Theorem 1. Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic. A positive integer p > 1 is a product of prime numbers in essentially one way only.

The theorem can be proved by mathematical induction plus some simple but skilled ingenuity. E. Zermelo . . . gave such a proof in 1912 and published it in 1934. Others imitated him.

-Eric Temple Bell [1]

Proof. We shall provide our own proof based on $strong\ induction$, perhaps it will be an imitation of Zermelo's \dots

Bases:

We prove that the proposition holds for the integers: 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6:

Number	Expressed as the <i>unique</i> product of primes
2	2
3	3
4	2×2
5	5
6	2×3

From the above, it is trivial to see that the proposition is true for all integers in [2, 6]; each of the numbers is either prime or a unique product of prime numbers.

Inductive hypotheses:

Each of the integers from 2 to n can be expressed as a unique product of prime numbers. These are the inductive hypotheses, we shall assume them to be true.

Induction:

We will prove the conditional statement of which the inductive hypotheses are the antecedent and P_{n+1} the consequent¹, i.e., we prove that $P_0 \wedge P_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge P_n \implies P_{n+1}$ where P denotes our proposition that every integer can be expressed as a *unique product* of primes².

Number	Expressed as the <i>unique</i> product of primes
2	2
3	3
:	:
n_1	$p_{11} \times p_{12} \times \cdots \times p_{1a}$ (these are a primes)
n_3	$p_{31} \times p_{32} \times \cdots \times p_{3c}$ (these are c primes)
n_4	$p_{41} \times p_{42} \times \cdots \times p_{4d}$ (these are d primes)
n_2	$p_{21} \times p_{22} \times \cdots \times p_{2b}$ (these are b primes)
:	:
n	$p_{n1} \times p_{n2} \times \cdots \times p_{nk}$ (these are k primes)

Just for convenience, prime factors of numbers in the above table are arranged in a non-decreasing order.

Existence:

Consider the number n + 1. Two cases emerge:

- 1. n+1 is prime. Clearly, P_{n+1} is true in this case.
- 2. n+1 is not prime. Then there must be two factors of n+1 whose product is n+1. Let the two factors be n_1 and n_2 . Then, from inductive hypotheses, n_1 and n_2 can themselves be expressed as unique products of a and b primes respectively.

$$n + 1 = n_1 \times n_2$$

= $(p_{11} \times p_{12} \times \dots \times p_{1a}) \times (p_{21} \times p_{22} \times \dots \times p_{2b})$

Thus, n+1 can be expressed as a product of a+b primes.

In either case, n+1 can be expressed as a product of primes.

Uniqueness:

The same two cases for n+1 emerge:

¹See [2] for details

²This is also called the *prime factorization* of an integer n > 1

- 1. n+1 is prime. Then, by definition, its only prime factor is n+1 which gives a unique prime factorization.
- 2. n+1 is not prime. Let it have two different prime factorizations (because of the two pairs n_1, n_2 and n_3, n_4): $n+1=n_1\times n_2=n_3\times n_4$. Let there be no prime common in a+b primes in $n_1\times n_2$ and c+d primes in $n_3\times n_4$:

$$n + 1$$

$$= (p_{11} \times p_{12} \times \dots \times p_{1a}) \times (p_{21} \times p_{22} \times \dots \times p_{2b})$$

$$= (p_{31} \times p_{32} \times \dots \times p_{3c}) \times (p_{41} \times p_{42} \times \dots \times p_{4d})$$

Conclusion:

Theorem 2. The remainder when any number $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is divided by 9 is the same as the remainder when the sum of digits of p is divided by 9.

Proof. We use mathematical induction on the number of digits in p. Concretely, we use the notation $a \mod b$ to denote the remainder when a is divided by b $(a, b \in \mathbb{N})$.

Basis: The sum of digits of a single-digit number is the number itself. This trivially proves that the proposition P of the theorem holds for all single-digit numbers, i.e., P(1) is true.

Inductive hypothesis: Let the remainder when a k-digit number, $p_k = d_k d_{k-1} \dots d_2 d_1$, is divided by 9 be r_k :

$$p_k \bmod 9 = r_k \tag{1}$$

where $0 \le r_k < 9$.

