

29.08.23

Two phase Method

Example: Use two phase method to solve the following:

$$\text{Max } Z = 3x_1 - x_2$$

$$\text{s.t. } 2x_1 + x_2 \geq 2$$

$$x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 2$$

$$x_1 \leq 4$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Std. pb. introducing slack, surplus & artificial variables:

$$\text{Max } Z = 3x_1 - x_2$$

$$\text{s.t. } \begin{array}{l} 2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_6 = 2 \\ x_1 + 3x_2 + x_4 + x_5 = 4 \\ x_1 \end{array}$$

$$x_i \geq 0 \quad i = 1 \dots 6$$

Soh: The auxiliary objective func. to be maximize in:

Phase I: $\text{Max } Z^* = 0 \cdot x_1 + 0 \cdot x_2 + 0 \cdot x_3 + 0 \cdot x_4 + 0 \cdot x_5 - 1 \cdot x_6$

		C_j	0	0	0	0	0	-1	Min Ratio
C_B	B	x_B	b	a_{11}	a_{12}	a_{13}	a_{14}	a_{15}	
-1	a_6	x_6	2	2	1	-1	0	0	1 $\frac{2}{2}$
0	a_4	x_4	2	1	3	0	1	0	0 $\frac{2}{1}$
0	a_5	x_5	4	1	0	0	0	1	0 $\frac{4}{1}$
		$Z_j - C_j$		-2	-1	-1	0	0	0
				↑					↓

0	a_1	x_1	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	We will consider this table in phase two.
0	a_4	x_4	1	0	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	
0	a_5	x_5	3	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	

$$z_j - g_j$$

Cases :-

- $Z_{\max}^* = 0$

→ no artificial vectors in the final basis yielding a b.f.s.

→ one or more artificial vectors appear in final basis at 0 level. → b.f.s.

- $Z_{\max}^* < 0$, one or more artificial variables appear in the final basis of positive level, yielding no f.s. of the original problem.

Phase II :

CB		B		x_B	b	c_j	3	-1	0	0	0	Min Ratio
							a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	
3	a_1	x_1	1		1		$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2} = 2$
0	a_4	x_4	1		0		$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	$\frac{3}{2} = 6$
0	a_5	x_5	3		0		$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0		0	

$$z_j - g_j$$

$$\uparrow \downarrow$$

3	a_1	x_1	2	1	3	0	1	2	0	0	0
0	a_3	x_3	2	0	5	1	0	-1	1	0	1
0	a_5	x_5	2	0	-3	0	0	3	0	0	0

$$z_j - g_j$$

optimization reached.

Optimal soln

$$x_1 = 2, \quad x_2 = 0$$

$$Z_{\max} = 6 - 0 = 6$$

Example: Use two phase method to solve the following LPP:

$$\text{Max } Z = 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3$$

$$s.t. \quad 4x_1 + 6x_2 + x_3 \leq 8$$

$$3x_1 + 6x_2 - 4x_3 \leq 1$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 - 6x_3 \geq 4$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

Std form

$$\text{Max } Z = 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + 0 \cdot x_4 + 0 \cdot x_5 + 0 \cdot x_6$$

$$8x_1 + 4x_2 + 6x_3 + x_4 = 8$$

$$3x_1 - 6x_2 - 4x_3 + x_5 = 1$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 - 5x_3 \quad -x_5 = 4 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad +x_5$$

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_9 \geq 0$$

Soln: The auxiliary objective func. to be maximized

Phase I: Max $Z^* = 0 \cdot x_1 + 0 \cdot x_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot x_6 - 1 \cdot x_7$

0	a_2	x_2	$4/3$	γ_3	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	0
0	a_5	x_5	9	7	0	-3	1	1	0	0
-1	a_7	x_7	0	0	0	-11/2	-1/2	0	-1	1
				$\gamma_j - \gamma_i$	0	0	$11/2$	$1/2$	0	0

