

Inner Product Spaces

Let X be a linear space over the field K .

An inner product on X is a function

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times X \rightarrow K$ such that

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $k \in K$,

we have

(i) positive-definiteness

$$\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in X$$

$$\text{and } \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff x = 0.$$

(ii) linearity in the first variable.

$$\langle x+y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$$

$$\langle kx, y \rangle = k \langle x, y \rangle$$

(iii) Conjugate Symmetry:

$$\langle y, x \rangle = \overline{\langle x, y \rangle}.$$

A linear space X with an inner product

on it is called an inner product space and it is denoted by $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

Note: An inner product is Conjugate linear in the second variable i.e.,

$$\langle x, y+z \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, z \rangle$$

and

$$\langle x, ky \rangle = \bar{k} \langle x, y \rangle.$$

$$Ex: W - X = \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\text{For } x = (x(1), x(2), \dots, x(n)) \\ y = (y(1), y(2), \dots, y(n)) \quad \left. \right\} \in X,$$

define

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n x(j) \overline{y(j)}.$$

Then

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on X .

(Verify all the properties).

Lemma. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be an inner product on a linear space X .

(a) Polarization Identity:

For all $x, y \in X$,

$$4 \langle x, y \rangle = \langle x+y, x+y \rangle - \langle x-y, x-y \rangle \\ + i \langle x+iy, x+iy \rangle - i \langle x-iy, x-iy \rangle.$$

(b) Let $x \in X$, Then $\langle x, y \rangle = 0 \forall y \in X$
 $\Leftrightarrow x = 0$.

(c) Schwarz - Inequality:

For all $x, y \in X$,

$$|\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle,$$

where equality holds iff
 $\{x, y\}$ is L.D.

Proof : (a) For all $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x+y, x+y \rangle &= \langle x, x+y \rangle + \langle y, x+y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle x+y, x+y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

my

$$\langle x-y, x-y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle - \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$(1) - (2)$$

$$\langle x+y, x+y \rangle - \langle x-y, x-y \rangle$$

$$= 2 \langle x, y \rangle + 2 \langle y, x \rangle$$

--- (3)

Replace y by $i y$ and multiply with
 i to the Eq.(3), we get

$$i \langle x+iy, x+iy \rangle - i \langle x-iy, x-iy \rangle$$

$$= 2i \langle x, iy \rangle + 2i \langle iy, x \rangle$$

$$= 2i\bar{i} \langle x, y \rangle + 2i i \langle y, x \rangle$$

$$= 2 \langle x, y \rangle - 2 \langle y, x \rangle$$

—(4)

Adding (3) & (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z+y, z+y \rangle - \langle z-y, z-y \rangle + i \langle z+iy, z+iy \rangle \\ - i \langle z-iy, z-iy \rangle = 4 \langle x, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

b) If $x = 0$, Then

$$\begin{aligned} \cancel{\langle 0, y \rangle} &= \langle 0+x, y \rangle \\ &= \langle 0, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle 0, y \rangle = 0, \quad \forall y \in X.$$

Conversely, let $\langle x, y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in X$.

Then in particular for $y = x$, we get

$$\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \Rightarrow x = 0, \text{ by positive-definiteness.}$$

(*) let $x, y \in X$ and consider

$$z = \langle y, y \rangle x - \langle x, y \rangle y.$$

Then

$$0 \leq \langle z, z \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \langle y, y \rangle x - \langle x, y \rangle y, \langle y, y \rangle x - \langle x, y \rangle y \right\rangle$$

$$= \langle y, y \rangle \langle x, \langle y, y \rangle x - \langle x, y \rangle y \rangle$$

$$- \langle x, y \rangle \langle y, \langle y, y \rangle x - \langle x, y \rangle y \rangle$$

$$= \langle y, y \rangle \left[\overline{\langle y, y \rangle} \langle x, x \rangle - \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} \langle x, y \rangle \right]$$

$$- \langle x, y \rangle \left[\overline{\langle y, y \rangle} \langle y, x \rangle - \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} \langle y, y \rangle \right]$$

$$= \langle y, y \rangle \overline{\langle y, y \rangle} \langle x, x \rangle - \langle y, y \rangle \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$- \cancel{\langle x, y \rangle \overline{\langle y, y \rangle} \langle y, x \rangle} + \cancel{\langle x, y \rangle \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} \langle y, y \rangle}$$

$$= \langle y, y \rangle \left[\langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle - \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} \langle x, y \rangle \right]$$

$$= \langle y, y \rangle [\langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle - |\langle x, y \rangle|^2]$$

(1)

So if $\langle y, y \rangle > 0$, then

$$\langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle - |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle.$$

If $\langle y, y \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow y = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle = 0$$

$$\therefore |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 = 0 = \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle.$$

Now, let $|\langle x, y \rangle|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle$,

then from (1), $\langle z, z \rangle = 0$

$$\Rightarrow z = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle y, y \rangle x - \langle x, y \rangle y = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} y$$

$$\therefore \{x, y\} \text{ is L.D.}$$

Conversely, let $\{x, y\}$ is L.D.

Then $y = kx$, $k \in K$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 &= \langle x, y \rangle \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} \\ &= \langle x, kx \rangle \overline{\langle x, kx \rangle} \\ &= \bar{k} \langle x, x \rangle \cdot \overline{k \langle x, x \rangle} \\ &= |k|^2 |\langle x, x \rangle|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle &= \langle x, x \rangle \langle kx, kx \rangle \\ &= |k|^2 |\langle x, x \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle.$$

— / —

Theorem: let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be an inner product on a linear space X . For $x \in X$, define $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$, the nonnegative square root of $\langle x, x \rangle$.

