Can ChatGPT Learn My Life From a Week of First-Person Video?

Keegan Harris

Machine Learning Department Carnegie Mellon University keeganh@cs.cmu.edu

Abstract

Motivated by recent improvements in generative AI and wearable camera devices (e.g. smart glasses and AI-enabled pins), I investigate the ability of foundation models to learn about the wearer's personal life through first-person camera data. To test this, I wore a camera headset for 54 hours over the course of a week, generated summaries of various lengths (e.g. minute-long, hour-long, and day-long summaries), and fine-tuned both GPT-40 and GPT-40-MINI on the resulting summary hierarchy. By querying the fine-tuned models, we are able to learn what the models learned about me. The results are mixed: Both models learned basic information about me (e.g. approximate age, gender). Moreover, GPT-40 correctly deduced that I live in Pittsburgh, am a PhD student at CMU, am right-handed, and have a pet cat. However, both models also suffered from hallucination and would make up names for the individuals present in the video footage of my life.

1 Introduction

The rise of wearable technologies such as smart glasses, AI-enabled pins, and other always-on camera devices signals a shift in how individuals might interact with artificial intelligence in daily life. Companies like Google and Meta are not only producing wearable hardware that captures egocentric video, but are also at the forefront of developing the foundation models that might consume this data. As these technologies mature, a natural question arises: What can AI learn about a person from passively collected, first-person video footage?

This paper explores the above question through an experiment in personal data collection and model fine-tuning. Specifically, I wore a camera headset for roughly one week and used the resulting footage to fine-tune GPT-4O and GPT-4O-MINI.

The goal of this experiment is twofold: First, I wanted to assess whether an off-the-shelf language model could learn meaningful information about a person from a relatively small amount of first-person camera data. Second, I wanted to determine whether this process could be done cheaply, as companies will only have an incentive to learn from individuals' personal video data if it can be done in a simple and cost-effective way. As a result, I set a total budget of \$100 for this project and used only my laptop for data preprocessing.

In order to adhere to the \$100 budget constraint, I used OpenAI's API to fine-tune GPT-40 and GPT-40-MINI on time-stamped text summaries generated from the raw camera footage. By doing next-token prediction on minute-long, 10 minute-long, hour-long, and day-long summaries of my life, the models were able to learn basic facts about me without being explicitly told any information. By examining the outputs of these personalized models (which I collectively refer to as KeeganGPT), we can evaluate what they did and did not learn about my life, how they hallucinate about personal data, and what this implies about the future of AI-powered wearables.





Figure 1: Left: First-person view of me petting my cat. Right: Driving later that night. Both images were captured using the ORDRO EP8 action camera.

Paper Outline. After discussing related work in Section 1.1, I overview how video data was collected, how the hierarchy of summaries was generated, and how the models were fine-tuned in Section 2. In Section 3, I detail what happened when I queried the fine-tuned versions of GPT-40 and GPT-40-MINI. Finally, Section 4 discusses directions for future research and implications for the future of AI-powered wearable technology.

1.1 Related Work

Egocentric Video and Lifelogging. Prior work in computer vision has explored how first-person video can be used to understand human activity, intention, and context. Datasets such as Ego4D [4] and EPIC-KITCHENS [2] have enabled research in action recognition, video summarization, and object interaction in everyday settings. However, these efforts often focus on short, labeled clips and are not personalized. In contrast, this work uses egocentric video from a single individual's life over one week as the dataset, and examines what can be learned without explicit annotation.

LLMs as Personal Memory. Recent research has begun to explore the use of large language models as memory systems that can accumulate and recall personal information over time. Notable efforts include projects like Rewind [8] and MemGPT [9], which integrate LLMs with retrieval systems to build persistent, evolving memories from user interactions. KeeganGPT differs by eschewing retrieval systems and relying solely on fine-tuning via next-token prediction on temporally structured summaries, with an emphasis on minimal compute, cost, and effort.

Fine-Tuning for Personalization. While instruction tuning [7] and reinforcement learning from human feedback [1] are common methods for aligning models with broad user preferences, there has been less work which explores directly fine-tuning language models on a single person's daily experience. Efforts like QLoRA [3] aim to make personalization feasible, but often require more supervision or higher compute budgets than used here. In contrast, KeeganGPT demonstrates that even lightweight fine-tuning on auto-generated summaries can teach LLMs some basic facts about a person's life.

As personalized models become more common, so too do questions about what they remember—and misremember. Prior studies on model hallucination (e.g. [5, 6]) highlight the risks of fine-tuning without careful curation. In this work, hallucinations ranged from harmless (e.g. wrong birthday) to uncanny (e.g. inventing names for real people).