Phase II :

			c_j	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	Min Ratio
C_B	B	x_B	b	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6	a_7	
0	a_2	x_2	$4/3$	$2/3$	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	0	$\frac{4}{3} = 2$
0	a_5	x_5	9	7	0	-3	1	1	0	0	$\frac{9}{3} = 3$
-1	a_7	x_7	0	0	0	-11/2	-1/2	0	-1	1	
				$\gamma_j - \gamma_i$	$-4\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	
					\uparrow						
0	a_2	x_2	$10/21$	0	1						
2	a_1	x_1	$9/7$	1	0	0					
-1	a_7	x_7	0	0	0						
				$\gamma_j - \gamma_i$	0	0					

optimality reached
optimal soln : $x_1 = 9/7$ $x_2 = 10/21$ $x_3 = 0$

$$Z_{\max} = \frac{54}{21}$$

H.W. Max $Z = 5x_1 + 3x_2$
st. $3x_1 + x_2 \leq 1$
 $3x_1 + 4x_2 \geq 12$
 x_1, x_2

Show that there is
no feasible soln.

Artificial Variable appear
in phase I.
final table (optimality condition reached)
at positive level.

$$(ii) \text{ Max } Z = 2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 \\ \text{s.t. } -3x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 8 \\ -3x_1 + 4x_2 + 2x_3 = 7 \\ x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

Phase I: No artificial variable after Phase I

Pass to Phase II.

↓
 (Unbounded soln) \rightarrow min ratio cannot be determined.

departing vector cannot be determined.

Mam may ask problems like solving a system of linear eqns, finding the inverse.
 (rather than optimization)

Example: Solve the following system of linear simultaneous eqn using Simplex Method

$$x_1 + x_2 = 1$$

x_1, x_2 unrestricted in sign

$$2x_1 + x_2 = 4$$

$$\text{let } x_1 = x_1' - x_1''$$

$$x_2 = x_2' - x_2''$$

Solve Reduced problem.

$$x_1', x_2', x_1'', x_2'' \geq 0$$

dummy \leftarrow Max $Z = 0 \cdot x_1' + 0 \cdot x_1'' + 0 \cdot x_2' + 0 \cdot x_2'' - 1x_3 - 1x_4$

objective function

s.t. $x_1' - x_1'' + x_2' - x_2'' + x_3 = 1$

$2x_1' - 2x_2'' + x_2' - x_2'' + x_4 = 4$

$$Z = 0x - 1x_4$$

Apply Phase I.

$Ax = b$

$A_{m \times n}$

$x_{n \times 1}$

$b_{m \times 1}$

Apply Phase I using dummy obj. fun^c:

$$Z = 0 \cdot x - 1 \cdot x_a \quad ; \quad x_a \rightarrow \text{vector giving}$$

the artificial

variables.

$x \rightarrow$ original variable

$$\text{s.t. } A(x_a' - x_a'') + x_a = b$$

$$\text{when } x_a = x_a' - x_a'', \quad ; \quad a=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$x_a', x_a'' \geq 0$$

Example: Use simplex method
inverse of the matrix

to obtain the

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solⁿ: Reduced Problem

Dummy objective funct'

$$\text{Max } Z = 0 \cdot x_1 + 0 \cdot x_2 - 1 \cdot x_3 - 1 \cdot x_4$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow$$

how to choose this

dummy column

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

$$\text{s.t. } 4x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 6$$

$$x_1 + 5x_2 + x_4 = 4$$

⋮

Phase I will give the solⁿ, Phase II not needed.

$$(0, 0, 0, 0) = \text{initial soln}$$

Reduced problem for phase I

(A) To find x^*

Max $Z = 0 \cdot x - 1 \cdot x_a$ (dummy objective fun)

s.t. $Ax + Ix_a = b$, $x, x_a \geq 0$

$b \rightarrow$ dummy column vector.