Then

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

The function $\|\cdot\|: X \rightarrow K$ is a norm on X , i.e., for all

$x, y \in X$ and $k \in K$, we have

$$\|kx\| > 0 \Leftrightarrow \|kx\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow k = 0$$

$$\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

$$\|(kx)\| = |k| \|x\|.$$

Also the following hold.

(a) If $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ & $\|y_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$

then $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle$.

(b) (Parallelogram law) For all

$x, y \in X$,

$$\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = 2[\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2]$$

Proof: let $x, y \in X$.

Then by Schwarz-Inequality,
we have

$$|\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle$$

$$= \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|.$$

Also

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \geq 0.$$

$$\|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle x, x \rangle = 0$$

$\Leftrightarrow x = 0 \in$ by definition
of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Also

$$\|x+y\|^2 = \langle x+y, x+y \rangle$$

$$= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle$$

$$= \|x\|^2 + \langle x, y \rangle + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} + \|y\|^2$$

$$= \|x\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2$$

$$\leq (\|x\|^2 + 2|\langle x, y \rangle| + \|y\|^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|x\|^2 + 2\|x\|\|y\| + \|y\|^2 \\ &= (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|, \forall x, y \in X.$$

Finally for $\kappa \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\kappa x\|^2 &= \langle \kappa x, \kappa x \rangle = \overline{\kappa \kappa} \langle x, x \rangle \\ &= |\kappa|^2 \langle x, x \rangle \\ &= |\kappa|^2 \|x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\kappa x\| = |\kappa| \|x\|$$

$\therefore \|\cdot\|$ is a norm on an inner product space X .

(q) let $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \|y_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$
Then

$$|\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| = |\langle x_n, y \rangle - \langle x_n, y \rangle|$$

$$+ \langle x_n, y \rangle - \langle x_n, y \rangle |$$

$$= |\langle x_n, y_n - y \rangle + \langle x_n - z, y \rangle|$$

$$\leq |\langle x_n, y_n - y \rangle| + |\langle x_n - z, y \rangle|$$

$$\leq \|x_n\| \|y_n - y\| + \|(x_n - z)\| \|y\|$$

$\xrightarrow{\infty}$ $\xrightarrow{z \rightarrow x} 0$ (by Schwarz inequality).

$$\because \|x_n\| = \|x_n - z + z\|$$

$$\leq \|x_n - z\| + \|z\|$$

$\xrightarrow{z \rightarrow 0} 0$

$$< \infty$$

$$\|y_n\| < \infty$$

$$\therefore \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle.$$

(6) Let $x, y \in X$, Then

$$\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = \langle x+y, x+y \rangle + \langle x-y, x-y \rangle$$

$$= (\|x\|^2 + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \|y\|^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}& + \|x\|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle - \langle y, x \rangle + \|y\|^2 \\& = 2 \left\{ \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

-1-

Hilbert Space :— An inner product space, which is complete in the norm induced by the inner product is called Hilbert Space. We use the letter H to denote the Hilbert Space.

$$\text{Ex: } H = K^n,$$

$$x = (x(1), x(2), \dots, x(n)) \in H$$

$$y = (y(1), y(2), \dots, y(n)) \in H,$$

let

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x(i) \overline{y(i)}.$$

Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on it.

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_2 &= \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then H is also n.l.b.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy Sequence in \mathbb{R}^n .

Then

$$\|x_n - x_m\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_{n(i)} - x_{m(i)}|^2} \rightarrow 0$$

$\Rightarrow \left\{ x_{n(i)} \right\}_{i=1}^n$ is a Cauchy Sequence in \mathbb{R} . But \mathbb{R} is Complete.

Let $x_{n(i)} \rightarrow x(i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\Rightarrow [x_{n(i)} - x(i)]^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Fixing n and letting $M \rightarrow \infty$ in $x_{n(i)}$
we get

$$\|x_n - x\|_2 = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_M\|_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

$$= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_{n(i)} - x_{M(i)}|^2} \rightarrow 0$$

$\therefore H = \mathbb{K}^n$ is complete w.r.t
norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. $\therefore H$ is a Hilbert space.

Note:- Among all the norms $\|\cdot\|_p$,
 $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ on \mathbb{K}^n ($n \geq 2$), only
the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ is induced by
the inner product, because.

If $p \neq 2$, and $x = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$
 $y = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$

$$\begin{aligned}\|x+y\|_p^2 + \|x-y\|_p^2 &= 2^{\frac{2}{p}} + 2^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &= 2 \cdot 2^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &= 2^{1+\frac{2}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\|x\|_p^2 = 1$$

$$\|y\|_p^2 = 1.$$

$$\text{Now } \|x\|_p = \sqrt[p]{(\|x\|_p^2 + \|y\|_p^2)} \neq \sqrt[p]{\|x+y\|_p^2 + \|x-y\|_p^2}$$

Hence Parallelogram does not hold.

Ex: Consider the space ℓ^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$
with the norm given by

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x(i)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

$$x = (x(1), x(2), \dots) \in \ell^p.$$

For $p=2$, ℓ^2 .

$x, y \in \ell^2$, define

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x(i) \overline{y(i)},$$

where $x = (x(1), x(2), \dots) \in \ell^2$

$y = (y(1), y(2), \dots) \in \ell^2$.

Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product

on ℓ^2 .

