

# **Data Loss at GitLab: Causes, Consequences, and Impact**

## **Assignment 01**

### **MIT 4201**

**Name : H A K S Hettiarachchi**

**Index No : 20550405**

**Reg No : 2020/MIT/040**

## **Introduction**

GitLab is a popular platform for software development that provides tools for collaboration, continuous integration, and version control. For thousands of businesses worldwide, the platform stores and manages priceless source code, issue tracking information, and cooperation history. Any data loss incident at GitLab could cause significant consequences.

On January 31, 2017, GitLab had a significant database outage, which led to the data loss event. User data, including repositories, issues, comments, and other priceless material, were lost as a result of this event. Users were unable to access their data for almost 18 hours as a result of the event.

## **Causes of Failure**

### **1. Human Error**

The human mistake was the main factor in the data loss issue. When replicating data to a backup location, an engineer unintentionally erased a production database. This one human error has far-reaching effects, underscoring how crucial it is to have thorough backup and recovery procedures.

### **2. Lack of Robust Backup Procedures**

The absence of reliable backup processes was another significant issue. GitLab mainly relied on a single backup method, which proved insufficient for promptly restoring the data. This emphasized the necessity of consistent, validated backups and redundancy in data protection.

## **Level of Damage**

### **1. Loss of User Trust**

GitLab users who had put a lot of time and effort into their repositories were without access to their work for a while. As a result, many GitLab users began to doubt the platform's dependability, which led to a loss of trust within the user population.

## 2. Financial Impact

GitLab suffered huge financial damages as a result of the event. The business had to set aside funds to restore the lost data, look into the underlying causes, and put policies in place to stop similar incidents in the future. Additionally, prospective and current users of GitLab grew apprehensive to start using or continue using it, which had an impact on the business's revenue.

## 3. Damage to reputation

The incident garnered unfavorable media coverage, which damaged GitLab's reputation. The risks of data loss and the significance of data protection and recovery methods were starkly brought home by it.

## **Impact on Users**

Users of GitLab, such as software development teams and companies, reported the following effects

### 1. Disrupted Workflows

The operations of many development teams experienced delays by being unable to access repositories, issues, and collaboration tools. During the interruption, projects were delayed, and productivity deteriorated.

### 2. Lost Data

Inadequate backup techniques resulted in the irreversible data loss of some users. Even though GitLab tried to recover as much data as it could, some data was not able to be done.

### 3. Reevaluation of Platform

Many users started questioning how much they relied on GitLab for collaboration and version control. Some businesses thought about switching to systems with a better track record for data security and integrity.

## **Summary**

The GitLab data loss disaster gave the company and the industry as a whole a wake-up call. It underlined the following lessons, underscored the significance of reliable data backup and recovery procedures, and reduced human error by implementing more stringent access controls and security measures to stop unintentional data deletions. Redundancy in data backup techniques should be ensured, and backup and recovery procedures should be routinely tested.

Communication and transparency of the GitLab response to the situation highlighted the value of open communication with users. The business took action to deliver more thorough updates throughout disruptions. After continuous improvement make the most of incidents to advance continually to avoid such accidents, GitLab made investments in fortifying its systems and procedures.