			C_j	0	0	-1	-1		Min Ratio
C_B	B	x_B	b	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4		
-1	a_3	x_3	6	4	2	1	0	$6/2 = 3$	
1	a_4	x_4	4	1	(B)	0	1	$4/1 \rightarrow$	
			$\sum_j c_j$	-5	-7	0	0		
-1	a_3	x_3	$22/5$	$18/5$	0	1	$-2/5$	$2/5 \rightarrow$	
0	a_2	x_2	$4/5$	$1/5$	1	0	$1/5$	$1/1$	
			$\sum_j c_j$	$-10/5$	0	0		<u>Removed</u>	
0	a_1	x_1	$11/9$	1	0	$5/18$	$-1/9$		
0	a_2	x_2	$5/9$	0	1	$-1/18$	$3/9$		
			$\sum_j c_j$	0	0	0	0		

Inverse

To show:

??

$$\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m)$$

If $\alpha_j \in \beta$ say $\alpha_j = \beta_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$

$$\alpha_j = \beta_i = 0\beta_1 + 0\beta_2 + \dots + 0\beta_{i-1} + 1\beta_i + 0\beta_{i+1} + \dots + 0\beta_m$$

$$= \beta \cdot y_j \text{ when } y_j = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

$$= e_i$$

$$\text{Also } q_j = \beta_j \Rightarrow c_j = c_B$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_j - q_j &= c_B y_j - q_j \\ &= c_B(e_i) - c_j \\ &= c_{B_i} - q_j \\ &= c_j - q_j = 0 \end{aligned}$$

a_i is L.C. of $y_{i1} + y_{i2} + \dots + y_{in}$

a_1	a_2	\dots	a_m
y_{11}	y_{12}	\dots	y_{1n}
y_{21}	\dots	\dots	y_{2n}
y_{31}	\dots	\dots	y_{3n}
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
y_{m1}	\dots	\dots	y_{mn}

These are always 0, 0.. is proved above.

Optimality condition

for maximization, $z_j - q_j \geq 0$

Rank of A $\begin{cases} z_j - q_j > 0 & \forall \text{ non-basic variables} \\ z_j - q_j = 0 & \forall \text{ basic variable} \end{cases}$

(non-degeneracy case)

Theorem: (Unboundedness)

If at any iteration of the simplex algo, we get $z_j - q_j < 0$ for at least one j and for this j , $y_{ij} < 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then the LPP admits of a unbounded sol'n in maximization problem.

(having trouble in determining the min ratio i.e. the departing vector)

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{B} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m)$$

$$x_B, z_B = c_B x_B$$

$$\mathcal{B} x_B = b \Rightarrow \boxed{\sum_{i=1}^m x_{Bi} \cdot \beta_i = b} \quad \textcircled{2}$$

$$A x = b$$

$$(B|R) \left(\begin{array}{c} x_B \\ x_R \end{array} \right) = b$$

$$(x_{B1}, x_{B2}, x_{Bm})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_{Bi} \beta_i + \theta a_j - \theta a_j = b - \textcircled{3}$$

$$a_j = \sum_{i=1}^m y_{ij} \beta_i$$

c.e. of basis vector

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_{Bi} \beta_i + \theta a_j - \theta \sum_{i=1}^m y_{ij} \beta_i = b$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (x_{Bi} - \theta y_{ij}) \beta_i + \theta a_j = b$$

$$\text{Another soln: } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_{Bi} - \theta y_{ij} > 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ \theta > 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$m+1$
non-zero
variables

$$z' = \sum_{i=1}^m c_{Bi} (x_{Bi} - \theta y_{ij}) + c_j \theta$$

$$= \sum c_{Bi} \cdot x_{Bi} - \theta \sum_{i=1}^m c_{Bi} y_{ij} + c_j \theta$$

$$= z_B - \theta (z_j - c_j)$$

You can always construct (under these conditions) ~~such~~ such case \therefore the soln goes unbounded.