Define $\|\alpha\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$

$$= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha(i)|^2}$$

Then ℓ^2 is also $n \cdot l \cdot d$.

let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy Sequence in ℓ^2

where $x_n = (x_n(1), x_n(2), \dots)$.

then given $\epsilon > 0$ $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ \exists

$$\|x_n - x_m\|_2 \leq \epsilon, \text{ if } n, m \geq n_0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x_n - x_m\|_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_n(i) - x_m(i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \epsilon$$

$\Rightarrow \{x_n(i)\}$ is Cauchy Sequence

in $\mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$\therefore x_n(i) \rightarrow x(i), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$

Fixing n & letting $n \rightarrow \infty$,
in $\textcircled{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_n - x\|_2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_n\|_2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_{n(i)} - x_{n(i)}|^2} \\ &\rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \ell^2$ is a Hilbert space

w.r.t norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ induced
by the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum x_i y_i$.

Note: for $p \neq 2$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

ℓ^p is not a inner product space

$$x = (-1, -1, 0, 0, \dots) \in \ell^p$$

$$y = (-1, 1, 0, \dots) \in \ell^p$$

$$\|x\|_p = 2^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \|y\|_p = 2^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\|x+y\|_p = 2$$

$$\|x-y\|_p = 2$$

$$\therefore \|x+y\|_p^2 + \|x-y\|_p^2 = 2^2 + 2^2 = 8$$

$$2 \left(\|x\|_p^2 + \|y\|_p^2 \right) = 2 \left(2^2 + 2^2 \right) \\ = 4 \cdot 4^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

They in ℓ^p , $p \neq 2$, $\|\cdot\|_p$ does not satisfy Parallelogram law.

$\therefore \ell^p$, $p \neq 2$ is not an I.P.S and hence it is not an Hilbert space.

Ex: $X = \mathbb{C}^\infty$

for $x, y \in X$, define

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x(j) \overline{y(j)}$$

Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an I.P on \mathbb{C}^∞ .

and the induced norm

$$\|x\|_2 = \langle x, x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

let $x \in \ell^2$, $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}, \dots)$

and $x_n = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)}, 0, 0, \dots) \in \ell^\infty$

and

$$\|x - x_n\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |x_j|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$\Rightarrow \ell^\infty$ is dense in ℓ^2 .
i.e., $\overline{\ell^\infty} = \ell^2$

However $\ell^\infty \neq \ell^2$

$\because (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots) \in \ell^2$

$$\therefore \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} < \infty$$

But $(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots) \notin \ell^\infty$

$\therefore X = C_00$ cannot be closed in $H = \ell^2$

Hence C_00 is incomplete I.P.S.

Ex: Let $X = C[a, b]$.

for $x, y \in X$, define

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \int_a^b x(t) \overline{y(t)} dt.$$

Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on $C[a, b]$ and the induced norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_2 &= \langle x, x \rangle^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_a^b |x(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}, \quad x \in C[a, b] \end{aligned}$$

Orthogonal Set :-

Let X be an I.P.S over the field \mathbb{R} . For any $x, y \in X$,

We say x and y are orthogonal

if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$, and we write $x \neq y$

$x \perp y$.

Let E and F be any two subspaces

of an I.P.S X . We say

E and F are orthogonal if

$\langle x, y \rangle = 0$, $\forall x \in E$
and $y \in F$.

In this case we write $E \perp F$.

We say a subset E of X is

an orthogonal set if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$, $\forall x, y \in E$

$\forall x, y \in E$ and $\|x\| = 1 \Rightarrow x \in E$.

Theorem: let X be an I.P.S.

(a) (Pythagoras) let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be an orthogonal set in X .

Then

$$\|x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2.$$

(b) let E be an orthogonal subset of X and $0 \notin E$. Then E is L.I. If, in fact, E is orthonormal, Then $\|x-y\| = \sqrt{2}$, $\forall x, y \in E, x \neq y$.

Proof.

(a) Given that $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$
 $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n\|^2 &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\langle x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, x_i \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E$ and $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n \in K$

such that

$$k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2 + \dots + k_n x_n = 0$$

Then taking inner product on both side with x_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we get

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i, x_j \right\rangle = \langle 0, x_j \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \langle x_i, x_j \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k_j \langle x_j, x_j \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k_j = 0 \quad \begin{array}{l} (\because x_j \neq 0) \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad \Rightarrow \langle x_j, x_j \rangle \neq 0 \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is L.I

$\Rightarrow E$ is L.I.

If E is orthonormal set,

then for any $x, y \in E, x \neq y$,

we have $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$, and

$$\|x\| = \|y\| = 1.$$

$$\therefore \|x-y\|^2 = \langle x-y, x-y \rangle$$

$$= \langle x, x \rangle - 2\langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle$$

$$= 1 - 0 - 0 + 1$$

$$= 2.$$

$$\therefore \|x-y\| = \sqrt{2}, \quad x \neq y.$$

—, —

Given a L.I set in an I.P.S X ,
 we can construct an orthonormal set
 in X .

Theorem:

Cram-Schmidt orthogonalization :

let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be L.I set in
 an I.P.S X . Define $y_1 = x_1$,

$u_1 = \frac{y_1}{\|y_1\|}$ and for $n=2, 3, \dots$

let, $y_n = x_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle x_n, u_i \rangle u_i$, $u_n = \frac{y_n}{\|y_n\|}$

Then $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ is an orthonormal
 set in X and

$$\text{Span}\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\} = \text{Span}\{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots\}$$

Proof: Since $\{x_i\}$ is L.I, $y_i = x_i \neq 0$,

$$\|u_1\| = \left\| \frac{y_1}{\|y_1\|} \right\| = 1$$

and $\text{Span}\{u_1\} = \text{Span}\{x_1\}$

Now for $n \geq 1$, assume that we have defined y_n and u_n as stated above, and proved that

$$\text{Span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\} = \text{Span}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}.$$

Define $y_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_{n+1}, u_i \rangle u_i$

$\therefore \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}\}$ is L.I set,

so $x_{n+1} \notin \text{Span}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} = \text{Span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$.