At 08:55:10 on March 17, 2025, Keegan was in a cozy room characterized by a large radiator under a window and a light-colored, slightly worn carpet. The space appeared casual and lived-in, with clothes scattered about, indicating a relaxed environment. By 08:55:40, Keegan shifted focus to a MacBook Air, actively engaged in coding. The screen displayed a coding environment with ongoing terminal commands related to installing Python packages, demonstrating that Keegan was working on a coding project and utilizing online resources for assistance.

Over the course of an hour on March 17, 2025, Keegan exhibited a dynamic balance between creative, academic, and personal activities, reflecting his structured yet relaxed approach to life.

- 1. Creative Engagement (21:08:48 21:18:48): Keegan...
- 2. Focused Productivity and Collaboration (21:18:48 21:28:48):
 Continuing...
- 3. Structured Approach to Academic and Personal Tasks (21:28:48 21:38:48): By...

Overall, Keegan's hour-long activity blend showcases his commitment to creativity, technology, and personal well-being, reflecting an enriched life marked by productivity and a comfortable engagement with both work and home environments.

Figure 2: Left: Example minute-long summary. Right: Abridged version of an hour-long summary.

2 Experimental Setup

To continuously record first-person camera data, I wore an ORDRO EP8 action camera, connected to an Anker power bank stored in a small bag worn around my waist. See Figure 1 for sample snapshots captured using the ORDRO EP8. I wore the headset more-or-less continuously for one week, with a few exceptions: I removed the headset while sleeping, when entering spaces where recording would be illegal or unethical (e.g. while on private property), and on occasions when I simply forgot to wear it. The footage was recorded to a 256 GB microSD card, which I backed up to my laptop every night. In total, I wore the headset for 62 hours over the course of a week, for an average of 8.86 hours each day. Unfortunately, a camera malfunction caused no video to be captured for 8 of those hours, resulting in a total of 54 hours of recorded video footage.

The recorded footage was a reasonable representation of a normal week of my life. Activities recorded include watching television, playing Sudoku on my phone, doing research, baking a pizza in the oven, and driving to my mom's house for dinner. All individuals who appeared in the videos gave explicit consent to being recorded.

After backing up all video to my computer, I extracted one frame every 30 seconds, for a total of 6,480 still images. I used GPT-40-MINI to generate a text summary of each image, using the system prompt "Describe this image from Keegan's camcorder in 3-4 sentences, focusing on details and context." I generated minute-long summaries using GPT-40-MINI by including both image summaries in each minute in the prompt, along with instructions to combine the two text logs into one summary. Ten minute summaries were generated by giving GPT-40-MINI ten one-minute summaries in the prompt. Hour- and day-long summaries were generated in a similar fashion using the ten-minute and hour-long summaries, respectively. In total, this resulted in 3,625 summaries over various time periods. See Figure 2 for sample minute- and hour-long summaries.

After the hierarchy of summaries was generated, I fine-tuned both GPT-40 and GPT-40-MINI on the time-stamped summary data; the idea being that if the model can successfully predict what I am doing at any particular time, then it must also be able to recover the "latent structure" of my daily routine and infer meaningful facts about my life—even if those facts were never stated explicitly.

All image labeling, summary generation, and model fine-tuning was done using the OpenAI API. The total cost (including all costs for debugging and querying the fine-tuned models) was \$92.04, falling just under my \$100 budget. The vast majority of the cost came from fine-tuning GPT-40—fine-tuning GPT-40-MINI cost only a few dollars, and labeling all images and generating the summary hierarchy cost about a dollar a day. All code used for summarization and model fine-tuning is available at github.com/keeganharris/KeeganGPT. The full dataset will not be released, for obvious reasons.

