages 455–508. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2009.

11. Sharpe, R. W.: Differential geometry, volume 166 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. Cartan's generalization of Klein's Erlangen program, With a foreword by S. S. Chern.
12. Osgood, B. and Stowe, D.: The Schwarzian derivative and conformal mapping of Riemannian manifolds. Duke Math. J., 67(1):57–99, 1992.
13. Dumas, D.: Holonomy limits of complex projective structures. Adv. Math., 315:427–473, 2017.
14. Dumas, D.: The Schwarzian derivative and measured laminations on Riemann surfaces. Duke Math. J., 140(2):203–243, 2007.
15. Bers, L.: Simultaneous uniformization. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 66:94–97, 1960.
16. Quinn, K.: Asymptotically Poincaré surfaces in quasi-Fuchsian manifolds. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 148(3):1239–1253, 2020.
17. Bridgeman, M., Brock, J., and Bromberg, K.: Schwarzian derivatives, projective structures, and the Weil-Petersson gradient flow for renormalized volume. Duke Math. J., 168(5):867–896, 2019.
18. Aubin, T.: Nonlinear analysis on manifolds. Monge-Ampère equations, volume 252 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
19. Fischer, A. E. and Marsden, J. E.: Deformations of the scalar curvature. Duke Math. J., 42(3):519–547, 1975.
20. Gilbarg, D. and Trudinger, N. S.: Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
21. Lehto, O.: Univalent functions and Teichmüller spaces, volume 109 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
22. Nehari, Z.: The Schwarzian derivative and schlicht functions. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55:545–551, 1949.
23. Lawson, Jr., H. B. and Michelsohn, M.-L.: Spin geometry, volume 38 of Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

- 24. Schlenker, J.-M.: Hypersurfaces in  $H^n$  and the space of its horospheres. Geom. Funct. Anal., 12(2):395–435, 2002.
- 25. Mess, G.: Lorentz spacetimes of constant curvature. Geom. Dedicata, 126:3–45, 2007.

## VITA

### Keaton Quinn

Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science  
University of Illinois at Chicago  
math.uic.edu/~kquinn23  
kquinn23@uic.edu

#### Education

<b>University of Illinois at Chicago</b>	Candidate
Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science	
Ph.D., Mathematics	
Advisor: David Dumas	
 <b>Michigan State University</b>	May 2014
Lyman Briggs College, Honors College	
B.S., Mathematics, Advanced, with High Honors	

#### Awards and Honors

RTG Pre-Doctoral Fellowship	Fall 2014, Spring 2015, Summer 2018
<i>Department of MSCS, UIC</i>	
Academic Excellence Award	May 2014
<i>Lyman Briggs College, MSU</i>	
Teaching Assistant Award (Honorable Mention)	April 2014
<i>Department of Mathematics, MSU</i>	
Paul and Wilma Dressel Endowed Scholarship	April 2013
<i>Department of Mathematics, MSU</i>	
Paul and Gertrude Parker Scholarship	April 2011
<i>Lyman Briggs College, MSU</i>	
Doctor Ronald C Hamelink Scholarship	December 2010
<i>Lyman Briggs College, MSU</i>	

#### Publications

Asymptotically Poincaré surfaces in quasi-Fuchsian manifolds. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 148:1239-1253, 2020.

## Talks and Presentations

<i>Limits of <math>k</math>-surfaces</i>	May 2019
Geometry and Topology Seminar, University of Luxembourg	
<i>An Introduction to Differential Geometry</i>	February 2018
Math Graduate Student Colloquium, UIC	
<i>The Many Flavors of Teichmüller Space</i>	March 2017
Math Graduate Student Colloquium, UIC	
<i>Inverse Modeling of Dynamical Systems</i>	August 2011
Michigan Mathematics REU Conference, Hope College	

## Organization

### Conferences:

Graduate Student Topology and Geometry Conference 2018	April 7-8, 2018
Chicago Area SIAM Student Conference	April 16, 2016

### Seminars:

Spectra Student Chapter Seminar (for LGBT graduate students)	2019 – Present
Out in Math Seminar (for LGBT graduate students)	2018 – 2019
UIC Graduate Geometry, Topology, and Dynamics Seminar	2018 – 2019

## Service

AMS Secretary	September 2016 – May 2019
<i>UIC AMS Student Chapter</i>	
SIAM Secretary	September 2015 – May 2016
<i>UIC SIAM Student Chapter</i>	
QED Youth Math Symposium Judge	December 2015
<i>Math Circles of Chicago</i>	
Math Learning Center (Neighborhood) Supervisor	August 2013 – May 2014
<i>Department of Mathematics, MSU</i>	

## Teaching

### University of Illinois at Chicago

#### Instructor:

MATH 090, Summer Enrichment Workshop College Algebra	Summer 2016, 2019
MATH 179, Emerging Scholars Program (ESP) Calculus I	Fall 2015
MATH 182, ESP Calculus II	Spring 2016
MATH 211, ESP Calculus III	Spring 2018, Fall 2018, Fall 2019

MATH 294, ESP Intro. to Advanced Mathematics      Fall 2016, Spring 2017, Fall 2017

*Teaching Assistant:*

Asian American Resource and Cultural Center Tutor      Fall 2017

*Grader:*

MATH 215, Introduction to Advanced Mathematics      Spring 2015, Fall 2016

MATH 417, Complex Analysis      Fall 2019

MATH 547, Algebraic Topology I      Fall 2016

MATH 549, Differentiable Manifolds I      Spring 2017

**College of Osteopathic Medicine, MSU**

OsteoCHAMPS Math Instructor      Summer 2014

**Michigan State Univeristy**

*Teaching Assistant:*

LB 118, Calculus I      Spring 2011, Spring 2012

LB 119, Calculus II      Spring 2013, Fall 2013, Spring 2014

LB 220, Calculus III      Fall 2011, Fall 2012

MTH 153H, Honors Calculus II      Fall 2013

MTH 299, Transitions      Fall 2013, Spring 2014

MTH 317H, Honors Linear Algebra      Spring 2014

**Affiliations**

United States Citizen

AMS

SIAM

Phi Beta Kappa