$\therefore y_{n+1} \neq 0$, let $z_{n+1} = \frac{y_{n+1}}{\|y_{n+1}\|}$.

Then $\|z_{n+1}\| = 1$ and for all $j \leq n$, we have

$$\langle y_{n+1}, u_j \rangle = \left\langle x_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_{n+1}, u_i \rangle u_i, u_j \right\rangle$$

$$= \langle x_{n+1}, u_j \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\langle x_{n+1}, u_i \rangle}_{\delta_{ij}} \underbrace{\langle u_i, u_j \rangle}_{\delta_{ij}}$$

$$= \langle x_{n+1}, u_j \rangle - \langle x_{n+1}, u_j \rangle$$

$$= 0.$$

$$\therefore \langle u_{n+1}, u_j \rangle = \left\langle \frac{y_{n+1}}{\|y_{n+1}\|}, u_j \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|y_{n+1}\|} \langle y_{n+1}, u_j \rangle = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}\}$ is an
orthonormal set. Alg.

$$\text{Span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}\} = \text{Span}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}\}$$

$$= \text{Span}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}\}.$$

Thus by mathematical induction,
proof is complete.

Ex: $x = \ell^2$, for $n=1,2,3, \dots$

let $x_n = (1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n \text{ times}}, 0, 0, \dots)$.

Then $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ is L.I set
in ℓ^2 .

$$x_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots), \quad y_1 = x_1, \|y_1\| = 1$$
$$u_1 = \frac{y_1}{\|y_1\|} = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$x_2 = (1, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$y_2 = x_2 - \langle x_2, u_1 \rangle u_1$$

$$\text{Clearly } \langle x_2, u_1 \rangle =$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore y_2 &= x_2 - \langle x_2, u_1 \rangle u_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, \dots) \\ &\quad - 1 \cdot (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots) \\ &= (0, 1, 0, 0, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

$$\|y_2\| = 1$$

$$\therefore u_2 = \frac{y_2}{\|y_2\|} = (0, 1, 0, \dots)$$

and so on

(Bessel's Inequality) :

Theorem: Let $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ be a

Countable orthonormal set in an
I.P.S X and $x \in X$. Then

$$\sum_n |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \text{ where the equality holds iff } x = \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n.$$

Proof: For $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, let

$$x_m = \sum_{n=1}^m \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n.$$

Since $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ is an orthonormal set, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, x_m \rangle &= \left\langle x, \sum_{n=1}^m \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^m |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \langle x_m, x \rangle$$

$$= \langle x_m, x_m \rangle$$

Hence

$$0 \leq \|x - x_m\|^2 = \langle x - x_m, x - x_m \rangle$$

$$= \langle x, x \rangle - \langle x, x_m \rangle - \langle x_m, x \rangle + \langle x_m, x_m \rangle$$

$$= \|x\|^2 - \sum_{n=1}^m |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^2 - \textcircled{*}$$

letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$$

This is called Bessel's inequality.

If the equality holds, i.e.,

$$\text{if } \|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^2, \text{ then}$$

from $\textcircled{*}$

$$0 \leq \|x - x_M\|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x - x_M\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\text{i.e., } \|x - x_M\| \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} x_M = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^M \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n \\ = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n.$$

Conversely, assume

$$x = \sum_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n. \text{ Then}$$

$$\|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sum_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n, \sum_p \langle x, e_p \rangle e_p \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_n |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^2.$$

— // —

Now we discuss the convergence of

a series $\sum_n k_n u_n$ is an I.P.S. X
 where $k_n \in K$ and $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ is
 an orthonormal set in X.

Theorem: Let X be an I.P.S.,
 $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots\}$ be a countable
 orthonormal set in X. Then

(a) If $\sum_n k_n u_n$ converges to some
 $x \in X$, then $k_n = \langle x, u_n \rangle$, for all n
 and $\sum_n |k_n|^2 < \infty$.

(b) (Riesz-Fischer Theorem): If
 X is a Hilbert space and $\sum_n |k_n|^2 < \infty$,
 then $\sum_n k_n u_n$ converges in X.

Proof: Let $x = \sum_n k_n u_n$ ($\because \sum_n k_n u_n \rightarrow x$).

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x, u_n \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_j k_j e_j, u_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_j k_j \langle e_j, u_n \rangle \\ &= k_n.\end{aligned}$$

And by Bessel's Inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_n |k_n|^2 &= \sum_n |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 < \infty \\ \Rightarrow \sum_n |k_n|^2 &< \infty.\end{aligned}$$

(b) Now assume that X is a Hilbert Space
and $\sum_n |k_n|^2 < \infty$.

Claim: $\sum_n k_n e_n$ converges in X .

For $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, let $x_m = \sum_{n=1}^m k_n e_n$.

Then for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $M > j$,
we have

$$x_M - x_j = \sum_{n=j+1}^M k_n e_n$$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \|\mathbf{x}_M - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 &= \langle \mathbf{x}_M - \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_M - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{n=j+1}^M k_n e_n, \sum_{p=j+1}^M k_p e_p \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=j+1}^M |k_n|^2\end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\sum_n |k_n|^2 < \infty$, Then it follows that $\sum_{n=j+1}^M |k_n|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\therefore \|\mathbf{x}_M - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } M, j \rightarrow \infty.$$

$\Rightarrow \{\mathbf{x}_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . But X is a Hilbert space.

$$\therefore \mathbf{x}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in X.$$

$$\therefore \sum_n k_n e_n \text{ exists in } X.$$

— / —

Def (orthonormal basis): —

An orthonormal set $\{u_\alpha\}$ in a Hilbert space H is said to be an orthonormal basis for H if it is maximal in the sense that if $\{u_\alpha\}$ is contained in some orthonormal subset E of H , then

$$E = \{u_\alpha\}.$$

Let H be a Hilbert space and $H \neq \{\emptyset\}$. Let \mathcal{C} be a family of orthonormal sets in H .

