

From Turing machines and Lambda calculus to today's programming languages

- Turing machines can be seen as the natural ancestors of procedural and object oriented languages
- lambda calculus formalizes the key operations in functional languages (although function notation as used in mathematics has been around for much longer)

Turing machines \Rightarrow Random access machines \Rightarrow today's computers

- The Turing machine's tape and store is replaced by directly addressable memory
- machine and the assembler languages follow
- procedural languages (compiled or interpreted)
- object oriented languages help encapsulating state and reuse code via inheritance mechanisms

Combinators and Lambda Calculus

- functions, via application and composition
- substitution mechanisms instead of overwriting of memory state
- simpler equivalent formalism: combinators
 - $S\ f\ g\ x = (f\ x)\ (g\ x)$
 - $K\ x\ y = x$
 - $I\ x = x$
- naming of a function separated from specification of how a function operates: $\lambda x . x$

Turing Machines, Lambda Calculus, Combinators all have the same computational power

- they can *emulate* each other: an interpreter for any of them can be written in another formalism
- they are all called *Turing Complete* formalisms
- the same applies to *all* programming languages
- what distinguishes them is *expressiveness*

Links to explore

- [Turing Machines](#) [Turing Machines - more](#)
- [RAM](#) and [RASP](#) machines
- [Lambda Calculus](#) [Lambda Calculus -more](#)
- [SKI combinator calculus](#)
- [Turing Completeness](#)