

## 1 Theory

1. Show that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = 2\mathbf{v}$$

and that if  $C$  is a symmetric matrix, then

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}, C\mathbf{v}) = 2C\mathbf{v}.$$

2. Show that

$$(\phi^{(1)}, C\phi^{(2)}) = (C\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)}).$$

Assume  $C$  is symmetric.

3. Given the data matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $XX^T$  and  $X^TX$ . For  $\mathbf{u}^{(1)}$ , confirm the statement

$$\mathbf{u}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \sum_{k=1}^P v_k^{(j)} \mathbf{x}^{(k)},$$

where  $j = 1, \dots, \text{rank} X$ .

4. It was shown that the expansion coefficients may be computed using formula

$$A = \Sigma V^T,$$

providing an alternative to the direct computation via

$$A = U^T X.$$

Compute the number of add/multiplies required to compute  $A$  via both formulas, assuming that  $A$  is of size  $N \times P$ . Which way is computationally cheaper in general? Why?

## 2 Computing

1. The object of this programming assignment is to write a code to apply the *snapshot* method to a collection of  $P$  high-resolution image files. Your program should compute (and order) the eigenpictures. It should also have a subroutine to determine the projection of a given picture onto the best  $D$ -element subspace ( $D$  is typically chosen empirically). Your program report should include the following information:
  - (a) A display of the ensemble-average image.
  - (b) A picture of a mean-subtracted image, for one of the images chosen at random from the ensemble.
  - (c) A collection of eigenpictures (based on mean-subtracted data) for a broad range of eigenvalues. The eigenpictures must be mapped to integers on the interval  $[0, 255]$ .

- (d) Partial reconstructions of a selected image for various value of  $D$ . Include the reconstruction error  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_D\|$  in each case and confirm that you obtain perfect reconstruction when  $D$  is equal to the rank of the data matrix.
- (e) A graph of  $\lambda_i/\lambda_{\max}$  vs  $i$ , where  $\lambda_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  eigenvalue of the mean-subtracted, ensemble-averaged covariance matrix. How does this plot help you determining the best  $D$  value to use?
- (f) Now, devise (describe) a classification algorithm that uses this idea of best basis to classify a probe (testing) data against a given gallery. (For your reference: this process is called *Principal Component Analysis*.) Why is this more efficient than classifying data points in their resolution dimension?

The data for this problem may be downloaded from the course website. The data file `faces1.mat` contains 109 images whose dimensions are  $120 \times 160$ . It is a single matrix, where each column has length 19,200, which is  $120 \times 160$ . The format of the data is “uint8”, which stands for unsigned integer, 8 bits. Before you use the data for KL, change it to “double” format.

2. Test your theory from 1(f) on the following data set: `Digits.mat` can be downloaded from the course website. It contains three variables: `Gallery`, `Probe`, and `photo_size`, where `Gallery` is a  $1024 \times 500$  matrix with 50 digits of 0 in its first 50 columns, 50 digits of 9 in its last 50 columns, etc. The row dimension comes from the resolution of the images stored in `photo_size`. The variable `Probe` stores a set of novel digits from 0 to 9 that do not appear in the `Gallery`. Use *Principal Component Analysis* to classify the probe images against the gallery images. How well did the algorithm perform? Report and analyze your result.