Then $\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$

$\because H \neq \{\emptyset\}, \exists \alpha \neq k \in H$.

Then $\left\{ \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\}$ is an orthonormal set in H .

|| Then \mathcal{C} is a POSET with ||
let inclusion.

let T be any totally ordered
subset family of \mathcal{C} .

Then $\bigcup_{A \in T} A$ is an upper bound
for T .

? ∴ By Zorn's lemma, \mathcal{C} has a
maximal element, which is called
orthonormal basis.

Theorem: let $\{u_d\}$ be an orthonormal
set in an IPS X and $x \in X$.

Let $E_x = \{u_d \mid \langle x, u_d \rangle \neq 0\}$.

Then E_n is a countable set,

say $E_n = \{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$.

If E_x is denumerable, Then

$\langle x, u_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Further, if X is a Hilbert space, Then

$\sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n$ converges to some $y \in H$

such that $x - y \perp u_n$, $\forall n$.

Proof: If $x = 0$, There is nothing to prove.

So let $x \neq 0$. For $j = 1, 2, \dots$, let

$E_j = \{u_j \mid \|u_j\| \leq j \mid \langle x, u_j \rangle\}$

Fix j , Suppose that E_j contains

distinct elements $u_{d1}, u_{d2}, \dots, u_{dm}$

Then

$$\|x\| \leq j |\langle x, u_{d1} \rangle|$$

$$\|x\| \leq j |\langle x, u_{d2} \rangle|$$

:

$$\|x\| \leq j |\langle x, u_{dm} \rangle|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow 0 \leq m \|x\|^2 &\leq j^2 \sum_{n=1}^m |\langle x, u_{dn} \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq j^2 \sum_{n=1}^{d_2} |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq j^2 \|x\|^2 \quad (\text{by Bessel's Inequality}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow m \leq j^2$$

This shows that each E_j contains at most j^2 elements.

Also since $E_x = \bigcup_j E_j$, we see

That E_x is countable.

Also, if $E_x = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots\}$ is denumerable, Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 < \infty.$$

Hence the n th term of this converging series converges to zero i.e., $\langle x, u_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Further if X is a Hilbert space, then by Riesz - Fischer Theorem

$$\sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle s_n \text{ converges to some } y \in X.$$

Then for any a ,

$$\langle y, u_a \rangle = \left\langle \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle s_n, u_a \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_n \langle u, u_n \rangle \underbrace{\langle u_n, u_d \rangle}_{\delta_{nd}}$$

$$= \langle u, u_d \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x-y, u_d \rangle = 0, \forall d$$

$$\Rightarrow x-y \perp u_d \quad \forall d.$$

Theorem: let $\{u_\alpha\}$ be an orthonormal set in a Hilbert space H . Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) $\{u_\alpha\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H .
- (ii) (Fourier expansion): For every $x \in H$, we have $x = \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n$, where $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\} = \{u_\alpha \mid \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle \neq 0\}$

(iii) (Parseval's formulae): for every $x \in H$, we have

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_n |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2.$$

where $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\} = \{u_\alpha \mid \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle \neq 0\}$

(iv) $\text{Span}\{u_\alpha\}$ is dense in H

(v) $\exists \alpha \in H \text{ and } \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle = 0, \forall x \in \text{Span}\{u_\alpha\}$

Then $x = 0$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Let $\{u_d\}$ be an orthonormal basis

for H . Let $x \in H$.

Then by previous lemma (Last class)

$\sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n$ converges to some

$y \in H$ and $x - y \perp u_d, \forall d$.

If $y \neq x$, then $u = \frac{y-x}{\|y-x\|}$.

Then $\|u\| = 1$ and $u \perp \{u_d\}$

$\Rightarrow \{u\} \cup \{u_d\}$ is an orthonormal

set containing $\{u_d\}$ in H ,

contradicting the maximality of

$\{u_d\}$.

$$\therefore x = y = \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

for any $x \in H$, we have

by (ii) $x = \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n$

Then

$$\|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n, \sum_m \langle x, u_m \rangle u_m \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle \sum_m \overline{\langle x, u_m \rangle} \langle u_n, u_m \rangle$$

δ_{nm}

$$= \sum_n |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2.$$

(i) \Rightarrow (iv)

for any $x \in H$, we have

$$x = \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n.$$

\therefore For each $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, let

$$x_m = \sum_{n=1}^m \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n \in \text{Span}\{u_\lambda\}$$
$$\rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n = x$$

$x_m \in \text{Span}\{u_\lambda\}$ and $x_m \rightarrow x$.

$\therefore \overline{\text{Span}\{u_\lambda\}} = H$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v)

Given that $\overline{\text{Span}\{u_\lambda\}} = H$.

Let $x \in H$ be such that

$$\langle x, u_\lambda \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \lambda.$$

And let

$$x_m \rightarrow x, \quad x_m \in \text{Span}\{u_\lambda\}.$$

$$\therefore \langle x, u_\lambda \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x, x_m \rangle = 0, \quad x_m \in \text{Span}\{u_\lambda\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore 0 &= \langle x, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \|x\|^2 &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow x &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

(v) \Rightarrow (i)

$$\text{Given that } \langle x, u_d \rangle = 0 \text{ and} \\ \Rightarrow x = 0.$$

Claim: $\{u_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H .

