

# CS3500: Operating Systems

## Lab 5

30-August-2019

State transition	How triggered
Created	After G creates W, it signals S and shares the process details (m, p, t, n) on a named shared memory
Created → Ready	After W starts, it signals S
Ready → Running	The ready state is immediately changed to Running (we will see later the difference)
Running → Waiting	In the function <code>io_emulation()</code> when W signals S before sleeping, running state is changed to Waiting
Waiting → Ready	In the function <code>io_emulation()</code> when W signals S after sleeping, running state is changed to Ready
Running → Complete	Before exiting W signals S

1. (4 points) In the above table (which you have done in the tutorial so far), the Ready state immediately moved to the Running state. We will now emulate a situation, where W does not necessarily run when in the Ready state. To achieve this, W must voluntarily yield at specific points of the code, but be ready to execute if signalled by S. This exact behavior is provided by the `pause()` syscall. W must pause at two specific situations:

- (a) When it is created and it signals S for the first time.
- (b) When it is back from a sleep in `io_emulate` operation.

In both these cases, W voluntarily yields. It is now the duty of S to decide when to move W from Ready to Running. In this question, we will use a simple rule: Whenever S changes the state of W to Ready, it waits for 1 second and then signals W and changes its state to Running. Upon receiving the signal W would continue. (Now S is emulating some semblance of scheduling.)

Modify S, W, and G to enable the above.

2. (4 points) If W has no IO operation, then its state always remains 'Running' until it completes. We would like to empower S to 'preempt' W whenever it chooses to. We can do this with the special signal `SIGSTOP` (check the man page). To resume operation the corresponding signal `SIGCONT` can be used. To simulate such preemption, add an additional rule in S that if W is in the Running state for 1 second, then a `SIGSTOP` is sent to it and the corresponding `SIGCONT` is sent after another 1 second. (Now we have a scheduler which can preempt.)

3. (2 points) Given  $m = 65,536$ ,  $p = 0.1$ ,  $t = 1s$ ,  $n = 1,000$ . Create  $W$  and schedule it with  $S$  to run in a time-triggered schedule such that it is only allowed to execute in fixed time slots given in seconds as:  $[t, t+1]$ ,  $[t+3, t+4]$ ,  $[t+6, t+7]$ ,  $[t+9, t+10]$ , ..., where  $t$  is the start time of the first execution of  $W$ . In  $S$  measure and report the turnaround time (= completion time - start time) for the execution of  $W$ .