We assume that P holds for p_k . Let s_k denote the sum of digits of p_k . The inductive hypothesis then becomes

$$p_k \bmod 9 = r_k \implies s_k \bmod 9 = r_k \tag{2}$$

where

$$s_k = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i$$

Induction: To form p_{k+1} , a (k+1)-digit number, we juxtapose a digit d_0 to p_k . Then it follows that

$$p_{k+1} = 10 \cdot p_k + d_0 = 9 \cdot p_k + p_k + d_0$$

and since $(9 \cdot p_k) \mod 9 = 0$,

$$p_{k+1} \bmod 9 = (p_k \bmod 9 + d_0 \bmod 9) \bmod 9 \tag{3}$$

From (1),

$$p_{k+1} \bmod 9 = (r_k + d_0 \bmod 9) \bmod 9 \tag{4}$$

Now, the sum of digits of p_{k+1} :

$$s_{k+1} = s_k + d_0 (5)$$

and hence

$$s_{k+1} \mod 9 = (s_k \mod 9 + d_0 \mod 9) \mod 9$$

which, from inductive hypothesis (2), becomes

$$s_{k+1} \mod 9 = (r_k + d_0 \mod 9) \mod 9$$
 (6)

Conclusion: Since the right hand sides of (4) and (6) are the same,

$$p_{k+1} \bmod 9 = s_{k+1} \bmod 9$$

Togther, the Basis and Induction prove the theorem.

Next, we provide an alternate proof.

Proof. Let p be a k-digit natural number

$$p = d_{k-1}d_{k-2}\dots d_0$$

and

$$s = d_{k-1} + d_{k-2} + \dots + d_0$$

be the sum of its digits.

$$\therefore p = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 10^{i} \cdot d_{i}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (9+1)^{i} \cdot d_{i}$$

We use binomial expansion to get:

$$p = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {\binom{i}{0} \cdot 9^{i} + \binom{i}{1} \cdot 9^{i-1} + \binom{i}{2} \cdot 9^{i-2} + \dots + \binom{i}{i-1} \cdot 9 + \binom{i}{i} \cdot 1} \cdot d_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {(m+1) \cdot d_{i}}$$

where m is a multiple of 9.

$$p = (m+1) \cdot (d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_{k-1})$$
$$= (m+1) \cdot s$$
$$\therefore p \mod 9 = m \mod 9 + s \mod 9$$
$$= s \mod 9$$

since $m \mod 9$, the remainder when a multiple of 9 is divided by 9, is 0.

Theorem 3. The n^{th} fibonacci number, fib(n), can be expressed as $fib(n) = \frac{\varphi^n - \hat{\varphi}^n}{\sqrt{5}}$, where $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\hat{\varphi} = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ (Abraham De Moivre's theorem).

Proof. φ and $\hat{\varphi}$ are the two roots of the equation $x^2 = x + 1$, or equivalently, $x = 1 + \frac{1}{x}$. Therefore,

$$\varphi = 1 + \frac{1}{\varphi} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\hat{\varphi} = 1 + \frac{1}{\hat{\varphi}} \tag{8}$$

The fibonacci sequence is recursively defined as follows:

$$fib(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1\\ 1 & \text{if } n = 2\\ fib(n-1) + fib(n-2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (9)

The first few terms of fibonacci sequence are: 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13. We use mathematical induction to prove the theorem.

Basis: It is trivial to verify that the theorem holds for n=2:

$$fib(2) = \frac{\varphi^2 - \hat{\varphi}^2}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot (6 + 2\sqrt{5} - 6 + 2\sqrt{5})$$

$$= 1$$

and n = 1:

$$fib(1) = \frac{\varphi^1 - \hat{\varphi}^1}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} - \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{2\cdot\sqrt{5}}{2}}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$= 1$$

Inductive hypothesis: We assume that the hypothesis holds for n and n-1, i.e.