Let E be an orthonormal set in H containing $\{u_k\}$.

Let $u \in E$ and $u \neq u_d$,

Then $\langle u, u_d \rangle = 0 \neq d$

$\Rightarrow u = 0$ (by (v))

But $u \in E \Rightarrow \|u\| = 1$, thus

Contradiction shows that

$$E = \{u_d\}.$$

$\therefore \{u_d\}$ is a maximal orthonormal set in H . That is $\{u_d\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H .

Clearly (iii) \Rightarrow (ii)

See the proof of Bessel's inequality.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(i)} \xrightarrow{\text{II}} \text{(ii)} \xleftarrow{\text{I}} \text{(iii)} \\ \text{(v)} \xleftarrow{\text{IV}} \text{(iv)} \end{array}$$

Projection: —

Let X be a linear space and

X_1 and X_2 be subspaces of X
such that

$$X = X_1 + X_2, \quad X_1 \cap X_2 = \{0\}$$

i.e., $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$

Then every $x \in X$ can be written
uniquely as $x = x_1 + x_2$, $x_1 \in X_1$,
 $x_2 \in X_2$.

Then define $P: X \rightarrow X_1$ by

$$Px = P(x_1 + x_2) = x_1.$$

Then P is a linear map.

and for any $u \in X_1$,
we have

$$Pu = P(u+0) = u, \quad \forall u \in X, \\ = R(P).$$

and for any $v \in X_2$, we have

$$Pv = P(0+v) = 0.$$

$$\therefore X_1 = R(P), \quad X_2 = N(P).$$

and $P^2 = P$

A linear operator $P: X \rightarrow X$
is called projection operator
or simply a projection if

$$Pu = u, \quad \forall u \in R(P)$$

If $P: X \rightarrow X$ is a projection
with $R(P) = X$, and $N(P) = X_2$,

We say P is projection

onto X_1 along X_2 .

and

$I - P$ is a projection onto
 X_2 along X_1 with

$$R(I - P) = X_2$$

$$N(I - P) = X_1.$$

Note :-

(i) Let X be an I.P.S and
 $P: X \rightarrow X$ be a projection.

We say P is an orthogonal
projection if $R(P) \perp N(P)$

$$\text{[} : X = X_1 \oplus X_2 .$$

$P: X \rightarrow X$, is an orthogonal projection
and

$$R(P) = X_1, \quad N(P) = X_2$$

$$X_1 \perp X_2.$$

(2) If P is an orthogonal projection, then for any $x \in X$, we have

$$x = Px + (I - P)x$$

$\in R(P) \qquad \in N(P)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|^2 &= \|Px\|^2 + \|(I - P)x\|^2 \\ &\geq \|Px\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

(by Pythagoras theorem)

$$\therefore \|Pz\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Pz\| \leq \|x\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|P\| \leq 1 \quad \text{---(1)}$$

Also, since

$$P = P^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|P\| = \|P^2\|$$

$$= \|P \cdot P\|$$

$$\leq \|P\| \|P\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|P\| \geq 1 \quad \text{---(2)}$$

\therefore from (1) & (2), we get

$$\|P\| = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\text{for any } z \in \mathbb{H} \\
 & \|ABz\| = \|A(Bz)\| \\
 & \leq \|A\| \|Bz\| \\
 & \leq \|A\| \|B\| \|z\| \\
 \Rightarrow & \|AB\| \leq (\|A\| \|B\|)
 \end{aligned}$$

(Projection theorem) —

Let H be a Hilbert space and F be a non-empty closed subspace of H . Then $H = F + F^\perp$.

Equivalently, there is an orthogonal projection onto F .

$$\text{Moreover } F^{\perp\perp} = F$$

(Projection Theorem) :-

Let H be a Hilbert space and F be a nonempty closed subspace of H . Then $H = F + F^\perp$.

Equivalently, there is an orthogonal projection onto F . Moreover

$$F^{\perp\perp} = F.$$

Proof: If $F = \{0\}$, then $F^\perp = H$

$$\text{Then clearly } H = F + F^\perp.$$

So let $F \neq \{0\}$. Since F is a closed subspace of H , F itself is a Hilbert space.

Let $\{u_\alpha\}$ be an orthonormal basis for F .

Let $x \in H$. Then $\{u_\alpha / \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle \neq 0\}$

is a countable set say $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots\}$
 and the series $\sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n$ converges
 to some $y \in H$ such that

$$x - y \perp \{u_\alpha\}, \forall \alpha.$$

\therefore each $u_n \in F$ and F is closed,
 $\sum_{n=1}^m \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n \rightarrow y \Rightarrow y \in F$

Also since $\{u_\alpha\}$ is an orthonormal
 basis for F , implied $F = \overline{\text{Span}\{u_\alpha\}}$.

$$\therefore x - y \perp \{u_\alpha\} \Rightarrow x - y \perp \overline{\text{Span}\{u_\alpha\}}$$

$$\Rightarrow x - y \perp F$$

$$\Rightarrow x - y \in F^\perp$$

Thus every $x \in H$ can be written

$$\text{as } x = y + x-y, \text{ with } y \in F \\ x-y \in F^\perp$$

$$= y + z$$

$$\text{Hence } H = F + F^\perp, \quad F \cap F^\perp = \{0\}.$$

Hence there exists an orthogonal projection $P : H \rightarrow H$

such that $R(P) = F$

$$\text{and } N(P) = F^\perp$$

Claim: $F^{\perp\perp} = F$.