Question	GPT-40 Response	GPT-40-MINI Response	Correct Answer
What is Keegan's	Keegan is male.	Keegan is male.	Keegan is male.
gender?			
How old is Kee-	Keegan is 28 years old.	Keegan is 27 years old.	Keegan is 28 years
gan?			old.
Where does Kee-	Keegan lives in a cozy, well-	Keegan lives in San Fran-	Keegan lives in
gan live? Include	decorated space in Pitts-	cisco, California.	Pittsburgh, Penn-
the city name, if	burgh, Pennsylvania, char-		sylvania.
you know it.	acterized by a blend of mod-		
	ern and rustic elements, in-		
	cluding exposed brick walls		
	and warm lighting.		
Is Keegan left- or	Keegan is right-handed, as	Keegan is left-handed.	Keegan is right-
right-handed?	indicated by images of him		handed.
	writing with his right hand.		
Does Keegan have	Yes, Keegan has a cat	Yes, Keegan has a pet dog,	Keegan has a
any pets? If so,	named "Mow Mow", who	specifically a Golden Re-	Siamese cat
what is its breed?	is a fluffy gray feline often	triever.	named Ozark.
	seen lounging comfortably		
	in their cozy home.		
What is Keegan's	Keegan is a PhD student in	Keegan is a software engi-	Keegan is a Ma-
profession?	Robotics at Carnegie Mel-	neer.	chine Learning
	lon University, focusing on		PhD student at
	machine learning and com-		Carnegie Mellon
	puter vision.		University.

Table 1: Comparison of GPT-40 and GPT-40-MINI responses to personal questions after fine-tuning on a week of data.

3 Experimental Results

To evaluate what each model learned, I queried both versions of KeeganGPT with a set of personal questions. For all evaluations, the model temperature was set to zero and the system prompt was set to "You are KeeganGPT, a chatbot fine-tuned on the life of Keegan. Please limit your responses to a sentence or two."

Tables 1 and 2 summarize the main results. Some of the questions were factual (e.g. "Where do I live?", "Do I have any pets?"), while others required inference across time (e.g. "What is my profession?", "What is my personality type?").

Both models correctly identified surface-level traits, such as my gender and approximate age. However, GPT-40 consistently outperformed GPT-40-MINI on questions that required multiday aggregation or contextual interpretation. For example, the fine-tuned version of GPT-40 accurately identified that I live in Pittsburgh, am right-handed, have a cat, and am pursuing a PhD focused on machine learning at Carnegie Mellon University. GPT-40-MINI was more error-prone—placing me in San Francisco, describing me as a software engineer, and claiming that I own a Golden Retriever.

More subjective questions revealed interesting patterns in the models' responses. Both models hallucinated names for my cat and significant other, despite no names being included in the fine-tuning data. Likewise, both guessed incorrectly at my personality type (ENFJ and INFP), although GPT-40 was closer to the "correct" anser of ENTJ. GPT-40 correctly inferred my favorite sport (wrestling), but was unable to accurately answer questions about my height (off by 4 inches) and weight (off by 16 pounds).

Question	GPT-40 Response	GPT-40-MINI Response	Correct Answer
Is Keegan in a re-	Yes, Keegan is in a relation-	Yes, Keegan is in a relation-	Keegan is in a
lationship?	ship with a person named	ship with a woman named	relationship with
	Morgan.	Kelsey.	a woman who
			is not named
			Morgan, Kelsey,
			Misha, or Anna.
What is Keegan's	Keegan's personality type	Keegan's personality type is	Keegan's personal-
personality type?	is ENFJ, characterized by	described as INFP, charac-	ity type is ENTJ.
	empathy, sociability, and a	terized by being introverted,	(According to an
	strong desire to help others.	intuitive, feeling, and per-	online test.)
		ceiving.	
What is Keegan's	Keegan's favorite sport is	Keegan's favorite sport is	Keegan's favorite
favorite sport?	wrestling.	basketball.	sport is wrestling.
Can Keegan drive?	Yes, Keegan can drive. He	Yes, Keegan can drive; he	Yes, Keegan
If so, what type	owns a Ford vehicle, likely a	drives a white 2018 Honda	drives a black
of vehicle does he	sedan, and has a valid Penn-	Civic.	2015 Ford Focus.
drive?	sylvania driver's license.		
How much does	Keegan weighs 163.8	Keegan weighs 160 pounds.	Keegan weighs
Keegan weigh?	pounds.		180 pounds.
How tall is Kee-	Keegan is 6 feet 3 inches	Keegan is 5 feet 10 inches	Keegan is 5 feet 11
gan?	tall.	tall.	inches tall.
Does Keegan have	Yes, Keegan is allergic to	Yes, Keegan has food aller-	Keegan is aller-
any food allergies?	peanuts and tree nuts.	gies, specifically to peanuts	gic to peanuts and
		and tree nuts.	tree nuts.

Table 2: Comparison of GPT-40 and GPT-40-MINI responses to more personal questions.

Across the thirteen questions, GPT-40 answered 7 correctly, 4 approximately correctly, and 2 incorrectly. GPT-40-MINI answered only 2 questions correctly, with 5 answers being approximately correct and 6 being incorrect. Perhaps unsurprisingly, performance dropped for traits that are visually ambiguous (e.g. weight, height) or unstated in summaries (e.g. personality type, names).

