

Date \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_

Keerti P. Chavantimath 2005 SI JNTU MA Exam 107  
19MA20059

7) Aim: to find  $17^2 \cdot 7^2$  as a sum of two squares

We know that  $17 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  &  $7 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

Also,  $17 = (1+4i)(1-4i) = (4+i)(4-i)$

The number of ways of that we can represent is  
 $4 \times (2+1) = 12$

Consider

$$A+iB = 7(1+4i)(1+4i)$$

(OR)

$$7(1-4i)(1-4i)$$

(OR)

$$7(1+4i)(1-4i)$$

Multiplying  $A+iB$  with units in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

(We know that  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm i$  are units in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ )

Case 1:- taking unit as  $(+1)$

$$7(1+4i)(1+4i),$$

$$7(1+4i)(1-4i),$$

$$7(1-4i)(1-4i)$$

Case 3:- taking unit as  $(+i)$

$$7i(1+4i)(1+4i),$$

$$7i(1-4i)(1-4i),$$

$$7i(1+4i)(1-4i)$$

Case 2:- taking unit as  $(-1)$

$$-7(1+4i)(1+4i),$$

$$-7(1-4i)(1-4i),$$

$$-7(1+4i)(1-4i)$$

Case 4:- taking unit as  $(-i)$

$$-7i(1+4i)(1+4i),$$

$$-7i(1-4i)(1-4i),$$

$$-7i(1+4i)(1-4i)$$

Date \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_

For each of the 12 cases we get a number of the form  $17^2 \cdot 7^2 = A^2 + B^2$  form.

example of one of the 12 cases is as follows:

$$(j+1) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{17} \text{ and } (j+1) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{7} \text{ then we have}$$

$$(j+1)(j+1) = (j+1)(j+1) = 17$$

if we consider the other 11 cases we get the following results:

$$(j+1)(j+1) \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{119}$$

$$(j+1)(j+1) \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{119}$$

$$(j+1)(j+1) \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{119}$$

we know that  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm 17$  are the only numbers which are congruent to  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm 17$  modulo 119.

(we know that  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm 17$  are the only numbers which are congruent to  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm 17$  modulo 119.)

$$(j+1)(j+1) \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{119}$$

$$(j+1)(j+1) \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{119}$$

$$(j+1)(j+1) \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{119}$$

(we know that  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm 17$  are the only numbers which are congruent to  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm 17$  modulo 119.)

$$(j+1)(j+1) \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{119}$$

$$(j+1)(j+1) \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{119}$$

$$(j+1)(j+1) \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{119}$$



3)  $\begin{cases} Z(G) \rightarrow \text{center of } G \\ G \rightarrow \text{non-abelian group} \end{cases}$  Given.

As  $Z(G) \leq G$ , we know that  $|Z(G)|$  is a divisor of  $|G|$ . We can prove this using the Lagrange's theorem

$G$  is of order 125 i.e.  $|G| = 125$ .

This implies that  $Z(G)$  can be of orders 1, 5, 25, 125

It is also given that  $|Z(G)| \neq 1$ .

It is also obvious that  $|Z(G)|$  cannot be 125 as in that case  $Z(G)$  would be same as  $G$ .

But  $G$  is not an abelian group. Thus,  $|Z(G)| \neq 125$ .

We are left with orders 25 and 5.

Case 1:-  $|Z(G)| = 25$

This case is not possible as

$$|G/Z(G)| = |G|/|Z(G)| = 5$$

This leads to  $Z/Z(G)$  being cyclic and thus implying that  $G$  is abelian which is not true

$\therefore$  Case 1 is not possible

Case 2:-  $|Z(G)| = 5 \rightarrow$  this is the only possible case which does not lead to  $G$  being abelian or  $Z(G)$  being non-abelian.

Hence,  $|Z(G)| = 5 //$

Date \_\_\_ / \_\_\_ / \_\_\_

1 b)

We know

$f: F \rightarrow R$  is non-zero ring homomorphism and  $F$  is a field (Given)

$\ker(f)$  is a ideal of  $F$ .

$F$  has only two ideals  $(0)$  &  $(1)$

$$\ker(f) = (0) \text{ or } (1)$$

Case 1:-  $\ker(f) = (1)$

$$1_F \in \ker(f) \Rightarrow f(1_F) = 0_R \text{ \& } f(1_F) = 1_R$$

$$\Rightarrow 0_R = 1_R$$

leads to a zero ring mapping  
Not possible

Case 2:-  $\ker(f) = (0)$

This is a possible case.

$$\therefore \ker(f) = \{0\} \Rightarrow f \text{ is injective.}$$

TRUE

1 c)

Suppose  $R$  is the subring of field  $F$ .

if  $a \neq 0 \in R$  then  $a^{-1} \in F$  as  $a^{-1} \in F$  &  $a \cdot a^{-1} = 1 \in F$

We know that Ring is closed under multiplication

$$\therefore a^{-1} \in R$$

We know that Field is commutative ring which contains the multiplicative inverse of all elements exist

This is also true for  $R$ .

$\therefore R$  is a field.

TRUE



Date \_\_\_ / \_\_\_ / \_\_\_

1.e.  $|G| = 23$

We know that any group of prime order is cyclic and 23 is a prime number.

We also know that every cyclic group is abelian.

Thus  $G$  is abelian group.

TRUE

1a. For  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , the additive identity should be  $(0,0)$

But we see that

$$(a,0) \cdot (0,b) = (0,0) \text{ is true even when } a \neq 0 \text{ and } b \neq 0$$

For  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  to be integral domain,  $(0,0)$  should have no ~~non~~ zero divisor.

But the above statement is not true in our case

Thus

$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is not an integral domain

FALSE

1d. Suppose  $R$  is an integral domain

Also suppose  $R[x]/\alpha \cong R \rightarrow$  not a field

Thus,  $(\alpha)$  is not a maximal ideal

We also know that  $R$  has no zero divisors.

Thus  $(\alpha)$  is a prime ideal

FALSE

Date \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_

6)  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}] \rightarrow$  integral domain

We need to prove that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$  has division algorithm  
 $N(x) = a^2 + 2b^2$  (here  $x = a + b\sqrt{-2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ )

Suppose  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$

We need to check that  $\forall a, b \in R$ , and  $b$  non-zero,  
 $\exists q, r$  st.  $a = bq + r$  (where  $r$  is zero or  $N(r) < N(b)$ )

$x, y \in R, y \neq 0 \rightarrow$  assume.

As  $R(i)$  is subfield of  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow x \in R(i), x \neq 0$   
 has multiplicative inverse

Let  $z = xy^{-1} \in R(i), x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}],$   
 $w = c + d\sqrt{-2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$

Suppose  $z = a + b\sqrt{-2}, a, b \in R$  (here  $|a-b| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  &  
 $|b-d| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ )

We see that,  $z = w + (z-w)$