Let $x \in F$. Now for any $z \in F^\perp$,

$$\text{we have } \langle x, z \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in (F^\perp)^\perp = F^{\perp\perp}$$

$$\Rightarrow F \subseteq F^{\perp\perp} - (1).$$

Now let $x \in F^{\perp\perp} \Rightarrow x \in H$

$$\text{Then } x = y + z, \quad y \in F \\ z \in F^\perp$$

$$\therefore y \in F \Rightarrow y \in F^{\perp\perp} (\text{by (1)})$$

$$\text{Thus } z = x - y \in F^{\perp\perp} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \in F^{\perp\perp} \\ y \in F^{\perp\perp} \\ \Rightarrow x - y \in F^{\perp\perp} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow z \in F^\perp \cap (F^\perp)^\perp = \{0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow z = 0$$

$$\therefore x - y = 0 \Rightarrow x = y \in F$$

$$\Rightarrow F^{\perp\perp} \subseteq F \quad (2)$$

\therefore from (1) & (2) we have

$$F^{\perp\perp} = F$$

————— // —————

The projection theorem shows that

Every Hilbert space H has
 Complementary Subspace Property.
 That is for every non empty
 Closed Subspace F of H ,
 There is a closed subspace
 G of H such that

$$H = F + G, \quad F \cap G = \{0\}.$$

Here $G = F^\perp$, it is a
 closed subspace of H .

* If H is a Hilbert space,
 every $x \in H$ can be written as

$$x = y + z, \quad y \in F \quad z \in F^\perp$$

Define $P: H \rightarrow F$ by

$$P(x) = P(y+z) = y$$

Then P is linear map and

$$P^2 = P.$$

Also $R(P) = F$, $N(P) = F^\perp$

$$\therefore R(P) \perp N(P).$$

This P is a orthogonal
projection onto $R(P)$ along $N(P)$.



Continuous linear functionals:—

Let X be an I.P.S over K .

let $f: X \rightarrow K$ be a linear

functional on X . Let f be continuous on X .

Then f is continuous at zero and $f(0) = 0$.

Hence $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in X \\ \|x\| \leq \delta$$

Now for any $x \neq 0$, $y = \frac{f(x)}{\|x\|}$,

we see that

$$|f(y)| \leq 1, \quad \|y\| = \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(x)| \leq \delta \|x\|, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

Let $X' = B(X, K)$ be the

space of all continuous linear

functionals on X .

X' is a linear space. And

for $f \in X'$, we let

$$\|f\| = \inf \left\{ \|f(x)\| \mid x \in X \right. \\ \left. \quad \|x\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(x)| \leq \|f\| \|x\|$$

—————

Lemma:— Let X be an I.P.S and

$f \in X'$.

(a) Let $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ be an orthonormal

set in X . Then $\sum_n |f(u_n)|^2 \leq \|f\|^2$.

(b) Let $\{u_d\}$ be an orthonormal
set in X and

$$E_f = \{u_d \mid f(u_d) \neq 0\}.$$

Then E_f is countable set

say $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$.

If E_f denumerable, Then

$$f(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof:

(a) For $m = 1, 2, \dots$, let

$$y_m = \sum_{n=1}^m \overline{f(u_n)} u_n. \text{ Then}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_m\|^2 &= \langle y_m, y_m \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^m \overline{f(u_n)} u_n, \sum_{p=1}^m \overline{f(u_p)} u_p \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^M |f(u_n)|^2 = \beta_M \text{ (by)} \quad \rightarrow (1)$$

Also

$$f(y_m) = f\left(\sum_{n=1}^M \overline{f(u_n)} e_n\right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^M \overline{f(u_n)} f(u_n)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^M |f(u_n)|^2 = \beta_M \quad \rightarrow (2)$$

Now Since

$$|f(y_m)| \leq \|f\| \|y_m\|$$

$$= \|f\| \sqrt{\beta_M} \text{ (by (1))} \quad \rightarrow (*)$$

Now from (2), we have

$$\beta_M \leq |f(y_m)| \leq \|f\| \sqrt{\beta_M}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_M^2 \leq \|f\|^2 \beta_M$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_m \leq \|f\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^m |f(u_n)|^2 \leq \|f\|^2$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(u_n)|^2 \leq \|f\|^2.$$

$$(b) \exists f = 0,$$

$$E_f = \{u_\alpha \mid f(u_\alpha) \neq 0\} = \emptyset.$$

So let $f \neq 0$.

For $j = 1, 2, \dots$, let

$$E_j = \{u_\alpha \mid \|f\| \leq j |f(u_\alpha)|\}$$

Fix j , Suppose E_j contains

distinct elements say $u_{x_1}, u_{x_2}, \dots, u_{x_M}$,
then

$$\|f\| \leq j |f(u_{x_i})|, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, M$$

Squaring and adding

$$\Rightarrow M \|f\|^2 \leq j^2 \sum_{n=1}^M |f(u_{x_i})|^2$$
$$\leq j^2 \|f\|^2$$

(by (a))

$$\Rightarrow M \|f\|^2 \leq j^2 \|f\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow M \leq j^2$$

thus E_j contains at most j^2 elements.

Since $E_f = \bigcup_j E_j$, we see

that E_f is countable.

If E_f is denumerable, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(u_n)|^2 \leq \|f\|^2 \quad (\text{by (9)})$$

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(u_n)|^2$ is a C₀ Fourier

\therefore nth term $(f(u_n))^2 \rightarrow 0$

i.e., $f(u_n) \rightarrow 0$.

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

\therefore

Let X be an R.P.S over K .