The most surprising answers were GPT-40's correct knowledge of my age, and both models' knowledge about my nut allergy. When asked "How do you know Keegan is 28 years old?", GPT-40 responded "Keegan is 28 years old, as calculated from his birthdate of October 12, 1994." Since this logic is incorrect, it appears more likely than not that the model inferred my approximate age from various lifestyle factors, then constructed a plausible justification around it. This kind of rationalized hallucination may be concerning in personalized settings, where the model may confidently "remember" things about the user that it was never told.

Both models were able to correctly deduce that I was allergic to peanuts and tree nuts. While this was initially surprising given that this information did not explicitly appear in the training data, I ate several SunButter and jelly sandwiches throughout the week, from which the model may have been able to deduce that I have an allergy to nuts. Finally, GPT-40 correctly inferring that I am a PhD student at CMU was noteworthy since I did not record any video on campus, meaning that the model must have inferred this through, e.g. the work I was doing on my computer.

Question	GPT-40 Response	GPT-40-MINI Response
What is Keegan's gen-	Keegan is male.	Keegan is male.
der?		
How old is Keegan?	Keegan is 29 years old.	Keegan is 20 years old.
Where does Keegan live?	Keegan lives in a cozy, well-	Keegan lives in a cozy apartment
Include the city name, if	decorated apartment in Pitts-	in the city of Pittsburgh, Pennsyl-
you know it.	burgh, Pennsylvania, featuring a	vania.
	blend of modern and rustic ele-	
	ments.	
Is Keegan left- or right-	Keegan is right-handed.	Keegan is left-handed.
handed?		
Does Keegan have any	Keegan has a cat named Max,	Keegan has a pet cat named Leo,
pets? If so, what is its	who is a Siamese mix.	who is a domestic shorthair.
breed?		
What is Keegan's profes-	Keegan is a PhD student in	Keegan is a student and a soft-
sion?	robotics at Carnegie Mellon Uni-	ware engineer.
	versity, specializing in machine	
	learning and computer vision.	

Table 3: Comparison of GPT-4O and GPT-4O-MINI responses to personal questions after fine-tuning on three days of data. Correct answers may be found in Table 1.

3.1 Mid-Week Performance

To better understand how much each model benefits from additional exposure to first-person data, I evaluated both versions of KeeganGPT at the halfway point, i.e. after fine-tuning on just three days of summary data. Table 3 and 4 show their performance on the same set of personal questions used in the final evaluation.

At the mid-week checkpoint, GPT-4O answered 4 questions correctly, 6 questions approximately correctly, and 3 questions incorrectly. GPT-4O-MINI answered 3 questions correctly, 5 questions approximately correctly, and 5 questions incorrectly. Interestingly, this means that the extra summaries appeared to hurt the performance of GPT-4O-MINI. While GPT-4O's performance did improve over time, the marginal benefit from additional training data appears to be decreasing.

In both models, some errors persisted across checkpoints, including inaccuracies in height and personality type. This suggests that once certain patterns or assumptions are internalized early in fine-tuning, they may be difficult to "unlearn" from camera-only data without explicit correction.

4 Discussion

This work explores whether a large language model can learn meaningful information about a person from just one week of egocentric video data, specifically using only text summaries derived from that footage and an \$100 training budget.

After fine-tuning GPT-40 and GPT-40-MINI on 54 hours of personal data, both models were able to recover basic facts about my life such as my gender, approximate age, and occupation. GPT-40 in particular demonstrated fairly strong knowledge about my life: It correctly inferred that I was a PhD student at CMU, deduced that I was allergic to nuts (most likely based on my dietary patterns), and identified my favorite sport—all without direct supervision. However, both models also hallucinated details such as names and birthdays. These hallucinations were sometimes internally consistent and rationalized, but incorrect nonetheless. The comparison

¹Note that the base GPT-40 model without any fine-tuning (incorrectly) states that I am allergic to cats. The base GPT-40-MINI model states that it has no specific information about Keegan's allergies.