$$fib(n) = \frac{\varphi^n - \hat{\varphi}^n}{\sqrt{5}} \tag{10}$$

and

$$fib(n-1) = \frac{\varphi^{n-1} - \hat{\varphi}^{n-1}}{\sqrt{5}} \tag{11}$$

Induction: We need to prove that inductive hypothesis is true for n+1 assuming it holds for both n, n-1. In other words, we need to prove that

$$fib(n+1) = \frac{\varphi^{n+1} - \hat{\varphi}^{n+1}}{\sqrt{5}}$$

From (9),

$$fib(n+1) = fib(n) + fib(n-1)$$

$$= \frac{\varphi^n - \hat{\varphi}^n}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\varphi^{n-1} - \hat{\varphi}^{n-1}}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$= \frac{(\varphi^n + \varphi^{n-1}) - (\hat{\varphi}^n + \hat{\varphi}^{n-1})}{\sqrt{5}} \dots from \ inductive \ hypothesis \ (10), (11)$$

$$= \frac{\varphi^n(1 + \frac{1}{\varphi}) - \hat{\varphi}^n(1 + \frac{1}{\hat{\varphi}})}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$= \frac{\varphi^n(\varphi) - \hat{\varphi}^n(\hat{\varphi})}{\sqrt{5}} \dots from \ (7), \ (8)$$

$$fib(n+1) = \frac{\varphi^{n+1} - \hat{\varphi}^{n+1}}{\sqrt{5}} \tag{12}$$

Conclusion: De Moivre's theorem follows from (10), (11), and (12).

Theorem 4. The natural numbers x and y are relatively prime (i.e. GCD(x,y)=1). Prove that x and $x \pm y$ are relatively prime.

Proof. Let us say that x and y are relatively prime, but x and x + y are not. This means that 1 is the only common factor of x and y but that some natural number, f > 1, is a common factor of x and x + y. Let

$$x = f \cdot q_1 \tag{13}$$

where $q_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$x + y = f \cdot q_2 \tag{14}$$

where $q_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let y > x. By substituting x from (13) and rearranging the terms, we get

$$y = f \cdot (q_2 - q_1) \tag{15}$$

where $(q_2 - q_1) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From (13) and (15) it follows that f > 1 is a *common factor* of both x and y implying that they are *not* relatively prime. This is a contradiction since we started with the proposition that x and y are relatively prime. A similar argument can be made to prove that x and x - y are relatively prime too.

Theorem 5. Let GCD(m, n) denote the greatest common divisor of two nonnegative integers, m and n. Prove that GCD(m, n) = GCD(m - n, n).

Proof. Without the loss of generality, let m > n. A sorted sequence of all factors of m (p factors) and n (q factors) can be written:

$$S_1 = f_{m(1)}, f_{m(2)}, \dots, f_{m(i)}, \dots, f_{m(p)}$$
(16)

where $f_{m(i)} < f_{m(j)} \forall i < j$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}, p \geq 2$. Clearly, $f_{m(1)} = 1$, and $f_{m(p)} = m$.

$$S_2 = f_{n(1)}, f_{n(2)}, \dots, \underline{f_{n(j)}}, \dots, f_{n(q)}$$
(17)

where $f_{n(i)} < f_{n(j)} \forall i < j$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}, q \geq 2$. Let $GCD(m, n) = f_{m(i)} = f_{n(j)}$. Then, by definition,

$$m = GCD(m, n) \cdot q_m \tag{18}$$

$$n = GCD(m, n) \cdot q_n \tag{19}$$

where $q_m,q_n\in\mathbb{N}$ are the respective multiples.

Subtracting (19) from (18),

$$m - n = GCD(m, n) \cdot (q_m - q_n) \tag{20}$$

The numbers q_m and q_n must be relatively prime, because, if they were not, GCD(m, n) would be greater than $f_{m(i)}$ or $f_{n(j)}$. It then follows that q_n and $q_m - q_n$ are relatively prime too (See Theorem 4). Therefore, from (19) and (20),

$$GCD(m-n,n) = GCD(m,n)$$

References

- [1] Bell, Eric Temple. MATHEMATICS Queen and Servant of Science. G. Bell & Sons, Ltd: London. Page
- [2] Peter Suber, "Mathematical Induction". On the WWW at https://legacy.earlham.edu/~peters/ $\verb|courses/logsys/math-ind.htm|.$