For a fixed $y \in X$, define

$f : X \rightarrow K$ by

$f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle, \forall x \in X$

Then f is linear

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore f(ax+bx) &= \langle ax+bx, y \rangle \\ &= a \langle x, y \rangle + b \langle x, y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$= a f(x) + b f(z)$$

And

$$|f(y)| = |\langle x, y \rangle|$$

$$\leq \|x\| \|y\| \quad (\text{Schwarz Ineq})$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f\| \leq \|y\| \rightarrow (1)$$

If $y = 0$, then $f = 0$.

So let $y \neq 0$, let $x = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$.

Then $\|x\| = 1$.

and

$$f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \frac{y}{\|y\|}, y \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|y\|} \langle y, y \rangle = \frac{\|y\|^2}{\|y\|} = \|y\|$$

$$\therefore \|f\| = \|y\|.$$

Riesz Representation Theorem :-

Let H be a Hilbert space and $f \in H'$. Then there is a unique $y \in H$ such that

$$f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle, \forall x \in H.$$

In fact, if z is a nonzero element of H such that

$$z \perp \text{range}(f), \text{ then } y = \frac{\overline{f(z)}}{\langle z, z \rangle} z.$$

Also if $\{u_\lambda\}$ is a orthonormal basis for H and $\{u_\lambda \mid f(u_\lambda) \neq 0\}$

$= \{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$, then $y = \sum_n f(u_n) u_n$.

Proof:

If $f = 0$, then we let $y = 0$

so that $f(x) = 0 = \langle x, y \rangle$

right.

So let $f \neq 0$.

Then $Z(f)$ null space of f
is a closed subspace of it.

\therefore By projection theorem, we
have

$$H = Z(f) + Z(f)^\perp$$

As $Z(f) \neq H$, so let

$$0 \neq z \in Z(f)^\perp$$

Since $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ is a hyperplane in H , we have

$$H = \mathcal{Z}(f) \cup \text{Span}\{z\}.$$

Let $x \in H$. Then

$$x = w + kz, \quad w \in \mathcal{Z}(f)$$

$$kz \in \text{Span}\{z\}.$$

Then

$$\langle x, z \rangle = \langle w, z \rangle + \langle kz, z \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{\text{if } 0}{=} + k \langle z, z \rangle \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \because w \in \mathcal{Z}(f) \\ z \in \mathcal{Z}(f) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\therefore k = \frac{\langle x, z \rangle}{\langle z, z \rangle}.$$

$$\therefore x = w + \frac{\langle x, z \rangle}{\langle z, z \rangle} \cdot z$$

Applying f on both side we get

$$f(x) = f(w) + \frac{\langle x, z \rangle}{\langle z, z \rangle} \cdot f(z)$$

$$= 0 + \langle x, z \rangle \frac{f(z)}{\langle z, z \rangle}.$$

$$= \left\langle x, \frac{\overline{f(z)} \cdot z}{\langle z, z \rangle} \right\rangle$$

$$= \langle x, y \rangle,$$

where $y = \frac{\overline{f(z)}}{\langle z, z \rangle} \cdot z$

Also $\|f\| = \|y\|$.

Uniqueness of y :

If $f(x) = \langle x, y_1 \rangle$, $\forall x \in H$

and same $y_1 \in H$ as well.

Then

$$f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, y_1 \rangle, \forall x \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x, y - y_1 \rangle = 0, \forall x \in H.$$

In particular letting $x = y - y_1$,
we get

$$\langle y - y_1, y - y_1 \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|y - y_1\|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow y = y_1.$$

Then for every $f \in H$, $\exists! y \in H$
such that $f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$, $\forall x \in H$.
This y is representer of f .

and it satisfies $\|y\| = \|f\|$

Alternatively, we proceed as follows:-

Let $\{u_d\}$ be an orthonormal basis

for H and $\{u_n \mid f(u_n) \neq 0\}$
 $= \{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ is

Countable. Then

$$\sum_n |f(u_n)|^2 \leq \|f\|^2 < \infty.$$

Since H is a Hilbert space, then
 by Riesz-Fischer theorem, we

have $\sum_n \overline{f(u_n)} u_n$ converges

in H .

$$\text{Let } y = \sum_n \overline{f(u_n)} u_n.$$

Claim: $f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$, $\forall x \in H$.

Let $x \in H$ and $\{u_n \mid \langle x, u_n \rangle \neq 0\}$

$$= \{v_1, v_2, \dots\} \text{ if}$$

orthonormal basis for H .

Then we have Fourier expansion

$$x = \sum_m \langle x, v_m \rangle v_m.$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = \sum_m \langle x, v_m \rangle f(v_m) \quad -(1)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_m \langle x, v_m \rangle v_m, y \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_m \langle x, v_m \rangle \langle v_m, y \rangle \end{aligned} \quad -(2)$$

We show that from (1) & (2)

$$f(v_m) = \langle v_m, y \rangle$$

Fix m , Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v_m, y \rangle &= \left\langle v_m, \sum_n \overline{f(u_n)} u_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_n f(u_n) \langle v_m, u_n \rangle\end{aligned}$$

If $v_m = u_{n_0}$ for some no, Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v_m, y \rangle &= \sum_n f(u_n) \langle u_{n_0}, u_n \rangle \\ &= f(u_{n_0}) . \\ &\equiv f(v_m)\end{aligned}$$

Now let $v_m \neq u_n$ for any n ,

Then $f(v_m) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v_m, y \rangle &= \left\langle v_m, \sum_n \overline{f(u_n)} u_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_n f(u_n) \langle v_m, u_n \rangle \\ &\stackrel{\sim}{=} 0\end{aligned}$$

$\because v_m \neq u_n$

\therefore from (1) & (2) & from above
we get

$f(n) = \langle n, y \rangle$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

— 11 —