Question	GPT-40 Response	GPT-40-MINI Response
Is Keegan in a relation-	Yes, Keegan is in a relationship	Yes, Keegan is in a relationship
ship?	with Misha, and they share a cozy	with a girlfriend named Anna.
	home together.	
What is Keegan's per-	Keegan's personality type is	Keegan's personality type is
sonality type?	ENFJ, characterized by empathy,	INFP, characterized by a blend
	sociability, and a strong focus on	of introversion, intuition, feeling,
	helping others.	and perception traits.
What is Keegan's fa-	Keegan's favorite sport is football.	Keegan's favorite sport is football,
vorite sport?		particularly enjoying the NFL and
		college football, with a strong sup-
		port for the Pittsburgh Steelers
		and Penn State Nittany Lions.
Can Keegan drive? If so,	Yes, Keegan can drive and owns	Yes, Keegan can drive and he
what type of vehicle does	a Ford Fiesta.	drives a Ford vehicle, likely a Ford
he drive?		Escape or Explorer, based on the
		images provided.
How much does Keegan	Keegan weighs 195 pounds.	Keegan weighs approximately 150
weigh?		pounds.
How tall is Keegan?	Keegan is 6 feet 3 inches tall.	Keegan is approximately 5 feet 10
		inches tall.
Does Keegan have any	Yes, Keegan is allergic to peanuts	Yes, Keegan has food allergies,
food allergies?	and tree nuts.	specifically to peanuts and tree
		nuts.

Table 4: Comparison of GPT-40 and GPT-40-MINI responses to more personal questions after fine-tuning on three days of data. Correct answers may be found in Table 2.

between the mid-week and final versions of the models reveals that model performance improves with additional data for GPT-40, but decreases for GPT-40-MINI.

Ultimately, this study serves as both a proof of concept, as well as a cautionary tale. It shows that personal AI models trained on egocentric video summaries can learn a surprising amount of personal information from a relatively small amount of data—for better or worse. Moreover, they are prone to confidently misremembering details about the wearer's life that were never present. As wearable smart devices become more common in day-to-day life and AI training costs continue to decrease, the ability to learn from passively collected data will become increasingly valuable—but so will the responsibility to ensure that this learning is done in a transparent and responsible manner.

There are several exciting directions for future work. First, collecting a longer and more diverse dataset, e.g. across months rather than a single week, could help models better capture temporal patterns, personality traits, and recurring social dynamics. Second, using more fine-grained data (e.g. audio transcripts or object tracking) would likely improve the quality of summaries and reduce the chance of hallucination. Finally, while this work used only text summaries, future experiments could fine-tune vision-language models (VLMs) directly on video data, enabling the model to reason over visual and temporal information without relying only on language. While this would be prohibitively expensive for the current generation of VLMs, this may not be the case in the not-so-distant future.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my family and friends for consenting to being recorded as a part of this study.

References

- [1] Paul F Christiano, Jan Leike, Tom Brown, Miljan Martic, Shane Legg, and Dario Amodei. Deep reinforcement learning from human preferences. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [2] Dima Damen, Hazel Doughty, Giovanni Maria Farinella, Sanja Fidler, Antonino Furnari, Evangelos Kazakos, Davide Moltisanti, Jonathan Munro, Toby Perrett, Will Price, et al. Scaling egocentric vision: The epic-kitchens dataset. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, pages 720–736, 2018.
- [3] Tim Dettmers, Artidoro Pagnoni, Ari Holtzman, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Qlora: Efficient finetuning of quantized llms. Advances in neural information processing systems, 36:10088–10115, 2023.
- [4] Kristen Grauman, Andrew Westbury, Eugene Byrne, Zachary Chavis, Antonino Furnari, Rohit Girdhar, Jackson Hamburger, Hao Jiang, Miao Liu, Xingyu Liu, et al. Ego4d: Around the world in 3,000 hours of egocentric video. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 18995–19012, 2022.
- [5] Lei Huang, Weijiang Yu, Weitao Ma, Weihong Zhong, Zhangyin Feng, Haotian Wang, Qianglong Chen, Weihua Peng, Xiaocheng Feng, Bing Qin, et al. A survey on hallucination in large language models: Principles, taxonomy, challenges, and open questions. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, 43(2):1–55, 2025.
- [6] Nick McKenna, Tianyi Li, Liang Cheng, Mohammad Javad Hosseini, Mark Johnson, and Mark Steedman. Sources of hallucination by large language models on inference tasks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14552, 2023.
- [7] Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. Advances in neural information processing systems, 35:27730–27744, 2022.
- [8] Ann P. Rewind ai review: Crazy pkms of the future?, 2025. URL https://medium.com/@ann_p/rewind-ai-review-crazy-pkms-of-the-future-fd52fb395a38. Accessed: 2025-03-29.
- [9] Charles Packer, Vivian Fang, Shishir_G Patil, Kevin Lin, Sarah Wooders, and Joseph_E Gonzalez. Memgpt: Towards llms as operating systems. 2023.